Neutrino Physics and Neutrino Astrophysics Gail McLaughlin

North Carolina State

Properties of Neutrinos

Mass?	$m_{ u_e} < 2 \; { m eV}$ from tritium eta decay
	$m_{ u_{\mu}} < 170$ keV from π decay
	$m_{ u_{ au}} < 18 \text{ MeV from } au \text{ decay}$
Spin	s=1/2
Type?	Dirac $\nu \neq \bar{\nu}$ 2
	Majorana $\nu = \overline{\nu}$ 66
Charge	Q=0
Interactions	weak (and gravitational) only
Flavors	3 active flavors (from Z width)
	sterile flavors?

Direct Measurements: Tritium β Decay

tritium beta decay



Mainz neutrino mass experiment (1998-2001)

 $m_{\nu_e} < 2.2 \,\mathrm{eV} ~(95\% \,\mathrm{CL})$

Double Beta Decay

 $2\nu 2\beta$ vs $0\nu 2\beta$ decay



 $(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} \sim (\text{phase space}) \times (\text{nuclear m.e.})^2 \times \langle m_{\nu}^M \rangle^2$ $\langle m_{\nu}^M \rangle = \left| \Sigma_k U_{ek}^2 m_k^M \right|$

where U_{ek} is the MNS matrix

need nucleus which is β stable, but 2β unstable



Neutrino Dark Matter

Early universe: photons in thermal equilibrium

 $e^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \gamma + \gamma, \qquad \gamma + e^- \leftrightarrow \gamma + e^-, \dots$ Photons decouple at $T_{\gamma}^{recom} = 4000 \,\text{K}$, get red-shifted to $T_{\gamma}^{now} = 2.7 \,\text{K}$ Energy density given by Stefan-Boltzmann law

$$\rho_{\gamma} = 4\frac{\pi^{2}}{60}T_{\gamma}^{4} = 4 \cdot 10^{-34} \mathrm{g\,cm^{-3}}$$

Early universe: neutrinos equilibrate via

$$e^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \nu_e + \bar{\nu}_e, \quad \nu_e + e^- \leftrightarrow \nu_e + e^-, \dots$$

Neutrinos decouple at $T_{\nu}^{dec} = 10^{10} \,\mathrm{K}.$

Photons get reheated by $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. Today

$$T_{\nu} = T_{\gamma} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{1/3} = 1.94 \,\mathrm{K}$$

Ο

N) 2(

 \mathcal{O}

Energy density of massless neutrinos

$$\rho_{\nu} = \frac{7}{2} N_{\nu} \frac{\pi^2}{60} T_{\nu}^4 = 0.7 \,\rho_{\gamma} \qquad (N_{\nu} = 3)$$

Number density today $n_{\nu} \sim 330 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$.

Massive neutrinos contribute to the energy density of the universe. If neutrinos relativistic at decoupling then the number density is not affected by m. Energy density

 $\rho_{\nu} = m n_{\nu}$

Simplest constraint:

universe is flat \rightarrow restricts the neutrino mass Energy budget of the universe OS(NIC-IX)2 $\Omega_{DM} \approx 0.23 \pm 0.04$ $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73 \pm 0.04$ \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O} $\Omega_B = 0.044 \pm 0.004$ $\Omega_{\nu} = 0.02 \left(\sum \frac{m_{\nu}}{1 \,\mathrm{eV}} \right) \left(\frac{72 \mathrm{km \, sec^{-1} \, Mpc^{-1}}}{H_0} \right)^2$

$$m_{\nu_e} + m_{\nu_{\mu}} + m_{\nu_{\tau}} < 25 \,\mathrm{eV}$$

Power spectrum of background radiation (WMAP) provides much stronger constraints DS(NIC $m_{\nu_e} + m_{\nu_{\mu}} + m_{\nu_{\tau}} < 1 \,\mathrm{eV}$ How? One way is that m_{ν} changes the expansion rate of the universe At the surface of last scattering, this leads to a change in the sound horizon

This changes the position of peaks and troughs in the anisotropy spectrum which is measured by WMAP

Another avenue: Power spectrum + large scale structure

000

Neutrino mass also changes large scale structure

On small scales $(\lambda_{fs} < 1 \operatorname{Mpc} \left(\frac{1 \operatorname{eV}}{\operatorname{m}_{\nu}}\right) (1+z)^{1/2})$ it damps the growth of large scale structure since it can freely stream in and out of small density perturbations (larger thermal speed of the neutrino as opposed to the dark matter)

On large scales it acts like dark matter

Combination analysis of WMAP and large scale structure could put limits on the sum of the active neutrino masses of tenths of an eV.

Where are we with 'direct' measures of neutrino mass?1. $m_{\nu_e} < 2.2 \mathrm{eV}$ from ³H decay2. No detection yet from double beta decay

3. From the Cosmic Microwave Background $\sum m_{\nu} < 1 \mathrm{eV}$

High Energy Astrophysical Neutrinos

Atmospheric neutrino flux dies off at 10^{14} - $10^{15}\ {\rm eV}$



- \rightarrow but the neutrinos survive
- 2. Exotic Sources \rightarrow dark matter annihilation and decay
- 3. GZK neutrinos \rightarrow Neutrinos from Cosmic Rays

How to detect? Ice Cube, ANITA, etc...

GZK neutrinos

Origin and Nature of the Cosmic Rays Particles are accelerated by extragalactic sources Proton hits microwave background photon $p + \gamma \rightarrow n_{\perp}^{+} + \pi^{-}$, $\pi^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}, \ \mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e} \nu_{\mu}$ This is the "GZK effect" and it sets in about 4×10^{19} eV. Look for the 10^{18} eV neutrinos from this scattering in km³ detectors.

Event rates for neutrinos about 10^{15} eV are predicted to be at 0.1 to 1 event per km³ per year.

Supernova Neutrinos



Current detectors will record 1000's of events SuperK: $\sim 8000 \quad \bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$ events SNO: $\sim 500 \quad \nu + d \rightarrow \nu + p + n$ SNO: $\sim 80 \quad \nu_e + d \rightarrow e^- + p + n$ KamLAND: $\sim 300 \quad \bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow n + e^+$ KamLAND: $\sim 100s \quad \nu + p \rightarrow \nu + p$

Supernova Neutrinos

All types of neutrinos emanate from the proto-neutron star core. They travel through the outer layers of the SN, then to earth.



SN newtrinos provide unique opportunity to "see" the center of a SN.

Measuring the Supernova Neutrino Signal

Why? Neutrinos are our window deep into the core of the Supernova Different neutrino transport calculations predict different spectra



Do all core-collapse events produce these neutrinos?

- Actually, not all core-collapse are predicted to produce proto-neutron stars
- Some are predicted to produce black holes
- And a very small number are predicted to produce accretion disks around black holes...

Z



Neutrino Surfaces for the proto-neutron star and the accretion disk



Energies and Spectra of Neutrinos are similar... Proto-neutron stars have $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e, \nu_\mu, \bar{\nu}_\mu, \nu_\tau, \bar{\nu}_\tau$ Disks have primarily $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e$

Gamma Ray Bursts

- characteristics
 - few second bursts of \sim MeV photons, isotropic on the sky
 - $-~10^{51}-10^{54}$ erg if isotropic, but beamed to a few %
 - Two classes: Long (> 0.2s) and short (< 0.2s) Kouvelietou et al 1993
- Long Bursts afterglows
 - x-ray, radio, optical counterparts, 3 hours-days, months after γ s
 - SN bumps in a couple of light curves e.g. Stanek et al 2003
 - Asssociation with Type Ib/c supernovae
- Long Bursts Association with host galaxies

What astrophysical sites?

Long Bursts - Rare type of Core Collapse SN Short Bursts - Neutron Star Mergers?

<u>Accretion Disks around Black Holes</u> may produce Gamma Ray Bursts

Furthermore, energy deposition from $\nu_e \bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ may be important



 $\dot{m} = 1 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $L_{\nu\bar{\nu}} = 10^{50} \mathrm{erg} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $L_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/L_{\nu} = 10^{-3}$ $\dot{m} = 0.1 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $L_{\nu\bar{\nu}} = 4 \times 10^{47} \mathrm{erg} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, $L_{\nu\bar{\nu}}/L_{\nu} = 10^{-4}$

Galactic Accretion Disk Neutrinos in a Supernova Detector

How will you know neutrinos from the next Galactic supernova come from a proto-neutron star?

Ю In SuperK: PNS AD-BH, m = 1.0100.0 AD-BH, m≥0. events per MeV AD-BH, $\dot{m}=0.0$ 10.0 1.0 0.1 0 30 40 50 60 0 30 50 60 20 10 20 40 10 energy (MeV) energy (MeV)

But you can distinguish accretion disks from proto-neutron stars by comparing charged and neutral current signals or looking at time profiles

Astrophysical neutrinos can give us information we can't get any other way

- They show up in the early universe, core collapse supernovae, and GRBs
- Future neutrino detections will tell us quite a lot