PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Higgs mass bounds from a chirally invariant lattice Higgs-Yukawa model with overlap fermions

Philipp Gerhold*

Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 12489 Berlin, Germany E-mail: gerhold@physik.hu-berlin.de

Karl Jansen

DESY, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany E-mail: Karl.Jansen@desy.de

Jim Kallarackal

Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 12489 Berlin, Germany E-mail: Jim.Kallarackal@physik.hu-berlin.de

We study the parameter-dependence of the Higgs mass in a chirally invariant lattice Higgs-Yukawa model emulating the same Higgs-fermion coupling structure as in the Higgs sector of the electroweak Standard Model. Eventually, the aim is to establish upper and lower Higgs mass bounds. Here we present our preliminary results on the lower Higgs mass bound at several selected values for the cut-off Λ and give a brief outlook towards the upper Higgs mass bound.

The XXVI International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory July 14 - 19, 2008 Williamsburg, Virginia, USA



^{*}Speaker.

Philipp Gerhold

1. Introduction

With the existing evidence for the triviality of the Higgs sector of the electroweak Standard Model, rendering the removal of the cutoff Λ from the theory impossible, physical quantities in this sector will, in general, depend on the cutoff. Though this restriction strongly limits the predictive power of any calculation performed in the Higgs sector, it opens up the possibility of drawing conclusions on the energy scale Λ at which new physics has to set in, once, for example, the Higgs mass has been determined experimentally.

The main target of lattice studies of the Higgs-Yukawa sector of the electroweak Standard Model has therefore been the non-perturbative determination of the cutoff-dependence of the upper and lower bounds of the Higgs boson mass [1, 2] as well as its decay properties. There are two main developments which warrant to reconsider these questions: firstly, with the advent of the LHC, we are to expect that properties of the Standard Model Higgs boson, such as the mass and the decay width, will be revealed experimentally. Secondly, there is, in contrast to the situation of earlier investigations of lattice Higgs-Yukawa models [3, 4, 5, 6], a consistent formulation of a Higgs-Yukawa model with an exact lattice chiral symmetry [7] based on the Ginsparg-Wilson relation [8], which allows to emulate the chiral character of the Higgs-fermion coupling structure of the Standard Model on the lattice while lifting the unwanted fermion doublers at the same time.

Before addressing the questions of the Higgs mass bounds and decay properties, we started with an analytical [9] and a numerical [10] investigation of the phase structure of the model in order to localize the region in (bare) parameter space where eventual simulations of phenomenological interest should be performed. First results on Higgs mass bounds from chirally invariant lattice Higgs-Yukawa models have already been presented in [11, 12].

In the present paper we study the dependence of the Higgs mass on the model parameters. We check that the smallest and largest Higgs masses are indeed obtained at vanishing quartic Higgs self-coupling and at infinite quartic coupling, respectively, as expected from perturbation theory. We then present our preliminary results on the cutoff-dependence of the lower Higgs mass bound and check the strength of the finite volume effects. Since the aforementioned results were obtained in the mass degenerate case, *i.e.* with equal top and bottom quark masses, we also investigate the effect of the top-bottom mass splitting on the Higgs mass, allowing ultimately to extrapolate to the - numerically extremely demanding - physical situation, where the bottom quark is approximately 40 times lighter than the top quark. We then end with a brief outlook towards the upper Higgs mass bound.

2. The $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_R$ lattice Higgs-Yukawa model

The model, we consider here, is a four-dimensional, chirally invariant $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_R$ lattice Higgs-Yukawa model [7], aiming at the implementation of the chiral Higgs-fermion coupling structure of the pure Higgs-Yukawa sector of the Standard Model reading

$$L_Y = -y_b \left(\bar{t}, \bar{b}\right)_L \varphi b_R - y_t \left(\bar{t}, \bar{b}\right)_L \tilde{\varphi} t_R + c.c, \qquad (2.1)$$

with $y_{t,b}$ denoting the top and bottom Yukawa coupling constants. Here we have restricted ourselves to the consideration of the top-bottom doublet (t,b) interacting with the complex Higgs doublet φ

 $(\tilde{\varphi} = i\tau_2 \varphi^*, \tau_i$: Pauli-matrices), since the Higgs dynamics is dominated by the coupling to the heaviest fermions. For the same reason we also *neglect any gauge fields* in this approach.

The fields considered in this model are one four-component, real Higgs field Φ , being equivalent to the complex doublet φ of the Standard Model, and N_f top-bottom doublets represented by eight-component spinors $\bar{\psi}^{(i)} \equiv (\bar{t}^{(i)}, \bar{b}^{(i)}), i = 1, ..., N_f$.

The chiral character of the targeted coupling structure (2.1) is preserved on the lattice by constructing the fermionic action S_F from the Neuberger overlap operator [13] according to

$$S_F = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}^{(i)} \mathscr{M} \psi^{(i)}, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{D}^{(ov)} + P_+ \phi^{\dagger} \operatorname{diag}\left(\hat{y}_t, \hat{y}_b\right) \hat{P}_+ + P_- \operatorname{diag}\left(\hat{y}_t, \hat{y}_b\right) \phi \hat{P}_-, \qquad (2.3)$$

where the Higgs field Φ_n was rewritten as a quaternionic, 2×2 matrix $\phi_n = \Phi_n^0 \mathbb{1} - i\Phi_n^j \tau_j$, with n denoting the site index of the $L_s^3 \times L_t$ -lattice and $\vec{\tau}$ the vector of Pauli matrices, acting on the flavour index of the fermionic doublets. The left- and right-handed projection operators P_{\pm} and the modified projectors \hat{P}_{\pm} are given as

$$P_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2}, \quad \hat{P}_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm \hat{\gamma}_5}{2}, \quad \hat{\gamma}_5 = \gamma_5 \left(\mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{\rho} \mathscr{D}^{(ov)} \right), \tag{2.4}$$

with ρ being the radius of the circle of eigenvalues in the complex plane of the free Neuberger overlap operator [13]. This action now obeys an exact $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_R$ lattice chiral symmetry. For $\Omega_L \in SU(2)$ and $U_R \in U(1)$ the action is invariant under the transformation

$$\psi \to U_R \hat{P}_+ \psi + \Omega_L \hat{P}_- \psi, \qquad \bar{\psi} \to \bar{\psi} P_+ \Omega_L^\dagger + \bar{\psi} P_- U_R^\dagger,$$
(2.5)

$$\phi \to U_R \phi \Omega_L^{\dagger}, \qquad \phi^{\dagger} \to \Omega_L \phi^{\dagger} U_R^{\dagger}.$$
 (2.6)

Note that in the mass-degenerate case, *i.e.* $y_t = y_b$, this symmetry is extended to $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. In the continuum limit the symmetry (2.5,2.6) recovers the continuum $SU(2)_L \times U_R(1)$ chiral symmetry and the lattice Higgs-Yukawa coupling becomes equivalent to (2.1) when identifying

$$\varphi_n = -C \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_n^2 + i\Phi_n^1 \\ \Phi_n^0 - i\Phi_n^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n = i\tau_2 \varphi_n^* = -C \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_n^0 + i\Phi_n^3 \\ -\Phi_n^2 + i\Phi_n^1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad y_{t,b} = \frac{\hat{y}_{t,b}}{C} \quad (2.7)$$

for some real, non-zero constant C. Note that in absence of gauge fields the Neuberger Dirac operator can be trivially constructed in momentum space, since its eigenvalues and eigenvectors are explicitly known. This will be exploited in the numerical construction of the overlap operator.

Finally, the lattice Higgs action S_{Φ} is given by the usual lattice Φ^4 -action

$$S_{\Phi} = -\hat{\kappa} \sum_{n,\mu} \Phi_n^{\dagger} \left[\Phi_{n+\hat{\mu}} + \Phi_{n-\hat{\mu}} \right] + \sum_n \Phi_n^{\dagger} \Phi_n + \hat{\lambda} \sum_n \left(\Phi_n^{\dagger} \Phi_n - 1 \right)^2,$$
(2.8)

which is equivalent to the continuum notation

$$S_{\varphi} = \sum_{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^{f}_{\mu} \varphi \right)_{n}^{\dagger} \nabla^{f}_{\mu} \varphi_{n} + \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi_{n}^{\dagger} \varphi_{n} + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \left(\varphi_{n}^{\dagger} \varphi_{n} \right)^{2} \right\},$$
(2.9)

with the bare mass *m* and the bare quartic coupling constant λ . The connection is established through a rescaling of the Higgs field and the involved coupling constants according to

$$\varphi_n = -\sqrt{2\hat{\kappa}} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_n^2 + i\Phi_n^1 \\ \Phi_n^0 - i\Phi_n^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\hat{\lambda} \cdot 4!}{4\hat{\kappa}^2}, \quad m^2 = \frac{1 - 2N_f\hat{\lambda} - 8\hat{\kappa}}{\hat{\kappa}}, \quad y_{t,b} = \frac{\hat{y}_{t,b}}{\sqrt{2\hat{\kappa}}}.$$
 (2.10)

3. Numerical results

The general method we apply to determine the lower and upper Higgs mass bounds is the numerical evaluation of the whole range of Higgs masses that are producible within our model in consistency with phenomenology. The latter requirement restricts the freedom in the choice of the model parameters $\hat{\kappa}, \hat{y}_{t,b}, \hat{\lambda}$ due to the phenomenological knowledge of the top and bottom masses, *i.e.* $m_t \approx 175 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_b \approx 4.2 \text{ GeV}$, respectively, thus fixing the renormalized Yukawa coupling constants for the top and bottom quark. Furthermore, the model has to be evaluated in the broken phase, *i.e.* at non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field $vev \neq 0$, close to the phase transition to the symmetric phase. We use the phenomenologically known value vev = 246 GeV to determine the lattice spacing *a* and thus the physical cutoff Λ according to

$$246 \,\text{GeV} = \frac{\sqrt{2\hat{\kappa}} \cdot \langle \hat{v} \rangle}{\sqrt{Z_G} \cdot a}, \quad \Lambda = a^{-1}, \quad \hat{G}_G^{-1}(\hat{p}^2) = \frac{2\hat{\kappa} \cdot \hat{p}^2}{Z_G}$$
(3.1)

where $\langle \hat{v} \rangle$ denotes the bare lattice vev and the Goldstone renormalization constant Z_G is obtained from the lattice Goldstone propagator $\hat{G}_G^{-1}(\hat{p}^2)$ measured in the simulation with \hat{p}^2 denoting the squared lattice momenta. For the numerical evaluation of the model we have implemented a PHMC-algorithm, allowing to access the physical situation of odd N_f . All results in the following are preliminary and have been obtained at $N_f = 1$ with degenerate Yukawa coupling constants, *i.e.* $y_t = y_b$ (unless otherwise stated), tuned to reproduce the phenomenologically known top quark mass. However, we are currently working also on the $N_f = 3$ results to account for the colour index (even though gauge fields are absent here).



Figure 1: (a) The dependence of the Higgs mass m_H on the quartic self-coupling constant λ at $\Lambda = 400 \text{ GeV}$ on a $16^3 \times 32$ -lattice for constant Yukawa couplings. The dashed line indicates the $\lambda = 0$ result. (b) The corresponding Higgs mass shifts δm_H^2 versus the quartic coupling constant λ . The dashed line is a linear fit through the data points.

3.1 λ -dependence of Higgs mass at $\lambda \ll 1$

For a given cutoff Λ these requirements still leave open an one-dimensional freedom, which can be parametrized in terms of the quartic self-coupling constant λ . However, this remaining

freedom can be fixed, since it is expected from perturbation theory that the lightest Higgs masses are obtained at vanishing self-coupling $\lambda = 0$, and the heaviest masses at infinite coupling $\lambda = \infty$, according to the one-loop perturbation theory result for the Higgs mass shift [14]

$$\delta m_H^2 = m_H^2 - m^2 \propto \left(\lambda - y_t^2 - y_b^2\right) \cdot \Lambda^2. \tag{3.2}$$

One should remark here that this argument is not complete, since the phase transition line changes for varying λ , thus making the bare Higgs mass *m* a function of λ for fixed cutoff Λ and constant Yukawa coupling. In fact, the bare mass decreases with increasing self-coupling [9] (in the weak coupling regime), contributing to the λ -dependence of m_H with opposite sign as compared to (3.2). In Fig. 1a we therefore check that the lightest Higgs masses are - despite the latter effect - nevertheless obtained at vanishing self-coupling, thus allowing to restrict the search for the lower Higgs mass bounds to the setting $\lambda = 0$ in the following. The expected linear behaviour in the mass shift with increasing λ is clearly observed in Fig. 1b.



Figure 2: (a) The lower Higgs mass bound m_H^{low} versus the cutoff Λ determined on several lattice sizes. To illustrate finite volume effects, simulations have been rerun with identical parameter sets but different lattice sizes. Runs with same parameter sets are connected via dashed lines to guide the eye. (b) Dependence of lattice Higgs masses \hat{m}_H on the lattice size L_s at $\Lambda = 400 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Lambda \approx 1000 \text{ GeV}$.

3.2 Cutoff-dependence of lower Higgs mass bound and finite volume effects

For the determination of the cutoff-dependence of the lower Higgs mass bound we evaluate the Higgs mass at $\lambda = 0$ for several values of Λ . Two restrictions limit the range of accessible energy scales: on the one side all particle masses have to be small compared to Λ , to avoid cutoff-effects, on the other side all masses have to be large compared to the inverse lattice size to avoid finite volume effects. As a minimal requirement we demand here that all particle masses \hat{m} in lattice units fulfill $\hat{m} < 0.5$ and $\hat{m} \cdot L_{s,t} > 2$. For a lattice with side lengths $L_s = L_t = 32$, a degenerate top/bottom quark mass of 175 GeV, and Higgs masses ranging from 40 to 70 GeV one can access energy scales Λ from 350 GeV to approximately 1100 GeV. In Fig. 2a we show the obtained Higgs masses versus the cutoff Λ . To illustrate the influence of the finite lattice volume we have rerun some of the simulations with exactly the same parameter settings but different lattice sizes.

data points belonging to the same parameter sets are connected by lines to guide the eye. While the finite volume effects are mild at $\Lambda = 400 \text{ GeV}$ with $\hat{m}_H \cdot L_{s,t} > 3.2$ on the 32^4 -lattice, the vev, and thus the associated cutoff Λ , as well as the Higgs mass itself vary strongly with increasing lattice size L_s at $\Lambda \approx 1000 \text{ GeV}$ as can be seen in Fig. 2b. Larger lattices are required here to determine the Higgs mass reliably also at this energy scale.

3.3 Dependence of lower Higgs mass bound on top-bottom mass-splitting

So far, the presented results have been determined in the mass degenerate case, *i.e.* $y_t = y_b$, which is numerically easier accessible, opening up the question how the results are influenced when bringing the top-bottom mass split to its physical value, *i.e.* $m_b/m_t \approx 0.024$. From (3.2) one expects the Higgs mass shift δm_H^2 to grow quadratically with decreasing y_b and that is exactly what is observed in Fig. 3b. Here the top quark mass, the quartic coupling, and the cutoff are held constant, while lowering m_b to its physical value. However, the Higgs mass itself does not increase but *decrease* with decreasing y_b as shown in Fig. 3a. This is because the first effect in the mass shift is over-compensated by the shift in the phase transition line, which is moved towards smaller bare Higgs masses m [9].



Figure 3: (a) The Higgs mass versus the squared ratio of the top and bottom Yukawa coupling constants $[y_b/y_t]^2$ on a $12^3 \times 32$ -lattice for constant cutoff $\Lambda = 400 \text{ GeV}$, $\lambda = 0$, and $m_t = 175 \text{ GeV}$. (b) The corresponding Higgs mass shifts versus $[y_b/y_t]^2$. The dashed line is a linear fit through the data points.

3.4 Outlook towards upper Higgs mass bounds

Finally, we turn towards the determination of the upper Higgs mass bound $m_H^{up}(\Lambda)$. First, we check that the largest Higgs masses are indeed obtained at $\lambda = \infty$. This can be clearly observed in Fig. 4b where we plot the Higgs mass m_H versus the quartic self-coupling constant. We therefore derive the upper Higgs mass bounds in the following from simulations with infinite self-coupling. In Fig. 4a we present the corresponding results for the cutoff-dependence of $m_H^{up}(\Lambda)$. As expected the obtained upper mass bounds fall quickly with increasing cutoff Λ . Note, however, that the presented results are only preliminary, since the considered volumes are rather small and no finite volume effects have been studied in this scenario so far.



Figure 4: (a) The upper Higgs mass bound m_H^{up} versus the cutoff Λ as determined on a $16^3 \times 32$ -lattice at infinite quartic self-coupling $\lambda = \infty$. (b) The dependence of the Higgs mass on the quartic coupling constant λ in the strong quartic coupling regime on a $12^3 \times 32$ -lattice. The dashed line represents the $\lambda = \infty$ result.

Acknowledgments

We thank the "Deutsche Telekom Stiftung" for supporting this study by providing a Ph.D. scholarship for P.G. We further acknowledge the support of the DFG through the DFG-project *Mu932/4-1*. The numerical computations have been performed on the *HP XC4000 System* at the *Scientific Supercomputing Center Karlsruhe* and on the *SGI system HLRN-II* at the *HLRN Supercomputing Service Berlin-Hannover*.

References

- [1] K. Holland and J. Kuti. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl., 129:765-767, 2004.
- [2] K. Holland. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl., 140:155-161, 2005.
- [3] J. Smit. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl., 17:3-16, 1990.
- [4] J. Shigemitsu. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl., 20:515-527, 1991.
- [5] M. F. L. Golterman. Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl., 20:528–541, 1991.
- [6] A. K. De and J. Jersák. HLRZ Jülich, HLRZ 91-83, preprint edition, 1991.
- [7] M. Lüscher. Phys. Lett., B428:342-345, 1998.
- [8] P. H. Ginsparg and K. G. Wilson. Phys. Rev., D25:2649, 1982.
- [9] P. Gerhold and K. Jansen. JHEP, 09:041, 2007.
- [10] P. Gerhold and K. Jansen. JHEP, 10:001, 2007.
- [11] Z. Fodor, K. Holland, J. Kuti, D. Nogradi, and C. Schroeder. PoS, LAT2007:056, 2007.
- [12] P. Gerhold and K. Jansen. PoS, LAT2007:075, 2007.
- [13] H. Neuberger. Phys. Lett., B427:353-355, 1998.
- [14] M. J. G. Veltman. Acta Phys. Polon., B12:437, 1981.