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Feynman diagrams may be evaluated by Mellin-Barnes representations of their Feynman param-
eter integrals in $d=4-2 \varepsilon$ dimensions. Recently, the Mathematica toolkit AMBRE has been developed for the automatic derivation of such representations with a loop-by-loop approach. We describe the package and exemplify its use with the $\varepsilon$-expansion of the massive one-loop QED vertex function.
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## 1. Introduction

For many of the precision predictions of observables for LHC and ILC we need the automated evaluation of multi-leg and/or multi-loop Feynman diagrams; a summary of the needs and the present status may be found in [ 1$]$.

A promising approach is the representation of the Feynman diagrams by Feynman parameter integrals and the subsequent use of Mellin-Barnes (MB) representations for their further evaluation. The method was invented in 1975 for the finite massive scalar three-point function in [2]]. Massive one-loop self-energies and vertices were investigated in $d$ dimensions in [3]. An important step was the explicit evaluation, in terms of polylogarithms, of the planar on-shell double box in [4]-7] and of the non-planar case in [8, 7]. Slightly different algorithms are used for the derivation of proper MB-representations for divergent diagrams as expansions in powers of $\varepsilon=(4-d) / 2$. The algorithm of Tausk was automated in Mathematica and Maple [9] and in Mathematica [10]; the latter package, MB , is publicly available. As input it needs some MB-integral representation and performs the $\varepsilon$ expansion. Quite recently, the Mathematica package AMBRE was published which prepares for a large class of Feynman diagrams the MB-integral representation [11].

In this contribution, we describe the package AMBRE and give a simple example of its use ${ }^{1}$.

## 2. Construction of Mellin-Barnes representations

We will use the MB-representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(A+B)^{v}}=\frac{B^{-v}}{2 \pi i \Gamma(v)} \int_{-i \infty+R}^{i \infty+R} d \sigma A^{\sigma} B^{-\sigma} \Gamma(-\sigma) \Gamma(v+\sigma) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integration contour separates the poles of the $\Gamma$-functions.
We evaluate $L$-loop Feynman integrals ${ }^{2}$ in $d=4-2 \varepsilon$ dimensions with $N$ internal lines with momenta $q_{i}$ and masses $m_{i}$, and $E$ external legs with momenta $p_{e}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{L}[T(k)]=\frac{1}{\left(i \pi^{d / 2}\right)^{L}} \int \frac{d^{d} k_{1} \ldots d^{d} k_{L} T(k)}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right)^{v_{1}} \ldots\left(q_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2}\right)^{v_{j}} \ldots\left(q_{N}^{2}-m_{N}^{2}\right)^{v_{N}}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerator $T(k)$ is a tensor in the integration variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(k)=1, k_{l}^{\mu}, k_{l}^{\mu} k_{n}^{v}, \ldots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momenta of the denominator functions $d_{i}$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=q_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2}=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} \alpha_{i l} k_{l}-P_{i}\right)^{2}-m_{i}^{2}=\left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} \alpha_{i l} k_{l}-\sum_{e=1}^{E} \beta_{i e} p_{e}\right)^{2}-m_{i}^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The momentum integrals are replaced by standard Feynman parameter integrals:

$$
G_{L}[T(k)]=\frac{(-1)^{N_{v}} \Gamma\left(N_{v}-\frac{d}{2} L\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma\left(v_{i}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{j=1}^{N} d x_{j} x_{j}^{v_{j}-1} \delta\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}\right) \frac{U(x)^{N_{v}-d(L+1) / 2}}{F(x)^{N_{v}-d L / 2}} P_{L}(T)
$$

[^1]with
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{v}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} v_{i} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The two functions $U$ and $F$ may be derived from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}\left(q_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2}\right) \equiv k M k-2 k Q+J, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{l l^{\prime}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i l^{\prime}} \alpha_{i l} x_{i}$, and $Q_{l}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i l} P_{i} x_{i}$, and $J=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(P_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2}\right) x_{i}$; namely:

$$
\begin{align*}
U(x) & =\operatorname{det}(M),  \tag{2.8}\\
F(x) & =-\operatorname{det}(M) J+Q \tilde{M} Q . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Where we may assume $M^{+}=M$. The $U$ and $F$ as well as $\tilde{M}=\operatorname{det}(M) M^{-1}$ are polynomials in $x$, and therefor also the numerator functions $P_{L}(T)$ in (2.2). We will evaluate $L$-loop integrals with the loop-by-loop iteration procedure, because the formulae simplify for one-loop integrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=M=\tilde{M}=\operatorname{det}(M)=\sum_{i}^{N} x_{i}=1,  \tag{2.10}\\
& F=-U J+Q^{2}=\sum_{i, j}^{N}\left[P_{i} P_{j}-P_{i}^{2}+m_{i}^{2}\right] x_{i} x_{j} \equiv \sum_{i \leq j}^{N} f_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The simplest tensor factors $P_{1}(T)$ in (2.5) become:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1}(1) & =1,  \tag{2.12}\\
P_{1}\left(k^{\alpha}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} P_{i}^{\alpha},  \tag{2.13}\\
P_{1}\left(k^{\alpha} k^{\beta}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i} P_{i}^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j} P_{j}^{\beta}-\frac{\Gamma\left(N_{v}-\frac{d}{2}-1\right)}{\Gamma\left(N_{v}-\frac{d}{2}\right)} F \frac{g^{\alpha \beta}}{2} . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The $P_{i}^{\alpha}$ are the so-called chords introduced in (2.4). The general case is:

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1}\left(T_{m}\right) & \equiv G_{1}\left(k^{\mu_{1}} \cdots k^{\mu_{m}}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{N_{v}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \Gamma\left(v_{i}\right)} \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} d x_{i} x_{i}^{v_{i}-1} \delta\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{\Gamma\left(n-\frac{d+r}{2}\right)}{(-2)^{\frac{r}{2}} F^{n-\frac{d+1}{2}}}\left\{\mathscr{A}_{r} P^{m-r}\right\}^{\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right)}, \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

with the abbreviations $F \equiv F(x)$ and $P \equiv P_{1}\left(k^{\mu}\right)=\sum_{i} x_{i} P_{i}=\sum_{i, e} x_{i} \beta_{i e} \rho_{e}^{\mu}$. The $r$ starts from zero
 ( $\mu_{i_{1}} \ldots$ ) means the totally symmetric combination of the arguments.
In AMBRE, the tensorial numerators are assumed to be contracted with a tensor $P(m)$ composed of external momenta $p_{e}$, so that the following quantity is evaluated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(m) G_{1}\left(T_{m}\right) \equiv\left(p_{e_{1}}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots p_{e_{m}}^{\mu_{m}}\right) G_{1}\left(k^{\mu_{1}} \cdots k^{\mu_{m}}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

One now has to perform the $x$-integrations. We do this by the following simple formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \prod_{i=1}^{N} d x_{i} x_{i}^{q_{i}-1} \delta\left(1-\sum_{j} x_{j}\right)=\frac{\Gamma\left(q_{1}\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(q_{N}\right)}{\Gamma\left(q_{1}+\cdots+q_{N}\right)} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above text it is evident that the integrand of (2.5) contains besides simple sums of monomials $\prod_{i} x_{i}^{n_{i}}$ (like e.g. $Q$ and $\tilde{M}$ ) also sums of monomials with non-integer exponents. This is due to the appearance of the factors $U(x)$ and $F(x)$. One may rewrite $F(x)$ and $U(x)$ so that (2.17) becomes applicable. For the one-loop case this has to be done only for $F(x)$. In (2.11), $F(x)$ is written as a sum of $N_{F} \leq \frac{1}{2} N(N+1)$ non-vanishing, bilinear terms in $x_{i}{ }^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
F(x)^{-\left(N_{v}-d L / 2\right)}= & {\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_{F}} f_{n}(i, j) x_{i} x_{j}\right]^{-\left(N_{v}-d L / 2\right)} } \\
= & \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(N_{v}-d L / 2\right)} \frac{1}{(2 \pi i)^{N_{F}}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{F}} \int_{-i \infty+u_{i}}^{i \infty+u_{i}} d z_{i} \prod_{n=2}^{N_{F}}\left[f_{n}(i, j) x_{i} x_{j}\right]^{z_{n}} \\
& {\left[f_{1}(i, j) x_{i} x_{j}\right]^{-\left(N_{v}-d L / 2\right)-\sum_{j=2}^{N_{F} z_{j}}} \Gamma\left(N_{v}-\frac{d L}{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{N_{F}} z_{j}\right) \prod_{j=2}^{N_{F}} \Gamma\left(-z_{j}\right) . } \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $f_{n}(i, j)=f_{i j}$ if $f_{i j} \neq 0$. Inserting (2.18) and the tensor function $P(T)$ into (2.2) allows to apply (2.17) for an evaluation of the $x$-integrations.

As a result, $L$-loop scalar Feynman integrals may be represented by a single multi-dimensional MB-integral and tensor Feynman integrals by finite sums of MB-integrals.

## 3. The Mathematica package AMBRE

In this section we describe the use of the package AMBRE [11]. AMBRE stands for Automatic Mellin-Barnes Representation. It is a (semi-)automatic procedure written for multi-loop calculations. The package works with Mathematica 5.0 and later versions of it. One has to perform the following tasks:
(i) define kinematical invariants which depend on the external momenta;
(ii) make a decision about the order in which $L$ one-loop subloops $(L \geq 1)$ will be worked out sequentially;
(iii) construct a Feynman integral for the chosen subloop and perform manipulations on the corresponding $F$-polynomial to make it optimal for later use of the MB representations;
(iv) use equation (2.18);
(v) perform the integrations over Feynman parameters with equation (2.17);

[^2](vi) if needed, go back to step (iii) and repeat the steps for the next subloop until $F$ in the last, $L^{\text {th }}$ subloop will be changed into an MB-integral.

The steps (ii) and (iii) must be analyzed carefully, because there exists some freedom of choice on the order of loop integrations in step (ii) and also on the order of MB integrations in step (iii). Different choices may lead to different forms of MB-representations.

The basic functions of AMBRE are:

- Fullintegral[\{numerator\},\{propagators\},\{internal momenta\}] - is the basic function for input Feynman integrals
- invariants - is a list of invariants, e.g. invariants $=\left\{\mathbf{p} 1^{*} \mathbf{p} 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{s}\right\}$
- IntPart[iteration] - prepares a subintegral for a given internal momentum by collecting the related numerator, propagators, integration momentum
- Subloop[integral] - determines for the selected subintegral the $U$ and $F$ polynomials and an MB-representation
- ARint[result,i_] - displays the MB-representation number i for Feynman integrals with numerators
- Fauto[0] - allows user specified modifications of the $F$ polynomial fupc
- BarnesLemma[repr,1,Shifts-> True] - function tries to apply Barnes' first lemma to a given MB-representation; when Shifts-> True is set, AMBRE will try a simplifying shift of variables; the default is Shifts $->$ False
BarnesLemma[repr,2,Shifts-> True] - function tries to apply Barnes' second lemma


## 4. V312m: the one-loop massive QED vertex

As an example, we evaluate the one-loop massive QED vertex function V312m (vertex with 3 internal lines, 2 of them being massive, $m^{2}=1$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}=e^{\varepsilon \gamma_{E}} \int \frac{d^{d} k}{i \pi^{d / 2}} \frac{1}{\left(k^{2}\right)^{n_{0}}\left[\left(k+p_{1}\right)^{2}-1\right]^{n_{1}}\left[\left(k-p_{2}\right)^{2}-1\right]^{n_{2}}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding definition in AMBRE:

```
» V312m = Fullintegral[\{1\},\{PR[k, 0, n0] PR[k + p1, 1, n1] PR[k - p2, 1, n2]\},\{k\}]
```

In a next step we define the invariants:
» invariants $=\left\{p 1^{2}->1, p 2^{2}->1, p 1 p 2->(s-2) / 2\right\}$
With IntPart[1] and SubLoop[integral], we determine the $F$-polynomial for the diagram,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}+[-s] x_{1} x_{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and get a two-dimensional MB-representation. As usual, we have to replace here a positive $s$ by $s+i \varepsilon$. After setting $\{\mathbf{n} \mathbf{1}->\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{n 2 - >} \mathbf{1 , n 0 - >} \mathbf{1}\}$ and applying Barnes' first lemma,

## » MBV312m = BarnesLemma[V312m, 1]

an MB-representation for the Feynman integral is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{y}]=\frac{e^{\varepsilon \gamma_{E}} \Gamma(-2 \varepsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2 \varepsilon) 2 \pi i} \int d z(-s)^{-\varepsilon-1-z} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(-\varepsilon-z) \Gamma(-z) \Gamma(1+\varepsilon+z)}{\Gamma(-2 \varepsilon-2 z)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the subsequent step, the derivation of the $\varepsilon$-expansion, the package MB may be used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{y}]=\frac{\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[-1, \mathrm{y}]}{\varepsilon}+\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[0, \mathrm{y}]+\varepsilon \mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[1, \mathrm{y}]+\cdots, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we already introduced the conformal variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{\sqrt{-s+4}-\sqrt{-s}}{\sqrt{-s+4}+\sqrt{-s}} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Representations for the first terms of the $\varepsilon$-expansion are easily obtained with the following MB commands

```
> rules = MBoptimizedRules[MBV312m, eps -> 0, {}, {eps}]
> integrals = MBcontinue[MBV312m, eps -> 0, rules]
» expV312m = MBexpand[integrals, Exp[eps*EulerGamma], {eps, 0, n}]
```

We reproduce a few of them here:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { V312m }[-1, \mathrm{y}]= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \infty+u}^{+i \infty+u} d z(-t)^{-1-z} \frac{\Gamma^{3}[-z] \Gamma[1+z]}{\Gamma[-2 z]},  \tag{4.6}\\
\text { V312m}[0, \mathrm{y}]= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \infty+u}^{+i \infty+u} d z(-t)^{-1-z} \frac{\Gamma^{3}[-z] \Gamma[1+z]}{\Gamma[-2 z]} \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma_{E}-\ln (-s)+2 \Psi[-2 z]-2 \Psi[-z]+\Psi[1+z]\right]  \tag{4.7}\\
\text { V312m}[1, \mathrm{y}]= & \frac{1 / 4}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \infty+u}^{+i \infty+u} d z(-t)^{-1-z} \frac{\Gamma^{3}[-z] \Gamma[1+z]}{\Gamma[-2 z]} \\
& {\left[\gamma_{E}^{2}+\log [-s]^{2}+\log [-s]\left(-2 \gamma_{E}-4 \Psi[-2 z]+4 \Psi[-z]-2 \Psi[1+z]\right)\right.} \\
& +\gamma_{E}(4 \Psi[-2 z]-4 \Psi[-z]+2 \Psi[1+z]) \\
& -4 \Psi[1,-2 z]+2 \Psi[1,-z]+\Psi[1,1+z] \\
& +4\left(\Psi[-2 z]^{2}-2 \Psi[-2 z] \Psi[-z]+\Psi[-z]^{2}+\Psi[-2 z] \Psi[1+z]\right. \\
& \left.-\Psi[-z] \Psi[1+z])+\Psi[1+z]^{2}\right],  \tag{4.8}\\
\text { V312m}[2, \mathrm{y}]= & \frac{1 / 12}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \infty+u}^{+i \infty+u} d z(-t)^{-1-z} \frac{\Gamma^{3}[-z] \Gamma[1+z]}{\Gamma[-2 z]} \\
& {\left[a(z)+b(z) \Psi(0,1+z)+\Psi(2,1+z)+2 \Psi(0,1+z)^{2}+\Psi(0,1+z)^{3}\right.} \\
& \left.+3 \Psi(0,1+z) \Psi(1,1+z)+c(z)\left[\Psi(1,1+z)+2 \Psi(0,1+z)^{2}\right],\right] \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

with some coefficients $a(z), b(z), c(z)$, which depend on $\log [-s], \gamma_{E}, \Psi(k,-z), \Psi(k,-2 z)(k=$ $0,1,2)$. Here, $\Psi[z]$ and $\Psi[k, z]$ are polygamma functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { PolyGamma }[\mathrm{z}]=\text { PolyGamma }[0, \mathrm{z}]=\Psi(z)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(z)}{\Gamma(z)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =S_{1}(n)-\gamma_{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i}-\gamma_{E}, \\
\text { PolyGamma }[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{z}] & =\Psi[n, z]=\frac{d^{n} \Psi(z)}{d z^{n}}=(-1)^{n+1} n!\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z+k)^{n+1}} . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The integration path includes the straight line ranging from $(-i \infty+1 / 2)$ to $(+i \infty+1 / 2)$. Closing the path to the left, allows to express the integral as an infinite series of residua arising from the poles of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma[1+z], \Psi[k, 1+z], \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $z=-n, n=1,2, \cdots$ with different weight functions $G(z)$, e.g. for V312m $[-1, \mathrm{y}]$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=(-s)^{-1-z} \frac{\Gamma^{3}[-z]}{\Gamma[-2 z]} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting respresentations are inverse binomial sums:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{V312m}[-1, \mathrm{y}] & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)},  \tag{4.14}\\
\operatorname{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[0, \mathrm{y}] & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} S_{1}(n),  \tag{4.15}\\
\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[1, \mathrm{y}] & =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)}\left[S_{1}(n)^{2}+\zeta_{2}-S_{2}(n)\right],  \tag{4.16}\\
\operatorname{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[2, \mathrm{y}] & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)}\left[\frac{1}{12} S_{1}[n]^{3}-\frac{1}{4} S_{1}[n] S_{2}[n]+\frac{1}{4} \zeta_{2} S_{1}[n]+\frac{1}{6} S_{3}[n]-\frac{1}{6} \zeta_{3}\right], \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

etc., and the harmonic numbers $S_{k}(n)$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}(n)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\bar{k}^{k}} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The simplest of the sums may be done with Mathematica:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}[-1, \mathrm{y}]=\frac{1}{2} \frac{4 \arcsin (\sqrt{s / 2})}{\sqrt{4-s} \sqrt{s}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{-2 y}{1-y^{2}} \ln y . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other sums appearing above may be obtained from sums listed in Table 1 of Appendix D in [14]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} & =\frac{y}{y^{2}-1} 2 \ln (y),  \tag{4.20}\\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} S_{1}(n) & =\frac{y}{y^{2}-1}\left[-4 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-y)-4 \ln (y) \ln (1+y)+\ln ^{2}(y)-2 \zeta_{2}\right],  \tag{4.21}\\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} S_{1}(n)^{2} & =\frac{y}{y^{2}-1}\left[16 S_{1,2}(-y)-8 \operatorname{Li}_{3}(-y)+16 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-y) \ln (1+y)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +8 \ln ^{2}(1+y) \ln (y)-4 \ln (1+y) \ln ^{2}(y)+\frac{1}{3} \ln ^{3}(y)+8 \zeta_{2} \ln (1+y) \\
& \left.-4 \zeta_{2} \ln (y)-8 \zeta_{3}\right],  \tag{4.22}\\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} S_{2}(n)= & -\frac{y}{3\left(y^{2}-1\right)} \ln ^{3}(y),  \tag{4.23}\\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{(n)}{n}(2 n+1)} S_{1}(n)^{3}= & \frac{y}{y^{2}-1}\left[-96 S_{1,2}(-y) \ln (1+y)-96 S_{1,3}(-y)+48 S_{2,2}(-y)\right. \\
& -24 \zeta_{2} \ln ^{2}(1+y)-48 \ln ^{2}(1+y) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-y)+48 \zeta_{3} \ln (1+y) \\
& +48 \ln (1+y) \operatorname{Li}_{3}(-y)-16 \ln (y) \ln ^{3}(1+y)+24 \zeta_{2} \ln (y) \ln (1+y) \\
& +12 \ln ^{2}(y) \ln ^{2}(1+y)-2 \ln ^{3}(y) \ln (1+y)+\frac{1}{12} \ln ^{4}(y)-3 \zeta_{2} \ln ^{2}(y) \\
& +6 \ln ^{2}(y) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-y)+2 \ln ^{2}(y) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(y)-10 \zeta_{3} \ln (y)-24 \ln (y) \operatorname{Li}_{3}(-y) \\
& \left.-8 \ln (y) \operatorname{Li}_{3}(y)+3 \zeta_{4}+24 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(-y)+12 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(y)\right],  \tag{4.24}\\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} S_{3}(n)= & \frac{y}{y^{2}-1}\left[\frac{1}{12} \ln ^{4}(y)+12 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(y)+2 \ln ^{2}(y) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(y)\right. \\
& \left.-4 \zeta_{3} \ln (y)-8 \ln (y) \operatorname{Li}_{3}(y)-12 \zeta_{4}\right],  \tag{4.25}\\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} S_{1}(n) S_{2}(n)= & \frac{y}{y^{2}-1}\left[\frac{2}{3} \ln ^{3}(y) \ln (1+y)-\frac{1}{12} \ln ^{4}(y)+\zeta_{2} \ln ^{2}(y)\right. \\
& +2 \ln ^{2}(y) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(-y)+2 \ln ^{2}(y) \operatorname{Li}_{2}(y)+2 \zeta_{3} \ln (y)-8 \ln (y) \operatorname{Li}_{3}(-y) \\
& \left.-8 \ln (y) \operatorname{Li}_{3}(y)+2 \zeta_{4}+16 \operatorname{Li}_{4}(-y)+12 \mathrm{Li}_{4}(y)\right] . \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The one-loop QED vertex function is a relatively simple one-scale problem, and in fact one may derive the general term of the $\varepsilon$-expansion. So far, we applied the Mathematica packages without an interference by the user. The packages have been created for the automatization of this type of calculations. But here we have an instructive example of the limitations of that. Let us go back to Equation (4.3) and shift the integration variable $z$ according to $z=z^{\prime}-\varepsilon$, with a related shift of the real part of the integration path, $u^{\prime}=u+\varepsilon$. The resulting MB-integral is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{v312m}[\mathrm{y}]=\frac{e^{\varepsilon \gamma_{\varepsilon}} \Gamma(-2 \varepsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2 \varepsilon) 2 \pi i} \int d z^{\prime}(-s)^{-1-z^{\prime}} \frac{\Gamma^{2}\left(-z^{\prime}\right) \Gamma\left(-z^{\prime}+\varepsilon\right) \Gamma\left(1+z^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-2 z^{\prime}\right)} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

After taking residua as before, but now without using MB, one has to evaluate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { V312m }[\mathrm{y}]=\frac{e^{\varepsilon \gamma_{\varepsilon}}}{-2 \varepsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{n}}{\binom{2 n}{n}(2 n+1)} \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(n+1)} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may apply here the following well-known relation $[15]^{4}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma(n+1+\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(n+1)}=\Gamma(1+\varepsilon) \exp \left[-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\varepsilon)^{k}}{k} S_{k}(n)\right], \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]and obtain the general term of the inverse binomial sum for the $n^{\text {th }}$ term in the $\varepsilon$-expansion of V3l2m [y]. The first terms agree with (4.14)-4.17). The evaluation of the sums may be performed for the general case by introducing integral representations for the $\Gamma$ functions and the harmonic numbers (for the latter see e.g. [16]), and then performing the two-dimensional integral.

Alternatively to the approach described here, one may determine the vertex function in terms of Harmonic Polylogarithms (HPLs) [17] by the differential equation method, which allows easily to derive the $\varepsilon$-expansion of the Feynman integral SE 212 m . The vertex may be related to SE 212 m and to the tadpole T111m by integration by parts; see e.g. [18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} 312 \mathrm{~m}=\frac{(d-2) \mathrm{T} 111 \mathrm{~m}-2(d-3) \mathrm{SE} 212 \mathrm{~m}}{(d-4)(s-4)} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The master integrals T111m and SE2l2m are tabulated e.g. in the file mastersHPL.m 19, 20]. From the corresponding formulae it may be seen that only HPLs with arguments 0 and -1 appear. An approach to describe the vertex in $d$ dimensions with hypergeometric functions and the subsequent derivation of the $\varepsilon$-expansion may be found in [21].

## 5. Summary

To summarize, for many applications of present phenomenological or more theoretical interest the package $\operatorname{AMBRE}$ solves an important part of the calculational problem: the semi-automatic derivation of MB-representations for a large class of Feynman integrals.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank J. Blümlein, S.-O. Moch and B. Tausk for useful discussions and suggestions. The present work is supported in part by the European Community's Marie-Curie Research Training Networks MRTN-CT-2006-035505 'HEPTOOLS' and MRTN-CT-2006-035482 'FLAVIAnet', and by Sonderforschungsbereich/Transregio 9-03 of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft 'Computergestützte Theoretische Teilchenphysik'.

## References

[1] S.Weinzierl, Automated calculations for multi-leg processes, talk given at this conference.
[2] N. Usyukina, On a representation for three point function, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 22 (1975) 300-306 (in Russian).
[3] E. Boos and A. Davydychev, A method of evaluating massive Feynman integrals, Theor. Math. Phys. 89 (1991) 1052-1063.
[4] V. Smirnov, Analytical result for dimensionally regularized massless on-shell double box, Phys. Lett. B460 (1999) 397-404, hep-ph/9905323].
[5] V. Smirnov and O. Veretin, Analytical results for dimensionally regularized massless on-shell double boxes with arbitrary indices and numerators, Nucl. Phys. B566 (2000) 469-485, [hep-ph/9907385].
[6] V. Smirnov, Analytical result for dimensionally regularized massive on- shell planar double box, Phys. Lett. B524 (2002) 129-136, http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0111160.
[7] G. Heinrich and V. Smirnov, Analytical evaluation of dimensionally regularized massive on-shell double boxes, Phys. Lett. B598 (2004) 55-66, [hep-ph/0406053].
[8] B. Tausk, Non-planar massless two-loop Feynman diagrams with four on- shell legs, Phys. Lett. B469 (1999) 225-234, hep-ph/9909506.
[9] C. Anastasiou and A. Daleo, Numerical evaluation of loop integrals, JHEP 10 (2006) 031, [hep-ph/0511176].
[10] M. Czakon, Automatized analytic continuation of Mellin-Barnes integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 175 (2006) 559-571, hep-ph/0511200.
[11] J. Gluza, K. Kajda, and T. Riemann, AMBRE - a Mathematica package for the construction of Mellin-Barnes representations for Feynman integrals, to appear in Comput. Phys. Commun. (2007) [arXiv:0704.2423 [hep-ph]].
[12] Silesian Univ., Katowice, webpage http://prac.us.edu.pl/~gluza/ambre.
[13] DESY, webpage http://www-zeuthen.desy.de/theory/research/CAS.html.
[14] A. Davydychev and M. Kalmykov, Massive Feynman diagrams and inverse binomial sums, Nucl. Phys. B699 (2004) 3-64, hep-th/0303162.
[15] S. Weinzierl, Expansion around half-integer values, binomial sums and inverse binomial sums, J. Math. Phys. 45 (2004) 2656-2673, hep-ph/0402131.
[16] J. Blümlein and S. Kurth, Harmonic sums and Mellin transforms up to two-loop order, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 014018, hep-ph/9810241.
[17] E. Remiddi and J. Vermaseren, Harmonic polylogarithms, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A15 (2000) 725-754, hep-ph/9905237.
[18] J. Fleischer, J. Gluza, A. Lorca, and T. Riemann, First order radiative corrections to Bhabha scattering in d dimensions, Eur. J. Phys. 48 (2006) 35-52, hep-ph/0606210].
[19] M. Czakon, J. Gluza, and T. Riemann, Master integrals for massive two-loop Bhabha scattering in QED, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 073009, hep-ph/0412164.
[20] DESY, webpage http://www-zeuthen.desy.de/theory/research/bhabha/bhabha.html.
[21] A. Davydychev and M. Kalmykov, New results for the epsilon-expansion of certain one-, two- and three-loop Feynman diagrams, Nucl. Phys. B605 (2001) 266-318, http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0012189].


[^0]:    *Speaker.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Examples of different complexity may be found at the webpages 12, 13).
    ${ }^{2}$ Often one uses the additional normalization $e^{\varepsilon \gamma_{E} L}$; we leave this to the later evaluation with the package MB [10].

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ If useful, one may also consider $F(x)$ with linear and bilinear terms in the $x_{i}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Express $\Gamma(-n+\varepsilon)$ by $\Gamma(\varepsilon)$. Thereby factors $1 /(-n+\varepsilon+k)$ are collected, inverse them for small $\varepsilon$.

