PoS

A model for high energy rho meson leptoproduction based on collinear factorization and dipole models

Adrien Besse*

LPT, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, 91405, Orsay, France National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland E-mail: adrien.besse@th.u-psud.fr

Lech Szymanowski

National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland E-mail: Lech.Szymanowski@fuw.edu.pl

Samuel Wallon

LPT, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, 91405, Orsay, France UPMC Univ. Paris 06, faculté de physique, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France E-mail: Samuel.Wallon@th.u-psud.fr

We present a phenomenological model for the helicity amplitudes T_{11} and T_{00} of the rho meson exclusive diffractive leptoproduction in the forward limit. This model leads to a very good description of the polarized cross-sections σ_T and σ_L when compared to HERA data. This model is based on the impact factor representation of the helicity amplitudes. The $\gamma^* \rightarrow \rho$ impact factor is computed within the light-cone collinear factorization scheme, the impact parameter space representation allowing to factorize out the dipole-target amplitude. Finally our description combines a model for the dipole-target amplitude that includes the saturation effects with the results for the impact factor where the twist 2 and twist 3 distribution amplitudes of the rho meson are involved.

XXI International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subject -DIS2013, 22-26 April 2013 Marseille, France

*Speaker.

Adrien Besse

1. Introduction

We present a phenomenological model for the longitudinal and transverse polarized crosssections of the exclusive diffractive leptoproduction of the rho meson in the high energy limit. The polarized cross-sections are obtained from the helicity amplitudes T_{00} and T_{11} in the forward limit $t \rightarrow 0$ where we denote $T_{\lambda_0 \lambda_y}$ the amplitude associated to the process

$$\gamma^*(\lambda_{\gamma}, q) N(p) \to \rho(\lambda_{\rho}, p_{\rho}) N(p') \tag{1.1}$$

for a nucleon target N, and where λ_{γ} and λ_{ρ} denote the polarizations of the virtual photon and of the rho meson. Our approach is based on the following kinematical assumptions:

 the center of mass γ*N energy is asymptotically large and one can define two light-cone momenta p₁ and p₂ such that,

$$p_{\rho} \sim p_1, \quad p \sim p_2, \quad q \sim p_1 - \frac{Q^2}{s} p_2, \quad s = (q+p)^2 \sim 2p_1 \cdot p_2 \gg Q^2, \ m_{\rho}^2, \qquad (1.2)$$

• the virtuality of the photon Q is much larger than the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} in order to compute the photon vertex using pQCD techniques.

The first assumption allows to factorize helicity amplitudes $T_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\gamma}}$ into the $\gamma^*_{\lambda_{\gamma}} \rightarrow \rho_{\lambda_{\rho}}$ impact factor

$$\Phi^{\gamma^*_{\lambda_{\gamma}} \to \rho_{\lambda_{\rho}}} = \frac{1}{2s} \int \frac{d\kappa}{2\pi} i \mathscr{M}(\gamma^*(\lambda_{\gamma}, q) + g(k_1) \to \rho(\lambda_{\rho}, p_1) + g(k_2)), \qquad (1.3)$$

where \mathscr{M} is the amplitude of the sub-process $\gamma^*(\lambda_{\gamma}, q) + g(k_1) \to \rho(\lambda_{\rho}, p_1) + g(k_2), \mathscr{F}(x, \underline{k})$ is the unintegrated gluon density and $\kappa = (q + k_1)^2$. The helicity amplitude $T_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\gamma}}$ then reads¹

$$T_{\lambda_{\rho}\lambda_{\gamma}} = is \int \frac{d^2 \underline{k}}{(\underline{k}^2)^2} \Phi^{\gamma^*_{\lambda_{\gamma}} \to \rho_{\lambda_{\rho}}}(\underline{k}) \mathscr{F}(x,\underline{k}), \qquad (1.4)$$

where $k_1 = \frac{\kappa + Q^2 + \underline{k}^2}{s} p_2 + k_{\perp}$ and $k_2 = \frac{\kappa + \underline{k}^2}{s} p_2 + k_{\perp}$ are the *t*-channel gluon momenta (fig. 1).



Figure 1: Impact factor representation of the helicity amplitudes.

The second assumption $Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ allows to compute the $\gamma_{\lambda_{\gamma}}^* \to \rho_{\lambda_{\rho}}$ impact factor within the light-cone collinear factorization scheme. The leading twist $\gamma_L^* \to \rho_L$ impact factor has been computed in [1] by Ginzburg, Panfil and Serbo in 1987 while an approach to derive the $\gamma_T^* \to$

¹We denote <u>x</u> the 2-dimension euclidean vector associated to the Minkowskian space vector x_{\perp} in the transverse plane, $\underline{x}^2 = -x_{\perp}^2$.

 ρ_T impact factor, which involves the twist 3 light-cone operators of the rho meson, have been performed in 2010 in [2, 3] by Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, Szymanowski and Wallon. The results obtained from this first principle approach are parameterized by the rho meson twist 2 and twist 3 distribution amplitudes (DAs). Using the model of ref. [4] from Ball, Braun, Koike and Tanaka for the DAs, we built a first model in ref. [5], using a phenomenological model for the nucleon impact factor that was proposed in ref. [6] by Gunion and Soper in 1977. The sizable contribution from soft gluons of $\underline{k}^2 < 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ motivates the present model where saturation effects are taken into account.

In ref. [7] we have shown that the impact factor in the impact parameter representation reads,

$$\Phi^{\gamma_L^* \to \rho_L}(\underline{k}, Q, \mu^2) = \left(\frac{\delta^{ab}}{2}\right) \int dy \int d\underline{r} \,\psi^{\gamma_L^* \to \rho_L}_{(qq)}(y, \underline{r}; Q, \mu^2) \,\mathscr{A}(\underline{r}, \underline{k}), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\Phi^{\gamma_T^* \to \rho_T}(\underline{k}, Q, \mu^2) = \left(\frac{\delta^{ab}}{2}\right) \int dy \int d\underline{r} \, \psi_{(qq)}^{\gamma_T^* \to \rho_T}(y, \underline{r}; Q, \mu^2) \, \mathscr{A}(\underline{r}, \underline{k}) \\ + \left(\frac{\delta^{ab}}{2}\right) \int dy_2 \int dy_1 \int d\underline{r} \, \psi_{(qqg)}^{\gamma_T^* \to \rho_T}(y_1, y_2, \underline{r}; Q, \mu^2) \, \mathscr{A}(\underline{r}, \underline{k}),$$
(1.6)

where the functions $\psi^{\gamma_{L(T)}^* \to \rho_{L(T)}}$ are the results for the overlaps of the wave functions of the virtual photon and the rho meson, computed in the collinear factorization approach up to twist 3 and \mathscr{A} is the dipole-target amplitude. The computation of the $\gamma_T^* \to \rho_T$ transition involves the quark antiquark contribution and the quark antiquark gluon contribution as represented in the fig. 2.

$$\int dy \int d^{2}\underline{r} \bigvee \widetilde{H}_{qq}^{\Gamma}(y,\underline{r}) \stackrel{y}{\downarrow} \underbrace{r}_{y} \times \underbrace{f}_{y} \times \underbrace{f}_{qq}^{\Gamma}(y) + \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_{qq\perp}(y) + \cdots \right]$$

$$+ \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_{qqg}^{\Gamma}(y) + \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_{qq}^{\Gamma}(y) + \cdots + \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_{qq}^{\Gamma}(y) + \cdots + \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_{qqg}^{\Gamma}(y) + \cdots + \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_{qqg}^{\Gamma}(y) + \cdots + \underbrace{f}_{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{f}_$$

Figure 2: Contributions to the twist 3 impact factor. The \tilde{H}^{Γ} 's are Fourier transforms in the transverse coordinate space of hard parts and the S^{Γ} 's functions are soft parts that are parameterized by the DAs up to twist 3. The y's stand for the longitudinal fractions of rho meson momentum of the partons and <u>r</u>'s stand for the transverse sizes between the partons. The label Γ indicates the Fierz structure on which are projected the different contributions in order to factorize hard and soft parts in spinor space.

Soft parts S_{qq}^{Γ} and S_{qqg}^{Γ} are parameterized by a set of six twist 3 and one twist 2 DAs which are not independent. This set can be reduced to a set of three independent DAs for which the model in ref. [4] gives explicit expressions depending on the renormalization scale μ^2 . The result in the limit $\mu^2 \rightarrow \infty$ is called "asymptotic" (AS) result. The full twist 3 result (Total), where we put $\mu^2 = \frac{Q^2 + m_{\rho}^2}{4}$, can be also separated into two contributions, the Wandzura-Wilczek (WW) contribution that only depends on the twist 2 DA and the genuine contribution which only depends on the quark antiquark gluon (twist 3) DAs. The factorization of the dipole-target scattering amplitude in eqs. (1.5, 1.6) allows to implement arbitrary models for this dipole-target amplitude. Neglecting the skewness effects in the dipole-target scattering amplitude, the helicity amplitudes can be expressed in terms of the dipole cross-section $\hat{\sigma}(x,r)$,

$$\frac{T_{00}}{s} = \int dy \int d\underline{r} \psi_{(qq)}^{\gamma_L^* \to \rho_L}(y, \underline{r}; Q, \mu^2) \,\hat{\sigma}(x, \underline{r}) \,, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\frac{f_{11}}{s} = \int d\underline{r} \left[\int dy \psi_{(qq)}^{\gamma_T^* \to \rho_T}(y, \underline{r}; Q, \mu^2) + \int dy_2 \int dy_1 \psi_{(qqg)}^{\gamma_T^* \to \rho_T}(y_1, y_2, \underline{r}; Q, \mu^2) \right] \hat{\sigma}(x, \underline{r}) . \quad (1.8)$$

Assuming the phenomenological t-dependence of the differential cross-sections,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{L,T}}{dt}(t) = e^{-b(Q^2)t} \frac{d\sigma_{L,T}}{dt}(t=0), \qquad (1.9)$$

where $b(Q^2)$ has been extracted from the H1 data [8], the polarized cross-sections σ_L and σ_T can be expressed in terms of the forward helicity amplitudes T_{00} and T_{11} ,

$$\sigma_L = \frac{1}{b(Q^2)} \frac{|T_{00}(s,t=0)|^2}{16\pi s^2}, \quad \sigma_T = \frac{1}{b(Q^2)} \frac{|T_{11}(s,t=0)|^2}{16\pi s^2}.$$
 (1.10)

2. Results

In figs. 3 are shown the predictions of our model, from ref. [9], obtained using the dipole cross-section model of ref. [10], compared with H1 [8] and ZEUS [11] data. For $Q^2 \gtrsim 5 \text{ GeV}^2$,



Figure 3: Top left: Total, WW and AS contributions to σ_T vs Q^2 , compared to H1 [8] data. Top right: Total and AS twist 2 contributions to σ_L vs Q^2 compared to H1 data. Bottom line: Predictions for the total cross-section σ vs W compared to H1 (left) and ZEUS [11] (right) data.

the predictions we obtain, without any free parameter to adjust, are in very good agreement with

the Q^2 - and the *W*-dependence of the polarized cross-sections. The success of this model to describe these dependence and the normalizations of the cross-sections indicates that the factorization scheme which is chosen in this study works for large Q^2 . The discrepancy for small $Q^2 \leq 5 \text{ GeV}^2$ could be due to higher twist corrections of the $\gamma^*_{\lambda_{\gamma}} \rightarrow \rho_{\lambda_{\rho}}$ impact factors. Note that the cross-sections have a weak dependence in the choice of the renormalization scale μ .

3. Conclusion

We have presented a model based on first principle calculations to factorize the helicity amplitudes. The non-perturbative parts of the process are encoded in the DAs of the rho meson and the dipole scattering amplitude. We use the universality of these non-pertubative objects to get a model without free parameter. The predictions obtained for the polarized cross-sections of the rho meson diffractive leptoproduction works successfully for large Q^2 . Higher twist corrections would be desirable in order to get a better control for lower Q^2 values and thus to get closer to the genuine saturation regime in the HERA kinematics. The extension of this treatment in the non-forward limit would allow to get the dipole-target impact parameter dependence, which would be a good probe to determine the gluon density profile of the proton in the high energy limit.

4. Acknowledgment

We thank B. Ducloué, K. Golec-Biernat, C. Marquet, S. Munier and B. Pire for interesting discussions and comments on this work. This work is supported in part by the french grant ANR PARTONS (ANR-12-MONU-0008-01), the Polish Grant NCN No DEC-2011/01/D/ST2/03915 and the Joint Research Activity Study of Strongly Interacting Matter (acronym HadronPhysics3, Grant 283286) under the Seventh Framework Programme of the European Community.

References

- [1] I. F. Ginzburg, S. L. Panfil, V. G. Serbo, Nucl. Phys. B 284, 685-705 (1987).
- [2] I. V. Anikin, D. Yu. Ivanov, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon, Phys. Lett. B 682, 413-418 (2010).
- [3] I. V. Anikin, D. Yu. Ivanov, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon, Nucl. Phys. B 828, 1-68 (2010).
- [4] P. Ball, V. M. Braun, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B 529, 323 (1998).
- [5] I. Anikin, A. Besse, D. Yu. Ivanov, B. Pire, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon, Phys. Rev. D 84, 054004 (2011).
- [6] J. F. Gunion and D. E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2617 (1977).
- [7] A. Besse, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon, Nucl. Phys. B 867, 19-60 (2013).
- [8] F. D. Aaron et. al. [H1 Collaboration], JHEP 1005, 032 (2010).
- [9] A. Besse, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon, arXiv:1302.1766 [hep-ph].
- [10] J. L. Albacete, N. Armesto, J. G. Milhano, P. Quiroga-Arias, and C. A. Salgado, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1705 (2011).
- [11] S. Chekanov et al. [ZEUS Collaboration], PMC Phys. A 1, 6 (2007).