

PoS

From Loops to Surfaces

H. Neuberger**

Rutgers University, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Piscataway, NJ 08855, USA *E-mail:* neuberg@physics.rutgers.edu

R. Narayanan[‡]

Florida International University, Department of Physics, Miami, FL 33199, USA E-mail: rajamani.narayanan@fiu.edu

The generating function for all antisymmetric characters of a Wilson loop matrix in SU(N) Yang Mills theory is the partition function of a fermion living on the curve describing the loop. This generalizes to fermion subsystems living on higher dimensional submanifolds, for example, surfaces. This write-up also contains some extra background, in response to some questions raised during the oral presentation.

The XXVIII International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory, Lattice2010 June 14-19, 2010 Villasimius, Italy

*Speaker.

[†]HN acknowledges partial support by the DOE under grant number DE-FG02-01ER41165.

[‡]R.N. acknowledge partial support by the NSF under grant number PHY-0854744.

1. Introduction

In D Euclidean dimensions, at $N = \infty SU(N)$ pure YM simplifies [1], but this has not yet been turned into a quantitative tool. We are trying to improve on this situation.

Let \mathscr{O} be an observable characterized by one single scale l in 4D. For $l\Lambda_N \ll 1$, $\langle \mathscr{O} \rangle_{N=\infty}$ can be computed by summing up contributions from planar Feynman diagrams. Moreover, there are good reasons to believe that the series in $\frac{1}{\log(l\Lambda_N)}$ converges [2]. Λ_N is the analogue of Λ_{QCD} for pure SU(N) gauge theory. For $l\Lambda_N \gg 1$, for a class of specific \mathscr{O} 's, $\langle \mathscr{O} \rangle_{N=\infty}$ can be expanded in $\frac{1}{l\Lambda_N}$ using an effective theory based on free strings [3].

How are the two regimes connected ?

Some \mathcal{O} 's have a narrow crossover as l changes from $l\Lambda_N \leq 1$ to $l\Lambda_N \gtrsim 1$ at finite N, becoming a "phase transition" at $N = \infty$. Examples of such \mathcal{O} 's will be presented later – in these examples the operators turn out to be non-local [4]. Such large N phase transitions tend to fall in Random Matrix universality classes. The hope is to exploit this to connect field theoretical perturbation theory to effective string theory.

2. Loops

2.1 Wilson loop operator – ignoring renormalization

Let *R* be an irreducible SU(N) representation of dimension d_R . We define the nonlocal matrix valued operator:

$$\mathscr{P}e^{i\oint_{\mathscr{C}}A_R\cdot dx} \equiv \Omega_R(\mathscr{C}) \tag{2.1}$$

 \mathscr{P} denotes ordering round the closed curve \mathscr{C} . The starting/end point of the ordering is suppressed because we shall build out of $\Omega_R(\mathscr{C})$ other operators which will all be independent of the choice of this point on \mathscr{C} . The Wilson loops are the following averages:

$$W_R(\mathscr{C}) = \operatorname{tr} \langle \Omega_R(\mathscr{C}) \rangle / d_R \tag{2.2}$$

Typically, one focuses only on the fundamental representation, which we denote by R = 1 or R = f. We depart from this by considering the collection of all fully antisymmetric representations, $R = k, N - k; k = 1, ..., [\frac{N}{2}]$. The generating function for the $W_k(\mathscr{C})$ is $\langle \det(z + \Omega_f(\mathscr{C})) \rangle = Q(z, \mathscr{C})$, a palindromic polynomial of rank N in z. This permits us to introduce a set of quantities we loosely refer to as the "eigenvalues" of $\Omega_1(\mathscr{C})$, the roots of $Q(z, \mathscr{C})$. As the loop \mathscr{C} is dilated, its minimal area A grows and one can extract k-string tensions σ_k from $W_k(\mathscr{C}) \sim \exp(-\sigma_k A)$.

The following scenario is plausible: A large N "phase transition" (meaning: a non-smooth change in the dependence on z) separates small from large loops and occurs in D=2,3,4. As $N \to \infty$ a continuum density of "eigenvalues", roots of $Q(z, \mathcal{C})$, develops, supported on |z| = 1, gapped at z = 1 for small loops, and uniform for infinite loops. Hence, for very large loops the deviation of the density from uniformity is controlled by [N/2] exponents $e^{-\sigma_k A}$, dominated by $e^{-\sigma_f A}$. Here we imposed an assumed parity invariance.

Close to critical loop-size, and for *z* close to 1, there is a universal description common to all dimensions. The case D = 2 is exactly soluble so the universal form is known [5]. This phase transition is seen only in $Q(z, \mathcal{C})$, but not in the individual W_k 's and is therefore different from the

familiar Gross-Witten phase transition [6]. The universality provides an economic parametrization of the short-scale to long-scale crossover in $Q(z, \mathscr{C})$ for $1 \ll N < \infty$.

For this to be meaningful we need to renormalize $Q(z, \mathcal{C})$. After this is done, we can use lattice gauge theory simulations to validate the scenario as a property of continuum large N SU(N) gauge theory.

2.2 Renormalization of Wilson loops

Our bare observable is $Q(z, \mathscr{C}) = \langle \det(1 + z\Omega_f^{\dagger}(\mathscr{C})) \rangle$. The following representation is an exact identity for an Ω_f given by a fixed $N \times N$ unitary matrix of unit determinant [7]:

$$\det[1+z\Omega_{f}^{\dagger}(\mathscr{C})] = \int [d\bar{\psi}d\psi] e^{\int_{0}^{l} d\sigma\bar{\psi}(\sigma)[\partial_{\sigma}-\mu-ia(\sigma)]\psi(\sigma)}.$$
(2.3)

Here, $z = e^{-\mu l}$, σ parametrizes \mathscr{C} by $x(\sigma)$ with $[\partial_{\sigma} x_{\mu}(\sigma)]^2 = 1$ and l is the length of \mathscr{C} . The Grassmann variables $\bar{\psi}(\sigma), \psi(\sigma)$ obey anti-periodic boundary conditions. The gauge potential along the curve is projected from the D-dimensional gauge potential by $a(\sigma) = A_{\mu}(x(\sigma)) \frac{\partial x_{\mu}(\sigma)}{d\sigma}$.

We rewrite out bare observable accordingly:

$$Q(z,\mathscr{C}) = \langle \int [d\bar{\psi}d\psi] e^{\int_0^l d\sigma\bar{\psi}(\sigma)[\partial_\sigma - \mu - ia(\sigma)]\psi(\sigma)} \rangle$$
(2.4)

Now we employ power counting to determine the needed counterterms (ct-s) for renormalization [8]. The parameterization choice of the curve makes the curve parameter of dimension inverse mass, $[\sigma] = -1$, which in turn makes the Grassmann fields unitless, $[\bar{\psi}, \psi] = 0$. Terms containing more than one $\bar{\psi}\psi$ pair and a single derivative can be eliminated by a field redefinition. This leaves the non-redundant ct-s, $[\bar{\psi}\psi]^k$, k = 1, ..N. The symmetry $\psi \to \bar{\psi}$, $\bar{\psi} \to \psi$, $A_\mu \to A^*_\mu$ can be preserved reducing the number of ct-s to $[\frac{N}{2}]$.

This leaves exactly the amount of freedom needed to arrange for the inequality $\frac{1}{d_R}W_R(\mathscr{C}) \leq 1$ to hold for all antisymmetric R, after renormalization. So long as this is true, some further adjustments (not finely tuned) of the finite parts of the coefficients of the ct-s will render $Q(z,\mathscr{C})$ palindromic with all roots on |z| = 1. To be sure, in 4D and 3D, the short distance singularity that one expects from one gluon exchange even after the removal of the perimeter divergence, is eliminated by the integration round the contour and one can set the expectation values to their classical values for zero size loops. In 4D for example, the one gluon exchange answer could be regularized to give a nontrivial conformally invariant functional of the loop shape [9], but we do not do this here.

The divergences one has to eliminate are linear in 4D and logarithmic in 3D. There are no divergences in 2D. All our loops are assumed to be differentiable, so the corner singularities of the 4D case do not occur.

2.3 A Dirac operator associated with the large N transition

The spectrum of $D_1(\mathscr{C}) \equiv \partial_{\sigma} - ia(\sigma)$ will have a gap for small loops and will be gap-less for large loops. There is an analogy to spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking and its connection to chiral random matrix theory. This analogy is exploited in our generalization to surfaces.

2.4 More about the divergences

The $\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$ ct-s are necessary to eliminate the $\left[\frac{N}{2}\right]$ perimeter divergences associated with the distinct *N*-ality representations, not counting conjugate ones. Physically, the ct-s represent the arbitrary amounts of thickening the distinct *k*-strings need.

On the lattice one "thickens" the gauge fields the fermions see. For example, in APE smearing [10] one defines a recursion starting from the link matrices $U_{\mu}(x)$ at step n = 0 and proceeds from step n to step n + 1 by:

$$X_{\mu}^{(n+1)}(x;f) = (1-|f|)U_{\mu}^{(n)}(x;f) + \frac{f}{2(d-1)}\Sigma_{U_{\mu}^{(n)}(x;f)}$$
$$U_{\mu}^{(n+1)}(x;f) = X_{\mu}^{(n+1)}(x;f)\frac{1}{\sqrt{[X_{\mu}^{(n+1)}(x;f)]^{\dagger}X_{\mu}^{(n+1)}(x;f)}}$$
(2.5)

 $\Sigma_{U_{\mu}^{(n)}(x;f)}$ denotes the "staple" associated with the link $U_{\mu}^{(n)}(x;f)$ in terms of the entire set of $U_{\nu}^{(n)}(y;f)$ matrices. Writing $U_{\mu}^{(n)}(x;f) = \exp(iA_{\mu}^{(n)}(x;f))$, and expanding in A_{μ} one finds [11], in lattice Fourier space:

$$A_{\mu}^{(n+1)}(q;f) = \sum_{\nu} h_{\mu\nu}(q) A_{\nu}^{(n)}(q;f)$$
(2.6)

with

$$h_{\mu\nu}(q) = f(q)(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\tilde{q}_{\mu}\tilde{q}_{\nu}}{\tilde{q}^2}) + \frac{\tilde{q}_{\mu}\tilde{q}_{\nu}}{\tilde{q}^2}$$
(2.7)

where $\tilde{q}_{\mu} = 2\sin(\frac{q_{\mu}}{2})$ and

$$f(q) = 1 - \frac{f}{2(d-1)}\tilde{q}^2$$
(2.8)

The iteration is solved by replacing f(q) by $f^n(q)$, where, for small enough f,

$$f^{n}(q) \sim e^{-\frac{fn}{2(d-1)}\tilde{q}^{2}}$$
 (2.9)

One can think about $f^n(q)$ as a gauge invariant, Euclidean, form factor in a particular gauge; this form-factor is attached to the gauge field – fermion pair vertex.

One can take a trivial continuum limit [12] on the step number and fn is then seen to play the role of the fifth "time", τ , in a Langevin equation where the noise has been set to zero. The appropriate D+1 dimensional gauge covariant set of partial differential equations is

$$F_{5\nu} = D_{\mu}F_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (2.10)$$

where we use continuum notation also for the gauge fields and have set $\tau = x_5$. These equations have only an O(D) invariance, not O(D+1). They are first order in x_5 and second order in $x_{\mu}, \mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Gauge fixing to $A_5 = 0$ and going back to τ , we get

$$\frac{\partial A_{\mu}}{\partial \tau} = D_{\mu} F_{\mu\nu} \tag{2.11}$$

with a residual 4D gauge invariance - see [12] for references to earlier work on similar equations.

The parameter τ tells us, in units of length-squared (2.9), the rough amount of regularizing "fat" that has been added to the loop. All ultraviolet divergences of Wilson loop operators are

eliminated as soon as $\tau > 0$. These would include corner divergences if there were any; on the lattice there always will be.

We take τ proportional to the physical perimeter of the loop squared for small loops, and approaching a constant length squared (relatively small relative to Λ_N^{-2}) for large loops. This is done in order to remain sensitive to the short distance structure of the theory for small loops while allowing large loops to have only a modest amount of thickness. The confinement of the fat loops will still be governed by exponential terms with the same σ_k one would have extracted from bare Wilson loops, which were not fattened.

3. Surfaces

The need to smear the loops and to adjust a number of order *N* of finite parts renders the renormalized $Q(z, \mathscr{C})$ observable somewhat inelegant. This leads us to the idea of looking at less singular objects than lines – less singular means that more displacement directions are allowed. The simplest step in this direction is to replace the curve by a 2-dimensional surface Σ , described by $x_{\mu}(\sigma)$ ($\sigma_{\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2$). We put massive Dirac fermions on Σ , which is characterized by a single scale *l*. At zero mass, one has chiral symmetry and the fermionic determinant is the exponent of the Polyakov–Wiegmann action [13]. The gauge connection on Σ is $a_{\alpha} = A_{\mu}(x(\sigma))\frac{\partial x_{\mu}}{\partial \sigma_{\alpha}}$. The massless Dirac operator is $D_2(\Sigma) = \gamma_{\alpha}[\partial_{\sigma_{\alpha}} - ia_{\alpha}(\sigma)]$ and our new observable becomes

$$Q(\mu, \Sigma) = \langle \int [d\bar{\psi}d\psi] e^{\int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma\bar{\psi}(\sigma)[D_2(\Sigma) - \mu]\psi(\sigma)} \rangle$$
(3.1)

The possible counterterms are built out of currents on the surface: $J_{\alpha}^{j}(\sigma) = \bar{\psi}(\sigma)\gamma_{\alpha}T^{j}\psi(\sigma)$, $J_{\alpha} = \bar{\psi}(\sigma)\gamma_{\alpha}\psi(\sigma)$. So, only two ct-s, $\mathscr{L}_{1} = J_{\alpha}^{j}J_{\alpha}^{j}$, $\mathscr{L}_{2} = J_{\alpha}J_{\alpha}$ are now available. In 4D one has logarithmic divergences, and \mathscr{L}_{1} will be generated, but in 3D no ct-s are generated because the theory is superrenormalizable.

At $N = \infty$ a S χ SB transition is possible, depending on *l*; for a finite surface, this is a large *N* phase transition, analogue to the one occurring in the smeared Wilson loops. For finite *N* this transition disappears.

The lattice version of $Q(\mu, \Sigma)$ is straightforward to construct: we used the overlap operator for D_2 [14] to ensure a precise definition of the location of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking on the lattice.

4. Results in 3D

As said, no extra renormalization necessary in 3D. We set $\mu = 0$ and $N = \infty$. For an infinite plane we find $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{N=\infty} = 0.29(1)\sqrt{\sigma_f}$, where σ_f is the k = 1 string tension in the pure YM theory. For a cylinder with square base of side *s* we establish that spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking occurs when $s > s_c$, while for $s < s_c$ chiral symmetry is preserved. For $s > s_c$ with $(s - s_c)/s_c \ll 1$ we have $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{N=\infty}(s) \propto (s - s_c)^{1/2}$. The critical size is found to be $s_c = 1.4(1)/\sqrt{\sigma_f}$.

5. Outlook

In 3D the definition of the curve related observable that exhibited the large N phase transition required extra regularization which we implemented as smearing. Moving from curves to surfaces eliminated this smearing. In 4D there are further obstacles which we have to learn how to overcome. However, the number of ct-s is independent of N now.

In 3D, we described above two unambiguously defined $N = \infty$ scales, $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$ and s_c . We are looking for analytical ways to estimate these scales.

References

- [1] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 72, 461 (1974).
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Commun. Math. Phys. 88, 1 (1983).
- [3] O. Aharony and E. Karzbrun, JHEP 0906, 012 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1927 [hep-th]],
 O. Aharony and N. Klinghoffer, arXiv:1008.2648 [hep-th],
 - O. Aharony and M. Field, arXiv:1008.2636 [hep-th].
- [4] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 081601 (2003). R. Narayanan, H. Neuberger and E. Vicari, JHEP 0804, 094 (2008) [arXiv:0803.3833 [hep-th]]. R. Narayanan, H. Neuberger and F. Reynoso, Phys. Lett. B 651, 246 (2007) [arXiv:0704.2591 [hep-lat]].
- [5] R. Lohmayer, H. Neuberger and T. Wettig, JHEP 0905, 107 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4116 [hep-lat]].
 H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B 666, 106 (2008) [arXiv:0806.0149 [hep-th]]. H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B 670, 235 (2008) [arXiv:0809.1238 [hep-th]]. R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, JHEP 0712, 066 (2007) [arXiv:0711.4551 [hep-th]].
- [6] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D 21, 446 (1980).
- [7] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, JHEP 1006, 014 (2010) [arXiv:1005.0576 [hep-lat]].
- [8] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, JHEP 0911, 018 (2009) [arXiv:0909.4066 [hep-lat]].
- [9] L. Stodolsky, Acta Phys. Polon. B 33, 2659 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205313].
- [10] T. DeGrand, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 034503; M. Albanese et. al. [APE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B192, (1987) 163; M. Falcioni, M.L. Paciello, G. Parisi and B. Taglienti, Nucl. Phys. Nucl. Phys. B251, 624 (1985).
- [11] C. W. Bernard and T. DeGrand, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 83, 845 (2000).
- [12] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, JHEP 0603, 064 (2006).
- [13] A. M. Polyakov and P. B. Wiegmann, Phys. Lett. B 141, 223 (1984),A. M. Polyakov and P. B. Wiegmann, Phys. Lett. B 131, 121 (1983).
- [14] H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. B 417, 141 (1998); Phys. Lett. B 427, 353 (1998).