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The search for a light charged Higgs boson at the LHC will greatly depend on how well the QCD background can be controlled, especially for the fully hadronic final state where it is expected that QCD is overwhelmingly the dominant source of background. As the QCD production cross-section at the LHC is large and more importantly poorly known, specific methods to suppress and consequently predict the remaining QCD multi-jet background are required. Several methods of suppressing this QCD background and data-driven methods to estimate the remaining in the signal region are discussed for both the lepton+jets and fully hadronic final state signal channels.

Third International Workshop on Prospects for Charged Higgs Discovery at Colliders - CHARGED2010, September 27-30, 2010
Uppsala Sweden

*Speaker.
†on behalf of the CMS Collaboration.
1. Introduction

In the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [1–3] there are five Higgs scalar mass eigenstates, consisting of a light and a heavy CP-even neutral scalars $h^0$ and $H^0$, one CP-odd neutral scalar $A^0$, and a charged scalar $H^\pm$ with its charge conjugate analogue $H^-$. The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is expected to provide access to the majority of the MSSM parameter space, and the CMS [4] experiment alone has already recorded proton-proton collision data at 7 TeV which amount to 43.17 pb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. The dominant production mechanism of light charged Higgs bosons ($m_{H^\pm} < m_t$) at the LHC is through gluon fusion in $t\bar{t}$ events, with the $t \rightarrow bH^\pm$ decay. Production of heavy charged Higgs bosons ($m_{H^\pm} < m_t$) proceeds mainly through the associated production processes $gb \rightarrow tH^\pm$ and $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}H^\pm$. The branching ratio of top decay to charged Higgs boson depends on both $m_{H^\pm}$ and $\tan\beta$. For $m_{H^\pm} < m_t$ the charged Higgs boson decays almost exclusively through the $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ decay mode with BR ($H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$)$\approx$1, while for $m_{H^\pm} > m_t$ the aforementioned mode is the sub-dominant one, the dominant being the $H^\pm \rightarrow tb$ decay mode instead. For both charged Higgs boson mass regions however, the most promising discovery channel is the $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ decay mode [5]. Henceforth, only channels involving this decay mode with the tau lepton decaying hadronically will be considered.

For $m_{H^\pm} < m_t$, there are two different final states for $t\bar{t} \rightarrow bW^\pm bH^\pm$ events, depending on the $W^\pm$ decay to leptons or jets, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The mode in which the $W^\pm$ decays leptonically has a final state with $(l\nu_l) (\tau \nu_\tau) bb$ and is referred to as lepton+jets, whereas the mode in which the $W^\pm$ decays hadronically is referred to as the fully hadronic final state with $(q\bar{q}) (\tau \nu_\tau) bb$. The $t\bar{t}$ and $W + 3/4$ jet processes, shown in Fig. 1(b) and 1(c) respectively, can contribute to the background through genuine $\tau$’s originating from $W^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ decays. These events can be suppressed with a high $E_T$ cut and by exploiting the opposite state of the $\tau$ polarisation resulting from the scalar charged Higgs boson and the vector $W$ boson [7]. For the lepton+jets final state, the main source of background comes from processes containing electrons/ muons, $\tau$ leptons and jets in the final state. The dominant background for this final state is $t\bar{t}$ events with one or more tau leptons in the final state. The QCD multi-jet background events, which mainly produce non-isolated leptons in semi-leptonic decays are less important for this channel, due to the presence of isolated leptons which can be used to efficiently reject this QCD multi-jet background. Contrary to the lepton+jets final state however, the background for the fully hadronic final state is dominated by QCD multi-jet events, while $t\bar{t}$ remains a significant background. The QCD multi-jet events can fall to the signal area due to the mis-identification of a hadronic jet as a tau-jet and due to
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uncertainties in the Missing Transverse Energy (MET) measurement, which can result primarily from jet resolution effects. Such background events can be suppressed with a tau-identification algorithm based on an efficient tracker isolation.

However, besides the fake tau-jet\textsuperscript{1} rates and the fake MET, QCD multi-jet events have a large and poorly known cross-section and are thus a very dangerous background. Therefore, QCD must not only be adequately suppressed, but also its contribution to the signal region must be accurately estimated. To achieve this, data-driven methods must be developed and their effectiveness demonstrated by use of collision data. These proceedings concentrate on data-driven methods for estimating the number of expected QCD multi-jet background events in the light charged Higgs boson searches.

2. Tau-jet fake-rate with early data

Proton-proton collision events collected with the CMS experiment at LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in 2010 have been used to commission the algorithms for reconstruction and identification of tau lepton hadronic decays [8]. The efficiency with which genuine tau lepton hadronic decays are identified was estimated from MC with a sample of simulated $Z \rightarrow \tau^{+}\tau^{-}$ events, as the number of tau leptons present in the collision data analysed was insufficient for such measurement (Fig. 2(a)). It was possible however to determine the probabilities with which generic QCD jets pass the selection criteria of several tau identification algorithms (fake-rates), with collision data (Fig. 2(b)). The measured fake-rates were compared to MC predictions and were found to be systematically under-estimated by the latter, with preliminary studies suggesting that the modeling of hadronisation processes in the MC could be the reason for these discrepancies.
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**Figure 2:** (a) Efficiencies of the tau algorithms to identify genuine tau lepton hadronic decays as function of $p_T$ at generator level and using simulated $Z \rightarrow \tau^{+}\tau^{-}$ events. (b) Measured probabilities of quark/gluon jets to pass the tau identification of the algorithms as a function of jet $p_T$[8].

\textsuperscript{1}A tau-jet is a hadronically decaying tau lepton.
3. Data-driven methods for estimating QCD multi-jet background

Generic QCD backgrounds are significant in SUSY and $t\bar{t}$ studies due to jets faking electrons (e) in the e+jets final state and muons ($\mu$) in hadronic jets from heavy flavours in the $\mu$+jets final state. For this reason, several data-driven methods have been developed in CMS, to estimate the QCD background contribution in the signal regions, including the fake-rate application methods [9], extrapolation methods using relative isolation [10] and the kinematical variable $\alpha_T$[11], and fits to discriminating variables using template fit methods [9]. The fake-rate, the extrapolation and template methods have been tested for the selection of top-like events in the di-lepton and lepton+jets channels [10]. Given the similarities in the final state topology of this channel and the lepton+jets final state in light charged Higgs boson searches, methods studied for the former can also be used for the latter. However, this is not the case for the fully hadronic final state, as the difference in final state topologies and background composition suggests that if any such methods can be used in QCD background estimation measurements, it would require variations in the technique. All the aforementioned methods for measuring QCD backgrounds are discussed in detail in the following sub-sections and their relevance to searches for light charged Higgs bosons is established.

3.1 Fake-rate application method

Tau identification fake-rates have been used in $t\bar{t}$ studies to estimate the $W+\geq 3$ jets background in the lepton+jets final state, by use of the fake-rate application method [9]. In order to estimate this background from data, the probability for a jet to pass the tau-identification was evaluated from all jets in a jet-dominated sample and parameterized as a function of jet $p_T$. More specifically, the fake-rate was calculated from the ratio of the $p_T$ distribution of the jets satisfying the tau-tagging algorithm divided by the inclusive jet $p_T$ distribution. By applying this fake probability to the $p_T$ spectrum of all jets in the events passing the event selection in a multi-jet sample, an event weight can be derived and by summing over all such event weights, an estimate on the number of the expected background or fake events can be obtained. The results obtained from multi-jet samples were compared to results obtained with photon+jet samples and their average was found to be approximately 20% away from MC expectations. The method was found to be strongly dependent on the jet-selection and the sample composition, while separate results obtained by grouping jets into different categories were found to have up to 25% discrepancies, an indication of the biases introduced.

For the fully hadronic final state, the fake-rate application method can also be used to determine QCD backgrounds in the signal region, provided that an appropriate High Level Trigger (HLT) and event selection are chosen. It is anticipated that after the signal HLT\(^2\), the resulting data sample is still QCD dominated, with the level of contamination from $t\bar{t}$ and $W$+jets assumed to be negligible. By selecting only offline tau-jet candidates that match the HLT tau object and applying the fake-rate to them, a weight can be derived for each event. Then, by defining a QCD-efficiency factor which describes the fraction of QCD events surviving all selection cuts apart from tau-identification, the number of estimated QCD events in the signal region can be derived by applying this factor to the sum of event weights. This QCD-efficiency factor can be determined from

\(^2\)Currently set to HLT_IsoTau20_Trk15 + HLT_MET20, seeded by the level-1 single tau trigger (L1SingleTau20).
data, by applying the signal trigger and event selection but with the tau-identification factorised out. The systematic uncertainties related to this method are dominated by the purity of the QCD sample and the statistics of the QCD events available for the measurement. The possible bias introduced by the assumption that the contamination from $t\bar{t}$ and $W+$jets is negligible can be checked with MC simulations. Another possibility is to select a QCD enriched sample with a single jet trigger (e.g. HLT_Jet30U) and then apply an anti-isolation cut on the tau candidates, thereby increasing the purity of the resulting QCD enriched sample. After applying an event selection that emulates the signal final state topology, the efficiency with which QCD events pass the selection can be determined, while the Electro-Weak contamination can again be checked with MC. This efficiency factor could then be applied to the signal trigger sample to estimate the spill of QCD multi-jet events in the signal region after the full signal selection.

### 3.2 Extrapolation methods using Relative Isolation

Muons and electrons originating from $W$-boson decays are commonly consistent with originating from the interaction point (IP), are typically isolated from the rest of the event activity and have small impact parameters. Given that non-isolated muons originate mostly from QCD generic jets, one can define a variable to quantify the degree of isolation of a lepton. The relative isolation variable for a lepton ($\text{isol}_{\text{rel}}$) is defined as the sum of the track momenta and electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeter energy deposits around the lepton track in a cone of $\Delta R=0.3$ (excluding the contribution from the lepton candidate itself) and normalised to the lepton’s transverse momentum.

With this definition, samples containing genuine $W$-boson decays like $t\bar{t}$ events should be characterized by small values (signal region), while QCD multi-jet events (background region) are expected to occupy a region around higher values. So, for a given data sample one can define a control region at high values of relative isolation which is expected to be dominated by QCD multi-jet events. Then, by fitting a function to the variable distribution shape in the control region, and then extrapolating its shape into the signal region, an estimate of the number of QCD multi-jet events there can be obtained.

This technique has been deployed in studies involving top-like events with the CMS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, for both the $\mu$+jets and $e$+jets analyses [10] and example fit results for events containing $\geq 0$ and $\geq 1$ jet in the $e$+jets analysis are shown in Fig. 3. By attempting various fit intervals and binning schemes, good agreement was achieved between the estimated and predicted (MC) number of events for the $e$+jets analysis with discrepancies $\leq 10\%$, whereas for the $\mu$+jets analysis the results were less encouraging. In similar fashion to the lepton relative isolation, the isolation criteria used in tau identification algorithms are known to be strong suppressors of QCD multi-jet events, and are powerful discriminators between signal and QCD multi-jet events. Therefore, a similar method to the one employed in the $\mu/e$+jets analyses could also be applied in the fully hadronic final state of charged Higgs boson searches, by simply replacing the isolated lepton with the tau-jet and by defining a similar isolation variable for the tau-jet. Possible complications can arise from the fact that there are mild isolation requirements for the tau-jet already at the HLT level. Therefore, with the present trigger menu, the extrapolation method with an isolation variable appears to be a less attractive option for the fully hadronic final state, especially when one considers that there are alternative methods available for estimating the QCD multi-jet background (sub-sections 3.3 and 3.4).
The estimated number of QCD events is then simply the integral of the QCD template (shown in Fig. 4(a)) in the signal region. The results obtained for both the MET and $H_{T,lep}$ variable, were similar with about $\leq 50\%$ discrepancy from MC. Such template fit methods could be applied to obtain estimates of the QCD multi-jet background in the fully hadronic final state of light charged Higgs boson searches, with an appropriate selection of event-level kinematic variable being the missing transverse energy and/or the dimensionless kinematical variable $\alpha_T$ (sub-section 3.4).
explored in SUSY searches with the CMS detector [11–14]. This dimensionless variable describes the transverse momentum imbalance of an event, and like missing transverse energy is a powerful discriminator against QCD multi-jet background. For multi-jet events, this variable is constructed by using all the jets in an event to create two pseudo-jets and it takes the form:

$$\alpha_T = \frac{1}{2} \frac{H_T - \Delta H_T}{\sqrt{H_T^2 - (MHT)^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)$$

where \( H_T = \sum_j |\vec{p}_T| \) is the total transverse energy of the event obtained by summing over all the jet transverse momenta and \( \Delta H_T = p_T^{\text{pseudo-jet}_1} - p_T^{\text{pseudo-jet}_2} \) is the difference in \( H_T \) between the pseudo-jets. The quantity \( MHT = \sum_j \vec{p}_T \) is the missing \( H_T \) of the event and is closely analogous to MET but based only on transverse energy clustered into jets and is thus dependent on jet thresholds. Since there are multiple ways to form two pseudo-jets in a given event, a unique configuration must be chosen and it is the one that provides the maximum balance in transverse momenta; the pseudo-jets configuration that minimises \( \Delta H_T \).

For a perfectly balanced multi-jet event with no real MET, the \( \alpha_T \) variable is expected to have values close to 0.5, and a recent SUSY study [11] found that QCD events are largely confined in the region \( \alpha_T < 0.5 \). More specifically, results were reported on the shape of the \( \alpha_T \) distributions in QCD samples and their dependence on the total transverse energy of the event. In Fig. 5(a) and 5(b) the \( \alpha_T \) distributions for different \( H_T \) slices are shown, and below each plot the ratio between data and MC is also shown, demonstrating that there is good agreement. For both \( H_T \) slices it was observed that most QCD multi-jet events are confined in the region \( \alpha_T < 0.5 \), with the lower threshold \( H_T \) bin exhibiting a significant tail. This tail is reduced dramatically in the higher \( H_T \) slice, as shown in Fig. 5(b), which is understood in terms of jet resolution effects as they become
less significant at higher $H_T$ values making it easier for the pseudo-jets to balance. Contrary to QCD events however, SUSY and light charged Higgs boson searches are expected to have $\alpha_T$ distributions which extend well above this value, with the effect increasing at higher Higgs masses due to the more energetic neutrinos from the charged Higgs side.

In order to quantify this $H_T$ dependence of $\alpha_T$, the fraction of QCD events passing the cut $\alpha_T > 0.55$ was investigated as a function of $H_T$, with data. It was found that there is an exponential decrease of surviving QCD events as a function of increasing $H_T$, for both 2-jets and $\geq 3$ jets. More interestingly, this behaviour was also found to hold even with mis-measurement biases, like extreme jet losses (simulated by artificially removing a jet from the event) and jet energy smearing, as shown in Fig. 6(a). Therefore, the QCD background estimation planned for SUSY searches exploits the dependence of events with $\alpha_T > 0.55$ on the pseudo-rapidity of the leading jet. The plot shown in Fig. 6(b) shows the fraction of events surviving the $\alpha_T > 0.55$ cut in minimum-bias data, as a function of leading jet pseudo-rapidity ($\eta$). In contrast with a typical SUSY signal, the pseudo-rapidity distribution for QCD events is uniform, even in the case of large fake MET which can be simulated by the random removal of a jet from the event. According to MC studies, SUSY events are expected to be more central than QCD events, and so one can define a control region at high $|\eta|$ where the signal is depleted to estimate the QCD shape and extrapolate to the signal region of low values of $|\eta|$, in a similar fashion with the relative isolation and template fit methods.

The behaviour of the $\alpha_T$ variable for QCD events at high $H_T$ values is encouraging for charged Higgs boson searches, since for the fully hadronic final state it is expected that the event $H_T$ will be significant, due to the presence of multiple hadronic jets in addition to the tau-jet. This means that, with a carefully chosen event selection, the QCD multi-jet events will occupy the region $\alpha_T < 0.5$ and provided that the leading jet $|\eta|$ distribution is flat for events surviving the $\alpha_T > 0.55$ cut, a method to estimate the QCD contamination in the signal region is also possible. However, conclusive statements on this method can only be made after detailed application of the method to
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Figure 6: Fraction of events passing an $\alpha_T > 0.55$ cut, as a function of (a) $H_T \geq 3$ jets and $\gamma + \geq 2$ jets samples. The effects of jet loss and jet smearing are also shown. (b) Fraction of events passing an $\alpha_T > 0.55$ cut as a function of $|\eta|$ of the leading jet, in data and minimum bias MC [11].

light charged Higgs boson searches.

4. Conclusions

The strategy for estimating QCD backgrounds in light charged Higgs boson searches using data-driven methods has been presented in the previous sections. In the lepton+jets final state for which QCD is not the dominant background, detailed studies have already been tested [9, 10] with encouraging albeit mixed results. The fully hadronic final state, for which QCD is overwhelmingly the dominant source of background, presents a more difficult task in devising data-driven methods for estimating it accurately, mostly due to fact that it is more challenging to obtain a pure QCD control sample. Various data-driven methods for estimating these QCD backgrounds have been presented here and are still very much a work in progress. It is anticipated that the proposed methods will be studied in more detail as more data become available, which will not only enable their accurate evaluation but also their refinement. Furthermore, future developments of trigger menus that will unavoidably come due to the expected increase in the instantaneous luminosity of LHC, will open new possibilities of data-driven methods for measuring QCD backgrounds in charged Higgs boson searches.
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