

# PoS

# Belle: CPV with Kpipi/pipipi(rho pi)

#### Jasna Dragic\*\*

KEK, Japan
E-mail: jasna@bmail.kek.jp

We report results on studies of *CP* violation in the three-body charmless decay  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ and  $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0(\rho^0\pi^0)$ . We find evidence at the 3.9 $\sigma$  level for large direct *CP* violation in the decay  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \rho(770)^0 K^{\pm}$ . This is the first evidence for *CP* violation in a charged meson decay. We also find evidence of  $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$  decay and perform the first measurement of the direct *CP* violating asymmetry in this mode. The measurements are based on a data sample that contains 386 million  $B\bar{B}$  pairs collected with the Belle detector at the KEKB asymmetric-energy  $e^+e^-$  (3.5 on 8.0 GeV) collider. KEKB operates at the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  resonance ( $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$  GeV) with a peak luminosity that exceeds  $1.5 \times 10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>.

International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics July 21st - 27th 2005 Lisboa, Portugal

\*Speaker. <sup>†</sup>For the Belle Collaboration

<sup>©</sup> Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

#### 1. Introduction

In decays of *B* mesons to two-body final states ( $B \rightarrow K\pi$ ,  $\pi\pi$ , etc), direct *CP* violation (DCPV) can only be observed as a difference in *B* and  $\bar{B}$  decay rates. In decays to three-body final states which are often dominated by quasi-two-body channels, DCPV can also manifest itself as a difference in relative phase between two quasi-two-body amplitudes that can be measured via amplitude (Dalitz) analysis. Although DCPV has been observed in decays of neutral *K* mesons [1] and recently in neutral *B* meson decays [2] no *CP* violation in decays of charged mesons has been found to date.

Recently, the time-dependent amplitude analysis of  $B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  decays, which can be used to extract the Unitarity triangle angle  $\phi_2$ , has been performed for the first time [3]. In these studies the  $B^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0$  contribution is assumed to be small. However, improved evidence for this decay would suggest that a less simplified Dalitz-plot analysis is necessary. Further,  $B^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0$  branching fraction along with its *CP* asymmetry are needed to complete the  $B^{+/0} \to \rho \pi$  isospin pentagon for the isospin analysis method of extracting  $\phi_2$ .

#### 2. Data Sample & Event Selection

Analysis of DCPV in the three-body charmless  $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}\pi^{\mp}$  decay is performed by means of Dalitz analysis technique [4]. Measurements of  $B^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0$  are performed in the  $\rho^0$ -dominated region of  $B^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  phase-space [5]. Both analyses are based on a data sample that contains  $386 \pm 5$  million  $B\overline{B}$  pairs, collected with the Belle detector at the KEKB collider. The presented measurements supersede the results reported in Ref. [6] and [7].

We identify *B* candidates with two kinematic variables:  $\Delta E = (\sum_i \sqrt{c^2 \mathbf{p}_i^2 + c^4 m_i^2}) - E_{\text{beam}}^*$ and  $M_{\text{bc}} = \frac{1}{c^2} \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^{*2} - c^2 (\sum_i \mathbf{p}_i)^2}$ , where the summation is over all particles from a *B* candidate;  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $m_i$  are their c.m. three-momenta and masses, respectively. The dominant background to the charmless three-body decays comes from continuum events,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\overline{q}$  (q = u, d, s, c). This type of background is mostly suppressed using variables that characterize the event topology. For the  $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^0$  mode, additional suppression is achieved through use of the Belle flavour tagging parameter *r* [8], which can be used as a measure of the confidence that the remaining particles in the event (other than  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ) originate from a flavour specific *B* meson decay.

Backgrounds from *B* decays are identified using large Monte Carlo (MC) simulated samples. Possible contributions to the  $K^+\pi^+\pi^-/\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  final state from charmed  $(b \to c)$  backgrounds are explicitly vetoed for decays  $B \to Dh$  and  $B \to J/\psi(\psi(2S))[\mu^+\mu^-]h$ , where *h* stands for a charged pion or kaon, by applying requirements on the invariant mass of the appropriate twoparticle combination. The remaining  $b \to c$  combinatorial background is taken into account when fitting the data. The most significant background from charmless *B* decays to  $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}(B^0 \to \rho^0\pi^0)$  originates from  $B^+ \to \eta' K^+$ ,  $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $B^0 \to K^+\pi^-$  ( $B^+ \to \rho^+\rho^0$ ,  $B^+ \to \rho^+\pi^0$ and  $B^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$ ). These backgrounds cannot be removed and are included in the data fit. The  $\Delta E(M_{\rm bc})$  distributions for  $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$  and  $B^0 \to \rho^0\pi^0$  candidates that pass all the selection requirements are shown in Fig. 1 (a) and Fig. 2 (a, b) respectively. A detailed description of the event selection and background suppression techniques used in  $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$  and  $B^0 \to \rho^0\pi^0$ analyses can be found in Ref. [4] and [5], respectively.



**Figure 1:** (a)  $\Delta E$  distribution for  $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$  candidate events; (b), (c)  $m_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}$  mass spectra for  $B^{-}$  and  $B^{+}$  events. Points with error bars are data, the open histogram is the fit result and hatched histogram is the background component.

### **3.** $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}$ Dalitz Analysis Results

Events in the *B* signal region defined as an ellipse around the  $M_{bc}$  and  $\Delta E$  mean values are selected for the amplitude analysis. The  $B^{\pm} \to K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ signal was found to be well described by a coherent sum of  $K^*(892)^0\pi^+$ ,  $K_0^*(1430)^0\pi^+$ ,  $\rho(770)^0K^+$ ,  $f_0(980)K^+$ ,  $f_X(1300)K^+$ ,  $\chi_{c0}K^+$ quasi-two-body channels and a non-resonant amplitude [6]. In this analysis we modify the model by adding two more quasi-two-body channels,  $f_2(1270)K^+$  and  $\omega(782)K^+$ , and change the parameterization of the  $f_0(980)$  lineshape from a standard Breit-Wigner function to a coupled channel Breit-Wigner (Flatté parameterization). For the DCPV search, the amplitude for each quasi-twobody channel,  $ae^{i\delta}$ , is parameterized such that a plus (minus) sign is allocated to a  $B^+$  ( $B^-$ ) decay:  $ae^{i\delta}(1\pm be^{i\phi})$ . With this parameterization, the *CP* violating asymmetry  $A_{CP}$  for a particular quasitwo-body channel can be calculated as  $A_{CP}(f) = (N^- - N^+)/(N^- + N^+) = -(2b\cos \varphi)/(1+b^2)$ .

To reduce the number of free fit parameters, we fit the data in two steps. First we fix all  $b_i = 0$  (assume no *CP* violation) and determine the parameters of the  $f_X(1300)$ ,  $f_0(980)$  and the parameter of the non-resonant amplitude. We then repeat the fit to data with these parameters fixed, while  $b_i$  and  $\varphi_i$  are allowed to float. We assume no DCPV in  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \omega(782)K^{\pm}$  and the non-resonant amplitude; possible effects of these assumptions are considered as a part of the model uncertainty.

**Table 1:** Results of the best fit to  $K^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$  events in the *B* signal region. The first quoted error is statistical and the

Channel	b	$\varphi$ (deg.)	$A_{CP}$ (%)	Significance ( $\sigma$ )
$K^{*}(892)^{0}\pi^{\pm}$	$0.078 \pm 0.033^{+0.012}_{-0.003}$	$-18\pm44^{+5}_{-13}$	$-14.9\pm6.4^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$	2.6
$K_0(1430)^0\pi^{\pm}$	$0.069 \pm 0.031^{+0.010}_{-0.008}$	$-123\pm16^{+4}_{-5}$	$+7.5\pm3.8^{+2.0}_{-0.9}$	2.7
$ ho(770)^0 K^\pm$	$0.28 \pm 0.11 \substack{+0.07 \\ -0.09}$	$-125\pm32^{+10}_{-85}$	$+30\pm11^{+11}_{-4}$	3.9
$f_0(980)K^{\pm}$	$0.30 \pm 0.19^{+0.05}_{-0.10}$	$-82\pm8^{+2}_{-2}$	$-7.7\pm6.5^{+4.1}_{-1.6}$	1.6
$f_2(1270)K^{\pm}$	$0.37 \pm 0.17^{+0.11}_{-0.03}$	$-24\pm29^{+14}_{-20}$	$-59\pm22^{+3}_{-3}$	2.7
$\chi_{c0}K^{\pm}$	$0.15 \pm 0.35 ^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$-77\pm 94^{+154}_{-11}$	$-6.5\pm 19.6^{+2.9}_{-1.4}$	0.7

Results of the final fit are given in Table 1. The only channel where the statistical significance of the *CP* asymmetry exceeds the  $3\sigma$  level is  $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \rho (770)^0 K^{\pm}$ . Figures 1 (b, c) show the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  invariant mass distributions for the  $\rho (770)^0 - f_0(980)$  mass region separately for  $B^-$  and  $B^+$  events.

## 4. $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \pi^0$ Results

We measure the signal yield using an extended unbinned maximum-likelihood fit to the  $\Delta E$ - $M_{\rm bc}$  distribution. We obtain  $51^{+14}_{-13}$  signal events with a significance of  $4.2\sigma$  including systematic uncertainties and measure the branching fraction to be  $\mathscr{B}(\rho^0\pi^0) = (3.12^{+0.88}_{-0.82}({\rm stat})^{+0.60}_{-0.76}({\rm syst})) \times 10^{-6}$ . In order to check that the signal candidates originate from  $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0\pi^0$  decays, we change the criteria on  $m_{\pi^+\pi^-}$  and  $\cos\theta^{\rho}_{\rm hel}$  in turn, and repeat fits to the  $\Delta E$ - $M_{\rm bc}$  distribution. The yields obtained in each  $m_{\pi^+\pi^-}$  and  $\cos\theta^{\rho}_{\rm hel}$  bin are shown in Fig. 2 (c) and (d).

Having observed a significant  $B^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0$  signal, we utilize the  $B^0/\overline{B}^0$  separation provided by the flavour tagging to measure the *CP* asymmetry by defining the probability density function (PDF) as:  $\mathscr{P}_{j,l}^i = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + q^i \cdot (A'_{CP})_{j,l} \right] P_{j,l}(M_{bc}^i, \Delta E^i)$  where q is the b-flavour charge [q = +1 (-1)when the tagging B meson is a  $B^0(\overline{B}^0)$ ],  $P_{j,l}$  is the two dimensional PDF in  $\Delta E$  and  $M_{bc}$ , and  $A'_{CP}$  is the effective charge asymmetry, such that  $(A'_{CP})_{j,l} = (A_{CP})_j(1 - 2\chi_d)(1 - 2w_l)$ . Here,  $(A_{CP})_j$  are the charge asymmetries for the signal and the background components,  $\chi_d$  is the time-integrated mixing parameter and  $w_l$  is the wrong-tag fraction. The only free  $A_{CP}$  parameter in the nominal fit, is that of our signal; the rest are fixed to be zero. We measure the direct *CP* asymmetry of  $B^0 \to \rho^0 \pi^0$  to be  $A_{CP} = -0.49^{+0.67}_{-0.81}(\text{stat})^{+0.20}_{-0.24}(\text{syst})$ . To illustrate the asymmetry, the results are shown separately for  $\rho^0 \pi^0$  candidate events tagged as  $B^0$  and  $\overline{B}^0$  in Fig. 2 (e, f).



**Figure 2:** (a), (b) Distribution of  $\Delta E(M_{bc})$  in the signal region of  $M_{bc}(\Delta E)$ . Projection of the fit result is shown as the thick solid curve; the thin solid line represents the signal component; the dashed, dotted and dash-dotted curves represent, respectively, the cumulative background components from continuum processes,  $b \rightarrow c$  decays, and charmless *B* backgrounds. (c), (d) Distributions of fit yields in  $m_{\pi^+\pi^-}$  and  $\cos\theta_{hel}^{\rho}$  variables for  $\rho^0\pi^0$  candidate events. Points with error bars represent data fit results, and the histograms show signal MC expectation. (e) (f)  $\Delta E$  distributions shown separately for events tagged as  $\overline{B}^0/B^0$ 

#### References

- J.R. Batley *et al.* (NA48 Collab.), Phys. Lett. **B544**, 97 (2002); A. Alavi-Harati *et al.* (KTeV Collab.), Phys. Rev. **D67**, 012005 (2003).
- [2] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 131801 (2004); Y. Chao *et al.* (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 191802 (2004).
- [3] B. Aubert et al. (BaBar Collab.), hep-ex/0408099, submitted to the ICHEP 04 conference.
- [4] K. Abe et al. (Belle Collab.), hep-ex/0509001 and references therein.
- [5] K. Abe et al. (Belle Collab.), hep-ex/0508007 and references therein.
- [6] A. Garmash et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. D71, 092003 (2005).
- [7] J. Dragic et al. (Belle Collab.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 131802 (2004).
- [8] H. Kakuno et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 533, 516 (2004).