

Shell-model studies of ²²Mg at excitations of interest for the thermonuclear radiative capture reactions

Mouna Bouhelal¹

Laboratoire de Physique Appliquée et Théorique, Université de Tébessa Route de Constantine, 12002, Algérie E-mail: m_bouhelal@yahoo.fr

Florent Haas, Etienne Caurier, Frédéric Nowacki

IPHC, CNRS/IN2P3, Université de Strasbourg
F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France
E-mail: florent.haas@iphc.cnrs.fr, etienne.caurier@iphc.cnrs.fr,
frederic.nowacki@iphc.cnrs.fr,

A comparison of the structure properties of the ²²Ne and ²²Mg mirror nuclei is interesting because in nucleosynthesis ²²Mg can be formed through the capture reaction ²¹Na(p, γ) for which the cross sections will depend on spin-parity assignments of the ²²Mg states around the proton-emission threshold. We propose, based on shell model calculations using the (0+1)h ω PSDPF interaction, a one to one level correspondence between ²²Ne and ²²Mg. In particular what the negative parity states are concerned, three states are identified in ²²Ne: 2⁻ at 5.146 MeV, 3⁻ at 5.910 MeV and 0⁻ at ~ 6.234 MeV, they correspond to the mirror states in ²²Mg: 2⁻ at 5.006 MeV, 3⁻ at 5.838 MeV and 0⁻ at 6.046 MeV.

XIII Nuclei in the Cosmos 7-11 July, 2014 Debrecen, Hungary

1

Speaker

1.Introduction

In the last decade, great interest is focused on the structure of the radionuclide ²²Mg because it plays a crucial role in determining the astrophysical reaction rates of ²¹Na(p, γ)²²Mg and ¹⁸Ne(α ,p)²¹Na reactions in explosive stellar scenarios [1]. Such processes can occur in classical novae, like in ONe ones, predominantly by nuclear activity which takes place in the NeNa cycle. In fact, nucleosynthesis in the NeNa cycle during nova outburst leads to the synthesis of the astronomically important unstable ²²Na nucleus. This nuclide β decays (T_{1/2}=2.6 yr) with the emission of a characteristic γ ray of energy 1.275 MeV. ²²Na is thought to be produced primarily via two reaction paths [2]; the first is the so-called "cold" NeNa cycle, ²⁰Ne(p, γ)²¹Na(β^+ , υ_e)²¹Ne(p, γ)²²Na, the second is the so-called "hot" NeNa cycle, which is associated with higher temperatures, ²⁰Ne(p, γ)²¹Na(β^+ , υ_e)²²Na. In the ²¹Na+p compound system, three resonances at Ex = 5.714, 5.837, 5.962 MeV had been thought to contribute to the reaction rate at ONe novae temperatures. Higher energy states at Ex = 6.046, 6.248, 6.323, 6.587 and 6.615 MeV, in addition to the state at 5.962 MeV may contribute to the ²¹Na(p, γ)²²Mg rate for X-ray burst events [3].

In this context, properties of ²²Mg levels in the excited energy region below and around the proton-emission threshold of 5.5 MeV have extensively been investigated by many reaction channels (see Ref.[1] and references therein). However, there is still uncertainty in the determination of their spins and parities [4]. A source for spin-parity assignments are the mirror states in ²²Ne, which is a stable nucleus and has thus been well studied experimentally [4]. Shell model (SM) calculations are certainly of use to define and predict spin-parity values.

We present in this paper, a comparison between the mirror experimental spectra of ^{22}Mg and ^{22}Ne as well as the SM predictions obtained by our (0+1)ħ ω PSDPF interaction.

2. Experimental status

Several experiments using different reactions were performed in order to explore the spectroscopic properties of ²²Mg. Nevertheless, there is still ambiguity in the determination of spin-parity assignments of several levels mainly those of astrophysical interest. The experimental spectrum of this nucleus is still not complete and a comparison with the well-studied mirror nucleus ²²Ne as well as with SM calculations is indicated.

The ²²Ne spectroscopy has been extensively studied experimentally. Below an excitation energy of ~ 6.35 MeV, fourteen states are reported in the "Adopted Levels" [4] of NNDC with well-defined spin and parity. Twelve of them have positive parity and two of them have negative parity. On the other hand, the mirror nucleus ²²Mg has, in the same excitation energy range, sixteen states reported in Refs. [4,5], seven of them have no fixed spin and parity. Many attempts have been made to determine the J^{π} values of the reported states at 5.006, 5.293, 5.296, 5.318, 5.452, 5.838 and 6.045 MeV. The level at 5.006 MeV is suggested to have positive parity [6], other experiments disregarded its existence [7,8]. The different proposed J^{π} values of the state at 5.293 MeV, are 3⁺ [6,9], 3⁻ [7], (2⁺,3⁻) [3,10] and 4⁺₂ [8,11], this state is considered to be degenerated with that at 5.296 MeV with J^{π} = 2⁻ [8,11]. The 1⁺₁ state in ²²Mg is assumed to be at

5.318 MeV [6,7,9]. For the state at 5.452 MeV, observed in other experiments at 5.454, 5.455 and 5.464 MeV, the following suggestions have been made $J^{\pi} = 4^+_2$ [6,7,9], $(2^+,3^-,4^+)$ [3,10] and 3^+ [8,11]. While a positive parity is assumed for the level at 5.838 MeV with J = 2-4 [3,10], or 3 [7], there exists also a $J^{\pi} = 3^-$ proposal [6,9]. There is a long debate about the J^{π} attribution for the degenerated states at 5.962 and 6.045 MeV, some propose 0^+ for one and 1^- for the other [2,9,12,13] and vice versa, others suggest $(0^+,1^-)$ for the state at 5.962 MeV and 0^+ for that at 6.045 MeV [3,10]. $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ and 3^- values are also attributed to the levels at 5.962 MeV and also 6.045 MeV, respectively [8,11], but others attribute a 0^+ to the 5.962 MeV [6] and to the 6.045 MeV states [7,14]. The J^{π} suggested from the isobaric triplet component (T=1) in A=22 nuclei [5] for the excitation energies at 5.006, 5.318 and 5.962 MeV are 2^- , 1^+ and 0^+ , respectively.

In this paper, we will propose a complete spectrum of 22 Mg up to excitation energy of ~ 6.35 MeV based on a comparison with its mirror 22 Ne and our SM calculations, taking into account the previous studies.

3.Theoretical status

To make a 0 and 1 h ∞ SM description of sd shell nuclei, we have recently developed the PSDPF interaction [15]. With this new interaction, the spectroscopic properties of positive and negative parity states can be calculated. What the positive parity states are concerned, the main building block of our interaction is USDB [16]. We used the PSDPF interaction to describe energy spectra of both ²²Mg and ²²Ne mirror nuclei. Our main aim is to make predictions for the ambiguous states in ²²Mg.

We performed SM calculations through PSDPF without Coulomb interaction using the SM code Nathan [17,18]. For the pair ²²Ne- ²²Mg, PSDPF predicts fifteen states of excitation energies between 0 and 6.35 MeV, three of them have negative parity. We will present a one to one level correspondence between our calculations and the ²²Ne-²²Mg spectra based on the comparison of their excitation energies and electromagnetic transition (EMT) properties. This will allows us to predict the spin-parity assignments of the ²²Mg ambiguous states important in nucleosynthesis.

4. Results and discussion

A comparison of the two experimental ²²Ne-²²Mg spectra as well as the PSDPF calculations is shown in Table 1. There is good agreement between our results and the ²²Ne experimental spectrum with an RMS deviation of 125 keV. We confirm here the J^{π} assignments of the levels at 5.524 MeV and 6.310 MeV, which have $J^{\pi} = 4^+_2$ and 6^+_1 , respectively. The well-known states in ²²Mg are also adequately described with PSDPF and the J^{π} assignments of levels at 6. 254 MeV and 6.247 MeV can be confirmed too as 6^+_1 and 4^+_3 , respectively. This allows us to make predictions for the not so well known states in this nucleus with respect to the mirror ²²Ne and calculated spectrum. We start with the 2⁻ state which is the fifth level in ²²Ne. Previous works, as mentioned before, attributed this J^{π} to the ²²Mg state at 5.296 MeV, however, Firestone [5] proposed it to the state at 5.006 MeV by comparison within the A = 22 nuclei isobaric triplet component (T=1). It is difficult to choose between the two suggestions since the

two states have the same γ -decay [4], which is somewhat different from that of the mirror state in ²²Ne. We propose that the Firestone suggestion is correct, because all the well known levels in ²²Mg are lower in energy, due to Coulomb effects, than those in ²²Ne and the 5.006 MeV is the fifth level as in ²²Ne. We thus think that the existence of the 5.296 MeV is doubtful. The second uncertain state is at 5.293 MeV which is proposed in the literature to have $(2-4)^+$ and $3^$ assignments. This state decays mainly to the 4_{1}^{+} level like the 4_{2}^{+} in ²²Ne, while the 2^{+} , 3^{+} and 3^{-} states decay mainly to the 2^{+}_{11} . We thus suggest that the 5.293 MeV corresponds to the 4^{+}_{22} . The same argument is used to attribute $J^{\pi} = 3^+$ to the state at 5.452 MeV. The level at 5.318 MeV is assumed to be the first 1⁺ state as it has the same γ -decay as the 1⁺₁ in ²²Ne. We now treat the case of states of astrophysical interest. The first one is at 5.838 MeV for which we suggest a 3⁻ assignment, this J^{π} corresponds to the level at 5.910 MeV in ²²Ne and their EMT are very similar. The other astrophysical interesting levels are at 5.962 and 6.045 MeV, for these states no known EMT have been reported, they are degenerated and close in energy with the 0^+_2 state in ²²Ne. On the other hand, PSDPF predicts the existence of two degenerated states at 6.273 and 6.288 MeV with $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ and 0^- , respectively. We attribute these J^{π} assignments to the states in question at 5.962 and 6.045 MeV, respectively. Concerning the ²²Ne case, we propose that there is a doublet of 0^+ and 0^- at ~ 6.234 MeV.

²² Ne		²² Mg		PSDPF	
Ex	J^{π}	Ex	J^{π}	Ex	J^{π}_{n}
0	0^+	0	0^+	0	0^{+}_{1}
1.275	2^{+}	1.247	2^+	1.363	2^{+}_{1}
3.358	4^{+}	3.308	4^{+}	3.418	4^{+}_{1}
4.456	2^{+}	4.402	2^+	4.295	2^{+}_{2}
5.146	2-	5.006	$0^+, 1, 2, 3, 4^+$	4.987	2^{-1}
5.332	1^{+}	5.318	$2^+, 3, 4^+$	5.408	1^{+}_{1}
5.363	2^+	5.035	2^+	5.187	2^{+}_{3}
5.524	$(4)^{+}$	5.293	$(3^+, 4^+, 5^+)$	5.473	4^{+}_{2}
5.641	3+	5.452	$(2^+, 3^+)$	5.468	3^{+}_{1}
5.910	3-	5.838	$2^+,3,4^+$	5.632	3 ⁻ 1
6.120	2^{+}	5.711	2^+	6.243	2^{+}_{4}
6.234	0^+	5.962	0^+	6.273	0^{+}_{2}
		6.046		6.288	$0^{-}1$
6.310	$(6)^{+}$	6.254	(6^{+})	6.326	6^{+}_{1}
6.347	4^+	6.247	(4^{+})	6.287	4^{+}_{3}

According to these assumptions, we now propose a complete spectrum for ²²Mg; the RMS deviation between experimental and calculated spectra is 198 keV.

Table 1: Experimental [4] versus calculated with the PSDPF interaction ²²Ne and ²²Mg energy spectra. The energies are given in MeV.

5.Conclusion

The ²²Mg structure is of nuclear astrophysical interest, especially the J^{π} assignments of levels in the vicinity of the proton threshold. Experimentally, the ²²Mg spectrum is by far not so well established as the one of ²²Ne. A comparison with the well-studied mirror nucleus ²²Ne is thus important as well as with SM calculations using our 0 and 1 h ∞ PSDPF interaction. This comparison leads to the proposed existence of a 0⁻ state in the two mirror nuclei and to a one to one level correspondence of ²²Ne and ²²Mg between 0 and ~ 6.35 MeV of excitation. Finally, we propose a complete spectrum of ²²Mg up to an excitation energy of ~ 6.35 MeV.

References

- [1] J.J. He et al., Phys. Rev. C 80, 015801 (2009).
- [2] S. Bishop et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 162501 (2003) [astro-ph/0303285].
- [3] J. M. D'Auria et al., Phys. Rev. C 69, 065803 (2004).
- [4] http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2
- [5] R. B. Firestone, Nuclear Data Sheets. 106, 1 (2005) and references therein.
- [6] N. A. Smirnova and A.Coc, Phys. Rev. C 62, 045803 (2000).
- [7] N. Bateman et al., Phys. Rev. C 63, 035803 (2001).
- [8] D. Seweryniak et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 032501 (2005).
- [9] H. T. Fortune, R. Sherr and B. A. Brown, Phys. Rev. C 68, 035802 (2003).
- [10] H.L. Liu, M.Q. Liu, J.J. Liu and Z.Q. Luo, Chinese Physics 16, 3300 (2007).
- [11] A. Matic et al., Phys. Rev. C 80, 055804 (2009).
- [12] S. Michimasa et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 14, 275 (2002).
- [13] B. Davids et al., Phys. Rev. C 68, 055805 (2001).
- [14] K. Y. Chae et al., Phys. Rev. C 80, 055804 (2009).
- [15] M. Bouhelal, F. Haas, E. Caurier, F. Nowacki and A. Bouldjedri, Nucl. Phys. A 864, 113 (2011).
- [16] B. A. Brown and W. A. Richter, Phys. Rev. C 74, 034315 (2006).
- [17] E. Caurier and F. Nowacki, Acta Phys. Pol. B 30, 705 (1999).
- [18] E. Caurier et al., Phys. Rev. C 59, 2033 (1999).