



Neutrino Lines from Majoron Dark Matter

Julian Heeck*

Service de Physique Théorique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, CP225, 1050 Brussels, Belgium E-mail: julian.heeck@ulb.ac.be

Majorons are the Goldstone bosons associated to lepton number and thus closely connected to Majorana neutrino masses. At tree level, its only fermion couplings are to neutrinos. Couplings to charged fermions arise at one-loop level, including lepton-flavor-violating ones that lead to decays $\ell \rightarrow \ell' J$, whereas a coupling to photons is generated at two loops. The typically small couplings make massive majorons a prime candidate for long-lived dark matter. Its signature decay into two mono-energetic neutrinos is potentially detectable for majoron masses above MeV and most importantly depends on different parameters than the visible decay channels.

The 19th International Workshop on Neutrinos from Accelerators-NUFACT2017 25-30 September, 2017 Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

*Speaker.

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Majoron couplings

We start our discussion with a basic introduction to the majoron model, based on Refs. [1, 2], before delving into the phenomenology of majorons as dark matter (Sec. 2) and the connection to lepton flavor violation (Sec. 3)

The difference between baryon number *B* and lepton number *L* is an anomaly-free global symmetry of the Standard Model (SM); spontaneously breaking this $U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry results in a Goldstone boson called majoron [3, 4]. In the simplest realization, this majoron *J* resides in a singlet complex scalar $\sigma = (f + \sigma^0 + iJ)/\sqrt{2}$ that carries B - L charge 2, *f* being the B - L breaking scale and σ^0 the heavy CP-even majoron partner. Further introducing three right-handed neutrinos N_R , the Lagrangian reads

$$\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{L}_{\rm SM} + i\overline{N}_R \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} N_R + (\partial_{\mu} \sigma)^{\dagger} (\partial^{\mu} \sigma) - V(\sigma) - \left(\overline{L} y N_R H + \frac{1}{2} \overline{N}_R^c \lambda N_R \sigma + \text{h.c.}\right), \qquad (1.1)$$

with the SM lepton (scalar) doublet L(H). We suppressed flavor indices and details of the scalar potential $V(\sigma)$. $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{B-L}$ symmetry breaking then yields the famous seesaw neutrino mass matrix $M_V \simeq -m_D M_R^{-1} m_D^T$ with $m_D = yv/\sqrt{2}$ and $M_R = \lambda f/\sqrt{2} \gg m_D$.

Five of the nine parameters encoded in M_v have been measured already: the two mass splittings and three mixing angles. However, even if we could measure all elements of M_v , we would still not be able to reconstruct the underlying seesaw parameters m_D and M_R . As shown in Ref. [5], one can map the parameters $\{m_D, M_R\}$ bijectively onto $\{M_v, m_D m_D^{\dagger}\}$, implying that $m_D m_D^{\dagger}$ contains precisely those nine seesaw parameters that cannot be determined by measurements of neutrino masses and oscillations. As we will see below, this is a convenient parametrization for the phenomenology of majorons, which endow $m_D m_D^{\dagger}$ with physical meaning.

The tree-level couplings of the majoron J can easily be derived from Eq. (1.1), which in particular include the couplings $J\overline{v}_j i\gamma_5 v_j m_j/(2f)$ to the light neutrino mass eigenstates v_j . With f at the seesaw scale and active neutrino masses m_j below eV, this coupling is incredibly tiny. At one-loop level [3, 6, 1], the majoron also obtains couplings to charged leptons ℓ and quarks q, parametrized as $iJ\bar{f}_1(g_{Jf_1f_2}^S + g_{Jf_1f_2}^P\gamma_5)f_2$ with coefficients

$$g_{Jqq'}^P \simeq \frac{m_q}{8\pi^2 v} \delta_{qq'} T_3^q \operatorname{tr} K, \qquad \qquad g_{Jqq'}^S = 0, \qquad (1.2)$$

$$g_{J\ell\ell'}^{P} \simeq \frac{m_{\ell} + m_{\ell'}}{16\pi^2 v} \left(\delta_{\ell\ell'} T_3^{\ell} \operatorname{tr} K + K_{\ell\ell'} \right), \qquad \qquad g_{J\ell\ell'}^{S} \simeq \frac{m_{\ell'} - m_{\ell}}{16\pi^2 v} K_{\ell\ell'}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where $T_3^{d,\ell} = -T_3^u = -1/2$ and we introduced the dimensionless hermitian coupling matrix $K \equiv m_D m_D^{\dagger}/(vf)$. The majoron couplings to charged fermions are hence determined by the seesaw parameters $m_D m_D^{\dagger}$, which are *independent* of the neutrino masses and can in particular be much bigger than the naive one-generation expectation $M_v M_R$. Perturbativity sets an upper bound on K of order $4\pi v/f$, and since K is furthermore positive definite we have the inequalities $|K_{\ell\ell'}| \leq \sqrt{K_{\ell\ell}K_{\ell'\ell'}} \leq \text{tr}K$. These fermion couplings are obviously crucial for majoron phenomenology and in principle even offer a new avenue to reconstruct the seesaw parameters. Note in particular the off-diagonal lepton couplings, which will lead to lepton flavor violation [6, 1] (Sec. 3).

There is one more coupling of interest, that to photons. For a massless majoron, the coupling $JF\tilde{F}$ vanishes because B - L is anomaly free [6]; otherwise, it is induced at two-loop level and



Figure 1: Majoron decay into two photons as mediated by loops of neutrinos n_j and charged SM fermions f, effectively mixing J with the longitudinal component of Z [1].

non-trivial to calculate. Considering only the gauge-invariant subset of diagrams shown in Fig. 1, we can however obtain the simple expression [1]

$$\Gamma(J \to \gamma \gamma) \simeq \frac{\alpha^2 \left(\text{tr}K \right)^2}{4096\pi^7} \frac{m_J^3}{v^2} \left| \sum_f N_c^f T_3^f Q_f^2 g\left(\frac{m_J^2}{4m_f^2} \right) \right|^2, \tag{1.4}$$

where the sum is over all SM fermions f with color multiplicity N_c^f , isospin T_3^f , and electric charge Q_f . The loop function g is given by

$$g(x) = -\frac{(\log[1 - 2x + 2\sqrt{x(x-1)}])^2}{4x} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x}(\arcsin\sqrt{x})^2 & \text{for } x \le 1, \\ -\frac{1}{4x}\left(\log\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{x}}}{1-\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{x}}}\right] - i\pi\right)^2 & \text{for } x > 1. \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

The other two-loop diagrams are more complicated to calculate, but importantly depend on different parameters (and in particular not on quark masses) and thus cannot fully cancel the amplitude. Eq. (1.4) is therefore a reasonable guess for the decay rate.

2. Majoron dark matter

With the relevant majoron couplings at our disposal, we can start to discuss phenomenology. First off, we are going to study the case of the majoron as a dark matter (DM) candidate. This is motivated by the fact that it generically has tiny couplings to the SM, ensuring that it is dark and stable enough to form DM [7, 8]. A prerequisite here is an explicit $U(1)_{B-L}$ breaking in the Lagrangian to generate a majoron mass m_J , making J a *pseudo*-Goldstone boson. This could simply be an explicit mass term in the scalar potential, a gravity-generated higher-dimensional operator or an axion-like anomaly-induced potential. Furthermore, a production mechanism is required to generate the observed abundance in the early Universe. With small couplings, the obvious mechanism to use here is *freeze-in*, e.g. from the coupling to the Higgs or the right-handed neutrinos [9]. For majoron masses as low as keV one has to be careful not to violate structure-formation constraints from the Lyman- α forest. In these cases, different production mechanisms are required that make J cold enough, which can naturally be found in inverse-seesaw majoron models [10, 11]. Here we will focus on DM masses above MeV for simplicity.

Assuming a massive singlet majoron to make up all of DM, the main signature then comes from its eventual decay into SM particles. As discussed above, the only decay channel at tree level is into neutrino mass eigenstates, $J \rightarrow v_i v_j$, with coupling m_j/f . These neutrinos will not



Figure 2: Branching ratios of J decay into the different neutrino flavors v_{α} .

oscillate, so the flavor content of the monochromatic neutrino flux follows simply from the mass eigenstates [1]. For normal hierarchy, this implies only a small v_e component of the flux, because the heaviest neutrino only has a tiny θ_{13} -suppressed electron component; for inverted hierarchy, the majoron decays into the two heaviest neutrinos, which results in roughly 50% electron flavor in the flux; in the quasi-degenerate regime, all flavors are equally probable. See Fig. 2 for an illustration.

Knowing the flavor composition of $J \rightarrow vv$ allows us to search for these neutrino lines with neutrino detectors. Borexino and KamLAND use inverse beta decay $\overline{v}_e p \rightarrow ne^+$ to reconstruct the neutrino energy with good accuracy. Due to the kinematic threshold of this process it is not possible to detect neutrino lines below $m_J \sim MeV$. Above MeV, on the other hand, these experiments could indeed be sensitive to a dark-matter induced neutrino flux [1] (see Fig. 3). For higher masses, Super-K becomes most sensitive and can also utilize the v_{μ} component of the flux [12]. For sub-MeV masses, limits on $J \rightarrow vv$ can still be derived from cosmology [13], but are of course less of a smoking-gun signature for majoron DM.

Majoron DM can thus be used to motivate neutrino line searches all the way down to MeV energies, far below what is typically considered. A natural question to ask here is whether observable neutrino fluxes are compatible with limits from visible DM decay channels, which are far more constrained. As shown above, the decays $J \rightarrow \ell \bar{\ell}', q\bar{q}, \gamma\gamma$ are indeed all unavoidably induced at loop level in the singlet majoron model. However, they all depend on parameters that are independent of the $J \rightarrow \nu\nu$ channel, making it impossible to directly compare these channels. In other words, the DM decay into visible channels probes different parameters than $J \rightarrow \nu\nu$, making them *complementary*. In the $m_J = \text{MeV}-100 \text{ GeV}$ region, one can indeed obtain strong constraints on the K matrix elements from the visible channels, without invalidating our conclusion about neutrino lines [1]. For sub-MeV majoron masses, only the decay $J \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ remains as a promising indirect detection signature [8, 14].

3. Lepton flavor violation

Going back to the majoron couplings to fermions of Eq. (1.3) shows that the quark couplings are diagonal at one-loop level, whereas the lepton couplings are not. Due to the rather strong lepton mass hierarchy, $m_{\ell} \gg m_{\ell'}$, the off-diagonal couplings can be approximately writ-



Figure 3: Lower limit on the B - L breaking scale f from DM decay $J \rightarrow vv$, assuming a quasi-degenerate (solid) or normal-hierarchy neutrino spectrum (dashed) [1].

ten as $-\frac{im_{\ell}}{8\pi^2 v} K_{\ell\ell'} J \bar{\ell} P_L \ell' + h.c.$, which can induce the lepton-flavor-violating two-body decays $\ell \to \ell' J$ [6, 1]. If the majoron is massless or decays invisibly, the only signature of this decay is the mono-energetic ℓ' , which has to be searched for on top of the continuous energy spectrum from the SM decay channel $\ell \to \ell' v_{\ell} \overline{v}_{\ell'}$. Current limits translate into $|K_{\mu e}| \leq 10^{-5}$, $|K_{\tau \ell}| \leq \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$, with good prospects for improvement at Mu3e and Belle [15, 16]. Channels with more tagging potential, such as $\ell \to \ell' J \gamma$ or $\ell \to \ell' (J \to \text{visible})$, are also promising and can severely improve sensitivity [17]. We stress that lepton flavor violation with majorons depends on a different combination of seesaw parameters than the more commonly studied heavy-neutrino induced $\ell \to \ell' \gamma$. These channels are therefore complementary and should both be investigated.

4. Conclusion

The singlet majoron model inherits some nice properties from the seesaw Lagrangian, namely small Majorana neutrino masses and leptogenesis, while providing a new phenomenological handle. The loop-induced majoron couplings to charged particles are precisely given by the seesaw parameters that are impossible to determine from the neutrino mass matrix, which could in principle allow us to reconstruct the seesaw with low-energy measurements. Since the couplings can be tiny without fine-tuning, a massive majoron makes for a promising unstable dark matter candidate, with signature decay into mono-energetic neutrinos, potentially detectable for energies above MeV. With few new parameters, which are furthermore linked to the seesaw mechanism, majoron models are simple extensions of the Standard Model that still provide rich phenomenology.

Acknowledgments

I thank the NUFACT organizers for inviting me to this interesting and stimulating conference, as well as Camilo Garcia-Cely for collaboration on the work presented here. This work was supported by the F.R.S.-FNRS.

References

- C. Garcia-Cely and J. Heeck, Neutrino Lines from Majoron Dark Matter, JHEP 05 (2017) 102, [1701.07209].
- [2] J. Heeck, Phenomenology of Majorons, in 13th Patras Workshop on Axions, WIMPs and WISPs, Thessaloniki, Greece, May 15-19, 2017, 1709.07670.
- [3] Y. Chikashige, R. N. Mohapatra and R. D. Peccei, Are There Real Goldstone Bosons Associated with Broken Lepton Number?, Phys. Lett. B98 (1981) 265–268.
- [4] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, Neutrino Decay and Spontaneous Violation of Lepton Number, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 774.
- [5] S. Davidson and A. Ibarra, *Determining seesaw parameters from weak scale measurements?*, *JHEP* 09 (2001) 013, [hep-ph/0104076].
- [6] A. Pilaftsis, Astrophysical and terrestrial constraints on singlet Majoron models, Phys. Rev. D49 (1994) 2398–2404, [hep-ph/9308258].
- [7] I. Z. Rothstein, K. S. Babu and D. Seckel, *Planck scale symmetry breaking and majoron physics*, *Nucl. Phys.* B403 (1993) 725–748, [hep-ph/9301213].
- [8] V. Berezinsky and J. W. F. Valle, *The KeV majoron as a dark matter particle*, *Phys. Lett.* B318 (1993) 360–366, [hep-ph/9309214].
- [9] M. Frigerio, T. Hambye and E. Masso, *Sub-GeV dark matter as pseudo-Goldstone from the seesaw scale*, *Phys. Rev.* **X1** (2011) 021026, [1107.4564].
- [10] J. Heeck and D. Teresi, Cold keV dark matter from decays and scatterings, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 035018, [1706.09909].
- [11] S. Boulebnane, J. Heeck, A. Nguyen and D. Teresi, Cold light dark matter in extended seesaw models, 1709.07283.
- S. Palomares-Ruiz, Model-Independent Bound on the Dark Matter Lifetime, Phys. Lett. B665 (2008) 50–53, [0712.1937].
- [13] V. Poulin, P. D. Serpico and J. Lesgourgues, A fresh look at linear cosmological constraints on a decaying dark matter component, JCAP 1608 (2016) 036, [1606.02073].
- [14] F. Bazzocchi, M. Lattanzi, S. Riemer-Sørensen and J. W. F. Valle, X-ray photons from late-decaying majoron dark matter, JCAP 0808 (2008) 013, [0805.2372].
- [15] J. Heeck, Lepton flavor violation with light vector bosons, Phys. Lett. B758 (2016) 101–105, [1602.03810].
- [16] BELLE collaboration, T. Yoshinobu and K. Hayasaka, MC study for the lepton flavor violating tau decay into a lepton and an undetectable particle, Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. 287-288 (2017) 218–220.
- [17] J. Heeck and W. Rodejohann, Lepton Flavor Violation with Displaced Vertices, 1710.02062.