

Flavor violating signatures of neutral Higgs bosons at the LHeC

J. Hernández-Sánchez*†

Facultad de Ciencias de la Electrónica, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apdo.

Postal 542, C.P. 72570 Puebla, Puebla, México.

Dual C-P Institute of High Energy Physics, Puebla, Pue., México.

E-mail: jaime.hernandez@correo.buap.mx

S. P. Das

Instituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apdo. Postal J-28, C.P. 72570

Puebla, Puebla, México.

E-mail: sprasad@ifuap.buap.mx

S. Moretti

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17

1BJ, United Kingdom and Particle Physics Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory,

Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, United Kingdom

E-mail: s.moretti@soton.ac.uk

Alfonso Rosado

Instituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apdo. Postal J-28, C.P. 72570

Puebla, Puebla, México.

E-mail: rosado@ifuap.buap.mx

Reyna Xoxocotzi-Aguilar

Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apdo.

Postal 1364, C.P. 72570 Puebla, Puebla, México.

E-mail: xoxo_reyna@yahoo.com.mx

We analyze the prospect for observing the lightest and heaviest CP-even neutral Higgs bosons ($\phi = h, H$) in their decays to flavor violating $b\bar{s}$ (with charge conjugate) final states at the future Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC), in the framework of the Two Higgs Doublet Model type III (2HDM-III) assuming a four-zero texture in the Yukawa matrices and a general Higgs potential. We consider the charge current production processes $e^-p \rightarrow \nu_e \phi q_f$ ($\phi = h, H$), with the flavor violating decays of the Higgs bosons. We consider scenarios of the model which are consistent with current experimental data from flavor and Higgs physics. We also consider the most relevant Standard Model (SM) backgrounds. We find that the lightest (SM-like) CP-even Higgs boson h and the heaviest one H (with mass up to 150 GeV) would have 1–2 Σ and 1 Σ significances, respectively, with 100 fb⁻¹ of data. At the end of the LHeC running, the significances would be increased by ten times and the detection of both Higgs bosons (h, H) would be guaranteed.

XXIII International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering,

27 April - May 1 2015

Dallas, Texas

1. Introduction

Since the Higgs boson has been discovered at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) by the ATLAS [1] and CMS [2] experiments, the Standard Model (SM) is well established. However, recently CMS reported a slight excess of Lepton Flavor-Violating (LFV) Higgs decays $h \rightarrow \mu^\mp \tau^\pm$ [3], which is forbidden in the SM and may be a hint of new physics. However, this is not an indication of which new physics may be at work, as one can explain such flavor-violating processes in several extensions of the Higgs sector. In particular, the 2-Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) type III (henceforth, 2HDM-III for short) provides one with a phenomenology which can be very rich as far as flavor-violating Higgs bosons decays are concerned [4, 5, 6], since, on the one hand, dangerous Flavor Changing Neutral Currents (FCNCs) are controlled by the approach of four-zero texture, which, on the other hand, also enables (amongst others) the above $h\mu^\pm\tau^\mp$ interactions. In fact, motivated by the enhancement of flavor-violating quarks decays ($\phi \rightarrow b\bar{s}$) of intermediate mass Higgs bosons (i.e., with mass below the top-quark mass), we focus on the feasibility of observing the aforementioned LFV processes at the upcoming Large Hadron electron Collider (LHeC) at CERN, which is a future Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) experiment at the TeV scale, with center-of-mass energy around 1.3 TeV and an integrated luminosity of approximately $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}/\text{year}$ and with a high detector coverage. In this work we study the feasibility of finding, at the next LHeC, the two CP-even neutral Higgs bosons of the 2HDM-III, one being the SM-like Higgs (h) and the second one an heavier Higgs state (H), both decaying to $s\bar{b}$ pairs.

2. The Higgs-Yukawa sector in the 2HDM-III

In the generic 2HDM, the Yukawa Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = - \left(Y_1^u \bar{Q}_L \tilde{\Phi}_1 u_R + Y_2^u \bar{Q}_L \tilde{\Phi}_2 u_R + Y_1^d \bar{Q}_L \Phi_1 d_R + Y_2^d \bar{Q}_L \Phi_2 d_R + Y_1^l \bar{L}_L \Phi_1 l_R + Y_2^l \bar{L}_L \Phi_2 l_R \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Phi_{1,2} = (\phi_{1,2}^+, \phi_{1,2}^0)^T$ refer to the 2 Higgs doublets, $\tilde{\Phi}_{1,2} = i\sigma_2 \Phi_{1,2}^*$. Besides, the fermion mass matrices after Electro-Weak Symmetry Breaking (EWSB) is given by $M_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_1 Y_1^f + v_2 Y_2^f)$, $f = u, d, l$, assuming that both Yukawa matrices Y_1 and Y_2 have a four-zero form and are Hermitian [4]. Taking into account the diagonalization of mass matrices in the following way, $\bar{M}_f = V_{fL}^\dagger M_f V_{fR}$, one can obtain for the rotated matrix \tilde{Y}_n^q the following generic form [7]:

$$(\tilde{Y}_n^q)_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{m_i^q m_j^q}}{v} (\tilde{\chi}_n^q)_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{m_i^q m_j^q}}{v} (\chi_n^q)_{ij} e^{i\theta_{ij}^q}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the χ 's are unknown dimensionless parameters of the model. One can then have the generic expression for the couplings of the Higgs bosons with the fermions, as follows [4, 5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\tilde{f}_i \tilde{f}_j \phi} = & - \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \bar{u}_i (m_{d_j} X_{ij} P_R + m_{u_i} Y_{ij} P_L) d_j H^+ + \frac{\sqrt{2} m_{l_j}}{v} Z_{ij} \bar{\nu}_L l_R H^+ + h.c. \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{v} \left\{ \bar{f}_i m_{f_i} h_{ij}^f f_j h^0 + \bar{f}_i m_{f_i} H_{ij}^f f_j H^0 - i \bar{f}_i m_{f_i} A_{ij}^f f_j \gamma_5 A^0 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

*Speaker.

†Supported by SNI-CONACYT and PROMEP-SEP grants.

where ϕ_{ij}^f ($\phi = h, H, A$), X_{ij}, Y_{ij} and Z_{ij} are defined in [4, 5, 8]. In this work, we consider four different realizations of the 2HDM-III, each enabling an enhancement in the Higgs decay with flavor violation $\phi \rightarrow s\bar{b}$. The following benchmark scenarios are in fact studied (Higgs and flavor physics constraints are considered, see details in [4, 6, 8]):

- **Scenario Ia:** $\cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0.1$, $\chi_{kk}^u = 1.5$ ($k = 2, 3$), $\chi_{22}^d = 1.8$, $\chi_{33}^d = 1.2$, $\chi_{23}^{u,d} = 0.2$, $\chi_{22}^l = 0.5$, $\chi_{33}^l = 1.2$, $\chi_{23}^l = 0.1$, $m_A = 100 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{H^\pm} = 110 \text{ GeV}$, taking $Y = -X = -Z = \cot\beta = 2, 15, 30$.
- **Scenario Ib:** the same as scenario Ia but with $\cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0.5$.
- **Scenario IIa:** $\cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0.1$, $\chi_{22}^u = 0.5$, $\chi_{33}^u = 1.4$, $\chi_{22}^d = 2$, $\chi_{33}^d = 1.3$, $\chi_{23}^u = -0.53$, $\chi_{23}^d = 0.2$, $\chi_{22}^l = 0.4$, $\chi_{33}^l = 1.2$, $\chi_{23}^l = 0.1$, $m_A = 100 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{H^\pm} = 110 \text{ GeV}$, taking $X = Z = 1/Y = \tan\beta = 2, 15, 30$.
- **Scenario Y:** $\cos(\beta - \alpha) = 0.1$, $\chi_{22}^u = 0.5$, $\chi_{33}^u = 1.4$, $\chi_{22}^d = 2$, $\chi_{33}^d = 1.3$, $\chi_{23}^u = -0.53$, $\chi_{23}^d = 0.2$, $\chi_{22}^l = 0.4$, $\chi_{33}^l = 1.1$, $\chi_{23}^l = 0.1$, $m_A = 100 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{H^\pm} = 110 \text{ GeV}$, taking $X = 1/Y = -1/Z = \tan\beta = 2, 15, 30$.

3. Numerical Analysis

For production, we consider the Leading Order (LO) process $ep \rightarrow \nu_e \phi q_f$, where $\phi = h, H, q$ is a light flavor quark (u, d, s, c) whereas ϕ decays dominantly into $b\bar{s}$ and the charged conjugation channel (henceforth, bs for short). Taking into account the different 2HDM-III scenarios mentioned above, we are interested in looking for signal Higgs events in the bs mode. We consider for the electron beam energy $E_e = 60 \text{ GeV}$ and for the proton beam $E_p = 7000 \text{ GeV}$, yielding a center of mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 1.3 \text{ TeV}$, this in presence of an integrated luminosity of 100 fb^{-1} . Tab. 1 shows the model parameters in 2HDM-III for the scenarios Ia, Ib, IIa and Y and the ensuing signal rates both at production and Branching Ratio (BR) level. For all our benchmarks we have that σ_{bs} is greater than 0.15 fb , so that at least 15 events are produced for an integrated luminosity of 100 fb^{-1} . To estimate the event rate at parton level, we applied the following basic pre-selections:

$$p_T^q > 15 \text{ GeV}, \Delta R(q, q) > 0.4, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Delta R = \Delta\eta^2 + \Delta\phi^2$, η and ϕ being the pseudo-rapidity and azimuthal angle, respectively. We passed the CalHEP v3.4.7 [9] generated parton level event on to PYTHIA v.6.408 [10], which handles the parton shower, hadronization, heavy hadron decays, etc. We also took the experimental resolutions of the jet angles and energy using the toy calorimeter PYCELL, in accordance with the LHeC detector parameters given in PYTHIA. There are mainly two types of backgrounds for the Higgs signal: charged current backgrounds: $\nu l \bar{b}$, $\nu b \bar{b} j$, $\nu b 2j$, $\nu 3j$ and the photo-production channels $e^- b \bar{b} j$, $e^- t \bar{t}$. We adopted a simple cut-based method for signal enhancement and background rejection. We have chosen the following selections, and applied these cumulatively, for signals coming from $h(H)$:

- **a(A):** We first selected that the event must contain at least three jets.

2HDM-III	$\tan\beta$	X	Y	Z	$m_h = 125$ GeV		$m_H = 130$ GeV		$m_H = 150$ GeV		$m_H = 170$ GeV	
					bs	$\sigma.bs$	bs	$\sigma.bs$	bs	$\sigma.bs$	bs	$\sigma.bs$
Ia2	2				0.76	0.29	0.75	0.330	0.22	0.077	0.011	0.003
Ia15	15	$-\cot\beta$	$\cot\beta$	$-\cot\beta$	12.0	11.7	0.71	0.006	0.58	0.004	0.20	0.001
Ia30	30				12.8	19.1	3.16	0.088	2.50	0.027	0.80	0.005
Ib2	2				0.76	0.30	0.75	0.33	0.22	0.077	0.011	0.003
Ib15	15	$-\cot\beta$	$\cot\beta$	$-\cot\beta$	8.6	7.6	23.6	5.16	8.34	1.39	0.49	0.065
Ib30	30				10.9	11.5	25.2	7.5	16.9	3.18	1.85	0.240
IIa2	2				0.008	0.007	15.6	0.17	4.68	0.033	0.58	0.003
IIa15	15	$\tan\beta$	$\cot\beta$	$\tan\beta$	0.48	0.41	13.1	0.14	12.6	0.090	8.84	0.046
IIa30	30				2.34	1.97	13.1	0.14	13.1	0.092	11.7	0.061
Y2	2				1.33	1.12	2.62	0.026	1.90	0.013	0.50	0.0026
Y15	15	$\tan\beta$	$\cot\beta$	$-\cot\beta$	0.29	0.24	20.2	0.220	4.94	0.034	0.57	0.0030
Y30	30				3.98	3.36	46.8	0.518	46.0	0.336	39.2	0.2071

Table 1: The model parameters for our benchmark points in the 2HDM-III scenario labelled Ia, Ib, IIa, and Y. Here, bs is the $\text{BR}(h, H \rightarrow b\bar{s} + \bar{b}s)$, where $\phi = h, H$, in units of 10^{-2} while $\sigma.bs$ is the production cross-section multiplied by the BR at the LHeC in units of fb.

- **b(B):** We required at least one b -tagged jet with the inclusion of proper mis-tagging.
- **c(C):** We required at least two central jets, with $p_T > 30$ GeV and 25(20)[15] GeV for $m_H = 130(150)[170]$ GeV, in the pseudo-rapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. One of the central jet must be a b -tagged jet and we required only one b -tagging per event.
- **d(D):** The missing transverse energy cut $\cancel{E}_T > 20$ GeV is then applied. This selection is crucial to suppress the photon production processes.
- **e(E):** A lepton (e or μ) veto with $p_T > 20$ GeV and $\eta < 3.0$ is applied.
- **f(F):** In the central region, defined above in c(C), we reconstructed the invariant mass of one b -tagged jet with all other jets, M_{bj} . We have chosen the best combination where the absolute difference $|M_{bj} - M_{h(H)}|$ is minimal within a 15 GeV mass window.
- **g(G):** We required the remaining leading jet in the event with $p_T > 25$ GeV, with $-5.5 < \eta < -0.5(-1.0)$ for $h(H)$ and called this the forward tagged jet (j_f).
- **h(H):** The invariant mass of the Higgs boson candidate jets plus the forward tagged jet, which is in fact the overall energy scale of the hard scattering, is such that $m_{hj_f}(m_{Hj_f}) > 190$ GeV.
- **i(I):** Finally we required only one light flavor jet in the central region. This selection is called the central jet veto and plays an important role in these processes.

For more detail see Ref. [6].

Tab 2. shows the expected number of events after different combinations of cuts for signal and backgrounds at the LHeC with 100 fb^{-1} integrated luminosity for $m_h=125$ GeV. RawEvt stands for the number of events with only generator level cuts imposed, for signal as well as for background: these are calculated from the total cross-section times BR. In the final column we mention

Proc	RawEvt	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	Σ
Ia2	29.9	21.1	8.3	5.4	4.6	4.4	1.8	1.5	1.3	0.8	0.06 (0.19)
Ia15	1166.3	814.3	320.2	207.9	173.0	166.6	67.3	56.6	44.2	27.7	2.12 (6.7)
Ia30	1911.1	1294.7	539.0	342.8	282.7	274.6	102.5	78.7	46.6	29.3	2.24 (7.1)
Ib2	30.0	21.0	8.1	5.4	4.5	4.3	1.8	1.5	1.3	0.8	0.06 (0.19)
Ib15	761.5	521.0	212.5	137.5	113.3	109.6	42.1	33.5	23.2	15.0	1.15 (3.6)
Ib30	1145.3	776.2	323.1	206.8	170.6	165.3	63.3	48.6	29.5	18.8	1.44 (4.55)
IIa15	40.6	28.6	11.1	7.3	6.1	5.9	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.1	0.08 (0.25)
IIa30	197.0	139.3	53.9	35.9	30.0	28.9	11.6	10.0	8.4	5.2	0.39 (1.23)
Y2	112.2	79.0	30.5	20.1	16.9	16.3	6.4	5.5	4.6	2.9	0.22 (0.69)
Y15	24.2	17.0	6.6	4.4	3.7	3.5	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.05 (0.15)
Y30	336.0	237.7	92.8	61.7	52.1	50.2	20.1	17.1	14.4	9.2	0.70 (2.2)
$v\bar{t}b$	50712.1	28338.4	15293.7	9845.0	8144.2	7532.7	2982.1	2058.0	652.2	139.6	$B=170.8$ $\sqrt{B}=13.1$
$v\bar{b}b_j$	14104.6	6122.8	3656.7	1858.5	1787.1	1650.1	257.5	152.5	82.5	15.1	
$v\bar{b}2_j$	18043.1	8389.2	3013.0	1691.5	1445.5	1373.7	389.5	206.1	77.2	11.3	
$v3_j$	948064.2	410393.4	15560.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
$e\bar{b}b_j$	256730.1	55099.8	36353.6	12659.8	1432.0	200.7	54.1	24.8	18.0	4.5	
$e\bar{t}i$	783.3	685.0	384.5	265.9	179.3	26.2	11.6	10.5	3.9	0.3	

Table 2: Expected number of events after different combinations of cuts for signal and backgrounds at the LHeC with 100 fb^{-1} integrated luminosity for $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$. The number in the final column represent the significance for 1000 fb^{-1} .

Proc	RawEvt	A	B	CD	E	F	G	H	I	Σ
Ia2	32.8	23.6	9.2	6.1	5.8	2.0	1.7	1.5	0.9	0.07(0.22)
Ib2	32.8	23.7	9.2	6.1	5.8	2.1	1.7	1.5	1.0	0.08(0.25)
Ib15	516.0	370.0	145.0	94.7	90.9	30.3	24.6	21.1	13.5	1.11(3.5)
Ib30	750.9	520.6	210.7	143.2	129.2	42.8	31.2	23.1	14.2	1.17(3.7)
IIa2	16.7	11.8	4.8	3.1	3.0	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.02(0.06)
Y15	22.0	15.4	6.1	3.9	3.7	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.04(0.12)
Y30	51.8	36.3	14.8	9.7	9.3	3.0	2.2	1.6	1.1	0.09(0.28)
$v\bar{t}b_j$	50712.1	28338.4	15293.7	9092.4	8393.6	2550.9	1565.58	617.9	113.7	$B=147.8$ $\sqrt{B}=12.2$
$v\bar{b}b_j$	14104.6	6122.8	3656.7	2062.1		199.3	112.4	70.8	12.4	
$v\bar{b}2_j$	18043.1	8389.2	3013.0	1734.0	1650.1	402.8	143.7	64.5	8.1	
$v3_j$	948064.2	410393.4	15560.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
$e\bar{b}b_j$	256730.1	55099.8	36353.6	1826.6	284.1	56.4	31.6	22.6	11.3	
$e\bar{t}i$	783.3	685.0	384.5	190.8	27.8	10.9	9.3	3.9	0.3	

Table 3: The same as Tab. 2, but for $m_H = 130 \text{ GeV}$.

Proc	RawEvt	A	B	CD	E	F	G	H	I	Σ
Ib15	139.6	108.2	41.7	31.6	29.9	7.0	5.9	5.3	3.7	0.48(1.5)
IIb30	317.6	234.5	91.5	68.6	65.2	14.7	11.7	10.5	7.4	0.95(3.0)
Y30	33.6	25.3	9.9	7.5	7.1	1.7	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.12(0.38)
$v\bar{t}b_j$	50712.1	28338.4	15293.7	9808.7	9039.0	751.7	476.8	194.5	32.3	$B=60.1$ $\sqrt{B}=7.7$
$v\bar{b}b_j$	14104.6	6122.8	3656.7	2300.1	2120.8	199.3	112.4	70.8	12.4	
$v\bar{b}2_j$	18043.1	8389.2	3013.0	2030.3	1933.1	234.2	83.7	41.0	6.3	
$v3_j$	948064.2	410393.4	15560.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
$e\bar{b}b_j$	256730.1	55099.8	36353.6	2270.8	385.6	36.1	24.8	20.3	9.0	
$e\bar{t}i$	783.3	685.0	384.5	199.0	29.1	3.5	3.0	1.2	0.1	

Table 4: The same as Tab. 2, but for $m_H = 150 \text{ GeV}$.

the significances (Σ) defined as $S = S/\sqrt{B}$, where $S(B)$ are signal(background) events for 100 fb^{-1} of data and the number between parenthesis represents significances for 1000 fb^{-1} . Tabs. 3 and 4 show the same, but now for the heaviest neutral Higgs H , taking $m_H = 130$ and 150 GeV , respectively. One can see that, for 100 fb^{-1} of data, h would be observed in the LHeC experiment in the following scenarios: Ia, Ib, with approximately $(1 - 2)\Sigma$. The heavier neutral Higgs boson, H , with a mass 150 GeV would have a 1Σ significance in scenario Ib. At the end of the LHeC operation, an accumulated total integrated luminosity of 1000 fb^{-1} is expected, which would allow for the detection of both Higgs bosons.

4. Conclusions

At the LHeC, having considered 100 fb^{-1} of data, we found that the SM-like Higgs boson, h , could be observed with approximately $(1 - 2)\Sigma$ significance. The heavier neutral Higgs boson, H , with a mass 150 GeV would have 1Σ significance. At the end of the LHeC lifetime, with 1000 fb^{-1} , the detection of both Higgs bosons (h, H) would be certain. All this would occur in the quark-flavor violating decay channels $h, H \rightarrow b\bar{s}$ (plus charge conjugate), which would then serve as a probe of new physics, which we have herein attributed to a 2HDM-III.

References

- [1] G. Aad et. al. [ATLAS Collaboration], "Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC", Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012).
- [2] S. Chatchyan et. al. [CMS Collaboration], "Observation of a new boson at mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC", Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30 (2012).
- [3] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], "Search for lepton-flavour-violating decays of the Higgs boson," arXiv:1502.07400 [hep-ex].
- [4] J. Hernandez-Sanchez, S. Moretti, R. Noriega-Papaqui and A. Rosado, JHEP **1307**, 044 (2013)
- [5] J. Hernandez-Sanchez, S. Moretti, R. Noriega-Papaqui, A. Rosado, "Update of the 2HDM-III with a for zero texture in the Yukawa matrices and phenomenology of the charged Higgs Boson", PoS Charged 2012, 029 (2012).
- [6] S.P. Das, J. Hernandez-Sanchez, A. Rosado, R. Xoxocotzi, "Flavor violating signatures of lighter and heavier Higgs bosons within Two Higgs Doublet Model type III at the LHeC", arXiv:1503.01464[hep-ph].
- [7] O. Felix-Beltran, F. Gonzalez-Canales, J. Hernandez-Sanchez, S. Moretti, R. Noriega-Papaqui, A. Rosado, "Analysis of the quark sector in the 2HDM with a four zero Yukawa texture using the most recent data on the CKM matrix" Phys. Lett. B **742**, 347 (2015).
- [8] A. Cordero-Cid et al. "Impact of a four zero Yukawa texture on $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and γZ in the framework of the Two Higgs Doublet Model Type III", JHEP **1407**, 057 (2014).
- [9] A. Belyaev, N. D. Christensen and A. Pukhov, "CalcHEP 3.4 for collider physics within and beyond the Standard Model," Comput. Phys. Commun. **184**, 1729 (2013).
- [10] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, "PYTHIA 6.4 Physics and Manual," JHEP **0605**, 026 (2006).