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Starting from an integral representation for the eigenvalue density at finite $N$, it is shown by a saddle-point analysis that the known result (Durhuus and Olesen, 1981) can be recovered.
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## 1. Introduction

In two Euclidean dimensions the eigenvalue distribution of the $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Wilson matrix associated with a non-selfintersecting loop undergoes a phase transition in the infinite- $N$ limit as the loop is dilated [1]. This phase transition has universal properties shared across dimensions and across analog two-dimensional models [2, 3]. Thus, a detailed understanding of the transition region in 2D is of relevance to crossovers from weakly to strongly interacting regimes in a wide class of models based on doubly indexed dynamical variables with symmetry $\mathrm{SU}(N)$.

We are focusing on the eigenvalues of the Wilson loop. The associated observables are two different functions $\rho_{N}^{\text {true }}(\theta), \rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta)$ of an angular variable $\theta$. At infinite $N$ the two functions have identical limits: $\rho_{\infty}^{\text {true }}(\theta)=\rho_{\infty}^{\text {sym }}(\theta) \equiv \rho_{\infty}(\theta)$.

For a specific critical scale, the nonnegative function $\rho_{\infty}(\theta)$ exhibits a transition at which a gap centered at $\theta= \pm \pi$, present for small loops, just closes. This transition was discovered by Durhuus and Olesen in 1981 [1].

## 2. Eigenvalue densities

The probability density (with respect to the Haar measure) for the Wilson loop matrix $W$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}_{N}(W, t)=\sum_{r} d_{r} \chi_{r}(W) e^{-\frac{t}{2 N} C_{2}(r)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t=\lambda \mathscr{A}$, where $\lambda=g^{2} N$ is the standard 't Hooft coupling and $\mathscr{A}$ denotes the area enclosed by the loop. The sum over $r$ is over all distinct irreducible representations of $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ (with dimension $d_{r}$ and quadratic Casimir $\left.C_{2}(r)\right) . \chi_{r}(W)$ is the character of $W$ in the representation $r$.

Density functions are obtained from

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{N}^{\text {true }}(z)=\frac{1}{N}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{z-W}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\langle\log \operatorname{det}(z-W)\rangle,  \tag{2.2}\\
& G_{N}^{\text {sym }}(z)=-\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \log \left\langle\operatorname{det}(z-W)^{-1}\right\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

through $(\ell=$ true, sym $)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{N}^{\ell}(\theta)=2 \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i \theta+\varepsilon} G_{N}^{\ell}\left(e^{i \theta+\varepsilon}\right)\right]-1 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only $\rho_{N}^{\text {true }}$ has a natural interpretation at finite $N$, it literally is the eigenvalue density. $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}$ is determined by the averages of the characters of $W$ in all totally symmetric representations. The function is monotonic on each of the segments $(-\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$. It turns out that the appropriate area variable for $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}$ is not $t$ but $T=t(1-1 / N)$ [4]. When $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta, T)$ is compared to $\rho_{N}^{\text {true }}(\theta, t)$, the $1 / N$ correction in $t$ relative to $T$ has to be taken into account.

The infinite- $N$ critical point is at $T=4$. For $T>4, \rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta, T)$ approaches $\rho_{\infty}(\theta, T)$ by power corrections in $1 / N$ [4]. For $T<4, \rho_{\infty}(\theta, T)$ is zero for $|\theta|>\theta_{c}(T)$, where $0<\theta_{c}(T)<\pi$ and $\theta_{c}(4)=\pi$ (cf. Fig. 1). In this interval $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta, T)$ approaches zero by corrections that are exponentially suppressed in $N$.

The true eigenvalue density $\rho_{N}^{\text {true }}(\theta, t)$ has $N$ peaks (in the interval $\left.[-\pi, \pi]\right)$ and oscillates around $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta, T)$ (cf. [5]).


Figure 1: Plots of $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta, T)$ for $T=2$ (left), $T=5$ (right), and $N=3,5,10,25,50,100,250$ together with $\rho_{\infty}(\theta, T)$.

## 3. Integral representations

The density $\rho_{N}^{\text {true }}$ can be obtained from the expectation value of [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(u, v, W)=\frac{\operatorname{det}(1+u W)}{\operatorname{det}(1-v W)}=\sum_{p=0}^{N} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} u^{p} v^{q} \chi_{p}^{A}(W) \chi_{q}^{S}(W) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $|v|<1 . \chi_{p}^{A}(W)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\chi_{q}^{S}(W)\right)$ denotes the character of $W$ in a totally antisymmetric (resp. symmetric) representation. When we set $u=-v+\varepsilon$ and expand to linear order in $\varepsilon$, the LHS reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(-v+\varepsilon, v, W)=1-\varepsilon \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{v-W^{\dagger}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

After decomposing the tensor product $p^{A} \otimes q^{S}$ into irreducible representations, we obtain for the expectation value of the trace (due to character orthogonality)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(v) \equiv\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{v-W^{\dagger}}\right\rangle=-\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{p} v^{p+q} e^{-\frac{t}{2 N} C(p, q)} d(p, q) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(p, q)$ and $d(p, q)$ denote the value of the quadratic Casimir and the dimension of the irreducible representation identified by the Young diagram

$$
\begin{gather*}
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline & 1 & 2 & & q \\
\hline 1 & & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline p & \\
C(p, q) & =(p+q+1)\left(N-\frac{p+q+1}{N}+q-p\right), \\
d(p, q)=d^{A}(p) d^{S}(q) \frac{(N-p)(N+q)}{N} \frac{1}{p+q+1}, \\
d^{A}(p)=\binom{N}{p}, \quad d^{S}(q)=\binom{N+q-1}{q} .
\end{array} . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can exactly calculate sums of the form (with $|z|<1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} u^{p} d^{A}(p)(N-p)=N(1+u)^{N-1}, \quad \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} z^{q} d^{S}(q)(N+q)=\frac{N}{(1-z)^{N+1}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To factorize the sums over $p$ and $q$ in (3.3), we first write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p+q+1}=\int_{0}^{1} d \rho \rho^{p+q} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $t$-dependent weight factor is the exponent of a bilinear form in $p$ and $q$ (given by (3.5)). By a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation the dependence on $p$ and $q$ can be made linear. Performing the (independent) sums over $p, q$ then leads to [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(v)=-\frac{N^{2}}{t} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d x d y}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} d \rho e^{-\frac{N}{2 t}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 t}(x+i y)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(x-i y)} \frac{\left[1-v \rho e^{-x-t / 2}\right]^{N-1}}{\left[1-v \rho e^{i y-t / 2}\right]^{N+1}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(valid for $|v|<1$ ). Now the entire dependence on $N$ is explicit. The infinite- $N$ limit of $\rho_{N}^{\text {true }}$ can be obtained from this integral representation by using a saddle-point approximation for the integrals over $x$ and $y$ (cf. Sec. 5).

## 4. Saddle-point analysis for $\rho^{\text {sym }}$

An integral representation for $\psi(z)=\left\langle\operatorname{det}(z-W)^{-1}\right\rangle$ (which determines $\rho^{\text {sym }}$ ) is obtained in a similar manner [4]. In this case, only a single integral is needed (valid for $|z|>1$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=e^{\frac{N T}{8}} \sqrt{\frac{N}{2 \pi T}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d w e^{-\frac{N}{2 T} w^{2}}\left(z e^{-i \frac{w}{2}}-e^{i \frac{w}{2}}\right)^{-N} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $z=e^{i \theta+\varepsilon}$ and take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$at the end. The integrand is $\exp (-N f(w))$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w)=\frac{w^{2}}{2 T}+\log \left(z e^{-i \frac{w}{2}}-e^{i \frac{w}{2}}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Singularities of the integrand are located on the line $\operatorname{Im} w=-\varepsilon<0$, which means that the integration path (along $\operatorname{Im} w=0$ ) can be shifted upwards in the complex plane.

The saddle point equation, $f^{\prime}\left(w_{s}\right)=0$, can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-T U(\theta, T)} \frac{U(\theta, T)+1 / 2}{U(\theta, T)-1 / 2}=e^{\varepsilon+i \theta} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w_{s}=i T U(\theta, T)=i T\left(U_{r}(\theta, T)+i U_{i}(\theta, T)\right)$. Taking the absolute value leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i}^{2}=U_{r} \operatorname{coth}\left(T U_{r}+\varepsilon\right)-U_{r}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation describes one or more curves in the complex- $U$ plane (examples are shown in Fig. 2) on which the saddle points have to lie (it has been previously investigated in [6] for $\varepsilon=0$ ). For a given value of $\theta$, the saddles are isolated points on these curves.

For each $\theta$ there is always one (and only one) saddle point on the closed curve encircling $U=\frac{1}{2}$ (cf. Fig. 3). The integration contour (along the imaginary- $U$ axis) can be smoothly deformed to go through this saddle along a path of steepest descent (no singularities are crossed).


Figure 2: Examples of the contours in the complex- $U$ plane described by equation (4.4) for $T=3$ (left), $T=4$ (middle), and $T=5$ (right). The red dashed curves are for small $\varepsilon>0$, while the black solid curves are for $\varepsilon=0$. For our saddle-point analysis we keep $\varepsilon>0$.


Figure 3: Example for the location of the saddle points and the deformation of the integration contour in the complex- $w$ plane for $T=5$ and $\theta=3$ (with small $\varepsilon>0$ ). The thin solid lines are lines of constant $\operatorname{Re} f(w)$, the arrows point in the direction of increasing $\operatorname{Re} f(w)$. On each of the closed orange curves there is one saddle point (red dot and blue dot), and on the open orange curve there are infinitely many saddle points, but only one of them in the region shown in the plot (green dot). The thick blue curve is the integration path along the direction of steepest descent through the relevant saddle point.

The Gaussian integral which results from expanding the exponent $f(w)$ to quadratic order around $w_{s}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{N}^{\operatorname{sym}}(\theta, T)=2 \operatorname{Re}\left[U\left(1+\frac{1}{N} \frac{T\left(1 / 4-U^{2}\right)}{\left[1-T\left(1 / 4-U^{2}\right)\right]^{2}}\right)\right]+\mathscr{O}\left(1 / N^{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=U(\theta, T)$ is the saddle point located on the closed curve around $U=1 / 2$. Higher-order terms in the $1 / N$ expansion can be obtained by expanding the exponent to higher powers in $w-w_{s}$.

The leading-order result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{N}^{\mathrm{sym}}(\theta, T)=2 \operatorname{Re} U(\theta, T) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which equals $\rho_{\infty}(\theta, T)$ of Durhuus and Olesen [1] since $U(\theta, T)$ satisfies (4.3).
For $T>4$, we find that $\operatorname{Re} U(\theta, T)$ is always non-zero (cf. right plot in Fig. 2). $\operatorname{Re} U(\theta, T)$ is also non-zero for $T \leq 4$ and $|\theta|<\theta_{c}(T)$. For $T \leq 4$ and $|\theta|=\theta_{c}(T)$ we have $f^{\prime \prime}\left(w_{s}\right)=0$, i.e., the first-order correction diverges and the Gaussian approximation does not work. For $T \leq 4$ and $|\theta|>\theta_{c}(T)$ the saddle point $U(\theta, T)$ is purely imaginary (cf. left plot in Fig. 2) so that both the leading order and the $1 / N$ term are zero. In the region $|\theta| \geq \theta_{c}(T) \rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}$ is exponentially suppressed in $N$ and the study of the large- $N$ asymptotic behavior requires more work.

In Fig. 4 we show examples for the $1 / N$ corrections to $\rho_{\infty}(\theta, T)$ for $N=10$ and $T=2$ and 5.



Figure 4: Examples for the $1 / N$ corrections to $\rho_{\infty}(\theta, T)$ for $N=10, T=2$ (left), and $T=5$ (right). Shown are the exact result for $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta, T)$ (blue dashed curve), the infinite- $N$ result $\rho_{\infty}(\theta, T)$ (red dotted curve), and the asymptotic expansion of $\rho_{N}^{\text {sym }}(\theta, T)$ up to order $\mathscr{O}(1 / N)$ (black solid curve). We observe that the asymptotic expansion converges rapidly for small $|\theta|$ and more slowly for larger $|\theta|$.

## 5. Saddle-point analysis for $\rho^{\text {true }}$

The infinite- $N$ limit of the true eigenvalue density $\rho^{\text {true }}$ can be obtained from a saddle-point approximation of the integral (3.10), which can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(v)= & -\frac{N^{2}}{t} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d x d y}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} d \rho e^{-\frac{N}{2 t}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 t}(x+i y)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(x-i y)} \\
& \times e^{(N-1) \log \left(1-v \rho e^{-x-t / 2}\right)-(N+1) \log \left(1-v \rho e^{i y-t / 2}\right)} \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

(valid for $|v|<1$; we set $v=e^{i \theta-\varepsilon}$ and take the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$after deforming the integration contour). We first approximate the integrals over $x$ and $y$ and integrate over $\rho$ at the end. At leading order, the integrals (over $x$ and $y$ ) decouple and can be approximated independently. The coefficients of $-N$ in the exponent are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{f}(y)=\frac{1}{2 t} y^{2}+\log \left[1-v \rho e^{i y-\frac{t}{2}}\right]  \tag{5.2}\\
& \tilde{f}(x)=\frac{1}{2 t} x^{2}-\log \left[1-v \rho e^{-x-t / 2}\right]=-\bar{f}(i x) \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us first consider the integral over $y$. Substituting $y=w-i t / 2$ leads to the integrand for $\psi$ in (4.1) (with $z \rightarrow 1 / v \rho$ ). The integration over $w$ is now along the line from $-\infty+i t / 2$ to $+\infty+i t / 2$ (since there are no singularities between this line and the real- $w$ axis, the integration contour can be shifted to the real- $w$ axis). The saddle-point equation reads (with $y_{s}=i t(U-1 / 2)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-t U} \frac{U+1 / 2}{U-1 / 2}=\frac{1}{v \rho} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only difference to Eq. (4.3) is that the absolute value of the RHS can take any value in the interval $0 \leq|v \rho|<1$ (for $\rho=1$, we are in the situation of Sec. 4). Numerical analysis shows that the integral can again be approximated by one single saddle point, $y_{0}(\theta, t, \rho)$. The relevant saddle point is again located on a closed curve around $U=1 / 2$ (corresponding to $y=0$ ). This curve shrinks with decreasing $\rho$ (cf. Fig. 5).

Due to $\tilde{f}(x)=-\bar{f}(i x)$, the saddle points of the $x$ - and $y$-integrals are related by a rotation of $\pi / 2$ in the complex plane, $x_{s}=-i y_{s}$. The relation to $U$ is $x_{s}=t(U-1 / 2)$, the integration path for


Figure 5: Contours of solutions of equation (5.4) in the complex- $U$ plane at $t=3$ (left), $t=4$ (middle), and $t=5$ (right) for $\rho=1$ (black, solid), $\rho=0.9$ (red, dashed), $\rho=0.6$ (green, dot-dashed), and $\rho=0.3$ (blue, dotted).
the $x$-integral is along the real- $U$ axis. By analyzing the directions along which the phase of the integrand is constant, we find that the integration contour can always be deformed to go through the (single) saddle point in the right half-plane (on the curve around $U=1 / 2$ ) in the direction of steepest descent. Depending on $\rho, v$, and $t$, there is either one or no additional saddle point on the contour(s) in the left half-plane through which we can also go in the direction of steepest descent, but the contribution of this additional saddle point (if there is one) is exponentially suppressed. Therefore the relevant saddle point is $x_{0}=-i y_{0}$, i.e., both integrals can be approximated by a single saddle point. An example for the location of the saddle points and the deformation of the integration path is shown in Fig. 6.

Combining the saddle-point approximations for the $x$ - and $y$-integrals leads to $\left(x_{0}=x_{0}(\theta, t, \rho)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(v)=-\frac{N}{t} \int_{0}^{1} d \rho \frac{\left(t+x_{0}\right)^{2}}{t+x_{0}\left(t+x_{0}\right)} e^{-x_{0}-\frac{t}{2}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating the saddle-point equation with respect to $\rho$ we find that the integrand is just
$v^{-1} \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \rho}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}(v)=-\frac{N}{t v} \int_{0}^{1} d \rho \frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial \rho}=-\frac{N}{t v}\left[x_{0}(\theta, t, \rho=1)-x_{0}(\theta, t, \rho=0)\right] . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalue density at infinite $N$ is determined by the locations of the saddle points at $\rho=1$ and $\rho=0$ only. Since $x_{0}(\theta, t, \rho=0)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{N}^{\text {true }}(\theta, t)=2 \operatorname{Re} U(\theta, t, \rho=1)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{N}^{\mathrm{sym}}(\theta, T) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which confirms that the infinite- $N$ limit of the true eigenvalue density is given by $\rho_{\infty}(\theta, t)$ of Durhuus and Olesen [1].

## 6. Conclusions

The probability distribution of Wilson loops in $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ YM in two Euclidean dimensions can be written as a sum over irreducible representations (where only dimension, second-order Casimir, and character of $W$ enter). This allows for the derivation of integral representations for different density functions (including the true eigenvalue density), which have the same infinite- $N$ limit. These integral representations, where $N$ enters only as a parameter, are exact for any finite $N$. Results at infinite $N$ can be obtained by saddle-point approximations. Next-order terms in an expansion in $1 / N$ give reasonable results in the interval where $\rho_{\infty}(\theta)>0$. More work is needed to get finite- $N$ effects where $\rho_{\infty}(\theta)=0$ and corrections are exponentially suppressed in $N$.
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