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With search for 4th generation $t^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ quarks continuing at the LHC, we note that the measurements of CPV phase in $B_{s} \rightarrow J / \psi \phi$ and the $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$rate would provide a measurement of $V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}$. The third measurement of $A_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$would provide further information. Summer 2011 data reveal that $t^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ quark mass bounds are already rather close to the unitarity bound, while all B physics results (except $A_{S L}$ of D0) are now consistent with the 3 generation Standard Model. The implications of these results are presented, in particular giving an illustrative $b \rightarrow s$ quadrangle that may be relevant for the Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe. With $\left|V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}\right|$ likely smaller than indicated by past data, we point out that a measurement of $\mathscr{B}\left(t^{\prime} \rightarrow b^{\prime} W^{*}\right.$ ) (or $\mathscr{B}\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime} W^{*}\right)$ ) could be an excellent probe of rather small $V_{t^{\prime} b}\left(\right.$ or $\left.V_{t b^{\prime}}\right)$.
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## 1．Introduction

CMS has put forth stringent mass bounds of $m_{t^{\prime}}>450 \mathrm{GeV}$［四］and $m_{b^{\prime}}>495 \mathrm{GeV}$ at $90 \%$ C．L．［［ ］］．We show that，concurrent with direct $t^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ search，measurement of CPV phase $\sin 2 \Phi_{B_{s}} \equiv \sin \phi_{s}$ in $B_{s} \rightarrow J / \psi \phi$ ，as well as the $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$rate，could give us $V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}$ that could touch［3］upon the baryon asymmetry of the Universe（BAU）．

## 2．Measuring $V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}$ via $\sin 2 \Phi_{B_{s}}$ and $\mathscr{B}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$

The $B_{s}$ mixing amplitude $M_{12}^{s}=\frac{G_{F}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}{12 \pi^{2}} m_{B_{s}} f_{B_{s}}^{2} \hat{B}_{B_{s}} \eta_{B} \Delta_{12}^{s}$ is modified by the presence of $t^{\prime}$ ，i．e．

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{12}^{s}=\left(\lambda_{t}^{\mathrm{SM}}\right)^{2} S_{0}(t, t)+2 \lambda_{t}^{\mathrm{SM}} \lambda_{t^{\prime}} \Delta S_{0}^{(1)}+\lambda_{t^{\prime}}^{2} \Delta S_{0}^{(2)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{q} \equiv V_{q s}^{*} V_{q b}$ ，and $\Delta S_{0}^{(i)}$［罒］are GIM－subtracted $t^{\prime}$ effects．Unlike $\Delta m_{B_{s}} \equiv 2\left|M_{12}^{s}\right|$ ，the CPV phase $2 \Phi_{B_{s}}=\arg \Delta_{12}^{s}$ is purely short distance．Normalizing［罒］the $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$rate by $\Delta m_{B_{s}}$ ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{B}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \bar{\mu} \mu\right)=\frac{3 g_{W}^{4} m_{\mu}^{2}}{2^{7} \pi^{3} M_{W}^{2}} \frac{\tau_{B_{s}} \eta_{Y}^{2}}{\hat{B}_{B_{s}} \eta_{B}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{t}^{\mathrm{SM}} Y_{0}\left(x_{t}\right)+\lambda_{t^{\prime}} \Delta Y_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|\Delta_{12}^{s}\right| /\left.\Delta m_{B_{s}}\right|^{\exp }} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta Y_{0}$ is similar to $\Delta S_{0}$ ，the $f_{B_{s}}$ dependence is removed，making it another useful measurable．
The summer 2011 result for $\sin \phi_{s}$ by LHCb did not support the indication，since 2008，of a large and negative value．But -0.3 is still allowed at $\sim 2 \sigma$ ．Thus，we take two possible values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin 2 \Phi_{B_{s}}=-0.3 \pm 0.1 ;-0.04 \pm 0.1 \quad\left(\mathrm{LHCb}>1 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combined $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$rate of LHCb and CMS is now only 3.4 times SM value，hence we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
10^{9} \mathscr{B}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=5.0 \pm 1.5 ; 2.0 \pm 1.5 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are adjacent regions that intersect at the SM rate．The numbers and errors in Eqs．（2．3］）and （2．4）anticipate possible developments in 2012．Together we have 4 cases：Case A when both high； Case B（C）for Eq．（2．3）SM－like，but Eq．（2．4）higher（lower）than SM；and Case D．In Fig．四 we illustrate Case A in the $\phi_{s b} \equiv \arg V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}, r_{s b} \equiv\left|V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}\right|$ plane for $m_{t^{\prime}}=550 \mathrm{GeV}$ ，with the overlap of contours marked as A，and likewise for Cases B and C．We see that these cases cover a good part of the region for $\left|V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}\right| \lesssim 0.01$ ，with Case D in fact a sliver that is complement to Case A ．

## 3．Utility of $A_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$；and Measuring $\left|V_{t^{\prime} b}\right|$ by Threshold Effect

Contrary to earlier indications，LHCb again found $A_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{* 0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$consistent with SM． In left plot of Fig．2，Cases A－C are compared with contours of zero crossing point $\left.s_{0} \equiv q^{2}\right|_{A_{\mathrm{FB}}=0}$ （insensitive to form factors），where $s_{0}^{\mathrm{SM}} \simeq 4.4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ ．For the four sample points，marked as small ellipses from high to low $r_{s b}$ ，the differential $A_{\mathrm{FB}}$ curves are given in center plot of Fig． 2 from top to bottom．The black solid curve is for SM．We see that the upper two curves or sample points are ruled out by LHCb data，implying that $\left|V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b}\right| \lesssim 0.008$ for $m_{t^{\prime}}=550 \mathrm{GeV}$ ．Note that for higher $m_{t^{\prime}}$ values，the formulas we use may no longer apply，as $t^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ Yukawa couplings turn nonperturbative．

Since $V_{t^{\prime} b}$ could now be rather small，we mention that a measurement of $\mathscr{B}\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime} W^{*}\right)$ could probe very tiny $V_{t b^{\prime}}$ values．This is because $b^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime} W^{*}$ is phase space suppressed（as electroweak precision tests imply proximity of $\left|m_{t^{\prime}}-m_{b^{\prime}}\right| \lesssim M_{W}$ ，while $b^{\prime} \rightarrow t W$ is suppressed by $\left|V_{t b^{\prime}}\right|^{2}$ ．This effect is illustrated in the right plot of Fig．2，where the threshold is when the $W^{*}$ turns on－shell．


Figure 1: Overlap region for Cases A-C corresponding to Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) as described in text.


Figure 2: Left: $\left.s_{0} \equiv q^{2}\right|_{A_{\mathrm{FB}}=0}$ contours overlayed with Cases A-C; Center: $d A_{\mathrm{FB}} / d q^{2}$ vs $q^{2}$, where curves in descending order are for sample points (small ellipses) from high to low $r_{s b}$ in left plot, plus SM (black solid curve); Right: Probing very small $\left|V_{t b^{\prime}}\right|$ via $\mathscr{B}\left(b^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime} W^{*}\right)$, i.e. transition between 4th generation quarks.

## 4. Conclusion: Towards $b \rightarrow s$ Quadrangle

To illustrate the implications of our proposed program, we plot $V_{t^{\prime} s}^{*} V_{t^{\prime} b} \simeq 0.0065 e^{i 70^{\circ}}$ in Fig. 3. This corresponds to both $\sin 2 \Phi_{B_{s}}$ and $\mathscr{B}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$on the large side allowed by current data. Note that $V_{u s}^{*} V_{u b}$ and $V_{c s}^{*} V_{c b}$ are measured by tree processes, and we assume CKM4 unitarity.

In conclusion, along with direct search of $t^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ quarks, the LHC could measure 4th generation CKM parameters in the near future, which could bear the matter asymmetry of the Universe.
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Figure 3: An illustrative $b \rightarrow s$ quadrangle of SM4, together with $b \rightarrow d$ and $b \rightarrow s$ triangles of SM3. .
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