

# Probing Dark Matter Long-lived Mediators with Solar $\gamma$ rays

Michele Lucente<sup>\*</sup>,<sup>*a*†</sup> Chiara Arina,<sup>*a*</sup> Mihailo Backović,<sup>*a*</sup> and Jan Heisig<sup>*b*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology (CP3) Université catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium <sup>b</sup>Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

*E-mail:* michele.lucente@uclouvain.be, chiara.arina@uclouvain.be, mihailo.backovic@uclouvain.be, heisig@physik.rwth-aachen.de

We show that solar  $\gamma$ -ray observations can provide a complementary probe of Dark Matter in scenarios where the interactions with the Standard Model proceed via long-lived mediators. For illustration we consider a simplified model which provides solar  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes observable with the next generation  $\gamma$ -ray telescopes, while complying with the existing experimental constraints. Our results suggest that solar  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes can be orders of magnitude larger than the ones from the Galactic center, while being subject to low backgrounds.

The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics 5-12 July Venice, Italy

\*Speaker.

<sup>†</sup>Supported by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS under Grant No. IISN 4.4512.10.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

# 1. Introduction

The majority of indirect Dark Matter (DM) searches with  $\gamma$ -ray telescopes target the Galactic center (GC) or dwarf spheroidal galaxies, exploiting the high DM density or the large mass-to-light ratio, respectively. However, other celestial targets can provide complementary or competitive information. The Sun, in particular, can serve as a nearby reservoir of DM [1]: provided a non-vanishing DM-quark interaction is present, scattering off solar matter results in DM energy losses, leading to gravitational capture and DM accumulation in the center of the Sun, where it can annihilate. At higher orders in perturbation theory the same interaction will naturally couple DM to photons, enabling the production of  $\gamma$  rays from DM annihilation. If the DM annihilation proceeds via intermediate states (mediators) long-lived enough so that they can escape from the Sun surface, it is possible to observe the resulting  $\gamma$  rays on Earth.

There are several reasons why the study of high-energy solar  $\gamma$  rays can provide a powerful tool to probe DM models characterised by long-lived mediators [2]: the Sun is a poor source of photons above the GeV energy scale, and uncertainties due to the DM density distribution are largely reduced with respect to GC observations. In addition, due to the relative proximity to Earth, solar  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes are potentially much larger than those originating from dwarfs or from the GC.

# 2. Simplified model with Yukawa-like mediator-quark couplings

In order to illustrate how solar  $\gamma$  rays can be a competitive probe for DM models featuring long-lived mediators, we consider a simplified model with a fermionic DM candidate X and a mixed scalar-pseudoscalar mediator Y, that couples to the Standard Model quarks  $q_j$  via Yukawalike couplings. The new interactions are described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L} = g_q y_{q_i} \bar{q_i} [\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha \gamma_5] q_i Y + g_X \bar{X} [\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha \gamma_5] X Y, \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $g_q$  and  $g_X$  are coupling constants,  $\alpha$  is the scalar-pseudoscalar mixing angle and  $y_{q_j} \equiv \sqrt{2}m_{q_j}/v_h$  is the quark Yukawa coupling, with  $v_h = 246$  GeV and  $m_{q_j}$  the quark mass. In this model, for  $m_Y < m_X$  two DM particles X can annihilate into a pair of mediators Y, each of them subsequently decays into a pair of photons via loop-induced diagrams. If  $m_Y < 2m_{\pi}$ , with  $m_Y$  and  $m_{\pi}$  the mediator and pion masses, respectively, only the decay channel into a pair of photons is open, since gluons would not be kinematically allowed to hadronise. This results in a box-shaped photon energy spectrum [3], *i.e.*  $dN_Y/dE_\gamma \propto \Theta(m_X - E_\gamma)/m_X$  for  $m_Y \ll m_X$ .

# 3. Parameter space and constraints

For a mediator produced in the center of the Sun, the condition to escape the solar surface reads  $\tau_Y(m_X/m_Y) \gtrsim R_{\odot}$ , where  $\tau_Y$  is the mediator lifetime,  $m_X/m_Y$  its boost in the lab frame and  $R_{\odot}$  the solar radius. Thus a relatively long mediator lifetime and/or a large hierarchy of masses between DM and mediator are necessary conditions in order to observe a  $\gamma$ -ray flux on Earth. On the other hand, the decay length should not significantly exceed the Sun distance  $D_{\odot}$ . We define  $\mathscr{F}_{det}$  as the fraction of mediators decaying between the Sun surface and the Earth orbit: the parameter space featuring  $\mathscr{F}_{det} > 50\%$  is shown in Fig. 1 (left) spanning a wide range in  $g_q$ .



**Figure 1:** *Left*: Region of parameter space featuring at least 50% of mediators decaying between the Sun surface and the Earth orbit, for two different values of  $m_X$  and  $\cos \alpha$ . *Right*: Regions where the mediator lifetime exceeds 1s (blue) and excluded by the CHARM experiment [4] (green).

The dominant DM annihilation channels in the early Universe are the *t*-channel process  $X\bar{X} \rightarrow YY$  and, if  $m_X > m_t$ , the *s*-channel process  $X\bar{X} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ . Since the escape of the mediator from the Sun requires small  $g_q$ , the annihilation channel  $X\bar{X} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  is typically subdominant at freeze-out, leaving  $X\bar{X} \rightarrow YY$  as the dominant process to fix the DM relic density. This leads to the requirement  $g_X \approx 0.8 \sqrt{m_X/100 \text{ GeV}} + \mathcal{O}(\cos^2 \alpha)$  [2].

Further constraints on the model arise from beam dump experiments and Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN). The right panel of Fig. 1 shows the allowed parameter space in the  $m_Y$ - $g_q$  plane, cornered from above by limits from CHARM [2, 4] and from below by BBN constraints, *i.e.* the conservative requirement  $\tau_Y < 1$  s. Notice that there is a significant overlap between the experimentally allowed parameter space, shown in the right panel of Fig. 1, and the one that provides a sizeable fraction of mediator decays between the Sun and Earth, shown in the left panel.

Direct detection experiments impose constraints on the DM-nucleon scattering cross section. The dominant contribution is the spin-independent part,  $\sigma_{Xn}^{SI} \propto g_q^2 g_Y^2 \cos^4 \alpha$  [2], which is not velocity suppressed. In order to pass current direct detection constraints [5] very small values of  $\cos \alpha$  (*i.e.* a dominant pseudoscalar component for the mediator) are required.

For our study we define a set of 10 benchmark model points. Their parameters are summarised in Table 1. They are chosen such as to pass all the considered constraints. Furthermore, they are in accordance with  $\gamma$ -ray limits from dwarf spheroidal galaxies [2, 6].

### 4. Solar $\gamma$ -ray fluxes

The evolution of the number N of DM particles in the Sun is determined by the differential equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}t} = C_{\mathrm{cap}} - 2\Gamma_{\mathrm{ann}},\tag{4.1}$$

where  $C_{\text{cap}} \propto \sigma_{Xn}^{\text{SI}}$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{ann}} \propto N^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle$  are the capture and annihilation rates, respectively. Assuming accumulation of DM during the entire Sun lifetime, Eq. (4.1) can be solved for N and, hence, for

Benchmark	$m_X[\text{GeV}]$	$m_Y[\text{GeV}]$	$g_X$	$g_q$	$\cos \alpha$	$\tau_{Y}[s]$	$\mathcal{F}_{det}$	$\Phi_{\gamma}^{\odot}[\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$
1a	10	0.1	0.24	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	0.01	0.19	0.88	$1.6 \times 10^{-15}$
1b	10	0.01	0.24	0.001	0.001	0.076	0.97	$1.1  imes 10^{-10}$
2a	100	0.1	0.76	$5  imes 10^{-5}$	0.012	0.031	0.93	$7  imes 10^{-11}$
2b	100	0.05	0.76	0.0001	0.004	0.061	0.96	$5.2  imes 10^{-12}$
3a	300	0.1	1.4	0.0001	0.01	0.0076	0.9	$5.7 imes10^{-10}$
3b	300	0.05	1.4	$7  imes 10^{-5}$	0.004	0.12	0.48	$2.1  imes 10^{-12}$
4a	1000	0.1	2.5	$9  imes 10^{-5}$	0.011	0.0094	0.97	$1.3  imes 10^{-9}$
4b	1000	0.05	2.5	0.0002	0.003	0.015	0.8	$2.2  imes 10^{-11}$
5a	1800	0.1	3.4	0.0001	0.011	0.0076	0.96	$1.4  imes 10^{-9}$
5b	1800	0.05	3.4	0.00012	0.003	0.042	0.28	$9  imes 10^{-13}$

**Table 1:** Definition of benchmark model points, their mediator lifetimes, fractions of detectable decays and  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes.

 $\Gamma_{\text{ann}}$ .<sup>1</sup> For  $m_Y \ll m_X$  the resulting differential flux is  $d\Phi_{\gamma}^{\odot}/dE_{\gamma} \approx 4\Theta(m_X - E_{\gamma})\mathscr{F}_{\text{det}}\Gamma_{\text{ann}}/(4\pi D_{\odot}^2 m_X)$ . Despite the fact that the capture rate is strongly constrained by current direct detection experiments, most of the resulting  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes (summarised in Table 1) are within the reach of future  $\gamma$ -ray experiments. The right panel of Fig. 2 compares the predicted effective annihilation rate  $\Gamma_{\text{ann}}\mathscr{F}_{\text{det}}$  to the corresponding projected sensitivities for Fermi-LAT, HERD, HAWC and LHAASO [7]. The left panel of Fig. 2 exemplarily shows the spectra for the benchmark points 3a, 4b and 5a, as well as the current measurements [8] and projected differential sensitivities. All points with  $m_X > 100 \text{ GeV}$  lie outside the sensitivity of upcoming indirect detection experiments targeting dwarfs or the GC [9], with solar  $\gamma$  rays hence providing a superior sensitivity [2].



**Figure 2:** *Left*: Expected spectra from selected benchmark points and projected sensitivities of Fermi-LAT, HAWC and LHAASO [7]. The green points and the grey band correspond to the observed and expected solar  $\gamma$ -ray background [8], respectively. *Right*: Existing [8] and projected [7] exclusion limits on DM annihilation rate from solar  $\gamma$ -ray observations.

# 5. Conclusion

The Sun is a potential nearby reservoir of DM and a poor source of high-energy  $\gamma$ -ray backgrounds. Considering a simplified DM model, featuring a long-lived scalar-pseudoscalar mediator,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that equilibrium between capture and annihilation (*i.e.* dN/dt = 0) is not necessarily required. In fact, most benchmark points do not reach equilibrium [2].

we demonstrated the potential of solar  $\gamma$ -ray observations to probe DM annihilation with comparable or superior sensitivity with respect to observations in dwarfs and the Galactic center. In the considered model DM capture and annihilation proceed typically out of equilibrium, a general feature due to the strong bounds on DM-nucleon scattering from direct searches: provided an independent measurement of the DM-nucleon cross section by future direct searches, solar  $\gamma$  rays potentially allow for a determination of the DM annihilation cross section. Finally, solar  $\gamma$ -ray signals would point towards the existence of a long-lived mediator, a piece of information which could not be inferred from indirect detection in the GC/dwarf galaxies or in direct detection experiments.

#### References

- G. Steigman, C. L. Sarazin, H. Quintana and J. Faulkner, *Dynamical interactions and astrophysical effects of stable heavy neutrinos*, Astron. J. 83 (1978) 1050; W. H. Press and D. N. Spergel, *Capture by the sun of a galactic population of weakly interacting massive particles*, Astrophys. J. 296 (1985) 679; A. Gould, *Resonant Enhancements in WIMP Capture by the Earth*, Astrophys. J. 321 (1987) 571.
- [2] C. Arina, M. Backović, J. Heisig and M. Lucente, Solar γ-rays as a Complementary Probe of Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) no.6, 063010 [arXiv:1703.08087 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [3] A. Ibarra, S. Lopez Gehler and M. Pato, *Dark matter constraints from box-shaped gamma-ray features*, JCAP **1207** (2012) 043 [arXiv:1205.0007 [hep-ph]].
- [4] F. Bergsma et al. [CHARM Collaboration], Search for Axion Like Particle Production in 400-GeV Proton - Copper Interactions, Phys. Lett. 157B (1985) 458.
- [5] D. S. Akerib *et al.* [LUX Collaboration], *Results from a search for dark matter in the complete LUX exposure*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118** (2017) no.2, 021303 [arXiv:1608.07648 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [6] A. Albert et al. [Fermi-LAT and DES Collaborations], Searching for Dark Matter Annihilation in Recently Discovered Milky Way Satellites with Fermi-LAT, Astrophys. J. 834 (2017) no.2, 110 [arXiv:1611.03184 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [7] Fermi-LAT Performance, https://www.slac.stanford.edu/exp/glast/groups/canda/lat\_Performance.htm;
  A. U. Abeysekara et al., Sensitivity of the High Altitude Water Cherenkov Detector to Sources of Multi-TeV Gamma Rays, Astropart. Phys. 50-52 (2013) 26 [arXiv:1306.5800 [astro-ph.HE]]; C. Zhen, LHAASO: Science and Status, Frascati Phys. Ser. 58 (2014) 331; H. He [LHAASO Collaboration], Design highlights and status of the LHAASO project, PoS (ICRC2015) 1010; X. Huang et al., Perspective of monochromatic gamma-ray line detection with the High Energy cosmic-Radiation Detection (HERD) facility onboard China's space station, Astropart. Phys. 78 (2016) 35 [arXiv:1509.02672 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [8] A. A. Abdo et al. [Fermi-LAT Collaboration], Fermi-LAT Observations of Two Gamma-Ray Emission Components from the Quiescent Sun, Astrophys. J. 734 (2011) 116 [arXiv:1104.2093 [astro-ph.HE]];
  K. C. Y. Ng, J. F. Beacom, A. H. G. Peter and C. Rott, First Observation of Time Variation in the Solar-Disk Gamma-Ray Flux with Fermi, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) no.2, 023004 [arXiv:1508.06276 [astro-ph.HE]]; D. Seckel, T. Stanev and T. K. Gaisser, Signatures of cosmic-ray interactions on the solar surface, Astrophys. J. 382 (1991) 652.
- [9] E. Charles *et al.* [Fermi-LAT Collaboration], *Sensitivity Projections for Dark Matter Searches with the Fermi Large Area Telescope*, Phys. Rept. **636** (2016) 1 [arXiv:1605.02016 [astro-ph.HE]]; A. Ibarra, A. S. Lamperstorfer, S. López-Gehler, M. Pato and G. Bertone, *On the sensitivity of CTA to gamma-ray boxes from multi-TeV dark matter*, JCAP **1509** (2015) no.09, 048 Erratum: [JCAP **1606** (2016) no.06, E02] [arXiv:1503.06797 [astro-ph.HE]].