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Exclusive electroproduction of $\pi^{+}$mesons was studied by scattering 27.6 GeV positrons or electrons off a gaseous hydrogen target. The spin-averaged cross section and the single-spin azimuthal asymmetry with respect to transverse target polarisation were measured as a function of the Mandelstam variable $t$, the Bjorken scaling variable $x_{\mathrm{B}}$, and the virtuality $Q^{2}$ of the exchanged photon. The results are compared to recent theoretical models.
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## 1. Introduction

The possibility to interpret hard exclusive processes in the framework of Generalised Parton Distributions (GPDs) [1] and to gather new information on the nucleon structure has lead to growing interest in the study of exclusive processes. In the description of exclusive production of $\pi^{+}$mesons on protons by longitudinal virtual photons at leading twist (see Fig. 11), only the two GPDs $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{E}$ appear. Spin-averaged and spin-dependent cross sections are sensitive to different combinations of $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{E}$. Unlike the spin-averaged cross section, the azimuthal asymmetry with respect to transverse target polarisation is directly


Figure 1: Leading-order diagram for exclusive $\pi^{+}$electroproduction. proportional to the sine of the relative phase between $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{E}$. Hermes has previously performed measurements of the single-spin azimuthal asymmetry in exclusive $\pi^{+}$electroproduction on longitudinally polarised protons [ौ]. Recently, results on the spin-averaged cross section [ 3 ] and the single-spin azimuthal asymmetry for the hard exclusive reaction $e p^{\dagger} \rightarrow e n \pi^{+}$on transversely polarised protons [ [ 4 ] have been reported (see Sections 2 , 3 below). Recent theoretical analyses of hard exclusive pion production are presented in [5, 6, 7].

## 2. Cross section

The data used for this measurement were collected in 1996-2005 with the HERMES spectrometer in the HERA storage ring at DESY. The average target polarisation was zero. Events with one lepton and one pion in the momentum range between 7 GeV and 15 GeV were accepted. The following requirements were imposed: $W^{2}>10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, y<0.85, Q^{2}>1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The quantity $-t^{\prime}=-\left(t-t_{0}\right)$, with $-t_{0}$ the minimum value of $-t$, was used in the analysis.

The exclusive channel $e p \rightarrow e n \pi^{+}$was separated from background channels using the missing mass $\left(M_{X}\right)$ technique. The exclusive $\pi^{+}$yield was obtained by subtracting the yield difference $\left(N_{\pi^{+}}-N_{\pi^{-}}\right)$of a Pythia Monte Carlo simulation from that of the data, with both differences being independently absolutely normalized: $N_{\pi^{+}}^{\mathrm{excl}}=\left(N_{\pi^{+}}-N_{\pi^{-}}\right)^{\text {data }}-\left(N_{\pi^{+}}-N_{\pi^{-}}\right)^{\text {PYthia }}$. An upper cut on $M_{X}^{2}$ of $1.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ was set in order to optimise the (quadratically) combined statistical and systematic uncertainty of $N_{\pi^{+}}^{\text {excl }} ; 4510$ events are obtained after background subtraction.

The differential cross section is extracted from data using the relation [ $\beta$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma^{\gamma^{*} p \rightarrow n \pi^{+}}\left(x_{\mathrm{B}}, Q^{2}, t^{\prime}\right)}{d t^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{\Gamma_{V}\left(\left\langle x_{\mathrm{B}}\right\rangle,\left\langle Q^{2}\right\rangle\right)} \frac{N_{\pi^{+}}^{\mathrm{excl}}}{\Delta x_{\mathrm{B}} \Delta Q^{2} \Delta t^{\prime} \kappa\left(x_{\mathrm{B}}, Q^{2}\right) \eta} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the virtual-photon flux factor $\Gamma_{V}$, the detection probability $\kappa$, and the radiative correction factor $\eta$ are all determined for each kinematic bin with bin sizes $\Delta x_{\mathrm{B}}, \Delta Q^{2}$, and $\Delta t^{\prime}$.

Figure 2 shows the $t^{\prime}$ dependence of the differential cross section for four $Q^{2}$ bins. The data are compared with calculations of $\frac{d \sigma}{d t^{\prime}}$ using a GPD model: with a Regge-inspired $t^{\prime}$ dependence for $\widetilde{E}$ and neglecting $\widetilde{H}$. While the leading-order calculation underestimates the data, the calculations including power corrections agree with the data for $-t^{\prime}<0.3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The calculation of $\frac{d \sigma}{d t^{\prime}}$ using


Figure 2: Differential cross sections as a function of $-t^{\prime}$ for four $Q^{2}$ bins [ [ 3$]$.
a Regge model describes the data well. More recent calculations of other models that are able to describe the data are presented in [5, 6, 7].

## 3. Spin asymmetry

The asymmetry for the reaction $e p^{\dagger} \rightarrow e n \pi^{+}$is extracted from a subset of the data used for the cross section measurement presented above, which was taken in 2002-2005 with a transversely polarised hydrogen target. The average value of the transverse proton polarisation $\left|P_{T}\right|$ was $0.72 \pm$ 0.06 . The azimuthal angles $\phi$ and $\phi_{S}$ of the pion and of the target polarisation vector, respectively, are defined around the virtual-photon three-momentum vector relative to the lepton scattering plane in the target rest frame. After applying the same cuts as for the cross section analysis, the number of observed $\pi^{+}$events is 3425 , of which half are background events.

The single-spin asymmetry for exclusive $\pi^{+}$production with unpolarized (U) beam and target polarization transverse $(\mathrm{T})$ to the lepton $(\ell)$ beam direction is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|P_{\mathrm{T}}\right|} \frac{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\uparrow}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)-\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\downarrow}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)}{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\uparrow}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)+\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\downarrow}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\dagger(\nu)}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)=\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{UU}}(\phi)+P_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)$ is a sum of the spin-averaged and spin-dependent cross sections, with $P_{\mathrm{T}} /\left|P_{\mathrm{T}}\right|$ equal to $1(-1)$ for the $\uparrow(\downarrow)$ orientations of the transverse target polarization vector $P_{\mathrm{T}}$. The asymmetry (3.1) can be Fourier-decomposed as [8]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}\left(\phi, \phi_{S}\right)=A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)+A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}^{\sin \left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)} \sin \left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)+A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}^{\sin \phi_{S}} \sin \phi_{S} \\
& +A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}^{\sin \left(2 \phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \sin \left(2 \phi-\phi_{S}\right)+A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}^{\sin \left(\left\langle, \phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right.} \sin \left(3 \phi-\phi_{S}\right)+A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}^{\sin \left(2 \phi+\phi_{S}\right)} \sin \left(2 \phi+\phi_{S}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The set of six Fourier amplitudes of the sine-modulation terms in (3.2) were extracted from the observed $\pi^{+}$event sample using a maximum likelihood fit.

Each extracted Fourier amplitude was corrected for background contributions in order to estimate its true value for exclusive $\pi^{+}$production, $A_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{A_{\mathrm{r}}-b A_{\mathrm{b}}}{1-b}$. Here, $A_{\mathrm{r}}$ denotes the raw value obtained from the maximum likelihood fit, and $b$ and $A_{\mathrm{b}}$ stand for the fractional contribution and Fourier amplitude of the background. The background fraction $b=\frac{N_{\pi^{+}}-N_{x^{+}}^{\text {excl }}}{N_{\pi^{+}}}$with $N_{\pi^{+}}$and $N_{\pi^{+}}^{\text {excl }}$ being the number of observed $\pi^{+}$events in the selected data sample and after background subtraction described above, respectively. As the background originates from resolution smearing of
events occuring at higher missing mass, $A_{\mathrm{b}}$ was assumed to be equal to the Fourier amplitude measured in the $M_{X}^{2}$ region between $1.9 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $2.6 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ where the contribution of exclusive $\pi^{+}$ events is negligible.

Figure 3 shows the extracted Fourier amplitudes as a function of $-t^{\prime}, x_{\mathrm{B}}$, and $Q^{2}$. The average values of the kinematic variables are $\left\langle-t^{\prime}\right\rangle=0.18 \mathrm{GeV}^{2},\left\langle x_{\mathrm{B}}\right\rangle=0.13$, and $\left\langle Q^{2}\right\rangle=2.38 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The background fraction $b$ varies between $(54 \pm 6) \%$ and $(62 \pm$ 5) \% in the various kinematic bins. The values of $A_{\mathrm{b}}$ are smaller than $\pm 0.1$, except for the $\sin \phi_{S}$ modulation for which they amount on average to $(0.25 \pm 0.04)$. The leading $\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)$ amplitude appears to change sign from negative to positive as a function of $-t^{\prime}$, but it is also consistent with zero. This result is interesting in view of the large value initially predicted for this amplitude [7] using GPD models. The measured $\sin \phi_{S}$ amplitude is surprisingly large implying a significant contribution from the transverse-tolongitudinal virtual-photon helicity transition. An attempt to evaluate the complete set of Fourier amplitudes (3.2), and in particular the value of $A_{\mathrm{UT}, \ell}^{\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}$, is presented in [ 6$]$. Comparisons of model calculations with data are also presented in [7].
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Figure 3: Amplitudes of the sine modulations of the single-spin azimuthal asymmetry [ $\dagger$ ].
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