

## Astrophysical Implications of the QCD phase transition

---

**Jürgen Schaffner-Bielich<sup>\*a</sup>, Irina Sagert<sup>b</sup>, Matthias Hempel<sup>b</sup>, Giuseppe Pagliara<sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup>*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Philosophenweg 16,  
D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany*

<sup>b</sup>*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Goethe Universität, Max-von-Laue-Str. 1,  
D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

**Tobias Fischer<sup>c</sup>, Anthony Mezzacappa<sup>d</sup>, Friedrich-Karl Thielemann<sup>c</sup>, and  
Matthias Liebendörfer<sup>c</sup>**

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Basel, Klingelbergstr. 82, 4056 Basel, Switzerland*

<sup>d</sup>*Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA*

The possible role of a first order QCD phase transition at nonvanishing quark chemical potential and temperature for cold neutron stars and for supernovae is delineated. For cold neutron stars, we use the NJL model with nonvanishing color superconducting pairing gaps, which describes the phase transition to the 2SC and the CFL quark matter phases at high baryon densities. We demonstrate that these two phase transitions can both be present in the core of neutron stars and that they lead to the appearance of a third family of solution for compact stars. In particular, a core of CFL quark matter can be present in stable compact star configurations when slightly adjusting the vacuum pressure to the onset of the chiral phase transition from the hadronic model to the NJL model. We show that a strong first order phase transition can have strong impact on the dynamics of core collapse supernovae. If the QCD phase transition sets in shortly after the first bounce, a second outgoing shock wave can be generated which leads to an explosion. The presence of the QCD phase transition can be read off from the neutrino and antineutrino signal of the supernova.

*8th Conference Quark Confinement and the Hadron Spectrum  
September 1-6, 2008  
Mainz, Germany*

---

\*Speaker.

It has been realized in the last years, that the QCD phase diagram might exhibit a rich structure at high baryon densities, be it in the form of color superconducting phases [1] or the quarkyonic phase [2]. While recent lattice gauge simulations indicate that the QCD phase transition at vanishing quarkchemical potential is most likely a crossover, there might exist a first order phase transition lines at nonvanishing quarkchemical potentials. This region of the QCD phase diagram entails properties of strongly interacting matter which are found in the core of neutron stars and core-collapse supernovae and will be probed by heavy-ion collisions with the CBM detector at the Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) at GSI Darmstadt.

Neutron stars are born in core-collapse supernovae as so called proto-neutron stars. The temperatures reached in those supernovae and in proto-neutron stars are up to 50 MeV with baryon densities well above normal nuclear matter density. Similar conditions are encountered in simulations of neutron star mergers. The masses of rotation-powered neutron stars, pulsars, have been quite accurately determined. More than 1700 pulsars are presently known, the best determined mass is the one of the Hulse-Taylor pulsar,  $M = (1.4414 \pm 0.0002)M_{\odot}$  [3], the smallest known mass is  $M = (1.18 \pm 0.02)M_{\odot}$  for the pulsar J1756-2251 [4]. The most reliable lower limit for neutron star masses published in the literature is the one of the Hulse-Taylor pulsar (with only one noticeable exception [5, 6]). Note, that the mass of the pulsar J0751+1807 was corrected from  $M = 2.1 \pm 0.2M_{\odot}$  down to  $M = 1.14 - 1.40M_{\odot}$  [7]. The high masses and radii inferred from the X-ray burster EXO 0748-676 in an analysis done in [8] are not model independent, a multiwavelength analysis concludes that a mass of  $1.35M_{\odot}$  is more compatible with the data [9]. In any case, high masses and radii do not exclude the possibility of having quark matter in the core of neutron stars [10].

In the following we will loosely denote the high-density matter as quark matter, although the QCD phase transition at high baryon densities is due to chiral symmetry breaking and not due to deconfinement. Let us first discuss the possible role of the QCD phase transition and the stability of compact stars in a toy model for quark matter with an equation of state of the form  $p = a \cdot \varepsilon$  with a constant  $a = 1/3$  and a given energy density jump (see [11]). For the hadronic side, we use a relativistic mean-field model fitted to the properties of nuclear matter (here set GM3). If the phase transition occurs close to the maximum mass, always unstable solutions appear for the hybrid star with a quark matter core. The mass-radius curve changes its slope as soon as quark matter is present. For an onset of the phase transition at moderate densities, the presence of quark matter leads to stable configurations, the slope of the mass-radius curve does not change its sign [12, 11].

Color-superconducting quark matter can be described by the NJL model which includes both, dynamical quark masses via quark condensates and the color-superconducting gaps  $\Delta$  for the three flavor case (see [13, 14] for astrophysically relevant calculations). The parameters of the model are the cutoff, the scalar and the vector coupling constants  $G_S$ ,  $G_V$ , the diquark coupling  $G_D$ , and the 't Hooft term coupling  $K$ . They are fixed to known hadron masses, the pion decay constant, which leave two free parameters,  $G_D$  and  $G_V$ . In addition, the total pressure is usually fixed by requiring that it vanishes in the vacuum. For the description of hybrid star matter, the results from the NJL model have to be merged with a low-density nuclear equation of state. We demand that the pressure constant is fixed such that the chiral phase transition coincides with the transition from the hadronic model to the NJL model description. Numerically one finds, that the two different pressure constants differ only slightly from each other. In the former case one finds a phase transition

directly to CFL quark matter, in the latter case two phase transitions appear, first to the 2SC phase then to the CFL phase. For a phase transition directly to the CFL phase, the solution is first unstable but turns then into a stable one [11]. The new stable solution is another example of the third family of compact stars which can appear for a strong first order phase transition [15, 12, 16, 17]. For the case of two phase transitions, two kinks appear in the mass-radius curve with stable solutions for all configurations. It is interesting that it is possible that there are actually two phase transitions present in compact star matter.

The final state in the evolution of stars with a mass of more than 8 solar masses is a core-collapse supernova or a direct collapse to a black hole, see [18] for an overview. The degenerate core collapses until normal nuclear matter densities are reached. The repulsion between the nucleons halts the collapse. A shock front is generated which moves outward but stalls around 100 km. The newly born proto-neutron star emits neutrinos and antineutrinos which deposit energy behind the stalled shock. For low-mass progenitors with masses of eight to ten solar masses present supernova simulations indeed find that this additional energy deposit is enough to lead to a delayed explosion mechanism [19]. For more massive progenitor stars, it was recently proposed that the standing accretion shock instability (SASI) is crucial for core-collapse supernova explosions after about 600ms [20].

The conditions of core-collapse supernova matter at bounce are energy densities of  $\varepsilon \sim (1 - 1.5)\varepsilon_0$ , so slightly above normal nuclear matter energy density, temperatures of  $T \sim 10 - 20$  MeV and proton fractions of  $Y_p \sim 0.2 - 0.3$  (normal nuclear matter has  $Y_p = 0.5$ ). In the following we explore the consequences of a QCD phase transition occurring shortly after the bounce in core-collapse supernovae [21]. For simplicity, the MIT bag model is used to describe the high-density part of the equation of state, while for the low-density part the supernova equation of state of Shen et al. is adopted [22]. The mass-radius relation of neutron stars for an equation of state with a QCD phase transition can be drastically different as shown above. The maximum masses are found to be  $1.56M_\odot$  for a bag constant of  $B^{1/4} = 162$  MeV and  $1.50M_\odot$  for  $B^{1/4} = 165$  MeV, so above the Hulse-Taylor pulsar mass limit.

The phase transition line of relevance for astrophysical applications does not coincide with the one of ordinary nuclear matter as the conditions are entirely different. The timescales are much longer so that strangeness is not a conserved quantum number which makes quark matter much more stable. Moreover, supernova matter is neutron-rich so that nuclear matter is unfavoured due to the repulsive nature of the asymmetry energy. Both effects lead to a considerably reduced critical density for the QCD phase transition, so that the production of quark matter in supernovae becomes more likely compared to the situation in heavy-ion physics and can appear already close to the conditions at bounce.

For the situation in heavy-ion collisions, just up- and down-quark matter has to be compared to symmetric nuclear matter, the timescales in heavy-ion collisions are just too short to allow for net strangeness being produced. Large critical densities are found, several times normal nuclear matter densities, so that the production of ud-quark matter is unfavoured for heavy-ion collisions at small temperatures and high baryon densities, there is no contradiction with heavy-ion data. To be more specific, the freeze-out parameters extracted from statistical models of particle production,  $\mu_{f.o.} = 700 - 800$  MeV,  $T_{f.o.} = 50 - 70$  MeV for heavy-ion collisions at SIS energies and  $\mu_{f.o.} \sim 500$  MeV,  $T_{f.o.} \sim 120$  MeV for AGS energies, are well within the hadronic side of the phase diagram.

The equation of state with a QCD phase transition is used as input in a supernova simulation which also implements accurate neutrino transport of all neutrino flavours [21]. Shortly after the bounce, the QCD phase transition is reached in the core of the proto-neutron star. The formation of a core of pure quark matter produces a second shock wave which is moving outwards leading to an explosion. Note, that in the standard 1D simulation without a phase transition no explosion is found, the first shock simply stalls and matter is eventually collapsing to a black hole. The supernova simulation runs were performed for  $10M_{\odot}$  and  $15M_{\odot}$  progenitor stars with two different bag parameters controlling the onset of the QCD phase transition. We find that the time of the appearance of the quark core, the baryonic mass of the compact remnant and the explosion energy are significantly sensitive to the location of the QCD phase transition. With the current parameter sets the quark core appears at  $t_{\text{pb}} = 200$  to  $500$  ms. If quark matter appears later, the explosion energy gets larger, as the density contrast at the accretion shock front increases. Heavy progenitor star masses can lead to the formation of a black hole. There are observable implications for the QCD phase transition in the neutrino signal of supernovae. When the second shock front produced by the phase transition runs over the neutrinosphere a second burst of anti-neutrinos is released. The peak location and height is determined by the critical density and strength of the QCD phase transition.

In summary, a first order QCD phase transition at high baryon density can lead to observable astrophysical signals involving compact stars and supernovae. Neutron stars with a core of CFL quark matter can be stable and can form a third family of compact stars besides white dwarfs and ordinary neutron stars. In an exploratory study, we demonstrated that quark matter can be formed in supernovae, even shortly after the bounce which produces a second shock with enough energy to cause an explosion even in 1D simulations. The second shock forms a second peak in the (anti-)neutrino signal. Possible implications for the gravitational wave signal and r-process nucleosynthesis need to be explored in future work. And much more refined models of QCD are needed to explore the consequences of the QCD phase transitions at high baryon densities in astrophysics on a more fundamental basis.

This work is supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the framework of the excellence initiative through the Heidelberg Graduate School of Fundamental Physics, the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung mbH Darmstadt, Germany, the Helmholtz Research School for Quark Matter Studies, the Helmholtz Alliance Program of the Helmholtz Association, contract HA-216 "Extremes of Density and Temperature: Cosmic Matter in the Laboratory", the Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics, the Swiss National Science Foundation under the grant numbers PP002-106627/1 and PP200020-105328/1, and the ESF CompStar program. A.M. is supported at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725.

## References

- [1] M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal, and T. Schäfer [arXiv:0709.4635 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [2] L. McLerran and R. D. Pisarski *Nucl. Phys.* **A796** (2007) 83–100, [arXiv:0706.2191 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [3] J. M. Weisberg and J. H. Taylor, “The relativistic binary pulsar b1913+16: Thirty years of observations and analysis,” in *Binary Radio Pulsars*, F. A. Rasio and I. H. Stairs, eds., vol. 328 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, p. 25. 2005. astro-ph/0407149.
- [4] A. J. Faulkner, M. Kramer, A. G. Lyne, R. N. Manchester, M. A. McLaughlin, I. H. Stairs, G. Hobbs, A. Possenti, D. R. Lorimer, N. D’Amico, F. Camilo, and M. Burgay *Astrophys. J.* **618** (2005) L119–L122, astro-ph/0411796.
- [5] D. J. Champion, S. M. Ransom, P. Lazarus, F. Camilo, C. Bassa, V. M. Kaspi, D. J. Nice, P. C. C. Freire, I. H. Stairs, J. van Leeuwen, B. W. Stappers, J. M. Cordes, J. W. T. Hessels, D. R. Lorimer, Z. Arzoumanian, D. C. Backer, N. D. R. Bhat, S. Chatterjee, I. Cognard, J. S. Deneva, C.-A. Faucher-Giguère, B. M. Gaensler, J. Han, F. A. Jenet, L. Kasian, V. I. Kondratiev, M. Kramer, J. Lazio, M. A. McLaughlin, A. Venkataraman, and W. Vlemmings *Science* **320** (2008) 1309, arXiv:0805.2396 [astro-ph].
- [6] talk given at the International Conference on Dense Matter, Hirschegg, Austria, January 19-23, 2009.
- [7] D. J. Nice, I. H. Stairs, and L. E. Kasian *AIP Conference Proceedings* **983** (2008) 453–458.
- [8] F. Özel *Nature* **441** (2006) 1115–1117, astro-ph/0605106.
- [9] K. J. Pearson, R. Hynes, D. Steeghs, P. Jonker, C. Haswell, A. King, K. O’Brien, G. Nelemans, and M. Mendez *Astrophys. J.* **648** (2006) 1169–1180, astro-ph/0605634.
- [10] M. Alford, D. Blaschke, A. Drago, T. Klähn, G. Pagliara, and J. Schaffner-Bielich *Nature* **445** (2006) E7–E8, astro-ph/0606524.
- [11] G. Pagliara and J. Schaffner-Bielich *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 063004, arXiv:0711.1119 [astro-ph].
- [12] B. Kämpfer *J. Phys. A* **14** (1981) L471–L475.
- [13] S. B. Rüster, V. Werth, M. Buballa, I. A. Shovkovy, and D. H. Rischke *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 034004, hep-ph/0503184.
- [14] D. Blaschke, S. Fredriksson, H. Grigorian, A. M. Oztas, and F. Sandin *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 065020, arXiv:hep-ph/0503194.
- [15] U. H. Gerlach *Phys. Rev.* **172** (1968) 1325.
- [16] N. K. Glendenning and C. Kettner *Astron. Astrophys.* **353** (2000) L9, astro-ph/9807155.
- [17] K. Schertler, C. Greiner, J. Schaffner-Bielich, and M. H. Thoma *Nucl. Phys.* **A677** (2000) 463, astro-ph/0001467.
- [18] H.-T. Janka, K. Langanke, A. Marek, G. Martínez-Pinedo, and B. Müller *Phys. Rept.* **442** (2007) 38–74, astro-ph/0612072.
- [19] F. S. Kitaura, H.-T. Janka, and W. Hillebrandt *Astron. Astrophys.* **450** (2006) 345–350, arXiv:astro-ph/0512065.
- [20] A. Marek and H. T. Janka arXiv:0708.3372 [astro-ph].
- [21] I. Sagert, M. Hempel, G. Pagliara, J. Schaffner-Bielich, T. Fischer, A. Mezzacappa, F.-K. Thielemann, and M. Liebendörfer *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2008) 081101, arXiv:0809.4225 [astro-ph].
- [22] H. Shen, H. Toki, K. Oyamatsu, and K. Sumiyoshi *Nucl. Phys.* **A637** (1998) 435–450, nucl-th/9805035.