

## Studies of the initial and final states of AuAu collisions with BRAHMS

---

**Michael Murray**<sup>\*†</sup>

*University of Kansas*

*Lawrence, Kansas*

*E-mail: mjmurray@ku.edu*

When heavy ions collide at ultra-relativistic energy, thousands of particles are emitted and it is reasonable to attempt to use hydrodynamic descriptions, with suitable initial conditions, to describe the time evolution of the collisions. In the longitudinal direction pions seem to exhibit Landau flow. This simple model assumes that all the entropy in the collisions is created the instant the two Lorentz contracted nuclei overlap and that the system then expands adiabatically. The system also displays radial and elliptic flow. Radial flow is manifested as a broadening of the  $p_T$  distributions with respect to pp collisions. It is typically thought to result from multiple scattering of partons or hadrons before dynamic freeze-out. Elliptic flow occurs when heavy ions do not collide exactly head on. The initial geometrical asymmetry is translated into a momentum asymmetry via pressure gradients. Since these gradients are self quenching, strong elliptic flow is thought to be linked to early thermalization and a large initial pressure. Using the concept of limiting fragmentation we attempt to sketch a link between the initial and final states of relativistic heavy ion collisions using new preliminary data from the BRAHMS collaboration on elliptic and radial flow.

*International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics*

*July 21st - 27th 2005*

*Lisboa, Portugal*


---

<sup>\*</sup>Speaker.

<sup>†</sup>for the BRAHMS Collaboration

## 1. Introduction


BRAHMS uses two movable spectrometers to study relativistic heavy-ion collisions over a broad range of angles and momenta. In addition global detectors measure the centrality and orientation of the collision. One of our first goals was to survey hadron yields as a function of  $p_T$  and rapidity [1]. In contrast to early expectations, we did not see a large “rapidity plateau”. Rather the mesons’ rapidity distributions are Gaussian and pions seem to exhibit Landau flow over a wide energy range. Landau’s model assumes that all the entropy in the collisions is created the instant the two Lorentz contracted nuclei overlap and that the system then expands adiabatically [2]. We have extended this survey to study the system size and reaction plane dependence of particle yields. This allows us to map out the rapidity dependence of radial and elliptic flow. If one observes a relativistic collision from the rest frame of one of the nuclei, certain quantities become independent of the beam energy. This phenomena is known as limiting fragmentation and implies that a certain quantity is invariant when plotted against  $y-y_{beam}$ . Feynman gave general arguments to explain this effect in pp collisions based on the continuity of fields [3]. The effect was first observed at RHIC by BRAHMS for multiplicity distributions [4]. Since then, it has been seen by several groups in a variety of contexts such as particle ratios, integrated elliptic and directed flow and photons [5, 6, 7]. Here we discuss a new manifestation of this effect, namely the shape of the particle spectra in  $m_T$ .



**Figure 1:** Kinetic freeze-out temperature and surface transverse flow velocity for AuAu collisions [9]. Left: Centrality dependence at  $y=0$ ; Right: Rapidity dependence for central collisions.

## 2. Radial flow

Particle spectra reflect the state of the collision at kinetic freeze-out. For central collisions, which are azimuthally symmetric, only radial flow is important. In the hydrodynamic blast-wave approach [8] the spectra are parametrized by a freeze-out temperature,  $T$ , and a transverse expansion velocity,  $\beta_T$ . Conservation of energy ensures that  $T$  and  $\beta_T$  are anti-correlated. Figure 1 shows



**Figure 2:** Preliminary data on elliptic flow strength  $v_2$  versus  $p_T$  and pseudo-rapidity  $\eta$  for mid-central, 10-30%, AuAu collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV [13]. The curves show predictions of a hydrodynamic model [14].

simultaneous fits to  $\pi^\pm$ ,  $K^\pm$ ,  $p$  and  $\bar{p}$  spectra from AuAu reactions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV. The results are plotted versus the number of participants and rapidity. We find that  $T$  decreases with centrality while  $\beta_T$  increases. This may be because larger systems have more time to convert random thermal motion into directional flow. The variations of  $T$  and  $\beta_T$  with rapidity suggests that the pressure gradients are weaker at forward rapidity, possibly because of the smaller particle densities.

### 3. Elliptic flow


One of the most exciting results obtained at RHIC is the observation of significant elliptic flow in central AuAu collisions. The large flow signal, which is consistent with the hydrodynamic evolution of a perfect fluid, indicates a strongly interacting QGP, contrary to initial expectations [6, 10, 11, 12]. The strength of elliptic flow is characterized by  $v_2$ . Recently PHOBOS has shown that the integrated  $v_2$ , (and  $v_1$ ), obey a limiting fragmentation picture [6]. Figure 2 shows  $v_2$  vs  $p_T$  and  $\eta$ . It is striking how similar these data are given that the integrated  $v_2$  falls steadily with  $\eta$ . The drop in the integrated results is presumably related to the steady drop of mean  $p_T$  with  $\eta$  [1].

### 4. Limiting Fragmentation of Spectra

In order to compare the shapes of particle spectra at different rapidities and  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  it is convenient to have a single number that characterizes these shapes. Kaons have spectra that are exponential in  $m_T$  over a very wide energy range. This allows us to characterize kaon spectra by the inverse slope,  $T_K$ . Figure 3 shows that  $T_K$  drops with rapidity and obeys limiting fragmentation over a wide energy range. It is noticeable that the limiting fragmentation region extends all the way to central rapidity. This is also true for directed and elliptic flow but not for multiplicity distributions.

### 5. Discussion

The underlying particle distributions are three dimensional distributions in rapidity,  $p_T$  and the angle  $\phi$  with respect to the reaction plane. The integrated  $v_2$  represents an average over  $p_T$  of the variation of the yield around the reaction plane, while the particle spectra contain the  $p_T$  dependence of the distributions averaged over  $\phi$ . Normally we think of these two quantities as encoding information from the initial and final states of the collisions respectively. However the



**Figure 3:** Inverse  $m_T$  slopes for  $k^-$  spectra from central AuAu and PbPb collisions versus  $y-y_{beam}$  for various energies. The data at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9, 12, 17$  GeV are from NA49 [15] while the BRAHMS results are from 64 (preliminary) and 200 GeV [1].

fact that they both obey limiting fragmentation in such a way as to keep  $v_2(p_T)$  independent of  $y$  implies a particular constraint on the rapidity and  $\sqrt{s}$  evolution of these quantities. Work supported by the DOE Office of Science contracts DE-FG03-96ER40981 and DE-FG02-04ER46113.

## References

- [1] I. Arsene *et al.*, BRAHMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 12301 (2005).
- [2] L. D. Landau, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **17** 52 (1953) and P. Carruthers and M. Duong-van, Phys. Lett. B41, 597 (1972), Phys. Rev. D8, 859 (1973).
- [3] R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **23**, 1415 (1969).
- [4] I. G. Bearden *et al.*, BRAHMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **88**, 202301 (2002).
- [5] I. G. Bearden *et al.*, BRAHMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B607**, 42-50 (2005).
- [6] B. B. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** 122303 (2005) and arXiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0511045
- [7] J. Adams *et al.* STAR Collaboration arXiv.org/abs/nucl-ex/0511026
- [8] P. J. Siemens and J. O. Rasmussen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 (1979) 808.
- [9] P. Staszal [BRAHMS Collaboration], Proceedings of Quark Matter'05 arXiv:nucl-ex/0510061.
- [10] C. Adler *et al.* Phys. Rev. C **66** (2002) 034904. J. Adams *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004) 062301.
- [11] S. S. Adler *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 182301.
- [12] P. F. Kolb and U. Heinz, nucl-th/0305084, and references therein.
- [13] H. Ito [BRAHMS Collaboration], Proceedings of Quark Matter'05
- [14] T. Hirano private communication.
- [15] M. van Leeuwen, private communication.