

Measurements of charm and beauty proton structure functions $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ and $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$ at HERA

Vladimir Chekelian

MPI for Physics, Germany

E-mail: shekeln@mail.desy.de

Inclusive charm and beauty production is studied in e^+p collisions at HERA for values of the photon virtuality $12 \leq Q^2 \leq 650 \text{ GeV}^2$ and of the Bjorken scaling variable $0.0002 \leq x \leq 0.032$. The fractions of events containing charm and beauty quarks are determined using a method based on the impact parameter, in the transverse plane, of tracks to the primary vertex, as measured by the H1 vertex detector. The charm and beauty inclusive cross sections, the structure function $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ and, for the first time, the structure function $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$ are measured. The results are found to be compatible with the predictions of perturbative quantum chromodynamics and with previous measurements of $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$.

International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics

July 21st - 27th 2005

Lisboa, Portugal

1. Introduction

Heavy quark production is an important process to study quantum chromodynamics (QCD). It is expected that perturbative QCD (pQCD) at next-to-leading order (NLO) gives a good description of heavy flavour production in deep-inelastic scattering (DIS), especially at values of the negative square of the four momentum of the exchanged boson Q^2 greater than the square of the heavy quark masses. Measurements of the open charm (c) cross section in DIS at HERA have mainly been performed in exclusive D or D^* meson production[1]. The measurement of the beauty (b) cross section is particularly challenging, since b events comprise only a small fraction ($< 1\%$ at $Q^2 = 12 \text{ GeV}^2$) of the total cross section. The b cross section has been measured in DIS ($Q^2 > 2 \text{ GeV}^2$) and in photoproduction ($Q^2 \simeq 0 \text{ GeV}^2$) by H1[2] and ZEUS[3], using leptons from b decays.

In this report the results [4, 5] are presented on inclusive c and b cross sections in e^+p scattering at HERA in the range $12 \leq Q^2 \leq 650 \text{ GeV}^2$. Events containing heavy quarks can be distinguished from light quark events by the long lifetimes of c and b flavoured hadrons, which lead to displacements of charged decay tracks from the primary vertex. The distance of a track to the primary vertex is reconstructed using the precise spatial information from the H1 vertex detector. The charm structure function $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ and the corresponding structure function for b quarks $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$ are obtained from the measured cross sections after small corrections for the longitudinal structure functions $F_L^{c\bar{c}}$ and $F_L^{b\bar{b}}$.

2. Experimental Method

The analysis is based on low Q^2 [4] and high Q^2 [5] samples of e^+p neutral current scattering events corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 57.4 pb^{-1} , taken by H1 in the years 1999-2000 at an ep centre of mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 319 \text{ GeV}$ with a proton beam energy of 920 GeV .

In order to determine a signed impact parameter (δ) for a track, the azimuthal angle of the struck quark ϕ_{quark} must be determined for each event. To do this, jets are reconstructed by the invariant k_T algorithm in the laboratory frame using all reconstructed hadronic final state (HFS) particles. In the low (high) Q^2 analysis the p_T of the jet was required to be above $2.5(5) \text{ GeV}$ in the angular range $15^\circ(10^\circ) < \theta < 155^\circ(170^\circ)$. The angle ϕ_{quark} is defined as the azimuth ϕ of the jet with the highest transverse momentum. If there is no jet reconstructed in the event, ϕ is reconstructed using the azimuth of the HFS four-vector in the high Q^2 analysis and $\pi - \phi_{\text{elec}}$, where ϕ_{elec} is the azimuthal angle of the scattered electron, in the low Q^2 analysis, because the HFS is not always well reconstructed at low Q^2 . The direction defined in the transverse plane by ϕ_{quark} and the primary vertex is called the quark axis.

If the angle between the quark axis and the line joining the primary vertex to the point of distance of closest approach is less than 90° , δ is defined as positive, and is defined as negative otherwise. Tracks with azimuthal angle outside $\pm 90^\circ$ of ϕ_{quark} are rejected. In addition in the high Q^2 analysis tracks are rejected if they lie outside a cone of size 1 in pseudo-rapidity- ϕ space centred about the jet axis. The δ distribution, shown in figure 1, is seen to be asymmetric with positive values in excess of negative values indicating the presence of long lived particles. It is well




Figure 1: The distribution of the signed impact parameter δ of tracks with respect to the primary vertex in the x - y plane. Included in the figure is the expectation from the Monte Carlo simulation for light, c and b quarks. The contributions from the various quark flavours are shown after applying the scale factors obtained from the fit to the subtracted significance distributions of the data (see text).

described by the Monte Carlo simulation. Tracks with $|\delta| > 0.1$ cm are rejected from the analysis to suppress light quark events containing long lived strange particles.

In order to optimise the separation of the quark flavours, use is made of the quantities S_1 , S_2 and S_3 defined as the significance ($\delta/\sigma(\delta)$) of the track with the highest, second highest and third highest absolute significance, respectively, where $\sigma(\delta)$ is the error on δ . The events contributing to the S_2 distribution also contribute to the S_1 distribution. Similarly, those contributing to the S_3 distribution also contribute to the S_2 and S_1 distributions. Events in which S_1 and S_2 have opposite signs are excluded from the S_2 distribution. Events in which S_1 , S_2 and S_3 do not all have the same sign are excluded from the S_3 distribution. In the high Q^2 analysis only S_1 and S_2 are used and the S_1 distribution only contains events with one track linked to the jet.

In order to substantially reduce the uncertainty due to the resolution of δ and the light quark normalisation, the negative bins in the S_1 , S_2 and S_3 distributions are subtracted from the positive ones. The fractions of c , b and light quarks of the data are extracted in each x - Q^2 interval by fitting simultaneously the subtracted S_1 , S_2 and S_3 distributions and the total number of inclusive events before any track selection. The c , b and uds Monte Carlo simulation samples are used as templates. The Monte Carlo c , b and uds contributions in each x - Q^2 interval are scaled by factors P_c , P_b and P_l , respectively, to give the best fit to the observed subtracted S_1 , S_2 , S_3 and total distributions. Only the statistical errors of the data and Monte Carlo simulation are considered in the fit. The fit to the subtracted significance distributions mainly constrains P_c and P_b , whereas the overall normalisation constrains P_l .

The results of the fit in each x - Q^2 interval are converted to a measurement of the ‘reduced c cross section’ defined from the differential cross section as

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{c\bar{c}}(x, Q^2) = \frac{d^2\sigma^{c\bar{c}}}{dx dQ^2} \frac{xQ^4}{2\pi\alpha^2(1+(1-y)^2)}, \quad (2.1)$$

using:

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{c\bar{c}}(x, Q^2) = \tilde{\sigma}(x, Q^2) \frac{P_c N_c^{\text{MCgen}}}{P_c N_c^{\text{MCgen}} + P_b N_b^{\text{MCgen}} + P_l N_l^{\text{MCgen}}} \delta_{\text{BCC}}, \quad (2.2)$$




Figure 2: The measured $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ and $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$, shown as a function of Q^2 for various x values. The inner error bars show the statistical error, the outer error bars represent the statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature. The QCD predictions are also shown.

where $\tilde{\sigma}(x, Q^2)$ is the measured inclusive reduced cross section from H1[6, 7] and N_c^{MCgen} , N_b^{MCgen} and N_l^{MCgen} are the number of c , b and light quark events generated from the Monte Carlo in each bin. A bin centre correction δ_{BCC} is applied using a NLO QCD expectation for $\tilde{\sigma}^{c\bar{c}}$ to convert the bin averaged measurement into a measurement at a given x - Q^2 point. A small correction ($\leq 2.6\%$) for the beam energy difference in the low Q^2 analysis is applied, using the NLO QCD expectation, to the measurement of $\tilde{\sigma}(x, Q^2)$ which was performed at a lower centre of mass energy of 301 GeV than the data presented here. The cross section is defined such, to include a correction for pure QED radiative effects. Events that contain c hadrons via the decay of b hadrons are not included in the definition of the c cross section. The differential b cross section is evaluated in the same manner.

The structure function $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ is evaluated from the reduced cross section

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{c\bar{c}} = F_2^{c\bar{c}} - \frac{y^2}{1 + (1-y)^2} F_L^{c\bar{c}}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the longitudinal structure function $F_L^{c\bar{c}}$ is estimated from the same NLO QCD expectation as used for the bin centre correction. The structure function $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$ is evaluated in the same manner.

3. Results

The measurements of $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$ and $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$ are shown as a function of Q^2 in figure 2. The measurements




Figure 3: The ratio $F_2^{c\bar{c}}/F_2$ as a function of x for different Q^2 and $f^{c\bar{c}}$, $f^{b\bar{b}}$ as a function of Q^2 for different x values. The inner error bars show the statistical error, the outer error bars represent the statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature. Charm results from H1 and ZEUS obtained from measurements of D^* mesons and predictions of NLO QCD are also shown.

show positive scaling violations which increase with decreasing x . The data are compared with the QCD predictions from MRST[8] and CTEQ[9] at NLO, and a recent calculation at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO)[10]. The predictions provide a reasonable description of the present data. However, the charm data are more precise than the spread in predictions of the QCD calculations.

The measurements are also presented in figure 3 in the form of the fractional contribution to the proton structure function $F_2^{c\bar{c}}/F_2$ and to the total ep cross section

$$f^{c\bar{c}} = \frac{d^2\sigma^{c\bar{c}}}{dx dQ^2} / \frac{d^2\sigma}{dx dQ^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

The b fraction $f^{b\bar{b}}$ is defined in the same manner. In the present kinematic range the value of $f^{c\bar{c}}$ is around 24% on average and increases slightly with increasing Q^2 and decreasing x . The value of $f^{b\bar{b}}$ increases rapidly with Q^2 from about 0.4% at $Q^2 = 12 \text{ GeV}^2$ to $\approx 2.5\%$ at $Q^2 = 650 \text{ GeV}^2$. The NLO QCD predictions shown in figure 3 are found to describe the data reasonably well.

4. Conclusion

The inclusive charm and beauty cross sections in deep inelastic scattering and the proton structure functions $F_2^{c\bar{c}}$, $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$ are measured at low and high Q^2 using a technique based on the lifetime of the heavy quark hadrons. The measurements are made using all events containing tracks with

vertex detector information. This is the first measurement of $F_2^{b\bar{b}}$. The charm cross section contributes on average 24% of the inclusive ep cross section, and the beauty fraction increases from about 0.4% at $Q^2 = 12 \text{ GeV}^2$ to $\approx 2.5\%$ at $Q^2 = 650 \text{ GeV}^2$. In general the charm and beauty measurements are found to be well described by perturbative QCD predictions at NLO and NNLO. However, the charm data are more precise than the spread in predictions of the QCD calculations.

References

- [1] C. Adloff *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Z. Phys. C* **72** (1996) 593 [hep-ex/9607012];
J. Breitweg *et al.* [ZEUS Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **407** (1997) 402 [hep-ex/9706009];
C. Adloff *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Nucl. Phys. B* **545** (1999) 21 [hep-ex/9812023];
J. Breitweg *et al.* [ZEUS Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **12** (2000) 35 [hep-ex/9908012];
C. Adloff *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **528** (2002) 199 [hep-ex/0108039];
S. Chekanov *et al.* [ZEUS Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 012004 [hep-ex/0308068].
- [2] C. Adloff *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **467** (1999) 156 [Erratum-ibid. *B* **518** (2001) 331] [hep-ex/9909029];
A. Aktas *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **41** (2005) 453 [hep-ex/0502010];
A. Aktas *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **621** (2005) 56 [hep-ex/0503038].
- [3] S. Chekanov *et al.* [ZEUS Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **599** (2004) 173 [hep-ex/0405069];
J. Breitweg *et al.* [ZEUS Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **18** (2001) 625 [hep-ex/0011081];
S. Chekanov *et al.* [ZEUS Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 012008 [hep-ex/0312057].
- [4] A. Aktas *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], accepted by *Eur. Phys. J.* [hep-ex/0507081].
- [5] A. Aktas *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **40** (2005) 349 [hep-ex/0411046].
- [6] C. Adloff *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **21** (2001) 33 [hep-ex/0012053].
- [7] C. Adloff *et al.* [H1 Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **30** (2003) 1 [hep-ex/0304003].
- [8] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts, W. J. Stirling and R. S. Thorne, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **39** (2005) 155 [hep-ph/0411040].
- [9] S. Kretzer, H. L. Lai, F. I. Olness and W. K. Tung, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 114005 [hep-ph/0307022].
- [10] R. Thorne, "A Variable Flavour Number Scheme at NNLO," To appear in the proceedings of 13th International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS 2005), Madison, Wisconsin, USA, April 2005. [hep-ph/0506251].