PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

The Missing Population of Be+Black Hole X-Ray Binaries

PoS(MQW7)073

Aleksander Sądowski
Copernicus Astronomical Center, Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: oleks@camk.edu.pl

Janusz Ziółkowski
Copernicus Astronomical Center, Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: jz@camk.edu.pl

Krzysztof Belczyński
Department of Astronomy, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, USA
E-mail: belczynski@northwestern.edu

Tomasz Bulik
Astronomical Observatory of Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: tb@astrouw.edu.pl

At present, 123 Be/neutron star (Be/NS) X-ray binaries (XRBs) are known in the Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds , but not a single Be/black hole (Be/BH) binary was found so far. We carried out the calculations of stellar population synthesis to investigate the case of the apparently missing population of Be/BH XRBs. According to our calculations, the main reason of this disparity is the fact that within the orbital period range where Be XRBs are found ($\sim$ 10 to $\sim$ 300 days), these systems are formed predominantly with a NS component. The systems with a BH component are formed predominantly with much longer orbital periods and they are not easy to detect.

VII Microquasar Workshop: Microquasars and Beyond
September 1-5 2008
Foca, Izmir, Turkey

1. Introduction

The binary systems composed of a Be star and a neutron star (Be/NS type systems) form the most numerous class of X-ray binaries (XRBs) in our Galaxy.

At present, 123 such systems are known in the Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds (which is almost a half of the total number of the known NS XRBs). Other classes of XRBs are less numerous: we know 90 X-ray bursters (which are also NS XRBs) and 50 X-ray pulsars not associated with a Be type companion (which form still other classes of NS XRBs: 36 of these NSs are associated with a supergiant type companion and 14 with a low mass companion). In addition, we know 58 black hole candidate (BHC) systems (among them 23 confirmed BH systems). However, not a single BHC binary containing a Be type component (Be/BH binary) was found so far.

This disparity (123 Be/NS type systems out of 262 known NS XRBs vs. not a single Be/BH type system among 58 known BH XRBs) called the attention of the researchers already for some time. Zhang et al. (2004) noted that, according to the stellar population synthesis (SPS) calculations by Podsiadlowski et al. (2003), BH binaries are formed predominantly with relatively short orbital periods ($P_{\rm orb} < 10$ days). If this is the case, then, according to Zhang et al., the excretion disc truncation mechanism (Artymowicz & Lubow, 1994) might be so efficient, that the accretion rate is very low and the system remains dormant (and therefore invisible) for almost all the time. One should note, however, that Podsiadlowski et al. considered, essentially, BH systems with Roche lobe filling secondaries, which definitely is not the case of Be XRBs. Therefore, their results are not relevant for the case of Be/BH XRBs.

We carried out the calculations of stellar population synthesis to investigate the case of the apparently missing population of Be/BH XRBs using the Star Track code described by Belczyński, Kalogera & Bulik (2002) and Belczyński et al. (2008).

2. Properties of Be XRBs

Be/NS XRB systems consist of a NS orbiting a Be type star on a rather wide (orbital periods in the range of ~ 10 to ~ 300 days), frequently excentric, orbit. NS has a strong magnetic field and, in vast majority of cases, is observed as an X-ray pulsar (with the spin periods in the range of 34 ms to about 1400 s). The surface of the Be component is located well below its Roche lobe and the mass accretion on a NS is occuring through the interaction of a NS with the excretion disc around Be component. The fact that Be star is much smaller than its Roche lobe is a distinct property of Be XRBs. In all other types of XRBs, the optical component always fills or almost fills its Roche lobe (even if the accreted matter is supplied by the winds).

X-Ray emission (with a few exceptions) has distinctly transient nature with rather short active phases separated by much longer quiescent intervals (a flaring behaviour). There are two types of flares, which are classified as Type I outbursts (smaller and regularly repeating) and Type II outbursts (larger and irregular). Type I bursts are observed in systems with highly eccentric orbits. They occur close to periastron passages of NS. They are repeating at intervals $\sim P_{\rm orb}$. Type II bursts may occur at any orbital phase. They are correlated with the disruption of the excretion disc around Be star (as observed in Hα line). They repeat on time scale $\sim$ years.

3. Definition of a Be XRB for the purpose of SPS calculations

The most characteristic observational property of Be stars distinguishing them from other B stars is the presence of excretion discs producing the characteristic emission lines. The underlying cause of the presence of this disc is, in turn, rapid rotation. In the context of XRBs, the presence of an excretion disc is crucial, because it permits the relatively efficient accretion on the compact companion, even in the case of large orbital separation. It is not clear how Be stars achieved their fast rotation (although different hypothesis like rapid rotation at birth or spin-up due to binary mass transfer are advanced; see e.g. McSwain & Gies, 2005). The fraction of Be stars among all B stars is similar for single stars and for those in binary systems (one quarter to one third).



Figure 1: The expected numbers of Be/NS (blue line) and Be/BH (red line) binaries as functions of the assumed minimal mass of a Be component.

For the purpose of our calculations, we we assumed, for simplicity, that one quarter of all B stars are always Be stars and that these stars are always efficient mass donors, independently of the size of the binary orbit (as is, in fact, observed in Be/NS XRBs). Therefore, according to our definition, a Be XRB is a system composed of a compact object (NS or BH) and a main sequence B star (and we apply a factor 0.25 to the number of such systems, to account for the fact that not every B star is a Be star).

4. Preliminary Results

Fig. 1 shows that, when we count the total expected numbers of Be/NS and Be/BH binaries,

PoS(MQW7)073

these numbers should be, roughly comparable. The estimated masses of observed Be stars cover the range from ~ 2.3 $M_\odot$ (Lejeune & Schaerer, 2001) to ~ 25 $M_\odot$ (McSwain & Gies, 2005). Therefore, if we assume 3$M_\odot$ as a reasonable lower limit for the mass of a Be component, then the Be/NS systems should outnumber Be/BH systems only by a factor of about 2.5.

The reason for the observed large disparity becomes obvious, when we look at Fig. 2. According to our calculations, the distribution of the orbital periods is completely different for Be/NS and Be/BH systems. Within the orbital period range where Be XRBs are found (~ 10 to ~ 300 days), Be systems are formed predominantly with a NS component. The ratio of the expected number of Be/NS systems to the expected number of Be/BH systems is, for this orbital period range, larger than 50. The systems with a BH component are formed predominantly with much longer orbital periods. Such systems are very difficult to detect, both due to very long orbital periods and due to, probably, very low luminosities (the accretion at such large orbital separations must be very inefficient).



Figure 2: The expected distributions of orbital periods of Be/NS (left panel) and Be/BH (right panel) binary systems. Red lines correspond to the minimum mass of a Be component equal 3 $M_\odot$ and the blue lines to the minimum mass equal 8 $M_\odot$.

We should stress, that the results presented above are only very preliminary results. We plan to carry out further calculations. In particular, we shall try to explain the physical reasons for so different orbital periods distributions for the systems with NSs and with BHs.

We should also stress that, while our results provide a major factor explaining the observed disparity in the numbers of Be/NS and Be/BH systems, this might be not the only factor. Another possible factor may be related to the previous evolution of a Be star. If, indeed, a B star must be a member of a binary system and undergo a mass transfer in order to become a Be star, then one can imagine that the systems composed of a Be star and a relatively less massive companion (which collapses to a NS) remain bound, while those composed of a Be star and a relatively more massive companion (which collapses to a BH) are disrupted in the process of supernova explosion.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the polish MNiSW grant NN203065933 (2007-2010).

References

[1] P. Artymowicz & S.H. Lubow, *Dynamics of binary-disk interaction. 1: Resonances and disk gap sizes*, *ApJ* **421** (1994) 651

[2] K. Belczyński, V. Kalogera & T. Bulik, *Binary Population Synthesis: Methods, Normalization, and Surprises*, *ApJ* **572** (2002) 407 [astro-ph/0102229].

[3] K. Belczyński, V. Kalogera, F.A. Rasio, R.E. Taam, A. Zezas, T. Bulik, T.J. Maccarone & N. Ivanova, *Compact Object Modeling with the StarTrack Population Synthesis Code*, *ApJ Suppl* (2008) in press, [astro-ph/051181].

[4] T. Lejeune & D. Schaerer, *Database of Geneva stellar evolution tracks and isochrones*, *A&A* **366** (2001) 538

[5] M.V. McSwain & D.R. Gies, *The Evolutionary Status of Be Stars: Results from a Photometric Study of Southern Open Clusters*, *ApJ Suppl* **161** (2005) 118

[6] Ph. Podsiadlowski, S. Rappaport & Z. Han, *On the formation and evolution of black hole binaries*, *MNRAS* **341** (2003) 385

[7] F. Zhang, X.-D. Li & Z.-R. Wang, *Where Are the Be/Black Hole Binaries?*, *ApJ* **603** (2004) 663