



Shigeru Odaka*

High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK) 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan E-mail: shigeru.odaka@kek.jp

The history and the present status of the GR@PPA event generator are briefly reviewed. The development of GR@PPA started in 2000 in order to provide a framework of NLO event generators for hadron collision interactions. After the first release of the package (GR@PPA_4b) in 2002, which supported four bottom quark production processes, many multi-particle production processes have been added to the package: GR@PPA_ALL in 2004 and GR@PPA 2.7 in 2006. Now we are going to release a new package (GR@PPA 2.8), which supports single and double weak-boson production processes with an initial-state jet matching. Though the matrix elements presently included are still at the tree level, this new release is an important step towards constructing consistent NLO event generators.

3rd Computational Particle Physics Workshop (CPP2010) September 23-25 2010 KEK, Tsukuba, Japan

*Speaker.

1. GR@PPA

GR@PPA (GRace At Proton-Proton/Antiproton)¹ [1, 2] is a Monte Carlo event generator package to be used for simulating interactions at proton-proton and proton-antiproton collider experiments, such as those at CERN LHC and FNAL Tevatron. It is an extension of the GRACE system [3] to hadron collision interactions.

The cross section of the production of a final state A from collisions of two hadrons, h_1 and h_2 , at a squared center-of-mass (cm) energy of s is usually evaluated as:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{h_1h_2\to A+X}(s)}{d\Phi_A} = \sum_{a,b,i} \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^1 dx_2 f_{h_1\to a}(x_1,\mu_F^2) f_{h_2\to b}(x_2,\mu_F^2) \delta(\hat{s}-x_1x_2s) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to A_i}(\hat{s})}{d\hat{\Phi}_{A_i}},$$
(1.1)

where $f_{h_k \to p}(x_k, \mu_F^2)$ is the parton distribution function (PDF) representing the existence probability of the parton p (a light quark or a gluon) inside the hadron h_k with a momentum fraction of x_k at a certain energy scale of μ_F (factorization scale). The factor $d\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to A_i}(\hat{s})/d\hat{\Phi}_{A_i}$ represents the differential cross section of the hard interaction which produces the final state A_i from the collision of two partons, a and b, perturbatively calculated according to fixed-order matrix elements (ME) at a squared cm energy of \hat{s} . The final state A may consist of several sub-states A_i at the parton level; for instance, the "jet" production includes a variety of light quark and gluon productions. Such a generalization is necessary in hadron collisions because it is experimentally hard to separate the sub-states.

Monte Carlo (MC) event generation is an MC integration in which sampling points (events) are generated with a probability proportional to the differential cross section. GRACE is a powerful tool to derive the differential cross section of the hard interaction, $d\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to A_i}(\hat{s})/d\hat{\Phi}_{A_i}$ in Eq. (1.1), and to generate events according to it. GR@PPA provides a mechanism to add the effects of the initial-state variation in the flavor and the momentum according to PDF and to make a generalization of the final state as symbolically described in Eq. (1.1). The summation in Eq. (1.1) must sometimes be taken over more than tens of sub-processes. It is not a good choice to prepare matrix elements for all sub-processes. GR@PPA simulates such sub-processes by applying charge and parity inversions, and flavor, mass and coupling exchanges to a limited number of base processes. For example, all sub-processes for the Z + 1 jet production are derived from only two base processes, $u\bar{u} \to Z + g$ and $ug \to Z + u$.

It is frequently required by users of MC event generators to mix up event samples of different processes according to the predicted production cross sections. This requires additional cumbersome works. GR@PPA has a mechanism to generate events of different processes simultaneously from the beginning of the development. Event mixing is not necessary if users specify multiple processes in the initialization. This is a very useful feature when we apply our jet matching method in GR@PPA. Another feature implemented from the beginning is an interface to general-purpose event generators. GR@PPA is a parton-level event generator. It is necessary to apply additional simulations of hadronization and decays in order to generate realistic events which can be passed to detector simulations of experiments. General-purpose event generators, such as PYTHIA [4] and HERWIG [5, 6], provide us with such a function. In the early stage of the development, GR@PPA

http://atlas.kek.jp/physics/nlo-wg/grappa.html.

supported a dedicated interface to PYTHIA version 6.1 [7], while recent versions support the LHA user-process interface [8] commonly supported by various event generators.

2. History of the development

In January 2000, people from the Japanese ATLAS group and the Minami-Tateya group formed the NLO Working Group². The purpose of this working group is to develop next-to-leading order (NLO) event generators for hadron collision interactions based on the GRACE system. The development of GR@PPA started as an activity of this working group. In October 2000, a concept to integrate the GRACE system with PYTHIA was presented at the ACAT2000 workshop held at FNAL [9]. According to this concept, we started the development of a leading-order (LO) event generator for four bottom-quark $(b\bar{b}b\bar{b})$ production processes. The generator included all processes within the Standard Model, such as those mediated by Z boson(s) and the Higgs boson as well as those mediated by pure QCD interactions. The development of four-body event generators was not a trivial task at that time. The generator was named GR@PPA_4b in February 2001, and GR@PPA_4b version 1.0 was released in April 2002 [1]. GR@PPA_4b 2.0, released in April 2003, supported the LHA user-process interface.

After establishing GR@PPA_4b, we started adding various multi-body production processes to the same framework. In February 2004, we released a new version, GR@PPA_All 2.6, in which in addition to the four-b production many multi-body production processes, W + jets up to 3 jets, Z + jets up to 2 jets, diboson (W^+W^- , ZW, ZZ) productions and the top-quark pair production, were supported. It must be emphasized that in these processes the decays of heavy particles (W, Z)and top quark) were included in the matrix elements for the event generation. Namely, the "W + 3jets" is a five-body production and the "top-pair" is a six-body production process. As a result, the phase-space effects and the spin correlations in the decays were reproduced exactly at the tree level in the generated events. The most recent version of GR@PPA (GR@PPA 2.7) [2] was released in February 2006. Further multi-body processes, W + 4 jets, Z + 3 and 4 jets, diboson + 1 and 2 jets, top-pair + 1 jet, and OCD jets from 2 up to 4 jets, were added in this version. Note that the "top-pair + 1 jet" is a seven-body production process. In this version of the release, the GR@PPA framework and process-dependent routines are provided as separate packages, because the program size has grown very large for multi-body production processes. Users are allowed to install only those processes which they are interested in. The installation scripts have a function to recognize which processes are installed by the user.

The event generators included in GR@PPA have been verified through repeated comparisons with other event generators, especially for weak boson + jets production processes, and the W + jets event generators were utilized in a data analysis at Tevatron [10]. However, through the analyses of Tevatron data, we have noticed that there is a fundamental difficulty in event generators based on matrix elements (ME) such as GR@PPA: a double counting problem between ME and parton showers (PS) or PDF for QCD radiation. Parton showers are applied to simulate QCD radiation effects analytically evaluated in PDFs in Eq. (1.1) and to simulate radiations from produced partons (jets). The problem arises when we use matrix elements for those processes including jet(s) in the

²http://atlas.kek.jp/physics/nlo-wg/index.html.

final state. The phase space to which we can naively apply ME-based event generators is much restricted if we want to safely avoid the double counting. Namely, we have to require a sufficiently large separation between the jets. We have to add an appropriate matching mechanism in order to construct event generators which provide us with reasonable simulations in the entire phase space.

3. ME-PS matching and GR@PPA 2.8

The purpose of the NLO working group is to develop NLO event generators. It is necessary to deal with those processes including at least one QCD radiation in NLO event generators. The development of GR@PPA aims at providing an appropriate platform of event generator programs. Therefore, the double counting is a problem that we have to solve in GR@PPA.

The double counting between ME and PS is now a well known problem. Several solutions have been introduced and implemented in practical event generators, such as the ME correction in PYTHIA [11] and HERWIG [12, 13], and the CKKW method [14] implemented in Sherpa [15]. The MLM prescription in AlpGen [16] can be considered as an alternative to the CKKW method. These are the solutions for leading-order (LO) event generators. For full NLO event generation, a subtraction method is applied in MC@NLO [17, 18] and a suppression method is used in POWHEG [19, 20].

We have proposed another solution, the limited leading-log (LLL) subtraction method [21, 22], to the problem. The divergent leading-logarithmic (LL) terms are subtracted from the matrix elements of radiative (1-jet) processes in this method. This is similar to the subtraction method applied in MC@NLO. However, the subtraction is applied in a limited phase space in our method, while there is no such limitation in the method of MC@NLO. In any case, the "1-jet" processes become finite after the subtraction. The subtracted terms are equal to the leading terms considered in PS applied to non-radiative (0-jet) processes. The duplication of these terms is the source of the double counting. Since the duplicated terms are subtracted from "1-jet" MEs, we can achieve an appropriate matching by combining them with "0-jet" processes to which a PS is applied.

Since the LL subtraction is unphysical, we may have negative cross sections in some phase space after the subtraction, leading to the generation of some negative-weight events. Generated events have a unit weight, +1 or -1, in GR@PPA and MC@NLO. Physical distributions can be obtained by subtracting the number of negative-weight events from that of positive-weight events in each histogram bin, after adding "0-jet" and "1-jet" processes. The generation of negative-weight events is not a serious problem unless their fraction becomes large.

The factorization of the perturbative QCD radiation effects (QCD evolution) stops at the factorization scale (μ_F) in PDFs. This scale is usually taken to be equal to a typical energy scale (*s* or |t|) of the interested interaction, because non-leading contributions ignored in the factorization become sizable around this scale. Parton shower (PS) is in principle an MC implementation of the QCD evolution considered in PDF. Therefore, it is natural to limit the PS radiation also at μ_F . The LL subtraction is a rejection of the duplication between ME and PS. If the evolution in PDF and the PS radiation are limited, the LL subtraction must also be limited by the same energy scale. The subtraction is applied in the phase space limited by μ_F in the LLL subtraction. The LL radiation effects harder than μ_F remain in "1-jet" processes. Therefore, we can expect that μ_F dependences must be cancelled with an accuracy at the LL order by adding "0-jet" and LLL-subtracted "1-jet" processes. We have shown that such a cancellation is actually realized [22].

Parton showers (PS) play a crucial role in the jet matching in event generators. We have developed custom-made parton showers [21] in order to ensure the performance of our method. They are based on the most naive definition of the Sudakov form factor at the leading order. The initial-state PS, named QCDPS, is a forward evolution PS. It strictly reproduces the QCD evolution in leading-order PDFs. QCDPS overcomes the efficiency problem that forward-evolution parton showers generally suffer from, by adopting an *x*-deterministic evolution technique.

Parton showers simulate not only the longitudinal momentum branches but also transverse activities of radiations. Their cumulative effects can be observed as physical quantities, such as the transverse recoil momentum of weak bosons. We have shown that the definition of PS branch kinematics is important to achieve a good matching in the transverse recoil, and introduced a new definition named " p_T -prefixed branch kinematics" [22]. The simulation adopting this definition almost perfectly reproduces the transverse momentum spectrum of Z bosons measured at Tevatron [23]. In addition to QCDPS, we have developed a backward-evolution initial-state PS with the same branch kinematics (QCDPSb). A final-state PS (QCDPSf) has also been developed for completeness, but is still in an experimental phase.

A new version of GR@PPA (GR@PPA 2.8) is now almost ready to release, in which the ME-PS matching method described above is applied to weak-boson production processes. Supported are the single W and Z production processes and the diboson (W^+W^- , ZW and ZZ) production processes. Used matrix elements are still at the leading order.

As already mentioned, the generated events almost perfectly reproduces the p_T spectrum of Z bosons at Tevatron. Here we show some results on the W-boson production at the LHC condition, pp collisions at a cm energy of 14 TeV, in Fig. 1. Some properties of W bosons and decay electrons are compared with those simulated by PYTHIA [4]. We expect that the PYTHIA simulation is at the same level as the present GR@PPA simulation concerning the W-boson production, except for the overall normalization. Actually we can see a good agreement between the two simulations in Fig. 1, where relative shapes of the distributions are compared. As for the diboson production, the predictions for the LHC condition are in reasonable agreement with those from MC@NLO [18] as shown in Fig. 2. The disagreements can be attributed to the absence of virtual corrections in GR@PPA and the zero decay widths of weak bosons assumed in MC@NLO. Weak bosons are produced according to the on-shell approximation in these simulations of MC@NLO. Finite decay widths and the spin correlations can be simulated in GR@PPA for all processes.

4. Summary and prospects

The NLO working group was formed in January 2000, aiming at developing NLO event generators for hadron-collision interactions based on the GRACE system. GR@PPA has been developed as an activity of this working group, in order to establish a framework of the event generator program. Though the implemented matrix elements are still at the tree level, many multi-body (multi-jet) production processes are supported in the GR@PPA packages released so far.





Figure 1: Some properties of *W* bosons and decay electrons in the single *W*-boson production process at the LHC condition, pp collisions at a cm energy of 14 TeV: the rapidity of *W* (top-left), the pseudorapidity of electrons (top-right), the p_T of electrons (bottom-left), and the transverse mass calculated from p_T of electrons and neutrinos (bottom-right). The predictions from GR@PPA 2.8 (plots) are compared with those from PYTHIA with the "new" PS (histograms).



Figure 2: The p_T and invariant mass spectra of the diboson system in the diboson production processes at the LHC condition, pp collisions at a cm energy of 14 TeV. GR@PPA simulations (plots) are compared with predictions from MC@NLO (histograms).



Figure 3: The p_T spectrum of Z bosons in the single Z production process at LHC, p_P collisions at 14 TeV. The prediction from GR@PPA 2.8 (solid) is compared with those from PYTHIA with the new PS (dashed) and HERWIG (dotted). The distributions up to 200 GeV/c are shown in (a) in order to compare the high- p_T spectra, while the low- p_T spectra up to 20 GeV/c are compared in (b).

The ME-PS matching is a feature that practical NLO event generators have to support. We have introduced the LLL subtraction method for initial-state jet matching to smoothly combine "0-jet" and "1-jet" processes, and applied it to weak-boson production processes. The generated events surprisingly well reproduce the p_T spectrum of Z bosons measured at Tevatron. A new version of the GR@PPA package (GR@PPA 2.8) is now almost ready to release³. This version supports the single W and Z production processes and diboson (W^+W^- , ZW and ZZ) production processes. The LLL subtraction can be applied to combine them with "1-jet" associate processes, to reproduce the recoil of the weak-boson system due to QCD radiations in the entire phase space. Leading-order parton shower programs that we have developed are also included in order to ensure the performance of our matching method. This release is an important step towards our final goal.

As the next step of the development, we are going to apply the LLL subtraction method to the di-photon production process. This is an important background process for light Higgs-boson searches, and the contribution of jet-associate processes is known to be very large. Since some of the jet-associate sub-processes have QED divergences in the final state, we need to extend the QCD LLL subtraction for the initial state, that we have developed so far, to QED and the final state. Another subject that we are going to attack is to construct full NLO event generators. Since we can evaluate loop integrations efficiently in the framework of GRACE, it is straightforward to convert present event generators in GR@PPA 2.8 to NLO ones [24], once an appropriate theoretical formalism is established.

We also have a plan of developments in technical aspects. The present GR@PPA coding still depend on human manipulations in many parts. The coding becomes more and more complicated as the particle multiplicity becomes larger, and the chance to make mistakes becomes more frequent. It is desired to pursue further automatization in order to reduce such mistakes. Some of the developments are underway in this course.

Continuous physics runs started at LHC early in this year. The accumulated luminosity is increasing exponentially according to the rapid improvements of the accelerator performance. Fig-

³GR@PPA 2.8 was released on Nov. 17, 2010. See the GR@PPA Web page.

ure 3 shows the p_T spectrum of Z bosons expected for the design condition of LHC, pp collisions at 14 TeV. Predictions from GR@PPA, PYTHIA with the new PS and HERWIG are compared in the figure. Though unfortunately the predictions are quite similar to each other, there still exist some substantial differences between them. It is easy to produce a similar figure for the present LHC condition, pp collisions at 7 TeV. We expect that we can plot measurement results on it, soon.

References

- S. Tsuno et al., GR@PPA_4b: A Four Bottom Quark Production Event Generator for pp/pp Collisions, Comput. Phys. Commun. 151 (2003) 216 [hep-ph/0204222]; KEK Preprint 2002-7.
- [2] S. Tsuno et al., GR@PPA 2.7 event generator for pp/pp̄ collisions, Comput. Phys. Commun., 175 (2006) 665 [hep-ph/0602213].
- [3] Minami-Tateya group, T. Ishikawa et al., GRACE manual, KEK-92-19 (1993).
- [4] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 Physics and Manual*, *JHEP* 05 (2006) 026 [hep-ph/0603175].
- [5] G. Corcella *et al.*, *HERWIG 6.5: an event generator for Hadron Emission Reactions With Interfering Gluons (including supersymmetric processes), JHEP* **01** (2001) 010 [hep-ph/0011363].
- [6] G. Corcella et al., HERWIG 6.5 release note, hep-ph/0210213.
- [7] T. Sjostrand et al., High-energy physics event generation with PYTHIA 6.1, Comput. Phys. Commun., 135 (2001) 238 [hep-ph/0010017].
- [8] E. Boos *et al.*, *Generic user process interface for event generators*, submitted to *Workshop on Physics at TeV Colliders*, Les Houches, France, 21 May 1 Jun 2001; hep-ph/0109068.
- K. Sato et al., Integration of GRACE and PYTHIA, in proceedings of 7th International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Analysis Techniques in Physics Research (ACAT 2000), Batavia, Illinois, 16-20 Oct 2000; Batavia 2000, Advanced computing and analysis techniques in physics research, p. 214; hep-ph/0104237.
- [10] S. Tsuno, Tests of Enhanced Leading Order QCD in W Boson plus Jet Production in 1.96-TeV Proton-Antiproton Collisions, PhD thesis, the University of Tsukuba (2004); FERMILAB-THESIS-2004-81.
- [11] G. Miu, T. Sjostrand, W production in an improved parton shower approach, Phys. Lett. B 449 (1999) 313 [hep-ph/9812455].
- [12] M.H. Seymour, A Simple prescription for first order corrections to quark scattering and annihilation processes, Nucl. Phys. B436 (1995) 443 [hep-ph/9410244].
- [13] M.H. Seymour, Matrix element corrections to parton shower algorithms, Comp. Phys. Commun. 90 (1995) 95 [hep-ph/9410414].
- [14] S. Catani, F. Krauss, R. Kuhn, B.R. Webber, QCD Matrix Elements + Parton Showers, JHEP 11 (2001) 063 [hep-ph/0109231].
- [15] T. Gleisberg et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1, JHEP 02 (2009) 007 [arXiv:0811.4622].
- [16] M.L. Mangano et al., ALPGEN, a generator for hard multiparton processes in hadronic collisions, JHEP 07 (2003) 001 [hep-ph/0206293].

- [17] S. Frixione, B.R. Webber, Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations, JHEP 06 (2002) 029 [hep-ph/0204244].
- [18] S. Frixione, B.R. Webber, The MC@NLO 3.4 Event Generator, arXiv:0812.0770.
- [19] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, JHEP 11 (2004) 040 [hep-ph/0409146].
- [20] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, JHEP 06 (2010) 043 [arXiv:1002.2581].
- [21] Y. Kurihara et al., QCD event generators with next-to-leading order matrix-elements and parton showers, Nucl. Phys. B654 (2003) 301 [hep-ph/0212216].
- [22] S. Odaka, Y. Kurihara, Initial-state parton shower kinematics for NLO event generators, Eur. Phys. J. C 51 (2007) 867 [hep-ph/0702138].
- [23] S. Odaka, Simulation of Z boson p_T spectrum at Tevatron by leading-order event generators, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 25 (2010) 3047 [arXiv:0907.5056].
- [24] J. Fujimoto, Y. Kurihara, GRACE-NLO for the LHC, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 183 (2008) 143.