

Pseudo-scalar Higgs boson form factors at 3 loops in QCD

Taushif Ahmed

*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, IV Cross Road, CIT Campus,
Chennai 600 113, Tamil Nadu, India*

E-mail: taushif@imsc.res.in

Thomas Gehrmann

*Department of Physics, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190,
CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

E-mail: thomas.gehrmann@uzh.ch

Prakash Mathews

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700 064, India

E-mail: prakash.mathews@saha.ac.in

Narayan Rana*

*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, IV Cross Road, CIT Campus,
Chennai 600 113, Tamil Nadu, India*

E-mail: rana@imsc.res.in

V. Ravindran^{†*}

*The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, IV Cross Road, CIT Campus,
Chennai 600 113, Tamil Nadu, India*

E-mail: ravindra@imsc.res.in

In the limit of large top quark mass, using an effective Lagrangian that only admits light degrees of freedom we compute the three-loop QCD corrections to the quark and gluon form factors of a pseudo-scalar Higgs boson. Using the universal infrared factorisation properties, the three-loop operator mixing and finite operator renormalisation are re-derived thereby confirming the results in the operator product expansion. We find that our findings are consistent with the anomaly equation. We derive the hard matching coefficient in soft-collinear effective theory in order to perform the matching of the SCET-based resummation onto the full QCD calculation up to the three loop order.

Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory - LL 2016,

24 April - 29 April 2016

Leipzig, Germany

*Narayan Rana and V. Ravindran would like to thank the organisers for the local hospitality.

[†]Speaker.

1. Introduction

Form factors play an important role in the computation of higher order radiative corrections to scattering processes at the Large Hadron Colliders (LHC). They are nothing but matrix elements of local field operators between on-shell quark and gluon states. In the present work, we compute the three-loop QCD corrections to the quark and gluon form factors for pseudo-scalar operators. They appear in effective field theory descriptions of extensions of the Standard Model [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The recent discovery of a Standard-Model-like Higgs boson at the LHC [9, 10] prompted the community to study the properties of the discovered boson in order to identify either with lightest scalar or pseudo-scalar Higgs bosons of extended models. These corrections can be used to obtain the next-to-next-to-next-to leading order and leading log (N³LO and N³LL) gluon fusion cross sections [11, 12] for pseudo-scalar Higgs boson production, thereby reducing the theoretical uncertainties resulting from renormalisation and factorisation scales. We use the universal infra-red (IR) pole structure of the form factors to determine the ultraviolet (UV) renormalisation constants and mixing of the effective operators up to three loop level. The finite renormalisation constant, known up to the three loops [13], that preserves one loop nature of the chiral anomaly, is shown to be consistent with anomalous dimensions of the overall renormalisation constants. We also derive the hard matching coefficients for N³LL resummation in soft collinear effective theory (SCET).

2. Effective theory and Form Factors

The effective Lagrangian [14] describing the interaction between a pseudo-scalar Φ^A and QCD particles reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^A = \Phi^A(x) \left[-\frac{1}{8} C_G O_G(x) - \frac{1}{2} C_J O_J(x) \right] \quad (2.1)$$

where the operators are defined as

$$O_G(x) = G_a^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{a,\mu\nu} \equiv \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_a^{\rho\sigma}, \quad O_J(x) = \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi). \quad (2.2)$$

The constants C_G and C_J are Wilson Coefficients that depend on the mass of the top quark m_t . C_G does not receive any QCD corrections beyond one loop due to the Adler-Bardeen theorem [15], but C_J does through the strong coupling constant. Defining $a_s \equiv g_s^2/(16\pi^2) = \alpha_s/(4\pi)$, they are given by

$$C_G = -a_s 2^{\frac{5}{4}} G_F^{\frac{1}{2}} \cot\beta$$

$$C_J = - \left[a_s C_F \left(\frac{3}{2} - 3 \ln \frac{\mu_R^2}{m_t^2} \right) + a_s^2 C_J^{(2)} + \dots \right] C_G. \quad (2.3)$$

$G_a^{\mu\nu}$ and ψ represent gluonic field strength tensor and light quark fields, respectively and G_F is the Fermi constant and $\cot\beta$ is the mixing angle in the Two-Higgs-Doublet model. a_s is renormalised at the scale μ_R .

The computation of higher order terms in dimensional regularisation when γ_5 is present involves a proper definition in $d \neq 4$. We have followed the most practical and self-consistent definition of γ_5 which was introduced by 't Hooft and Veltman through [16]

$$\gamma_5 = i \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu_4} \gamma^{\nu_1} \gamma^{\nu_2} \gamma^{\nu_3} \gamma^{\nu_4}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here, $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor where the indices span over d dimensions.

The calculation of the unrenormalized pseudo-scalar form factors up to three loops are done using the method that was followed to compute three-loop scalar and vector form factors [17, 18]. The transition matrix elements are generated using QGRAF [19]. The resulting large number of scalar integrals are expressible in terms of a much smaller set of scalar integrals, called master integrals (MIs) using integration-by-parts (IBP) [20, 21] and Lorentz invariance (LI) [22] identities. While the LI identities are not linearly independent from the IBP identities [23], they do however help to get the solution efficiently. Using the Laporta algorithm, [24], a reduction to MIs [25, 26, 27, 28, 29] is accomplished with the help of the packages LiteRed [30, 31]. We use Reduze2 [32, 33] for momentum shifts.

The UV renormalisation for the form factors requires the renormalisation of the coupling constant and of the operators. The formalism used for the γ_5 matrix fails to preserve the anti-commutativity of γ_5 with γ^μ in d dimensions violating Ward identities. To rectify this, one needs to introduce a finite renormalisation constant Z_5^S [13, 34] in addition to other constants Z_{ij} , $i, j = G, J$, i.e., $[O_i]_R = Z_{ij}[O_j]_B$ with $Z_{JG} = 0, Z_{JJ} \equiv Z_5^S Z_{MS}^S$. These constants are already available to the required accuracy [13, 35] to obtain UV finite form factors of the pseudo-scalar operators to three loop level in QCD. In this article, we have recalculated them from our on-shell amplitudes computed up to the three loop level using the structure of infra-red poles. The infrared divergences in QCD amplitudes exhibit an universal behaviour. The very first successful proposal was by Catani [36] (see also [37]) at one and two loop level in QCD. The structure of the single pole in quark and gluon form factors in terms of soft and collinear anomalous dimensions was first observed in [38] up to two loop level and at three loop, it was established in the article [39]. The generalisation to Catani's proposal beyond two loops was achieved by Becher and Neubert [40] and by Gardi and Magnea [41]. We have calculated all the required renormalisation constants Z_{ij} from the consistency conditions on the universal structure of the infrared poles of the UV renormalised form factors. In addition, we have used the anomaly equation to determine Z_5^S . We find that they are in agreement with those derived using a completely different approach. Using these constants, we obtain the UV-finite form factors. They are presented in [42].

The renormalisation group invariance of the anomaly equation [13], i.e.,

$$[O_J]_R = a_s \frac{n_f}{2} [O_G]_R. \quad (2.5)$$

gives

$$\gamma_{JJ} = \frac{\beta}{a_s} + \gamma_{GG} + a_s \frac{n_f}{2} \gamma_{GJ}. \quad (2.6)$$

where the anomalous dimensions γ_{ij} are defined as

$$\mu_R^2 \frac{d}{d\mu_R^2} Z_{ij} \equiv \gamma_{ik} Z_{kj} \quad i, j, k = G, J. \quad (2.7)$$

We find that our results on γ_{GG} and γ_{GJ} are in agreement to $\mathcal{O}(a_s^2)$ with [13] and to $\mathcal{O}(a_s^3)$ with [35]. It was observed through explicit computation in the article [13] that $\gamma_{GG} = -\beta/a_s$ holds true up to two loop level. The results from [35] based on the operator product expansion show that it is also valid at three loops. Here, through explicit calculation, we arrive at the same conclusion. The

anomalous dimension thus obtained up to the three loop level are not only in complete agreement with the earlier results but also consistent with the above anomaly equation, i.e., in the limit of $d \rightarrow 4$. This serves as one of the most crucial checks on our computation.

The relation between the QCD form factors and those of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) theory was found in [43, 44, 45], called the leading transcendentality principle which relates anomalous dimensions of the twist two operators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM to the leading transcendental (LT) terms of such operators computed in QCD. We find that the LT terms of the diagonal form factors are identical to those of quark and gluon form factors when $C_A = C_F = N$ and $T_f n_f = N/2$ and that LT terms of non-diagonal form factors are identical to each other after replacement of the color factors up to an overall factor 2^l , where l is the number of loops.

The UV renormalised form factors in QCD contain infrared (IR) divergences. Since the IR poles in QCD become UV ones in Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET) ([46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52]), a suitable renormalisation constant can be used to absorb all residual IR poles to obtain finite results. The resulting finite part is the matching coefficient required to perform N³LL resummation in SCET for the pseudo-scalar Higgs boson production at the LHC [12].

3. Conclusions

To summarise, we have systematically computed the three loop QCD corrections to pseudo-scalar operators in the effective theory where top quark is integrated out. We have used IBP and LI identities along with the three loop master integrals that are available to achieve this. Using the universal structure of the IR poles, we could successfully rederive all the UV renormalisation constants which are later used to obtain the UV finite three loop form factors in QCD. We have also studied the leading transcendental behaviour of diagonal and non-diagonal form factors. Using these form factors, we have determined the matching coefficient in SCET up to the three loop level.

References

- [1] P. Fayet, *Supergauge Invariant Extension of the Higgs Mechanism and a Model for the electron and Its Neutrino*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B90** (1975) 104–124.
- [2] P. Fayet, *Supersymmetry and Weak, Electromagnetic and Strong Interactions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B64** (1976) 159.
- [3] P. Fayet, *Spontaneously Broken Supersymmetric Theories of Weak, Electromagnetic and Strong Interactions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B69** (1977) 489.
- [4] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, *Softly Broken Supersymmetry and SU(5)*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B193** (1981) 150.
- [5] N. Sakai, *Naturalness in Supersymmetric GUTS*, *Z. Phys.* **C11** (1981) 153.
- [6] K. Inoue, A. Kakuto, H. Komatsu, and S. Takeshita, *Aspects of Grand Unified Models with Softly Broken Supersymmetry*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **68** (1982) 927. [Erratum: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 70,330(1983)].
- [7] K. Inoue, A. Kakuto, H. Komatsu, and S. Takeshita, *Renormalization of Supersymmetry Breaking Parameters Revisited*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **71** (1984) 413.
- [8] K. Inoue, A. Kakuto, H. Komatsu, and S. Takeshita, *Low-Energy Parameters and Particle Masses in a Supersymmetric Grand Unified Model*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **67** (1982) 1889.

- [9] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad *et al.*, *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett.* **B716** (2012) 1–29, [arXiv:1207.7214 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [10] **CMS** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett.* **B716** (2012) 30–61, [arXiv:1207.7235 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [11] T. Ahmed, M. C. Kumar, P. Mathews, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran, *Pseudo-scalar Higgs Boson Production at Threshold N^3LO and N^3LL QCD*, [arXiv:1510.02235 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] T. Ahmed, M. Bonvini, M. C. Kumar, P. Mathews, N. Rana, V. Ravindran, and L. Rottoli, *Pseudo-scalar Higgs boson production at $N^3LO_A+N^3LL'$* , [arXiv:1606.00837 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] S. A. Larin, *The Renormalization of the axial anomaly in dimensional regularization*, *Phys. Lett.* **B303** (1993) 113–118, [arXiv:hep-ph/9302240 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [14] K. G. Chetyrkin, B. A. Kniehl, M. Steinhauser, and W. A. Bardeen, *Effective QCD interactions of CP odd Higgs bosons at three loops*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B535** (1998) 3–18, [arXiv:hep-ph/9807241 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] S. L. Adler, *Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **177** (1969) 2426–2438.
- [16] G. 't Hooft and M. J. G. Veltman, *Regularization and Renormalization of Gauge Fields*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B44** (1972) 189–213.
- [17] T. Gehrmann, E. W. N. Glover, T. Huber, N. Iqizlerli, and C. Studerus, *Calculation of the quark and gluon form factors to three loops in QCD*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 094, [arXiv:1004.3653 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] T. Gehrmann and D. Kara, *The $Hb\bar{b}$ form factor to three loops in QCD*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 174, [arXiv:1407.8114 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [19] P. Nogueira, *Automatic Feynman graph generation*, *J.Comput.Phys.* **105** (1993) 279–289.
- [20] F. Tkachov, *A Theorem on Analytical Calculability of Four Loop Renormalization Group Functions*, *Phys.Lett.* **B100** (1981) 65–68.
- [21] K. Chetyrkin and F. Tkachov, *Integration by Parts: The Algorithm to Calculate beta Functions in 4 Loops*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B192** (1981) 159–204.
- [22] T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, *Differential equations for two loop four point functions*, *Nucl.Phys.* **B580** (2000) 485–518, [arXiv:hep-ph/9912329 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [23] R. N. Lee, *Group structure of the integration-by-part identities and its application to the reduction of multiloop integrals*, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 031, [arXiv:0804.3008 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] S. Laporta, *High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations*, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A15** (2000) 5087–5159, [arXiv:hep-ph/0102033 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [25] T. Gehrmann, T. Huber, and D. Maitre, *Two-loop quark and gluon form-factors in dimensional regularisation*, *Phys. Lett.* **B622** (2005) 295–302, [arXiv:hep-ph/0507061 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] T. Gehrmann, G. Heinrich, T. Huber, and C. Studerus, *Master integrals for massless three-loop form-factors: One-loop and two-loop insertions*, *Phys. Lett.* **B640** (2006) 252–259, [arXiv:hep-ph/0607185 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] G. Heinrich, T. Huber, and D. Maitre, *Master integrals for fermionic contributions to massless three-loop form-factors*, *Phys. Lett.* **B662** (2008) 344–352, [arXiv:0711.3590 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [28] G. Heinrich, T. Huber, D. A. Kosower, and V. A. Smirnov, *Nine-Propagator Master Integrals for Massless Three-Loop Form Factors*, *Phys. Lett.* **B678** (2009) 359–366, [arXiv:0902.3512 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [29] R. N. Lee, A. V. Smirnov, and V. A. Smirnov, *Analytic Results for Massless Three-Loop Form Factors*, *JHEP* **04** (2010) 020, [arXiv:1001.2887 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] R. N. Lee, *LiteRed 1.4: a powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals*, *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.* **523** (2014) 012059, [arXiv:1310.1145 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [31] R. Lee, *Presenting LiteRed: a tool for the Loop INTEgrals REDuction*, [arXiv:1212.2685 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [32] A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, *Reduze 2 - Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction*, [arXiv:1201.4330 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [33] C. Studerus, *Reduze-Feynman Integral Reduction in C++*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 1293–1300, [arXiv:0912.2546 \[physics.comp-ph\]](#).
- [34] D. A. Akyeampong and R. Delbourgo, *Dimensional regularization, abnormal amplitudes and anomalies*, *Nuovo Cim.* **A17** (1973) 578–586.
- [35] M. F. Zoller, *OPE of the pseudoscalar gluonium correlator in massless QCD to three-loop order*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 040, [arXiv:1304.2232 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [36] S. Catani, *The Singular behavior of QCD amplitudes at two loop order*, *Phys. Lett.* **B427** (1998) 161–171, [arXiv:hep-ph/9802439 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [37] G. F. Sterman and M. E. Tejeda-Yeomans, *Multiloop amplitudes and resummation*, *Phys. Lett.* **B552** (2003) 48–56, [arXiv:hep-ph/0210130 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [38] V. Ravindran, J. Smith, and W. L. van Neerven, *Two-loop corrections to Higgs boson production*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B704** (2005) 332–348, [arXiv:hep-ph/0408315 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [39] S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren, and A. Vogt, *Three-loop results for quark and gluon form-factors*, *Phys. Lett.* **B625** (2005) 245–252, [arXiv:hep-ph/0508055 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] T. Becher and M. Neubert, *Infrared singularities of scattering amplitudes in perturbative QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 162001, [arXiv:0901.0722 \[hep-ph\]](#). [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 111, no.19, 199905(2013)].
- [41] E. Gardi and L. Magnea, *Factorization constraints for soft anomalous dimensions in QCD scattering amplitudes*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 079, [arXiv:0901.1091 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [42] T. Ahmed, M. C. Kumar, P. Mathews, N. Rana, and V. Ravindran, *Pseudo-scalar Higgs Boson Production at Threshold N^3LO and N^3LL QCD*, [arXiv:1510.02235 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [43] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, *On the highest transcendentality in $N=4$ SUSY*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B769** (2007) 217–255, [arXiv:hep-th/0611204 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [44] A. V. Kotikov, L. N. Lipatov, A. I. Onishchenko, and V. N. Velizhanin, *Three loop universal anomalous dimension of the Wilson operators in $N=4$ SUSY Yang-Mills model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B595** (2004) 521–529, [arXiv:hep-th/0404092 \[hep-th\]](#). [Erratum: *Phys. Lett.* B632,754(2006)].
- [45] A. V. Kotikov and L. N. Lipatov, *DGLAP and BFKL evolution equations in the $N=4$ supersymmetric gauge theory*, in *35th Annual Winter School on Nuclear and Particle Physics Repino, Russia, February 19-25, 2001*. 2001. [arXiv:hep-ph/0112346 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [46] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, and M. E. Luke, *Summing Sudakov logarithms in $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$ in effective field theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2000) 014006, [arXiv:hep-ph/0005275](#) [hep-ph].
- [47] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, *An Effective field theory for collinear and soft gluons: Heavy to light decays*, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 114020, [arXiv:hep-ph/0011336](#) [hep-ph].
- [48] C. W. Bauer and I. W. Stewart, *Invariant operators in collinear effective theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B516** (2001) 134–142, [arXiv:hep-ph/0107001](#) [hep-ph].
- [49] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, *Soft collinear factorization in effective field theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 054022, [arXiv:hep-ph/0109045](#) [hep-ph].
- [50] M. Beneke, A. P. Chapovsky, M. Diehl, and T. Feldmann, *Soft collinear effective theory and heavy to light currents beyond leading power*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B643** (2002) 431–476, [arXiv:hep-ph/0206152](#) [hep-ph].
- [51] M. Beneke and T. Feldmann, *Multipole expanded soft collinear effective theory with nonAbelian gauge symmetry*, *Phys. Lett.* **B553** (2003) 267–276, [arXiv:hep-ph/0211358](#) [hep-ph].
- [52] C. W. Bauer, S. Fleming, D. Pirjol, I. Z. Rothstein, and I. W. Stewart, *Hard scattering factorization from effective field theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D66** (2002) 014017, [arXiv:hep-ph/0202088](#) [hep-ph].