

New study of the astrophysical reaction ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ via the ${}^{13}C({}^{7}Li,t){}^{17}O$ transfer reaction

M.G. Pellegriti^{* [1]} [†], F. Hammache^[1][‡], P.Roussel^[1], L. Audouin^[1], D. Beaumel^[1], S. Fortier^[1], L. Gaudefroy^[2], J. Kiener^[3], A. Lefebvre-Schuhl^[3], M. Stanoiu^[4], V. Tatischeff^[3], M. Vilmay^[1]

1 IPN-Orsay, IN2P3-Universite Paris XI, F-91406 Orsay CEDEX, France

3 CSNSM, IN2P3-Universite Paris XI,F-91407 Orsay CEDEX, France

4 GSI, Postfach 110552, D-64200 Darmstadt, Germany

The cross section of the ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ reaction is a key ingredient for the comprehension of the s-process (slow neutron captures) in stars. This reaction is considered as the main neutron source for the s-process in low-mass Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars (1-3 solar mass) [1, 2, 3].

At the α -¹³C energies of astrophysical interest (E_{cm} around 190 keV, corresponding to a temperature of 10⁸ K) the contribution of the ¹⁷O α -decay subtreshold resonance at 6.356 MeV to the ¹³C(α ,n)¹⁶O cross section should be taken into account. The effect of this resonance is controversial after the different analyses of the Kubono et al. measurement [4] of the 6.356 MeV α -spectroscopic factor (S_{α}) via the transfer reaction ¹³C(⁶Li,d)¹⁷O.

In order to further investigate the contribution of the 6.356 MeV resonance to the ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ cross section, we performed a new measurement of its S_{α} factor via a different α -transfer reaction, namely the ${}^{13}C({}^{7}Li,t){}^{17}O$ reaction. The experiment was performed at the Orsay Tandem by using a ${}^{7}Li$ beam of 28 and 34 MeV on a ${}^{13}C$ target. The angular distribution for the transfer differential cross section was measured by detecting the tritons at the focal plane of the SPLITPOLE spectrometer. The analysis procedure used in order to extract the yield of the 6.356 MeV level will be described. Preliminary results of the angular distribution will be shown.

International Symposium on Nuclear Astrophysics — Nuclei in the Cosmos — IX June 25-30 2006 CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

*Speaker.

² GANIL, B.P. 5027, F-14076 Caen CEDEX, France

[†]pellegri@ipno.in2p3.fr

[‡]hammache@ipno.in2p3.fr

1. Astrophysical motivation

Nearly half of the heavy elements observed in the Universe are produced by a slow sequence of neutron capture reactions, the so-called s-process. For this astrophysical process, two neutron sources are used in all evolution models: the reactions ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ and ${}^{22}Ne(\alpha,n){}^{25}Mg$. For AGB stars of 1-3 solar masses at low temperatures, only the ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ reaction is considered as the main neutron source for the s-process [1, 2, 3]. ${}^{13}C$ is synthesized, in the He intershell of stars, by the CNO cycle ${}^{12}C(p,\gamma){}^{13}N(\beta^+){}^{13}C$. This leads to the formation of the so-called ${}^{13}C$ pocket on the top of the intershell region, where the neutrons necessary for the s-process, are produced through the burning reaction ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n)$. Hence, the predictions of the models describing the s-process nucleosynthesis in these AGB stars depend critically on the neutron flux from the ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ reaction.

As the cross section of the ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ reaction decreases drastically when the α - ${}^{13}C$ energy decreases, a direct measurement of this reaction at energies of astrophysical interest (i.e $E_{cm} \sim 190$ keV) is extremely difficult. Direct measurements have only been performed down to 270 keV [5, 6]. R-matrix extrapolations [7] of the cross section down to the energy of astrophysical interest depend on the contribution of the α -decay subthreshold level of ${}^{17}O$ at 6.356 MeV to the cross section at the low energy region. However, the error bars of the direct experimental points at 270 keV are too large to discriminate between extrapolations which use different reduced α -widths for the state of interest.

Kubono et al. [4] then suggested that a way to determine the reduced α -width of the state of interest is via a measurement of its α -spectroscopic factor (S_{α}) through a transfer reaction. To populate the 6.356 MeV state, they used the ¹³C(⁶Li,d)¹⁷O reaction induced by a 60 MeV ⁶Li beam. They measured the angular distribution of the transfer differential cross section leading to the 6.356 MeV level and determined an S_{α} value of about 0.011 by using a finite-range Distorted Wave Born Approximation (DWBA) analysis of the data. This leads to a very small contribution of this subthreshold state to the cross section of ¹³C(α ,n)¹⁶O at energies of astrophysical interest. However, a reanalysis of the same data by Keeley et al. [8] ends up to a drastically different conclusion since they found S_{α} ~ 0.4, forty times higher than Kubono's value, which leads to cross section values consistent with the theoretical calculations [9].

2. The experimental method

In order to reinvestigate the importance of the 6.356 MeV state, we have studied it by means of an other α -transfer reaction, the ¹³C(⁷Li,t)¹⁷O. The use of ⁷Li nuclei instead of ⁶Li (as in Kubono et al.[4]) to populate the various excited states of ¹⁷O has some advantages, despite a smaller α -structure coefficient:

(i) Possible multi-step effects are less marked for the (⁷Li,t) reaction than for (⁶Li,d) one [10].

(ii) Transfer cross sections to low spin states are enhanced because of the non-zero α angular momentum in ⁷Li which leads to a better momentum matching. This was deduced from the study of the transfer reactions ¹²C(⁶Li,d)¹⁶O [12] and ¹²C(⁷Li,t)¹⁶O [11] which used a ¹²C target.



Figure 1: Example of the fitting procedure for the extraction of transfer cross section for the 6.356 MeV level. In Fig. 1a), open circles represent the experimental spectra obtained by using the ¹³C target. The number of detected tritons is plotted as a fuction of the triton position measured at the focal plane detector. The blue line and the red line in Fig. 1a represent the contribution of the 6.356 MeV level and the contribution of ¹⁶O levels evaluated by the fitting procedure, respectively. The black line is the result of the fit (blue line plus red line). In Fig. 1b, open circles represent data obtained by using the ¹²C target. Only the ¹⁶O peaks are visible. Parameters from the fit (black line in Fig. 1b) have been used to constrain the fit in Fig. 1a.

(iii) It is important to populate the same final states in ¹⁷O using different transfer reactions. They involve different parameters such as internal spin, angular momenta and optical potentials and they allow to improve the systematic errors estimation introduced in DWBA analysis.

Once the spectroscopic factor S_{α} of the subthreshold state at 6.356 MeV deduced from the following equation,

 $(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{exp} = C^2 S_l (d\sigma/d\Omega)_{DWBA} (1)$

one can determine the α width Γ_{α} of the state and then calculate the cross section of the ¹³C(α ,n)¹⁶O reaction through the tail of the subthreshold resonance by using the Breit-Wigner single level formula.

The experiment was performed at the Tandem-Splitpole spectrometer. The transfer reaction ${}^{13}C({}^{7}Li,t){}^{17}O$ was induced on a 0.1 mg/cm² self-supporting ${}^{13}C$ target irradiated by a ${}^{7}Li$ beam. Two different beam energies were used, 28 MeV and 34 MeV, in order to investigate and possibly confirm the direct mechanism. In the following we will refer just to the measurement at 34 MeV. The reaction products were momentum analyzed by the magnetic spectrometer Splitpole and the tritons were detected at the focal plane by a position-sensitive gas chamber and a ΔE proportional gas-counter. The angular distribution for the transfer differential cross section was measured at angles ranging from 0 to 31 degrees in the laboratory frame, this covers angles up to 43 degrees in the center of mass frame. The ${}^{7}Li$ elastic scattering on ${}^{13}C$ was also measured to subsequently calculate the entrance channel optical potentials needed for the transfer DWBA calculation.

3. Preliminary results

The main difficulty of this experiment is the presence of ${}^{12}C$ contaminants in the ${}^{13}C$ target. This implies the reaction ${}^{12}C({}^{7}\text{Li},t){}^{16}O$ and then the presence of tritons coming from the excited stated of ${}^{16}O$ (at 6.917 and 7.117 MeV) which are energetically close by the ${}^{17}O$ 6.356 MeV state.



Figure 2: Preliminary results for ${}^{13}C({}^{7}Li,t){}^{17}O$ differential cross section for the 6.35 MeV level obtained by fitting procedure in Fig1.

A further difficulty comes from the shift of the ¹⁷O peak with respect to energy position of the ¹⁶O peaks, which makes the yield extraction at larger angles harder. This last is simply due to a different kinematics because of different involved masses. In order to evaluate the amount of ¹²C contaminant in the ¹³C target, a background measurement of the ¹²C(⁷Li,t)¹⁶O reaction was performed by using a ¹²C target at the same experimental set-up.

An example of the fitting procedure which have been used to extract the 6.356 MeV transfer cross section is shown in Fig. 1a. The blue curve represents the yield for the 6.356 MeV peak at the angle of 6 degree in the laboratory frame of reference. The parameters describing the contaminant peaks coming from the excited levels of ¹⁶O (at 6.917 and 7.117 MeV) have been extracted from the measured ¹²C target spectrum (Fig. 1b) and have been used to constrain the fit of the ¹³C target spectrum (Fig. 1a).

Preliminary results of the angular distribution of the state of interest (6.356 MeV) are displayed in Fig. 2. A further analysis to better evaluate the 6.356 MeV yield at each angle and the corresponding errors coming from the fitting procedure is under development. A finite-range DWBA calculation of the ¹³C(⁷Li,t)¹⁷O reaction is also in progress in order to calculate the angular distribution of the differential cross sections and to extract the spectroscopic factor.

References

- [1] R. Gallino et al., Astrophys. J. 497 (1998) 388
- [2] M. Busso et al., Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 37 (1999) 239
- [3] W. Aoki et al., Astrophys. J. Lett. 536 (2000) 197
- [4] S. Kubono et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 062501
- [5] H. W. Drotleff et al., Astrophys. J. 414 (1993) 735
- [6] G. M. Hale, Nucl. Phys. A 656(1997) 177c
- [7] C. Angulo et al., Nucl. Phys. A 656 (1999) 3
- [8] N. Keeley et al., Nucl. Phys. A 726 (2003) 159
- [9] P. Descouvemont, Phys. Rev. C 36 (1987) 2206
- [10] K. Kemper et al., Aust. J. Phys. 33 (1980) 197
- [11] F. D. Becchetti et al., Nucl. Phys. A 305 (1978) 293
- [12] P. T. Debevec et al., Phys. Rev. C 9 (1974) 2451