

Remarks on left-handed lattice fermions *

Christof Gattringer

University of Graz, Austria

E-mail: christof.gattringer@uni-graz.at

Markus Pak

University of Graz, Austria

E-mail: markus.pak@stud.uni-graz.at

We study whether applying lattice projectors on a vector-like Ginsparg-Wilson Dirac operator is the only way to construct left-handed lattice fermions. Using RG transformations we derive an equation for the generating functional on the lattice, obtained by blocking from the continuum. We analyze how symmetries of the continuum theory manifest themselves in this lattice generating functional and how anomalies emerge. The formalism is applied to left-handed continuum fermions and we derive two equations that characterize the corresponding lattice symmetries. To analyze possible solutions, we directly block a parameterized action for free continuum fermions to obtain the corresponding lattice action. Subsequently we study parameter values where the continuum action corresponds to left-handed fermions.

The XXV International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory
July 30 - August 4, 2007
Regensburg, Germany

*Based on presentations by both authors.

1. Introduction

Understanding the implementation of chiral symmetry for vector-like theories on the lattice was one of the major achievements in this field. The central equation which governs chiral symmetry on the lattice is the Ginsparg-Wilson (GW) relation [1] which a lattice Dirac operator D has to obey

$$\gamma_5 D + D \gamma_5 = D \gamma_5 D. \quad (1.1)$$

This quadratic equation is the lattice manifestation of the anti-commutator $\gamma_5 \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D} \gamma_5 = 0$, which a massless vector-like Dirac operator \mathcal{D} obeys in the continuum. Based on this equation a wealth of beautiful structure was discovered, such as the lattice version of chiral symmetry [2] and the relation to gauge field topology through the index theorem and the axial anomaly [3]. Solutions of (1.1) are given by the overlap operator [4] and fixed-point fermions [5].

Once the vector-like chiral symmetry was understood on the lattice, this opened the door towards the construction of chiral, e.g., left-handed lattice fermions. The goal of such a construction is to find the lattice form of left-handed fermions, described by a projected continuum Dirac operator

$$\mathcal{D}_- = \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2}. \quad (1.2)$$

A natural candidate for a left-handed lattice Dirac operator is obtained by projecting a vector-like solution D of the Ginsparg-Wilson equation:

$$D_-^a = \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} D = D \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5 [\mathbb{1} - D]}{2}. \quad (1.3)$$

A projector $[\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5]/2$ is applied from the left. When using the Ginsparg-Wilson equation (1.1), the projection from the left can be rewritten into a left-handed projector with a modified γ_5 -term, acting from the right. This type of projection and its consequences for chiral gauge theories were analyzed in various papers (see [6] for a selection).

Since in (1.3) the projections from the left and from the right appear in an asymmetric way, we refer to the construction (1.3) as the *asymmetrically projected Dirac operator* and indicate the asymmetry by the superscript a in D_-^a .

An interesting aspect is the fact that the projected operator D_-^a does not obey the GW equation (1.1). This is potentially worrisome since the left-handed continuum operator \mathcal{D}_- does anti-commute with γ_5 ,

$$\gamma_5 \mathcal{D}_- + \mathcal{D}_- \gamma_5 = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

and the GW equation is the lattice manifestation of this anti-commutator. This observation raises the question whether all of the symmetry of left-handed continuum fermions has been transported onto the lattice in an optimal way. A possible source of complications might be the fact that first the symmetries of a vector-like theory were transported onto the lattice and the chiral projectors were then constructed from the vector-like objects.

In this paper we study whether first constructing the vector-like lattice Dirac operator which obeys (1.1), followed by the subsequent projection (1.3), is the only way to obtain left-handed lattice fermions. As an alternative idea we omit the intermediate step of a vector-like lattice theory and analyze the possibility to directly map the symmetries of left-handed continuum fermions onto

the lattice. We show that left-handed lattice fermions should obey two equations which have a structure similar to the Ginsparg-Wilson relation, i.e., are quadratic equations for a left-handed lattice Dirac operator D_- . We refer to these equations as the *chiral Ginsparg-Wilson equations* (χ -GW). We demonstrate that if a left-handed lattice Dirac operator D_- obeys both of them, it also obeys the vector-like GW equation, i.e., implements correctly also the anti-commutator (1.4) from the continuum. Assuming the existence of a solution of the χ -GW equations, we show that a closed algebra of projectors emerges.

We analyze the relation between the continuum symmetries and their lattice counterparts further by blocking free continuum fermions onto the lattice. The continuum action we use, as well as our blocking kernel contain free parameters. In the action these parameters allow to interpolate between vector-like and chiral fermions, while in the blocking kernel the parameters can be used to switch on and off the mixing of different components in the blocking procedure. The lattice fermion action is obtained as a function of our parameters and we discuss different limits of their values which are related to left-handed fermions.

2. Mapping continuum symmetries onto the lattice

In this section we discuss how symmetries of the continuum theory manifest themselves in the generating functional on the lattice, which is constructed by blocking from the continuum.

2.1 The generating functional and its symmetries

The starting point of our analysis is the equation which defines the Dirac operator D on the lattice through a blocking transformation from the fermionic continuum action S_F :

$$e^{-\bar{\psi}D\psi} = \int D[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] e^{-S_F[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] - [\bar{\psi} - \bar{\Phi}^B]_B [\psi - \Phi^B]} . \quad (2.1)$$

The lattice fermions are denoted by $\bar{\psi}, \psi$ and we use vector/matrix notation for all indices (space-time, color, Dirac). $\bar{\Phi}, \Phi$ are the fermion fields in the continuum which enter the path integral on the right-hand side. Through integrating over hypercubes which are centered at the points of the lattice one constructs from them the blocked fields $\bar{\Phi}^B, \Phi^B$. These then live on the sites of the lattice and consequently they have the same indices as the lattice fields $\bar{\psi}, \psi$, in particular a discrete space-time index. The blocking kernel B determines how the blocked fields $\bar{\Phi}^B, \Phi^B$ and the lattice fields $\bar{\psi}, \psi$ are mixed in the bilinear form in the exponent. We remark, that Eq. (2.1) is understood in a background gauge field which also has to be blocked in a suitable way [7]. The work by Ginsparg and Wilson [1] starts from Eq. (2.1) and analyzes its behavior under a chiral rotation of the lattice fields. Hasenfratz et al explore this equation by analyzing its saddle point [8].

Here we take a slightly different approach and consider the generating functional on the lattice defined as

$$W[\bar{J}, J] = \int D[\bar{\psi}, \psi] e^{-\bar{\psi}D\psi + \bar{\psi}J + \bar{J}\psi} , \quad (2.2)$$

where we have coupled sources \bar{J} and J to the lattice fermions. Inserting the exponential of the action from Eq. (2.1) we find an expression for the generating functional through a blocking prescription:

$$W[\bar{J}, J] = \int D[\bar{\psi}, \psi] e^{\bar{\psi}J + \bar{J}\psi} \int D[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] e^{-S_F[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] - [\bar{\psi} - \bar{\Phi}^B]_B [\psi - \Phi^B]}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int D[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] e^{-\bar{\Phi}^B B \Phi^B - S_F[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi]} \int D[\bar{\psi}, \psi] e^{-\bar{\psi} B \psi + \bar{\psi}[J + B \Phi^B] + [\bar{J} + \bar{\Phi}^B B] \psi} \\
 &= \det[B] e^{\bar{J} B^{-1} J} \int D[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] e^{\bar{J} \Phi^B + \bar{\Phi}^B J - S_F[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi]}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In the last step we have already solved the Gaussian integral over the lattice fields and obtain an expression for the lattice generating functional in terms of a continuum path integral.

We now explore how a symmetry of the continuum fermion action S_F affects the lattice generating functional $W[\bar{J}, J]$. In particular we consider a transformation of the continuum fields,

$$\bar{\Phi} \rightarrow \bar{\Phi}' = \bar{\Phi} e^{i\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{T}}, \quad \Phi \rightarrow \Phi' = e^{i\varepsilon T} \Phi. \tag{2.4}$$

The generators \bar{T}, T of the transformations we consider here are Dirac matrices¹. This implies that the blocked fields $\bar{\Phi}^B$ and Φ^B transform in the same way, since the blocking from $\bar{\Phi}, \Phi$ to $\bar{\Phi}^B, \Phi^B$ is a purely scalar operation, in other words, the blocked fields are essentially linear combinations of the continuum fields. We stress that the generators \bar{T} and T are independent transformations, i.e., $\bar{\Phi}$ and Φ need not transform in the same way.

Let us now assume that the transformation (2.4) is a symmetry of the action,

$$S_F[\bar{\Phi}', \Phi'] = S_F[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi]. \tag{2.5}$$

We can also evaluate the integral over the continuum fields in the last line of (2.3) using the transformed variables $\bar{\Phi}', \Phi'$. Doing so and exploring the invariance of the action, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int D[\bar{\Phi}', \Phi'] e^{-S_F[\bar{\Phi}', \Phi'] + \bar{J} \Phi^{B'} + \bar{\Phi}^{B'} J} \\
 &= \int D[\bar{\Phi} e^{i\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{T}}, e^{i\varepsilon T} \Phi] e^{-S_F[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] + \bar{J} e^{i\varepsilon T} \Phi^B + \bar{\Phi}^B e^{i\varepsilon T} J} \\
 &= \left[1 + i\varepsilon \mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] \int D[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] e^{-S_F[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] + \bar{J} e^{i\varepsilon T} \Phi^B + \bar{\Phi}^B e^{i\varepsilon T} J}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In the last step we have transformed the measure of the continuum path integral and taken into account that the transformation (2.4) could be anomalous with the anomaly $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T}$ showing up in the Jacobian of the transformation [9]. Since later we will evaluate all expressions up to $O(\varepsilon)$ we have kept only the leading term of the Jacobian. For non-anomalous transformations \bar{T}, T one has $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T} = 0$.

Inserting the result (2.6) back into the expression (2.3) for the generating functional we find that the continuum symmetry (2.4), (2.5) implies the following symmetry of the generating functional on the lattice:

$$W[\bar{J}, J] = e^{\bar{J}[B^{-1} - e^{i\varepsilon T} B^{-1} e^{i\varepsilon \bar{T}}]J} \left[1 + i\varepsilon \mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] W[\bar{J} e^{i\varepsilon T}, e^{i\varepsilon \bar{T}} J]. \tag{2.7}$$

Equation (2.7) summarizes how a continuum symmetry reflects itself in the lattice generating functional $W[\bar{J}, J]$ constructed through blocking.

¹More general transformations are possible (see [8]).

2.2 Identification of the corresponding lattice symmetries

Having analyzed the manifestation of a continuum symmetry, we now want to identify a corresponding symmetry on the lattice which also fulfills the symmetry condition (2.7). For that purpose we consider transformed lattice fields

$$\bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi} e^{i\varepsilon \bar{M}} \quad , \quad \psi' = e^{i\varepsilon M} \psi . \quad (2.8)$$

The two transformations \bar{M}, M are not yet known and we want to identify how they depend on \bar{T}, T and the blocking kernel B . The fact that the transformation should be a symmetry of the lattice action implies

$$\bar{\psi}' D \psi' = \bar{\psi} e^{i\varepsilon \bar{M}} D e^{i\varepsilon M} \psi \stackrel{!}{=} \bar{\psi} D \psi . \quad (2.9)$$

For later use we remark that when expanded in ε , the invariance condition (2.9) at $O(\varepsilon)$ implies the commutation relation

$$\bar{M} D + D M = 0 . \quad (2.10)$$

As we have done for the continuum expression in the last section, we now express the generating functional (2.2) in terms of the transformed fields $\bar{\psi}', \psi'$ and explore the implications of the symmetry (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} W[\bar{J}, J] &= \int D[\bar{\psi}', \psi'] e^{-\bar{\psi}' D \psi' + \bar{J} \psi' + \bar{\psi}' J} , \\ &= \det[e^{i\varepsilon \bar{M}}] \det[e^{i\varepsilon M}] \int D[\bar{\psi}, \psi] e^{-\bar{\psi} D \psi + \bar{\psi} e^{i\varepsilon \bar{M}} J + \bar{J} e^{i\varepsilon M} \psi} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The two Jacobi determinants up front come from the transformation of the measure on the lattice. Using the formula $\det A = \exp(\text{tr} \log A)$ we can expand them as

$$\det[e^{i\varepsilon \bar{M}}] \det[e^{i\varepsilon M}] = 1 + i\varepsilon \text{tr}[\bar{M} + M] + O(\varepsilon^2) . \quad (2.12)$$

Combining the last two equations we find the symmetry relation for the generating functional which is implied by the lattice symmetry (2.9),

$$W[\bar{J}, J] = \left[1 + i\varepsilon \text{tr}[\bar{M} + M] + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] W[\bar{J} e^{i\varepsilon M}, e^{i\varepsilon \bar{M}} J] . \quad (2.13)$$

Now the key idea is to compare the symmetry condition (2.13) from the lattice transformation to the corresponding continuum relation (2.7). By setting the two equal we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\bar{J}[B^{-1} - e^{i\varepsilon T} B^{-1} e^{i\varepsilon T}]J} \left[1 + i\varepsilon \mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] W[\bar{J} e^{i\varepsilon T}, e^{i\varepsilon \bar{T}} J] \\ = \left[1 + i\varepsilon \text{tr}[\bar{M} + M] + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] W[\bar{J} e^{i\varepsilon M}, e^{i\varepsilon \bar{M}} J] , \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

which we can use to identify the lattice transformation \bar{M}, M which matches the continuum transformation \bar{T}, T . The last step is to insert the explicit form of the generating functional,

$$W[\bar{J}, J] = \det[D] e^{\bar{J} D^{-1} J} , \quad (2.15)$$

which is obtained by directly solving the Gaussian integral (2.2). When inserting (2.15), Equation (2.14) becomes (we drop the factor $\det[D]$ on both sides)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\bar{J}[B^{-1}-e^{i\varepsilon T}B^{-1}e^{i\varepsilon\bar{T}}]J} \left[1 + i\varepsilon \mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] e^{\bar{J}e^{i\varepsilon T}D^{-1}e^{i\varepsilon\bar{T}}J} \\ = \left[1 + i\varepsilon \text{tr}[\bar{M}+M] + O(\varepsilon^2) \right] e^{\bar{J}e^{i\varepsilon M}D^{-1}e^{i\varepsilon\bar{M}}J}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

The last equation holds for arbitrary ε and arbitrary sources \bar{J}, J . Thus the terms bilinear in \bar{J} and J , as well as the $O(\varepsilon)$ terms independent of \bar{J}, J have to match. For the latter term we conclude

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T} = \text{tr}[\bar{M}+M], \quad (2.17)$$

and thus have identified the lattice counterpart of the continuum anomaly.

The terms bilinear in the sources \bar{J}, J lead to a symmetry relation for the quark propagator,

$$B^{-1} - e^{i\varepsilon T}B^{-1}e^{i\varepsilon\bar{T}} + e^{i\varepsilon T}D^{-1}e^{i\varepsilon\bar{T}} = e^{i\varepsilon M}D^{-1}e^{i\varepsilon\bar{M}}. \quad (2.18)$$

When expanding in ε one obtains at $O(\varepsilon)$

$$T[D^{-1} - B^{-1}] + [D^{-1} - B^{-1}]\bar{T} = MD^{-1} + D^{-1}\bar{M}. \quad (2.19)$$

This equation is solved by

$$M = T[\mathbb{1} - B^{-1}D] \quad , \quad \bar{M} = [\mathbb{1} - DB^{-1}]\bar{T}. \quad (2.20)$$

These are the generators of the lattice symmetry which we wanted to construct. They depend on the continuum generators \bar{T}, T , the blocking kernel B and the lattice Dirac operator D . Inserting \bar{M}, M into the symmetry relation (2.10) one ends up with a non-linear equation for the lattice Dirac operator D ,

$$\bar{T}D + DT = D[B^{-1}\bar{T} + TB^{-1}]D, \quad (2.21)$$

which is a generalization of the GW equation (see also [8] for a similar result).

When inserting the explicit form (2.20) into the anomaly equation (2.17) one obtains the final form for the anomaly

$$\mathcal{A}_{\bar{T}T} = \text{tr}[T + \bar{T} - TB^{-1}D - DB^{-1}\bar{T}]. \quad (2.22)$$

It is easy to check that when blocking a vector-like continuum theory with a blocking kernel $B = 2 \cdot \mathbb{1}$, and considering a chiral rotation in the continuum, the equation (2.21) reduces to the usual GW relation (1.1), the generators \bar{M}, M of (2.20) are the generators of Lüscher's symmetry [2] and the anomaly assumes the form

$$\mathcal{A} = -\text{tr}[\gamma_5 D]. \quad (2.23)$$

3. Symmetries of left-handed fermions

Having established the general connection between symmetries in the continuum and their lattice counterparts, we can start to focus on the case of left-handed fermions.

3.1 Symmetries in the continuum

To identify a suitable continuum symmetry which is specific for left-handed fermions we consider the Euclidean action

$$S[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] = \int d^4x \bar{\Phi}(x) \mathcal{D}_- \Phi(x). \quad (3.1)$$

The left-handed Dirac operator \mathcal{D}_- in the continuum is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_- = \gamma_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2}, \quad (3.2)$$

where \mathcal{D}_μ denotes the covariant derivative.

The action defined through (3.1) and (3.2) has several symmetries. Here we focus on two symmetry transformations which are not shared by a vector-like theory and are particular for the left-handed action. We consider the two relations

$$\mathbb{1} \mathcal{D}_- + \mathcal{D}_- \gamma_5 = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\gamma_5 \mathcal{D}_- - \mathcal{D}_- \mathbb{1} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

which we will use for characterizing the left-handed Dirac operator on the lattice. Summing (3.3) and (3.4) one obtains the anti-commutator (1.4). We stress however, that the anti-commutator (1.4) is not specific for left-handed fermions, since it is also obeyed by the massless vector-like Dirac operator. On the other hand (3.3) and (3.4) are not symmetries of the vector-like operator and thus are suitable for characterizing left-handed fermions.

3.2 The lattice counterparts of the continuum symmetries

The next step is to identify the lattice symmetries that correspond to (3.3) and (3.4). We begin with the first equation (3.3). The corresponding symmetry transformation is characterized by $\bar{T} = \mathbb{1}$ and $T = \gamma_5$. Using these in the expression (2.20), which identifies the corresponding symmetry transformation on the lattice we obtain

$$M = \gamma_5 [\mathbb{1} - B^{-1} D_-] \quad , \quad \bar{M} = [\mathbb{1} - D_- B^{-1}]. \quad (3.5)$$

In this formula D_- denotes the Dirac operator of the target theory, i.e., left-handed fermions on the lattice.

Inserting this into Eq. (2.10), which expresses the symmetry on the lattice, we obtain the following equation for the Dirac operator:

$$\mathbb{1} D_- + D_- \gamma_5 = D_- [\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5] B^{-1} D_-. \quad (3.6)$$

This relation is the lattice equivalent of the continuum equation (3.3) and we refer to it (together with (3.8) below) as the *chiral Ginsparg-Wilson relation* (χ -GW). Similar to the vector-like GW

relation (1.1), we have obtained a quadratic piece on the right-hand side. The blocking kernel B has dimension of 1/length, such that when introducing the lattice constant a as scale, the right-hand side obtains an extra factor of a . Thus in a naive continuum limit, $a \rightarrow 0$, the right-hand side vanishes and the commutation relation (3.3) of the continuum is recovered.

In exactly the same way we can transport the second basic continuum symmetry (3.4) onto the lattice. In this case the continuum generators are $\bar{T} = -\gamma_5$ and $T = \mathbb{1}$ and one obtains the corresponding lattice symmetry generators

$$\tilde{M} = [\mathbb{1} - B^{-1}\tilde{D}_-] \quad , \quad \tilde{\bar{M}} = -\gamma_5 [\mathbb{1} - \tilde{D}_-B^{-1}] . \quad (3.7)$$

We stress the fact that this symmetry corresponds to the second continuum relation (3.4) by denoting the corresponding lattice symmetry generators by $\tilde{\bar{M}}, \tilde{M}$ and the lattice Dirac operator by \tilde{D}_- . The equation \tilde{D}_- has to obey again follows from (2.10) and reads

$$\tilde{D}_- \mathbb{1} - \gamma_5 \tilde{D}_- = \tilde{D}_- B^{-1} [\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5] \tilde{D}_- . \quad (3.8)$$

This is the second χ -GW relation.

The two χ -GW relations (3.6) and (3.8) are the lattice representations of the two symmetry transformations (3.3), (3.4) which characterize left-handed continuum fermions. It is important to note, that in the continuum both (3.3) and (3.4) are obeyed by the left-handed operator \mathcal{D}_- , while at this point it is not clear whether on the lattice a simultaneous solution $D_- = \tilde{D}_-$ of both χ -GW equations (3.6), (3.8) can be found. We stress that the asymmetrically projected operator D_-^a obeys only one of them, Eq. (3.8).

If for the moment we assume that such a common solution $D_- = \tilde{D}_-$ exists, then we can subtract (3.8) from (3.6) and obtain

$$\gamma_5 D_- + D_- \gamma_5 = D_- [B^{-1} \gamma_5 + \gamma_5 B^{-1}] D_- . \quad (3.9)$$

Thus if D_- obeys both χ -GW relations (3.6), (3.8), it obeys also the vector-like GW equation (3.9) which is the lattice form of the continuum anti-commutator (1.4).

At this point we stress that the last argument works both ways: If a lattice Dirac operator D_- solves one of the two χ -GW relations and the vector-like GW (3.9), then it also solves the other χ -GW. Thus obeying any two of the equations (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9) is equivalent to obeying all three of them.

Let us conclude this section with a few remarks on possible solutions of the two χ -GW relations (3.6) and (3.8). It is obvious, that a Dirac operator of the form

$$D_- = \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} D_0 \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2} , \quad (3.10)$$

which is obtained by projecting some lattice operator D_0 on both sides, trivially obeys (3.6) and (3.8), since for both equations left- and right-hand sides vanish identically. Unfortunately such a double sided projection rules out a term proportional to $\mathbb{1}$ which is needed for removing the doublers². At the moment the structure of a joint solution for both χ -GW equations, whether such a joint solution is possible at all, and how it is related to the Nielsen-Ninomya result [10] is unclear. We stress however, that all results in the section are for arbitrary blocking kernel B . This allows for several interesting choices which still have to be explored.

²We thank Peter Hasenfratz for an interesting discussion on this point.

3.3 Chiral projectors

We now show, that the approach of transferring the continuous left-handed symmetries (3.3), (3.4) onto the lattice, gives rise to a consistent set of left- and right-handed lattice projectors. We demonstrate this here for the projectors acting from the left, which are constructed using (3.6). Projectors acting from the right can be obtained in the same way from (3.8) and in the end we quote the corresponding expressions.

It is obvious that (3.6) can be rewritten in the form (a factor of 1/2 was multiplied)

$$D_- P_+ = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

with

$$P_+ = \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} - \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} B^{-1} D_- . \quad (3.12)$$

Thus we can identify P_+ as a candidate for a right-handed projector acting from the right.

A left-handed projector P_- , acting also from the right, which corresponds to P_+ can be uniquely defined through $P_- = \mathbb{1} - P_+$. Explicitly P_- is given by

$$P_- = \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} B^{-1} D_- . \quad (3.13)$$

In order to establish that P_+ and P_- are proper projectors one needs to show that they obey

$$P_+ + P_- = \mathbb{1} \quad , \quad P_{\pm}^2 = P_{\pm} \quad , \quad P_+ P_- = P_- P_+ = 0 . \quad (3.14)$$

The first of these relations is trivially obeyed due to the definition of $P_- = \mathbb{1} - P_+$. The other properties are a direct consequence of the χ -GW relation (3.6): One evaluates the product of two projectors and the emerging piece quadratic in D_- is then rewritten using (3.6). The properties listed in (3.14) follow.

For constructing the projectors acting on the left, which one obtains from \tilde{D}_- , one proceeds in exactly the same way (exploring Eq. (3.8)), and we only quote the final expressions:

$$\tilde{P}_- \tilde{D}_- = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\tilde{P}_{\pm} = \frac{\mathbb{1} \pm \gamma_5}{2} \pm \tilde{D}_- B^{-1} \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\tilde{P}_+ + \tilde{P}_- = \mathbb{1} \quad , \quad \tilde{P}_{\pm}^2 = \tilde{P}_{\pm} \quad , \quad \tilde{P}_+ \tilde{P}_- = \tilde{P}_- \tilde{P}_+ = 0 . \quad (3.17)$$

Comparing (3.16) with (3.12) and (3.13) one observes that the projectors acting from the left have the same structure as the ones acting from the right, i.e., the terms quadratic in D_- , \tilde{D}_- appear symmetrically.

4. Blocking parameterized free fermions from the continuum

In the last section we have discussed how the symmetries of left-handed continuum fermions are transported onto the lattice with the help of a block-spin transformation. While above we considered the blocking transformation in the background of a (suitably blocked) gauge field, we now discuss the free case where the blocking transformation from the continuum can be evaluated in closed form. To obtain more general results we use a parameterized continuum action where, depending on the values of some parameters, we interpolate between a chiral- and a vector-like theory. Furthermore we consider a parameterized blocking kernel which allows one to turn on and off mixing between different components.

4.1 Blocked action for free parameterized fermions

The parameterized continuum action we use as a starting point has the form

$$S_{cont}[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] = \int d^4x \bar{\Phi}(x) \bar{M}_{\bar{q}} \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} M_q \Phi(x), \quad (4.1)$$

where $M_q, \bar{M}_{\bar{q}}$ denote matrices in Dirac space, depending on a set of parameters q, \bar{q} . A possible choice for such a matrix is

$$M_q = q \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2}. \quad (4.2)$$

The real parameter q allows to interpolate between chiral ($q = 0$) and vector-like theories ($q = 1$). For $q \neq 0$ M is invertible, while for $q = 0$, M turns into a left-handed projector P_- which has no inverse.

As in (2.1) we perform a block-spin transformation to obtain the lattice action $S_{latt}[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi]$,

$$e^{-S_{latt}[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi]} = \int D[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] e^{-S_{cont}[\bar{\Phi}, \Phi] - [\bar{\Psi} - \bar{\Phi}^B]_{B_{r,s,t}} [\Psi - \Phi^B]}. \quad (4.3)$$

In our blocking prescription also the blocking kernel $B_{r,s,t}$ is augmented with real parameters r, s and t . A possible choice is

$$B_{r,s,t} = \left[r \mathbb{1} + s \gamma_4 \right] \left[t \frac{\mathbb{1} + \gamma_5}{2} + \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2} \right]. \quad (4.4)$$

This rather general form allows to switch between several cases: For $r = 1, s = 0$ one has maximal mixing between left- and right-handed components, while for $r = 0, s = 1$ there is no mixing. In addition the second factor allows to turn off ($t = 0$) the right-handed components also in the blocking kernel. This implies, that also the blocking kernel is not invertible for all values of the parameters.

Following [7], the blocked fields $\bar{\Phi}^B, \Phi^B$ are constructed by integrating the continuum fields over hypercubes c_n centered at the points n of a four-dimensional lattice:

$$\bar{\Phi}_n^B = \int_{c_n} d^4x \bar{\Phi}(x), \quad \Phi_n^B = \int_{c_n} d^4x \Phi(x). \quad (4.5)$$

When switching to momentum space, the relation between the blocked and the original continuum fields assumes the form (again we use lattice units, i.e., $a = 1$)

$$\hat{\Phi}^B(q) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \hat{\Phi}(q + 2\pi k) \Pi(q + 2\pi k), \quad \Pi(q) = \prod_{\mu=1}^4 \frac{2 \sin(q_{\mu}/2)}{q_{\mu}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Working in momentum space, the block-spin transformation (4.3) can be solved in closed form. Applying the techniques of [7] we end up with the general result for the parameterized lattice action:

$$S_{latt}[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d^4q \hat{\bar{\Psi}}(q) \hat{D}(q) \hat{\Psi}(-q). \quad (4.7)$$

The lattice Dirac operator is given by

$$\hat{D}(q) = \bar{M}_{\bar{q}} [M_q B_{r,s,t}^{-1} \bar{M}_{\bar{q}} - i \gamma_{\mu} V_{\mu}(q)]^{-1} M_q, \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$V_\mu(q) = \sum_{k_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^4} \Pi(q + 2\pi k)^2 \frac{(q + 2\pi k)_\mu}{(q + 2\pi k)^2}. \quad (4.9)$$

Before discussing left-handed fermions in the next subsection, we stress that the parameterized formula was tested by setting $M_q = \overline{M}_q = \mathbb{1}$ and $B_{r,s,t} = 2\mathbb{1}$, which reproduces the vector-like lattice fermions of [7].

4.2 Different choices of the parameters

The result (4.8), (4.9) with the free parameters q, \bar{q}, r, s and t provides an interesting laboratory for exploring the interplay of the symmetries of the continuum action and the various choices of the blocking kernel.

First we remark, that the result (4.8) can be recast into a second form which sheds a different light on the interplay between M_q, \overline{M}_q and $B_{r,s,t}$. Furthermore in the alternative form the inverse of the blocking kernel is not needed and it is straightforward to perform a limit of the parameters where $B_{r,s,t}$ is proportional to a projector. Simple algebraic manipulations lead to

$$\hat{D}(q) = \left[\mathbb{1} - i B_{r,s,t} \gamma_\mu M_q^{-1} V_\mu(q) \overline{M}_q^{-1} \right]^{-1} B_{r,s,t}. \quad (4.10)$$

For the interpretation of the final results it is interesting to know the behavior of the functions $V_\mu(q)$. One can show that they behave as

$$V_\mu(q) \sim \begin{cases} q_\mu/q^2 & \text{for the physical branch,} \\ 0 & \text{for the doublers.} \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

A possible naive choice which is expected to give free left-handed lattice fermions is to set

$$M_q = \overline{M}_q = \mathbb{1} \quad , \quad B_{r,s,t} = c \gamma_4 \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2}. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus in the blocking kernel we only allow left-handed components to survive. This choice leads to an operator of the form

$$D_- = \frac{(c + v_4) \gamma_4 + v_j \gamma_j}{(c + v_4)^2 + \vec{v}^2} \frac{\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5}{2}. \quad (4.13)$$

This operator is free of doublers and reaches the correct limit of a single left-handed fermion. However, a detailed analysis reveals singularities in momentum space for arbitrary values of c which give rise to a non-local action.

An alternative choice which we currently explore, is to use a blocking kernel obeying

$$M_q B_{r,s,t}^{-1} \overline{M}_q = Q, \quad (4.14)$$

with Q being an arbitrary constant matrix. The target Dirac-operator has the block-form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.15)$$

with 2×2 -matrices X and Y . By specifying the entries of Q , both χ -GW equations might be fulfilled. The properties of this construction are currently explored.

In the last subsection we have stressed, that for some parameter values our matrices M_q , $\overline{M}_{\overline{q}}$ and $B_{r,s,t}$ are not invertible - in particular when they become projectors. However, the final results (4.8) and (4.10), respectively, contain products of these matrices (see e.g. (4.8)) such that singularities may cancel, and the limits where individual matrices are singular might still lead to a finite answer. The ansatz (4.14) explores this possibility, but the consequences of this idea still have to be understood in detail.

5. Concluding remarks

In this contribution we have explored the lattice representation of the symmetries for left-handed continuum fermions. The tool we use is a generating functional for the lattice theory obtained via blocking from the continuum. With this technique we analyze how a symmetry of the continuum theory manifests itself on the lattice. As a side result we find that using the generating functional allows one also to match the fermionic continuum and lattice measures and in particular to map the anomalies.

We apply the approach to transferring the symmetry properties of left-handed continuum fermions onto the lattice. It has to be stressed that we do not map the chiral symmetry of a vector-like theory, but instead directly transport the symmetries (3.3) and (3.4) onto the lattice, which are specific for left-handed continuum fermions. This gives rise to the two χ -GW equations (3.6) and (3.8). These two equations are the direct lattice manifestations of the continuum symmetries for left-handed fermions without the intermediate step of using a vector-like lattice theory. We show that a joint solution of the two χ -GW relations gives rise to a left-handed lattice Dirac operator which also obeys the vector-like GW equation (3.9), the lattice counterpart of the anti-commutator (1.4) for left-handed continuum fermions. Furthermore we show that a joint solution of the two χ -GW generates a consistent algebra of projectors.

To analyze the approach further, and as a possible step towards an explicit construction, we consider the blocking of free fermions. Both, the action and the blocking kernel have free parameters which allow one to interpolate between chiral and vector-like theories and to select how various components couple in the blocking procedure. The blocked lattice action is given in closed form, and currently different limits of the parameters, which could give rise to chiral fermions are being studied.

References

- [1] P.H. Ginsparg and K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 2649.
- [2] M. Lüscher, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 342 [arXiv:hep-lat/9802011].
- [3] P. Hasenfratz, V. Laliena and F. Niedermayer, Phys. Lett. B 427 (1998) 125 [arXiv:hep-lat/9801021].
- [4] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, Nucl. Phys. B 443 (1995) 305; H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B 417 (1998) 141.
- [5] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, Nucl. Phys. B 414 (1994) 785 [arXiv:hep-lat/9308004]; P. Hasenfratz, S. Hauswirth, K. Holland, T. Jörg, F. Niedermayer and U. Wenger, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 12 (2001) 691 [arXiv:hep-lat/0003013].

- [6] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B 549 (1999) 295 [arXiv:hep-lat/9811032]; Nucl. Phys. B 568 (2000) 162 [arXiv:hep-lat/9904009]; JHEP 0006 (2000) 028 [arXiv:hep-lat/0006014]; H. Neuberger, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 014503 [arXiv:hep-lat/0002032]; D. Kadoh and Y. Kikukawa, arXiv:0709.3658 [hep-lat]; arXiv:0709.3656 [hep-lat].
- [7] W. Bietenholz and U. J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. B 464 (1996) 319 [arXiv:hep-lat/9510026]; W. Bietenholz and U. J. Wiese, Phys. Lett. B 378 (1996) 222 [arXiv:hep-lat/9503022].
- [8] P. Hasenfratz, F. Niedermayer and R. von Allmen, JHEP 0610 (2006) 010 [arXiv:hep-lat/0606021].
- [9] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 (1979) 1195; Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 2848.
- [10] H.B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Phys. Lett. B 105 (1981) 219.