

Self-energy corrections to the MSSM finite-temperature Higgs potential

A. Borisov

P.N.Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences

E-mail: andolbor@td.lpi.ru

M. Dolgoplov

Samara State University

E-mail: mvdolg@ssu.samara.ru

M. Dubinin

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University

E-mail: dubinin@theory.sinp.msu.ru

The one-loop corrections to dimension 2 parameters $\mu_{1,2,12}^2$ of the effective high-temperature Higgs potential of the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) induced by the third generation scalar quarks are calculated. High-temperature and low-temperature approximations can be used for an analysis of temperature evolution for the surface of minima and Higgs boson temperature-dependent mass eigenstates.

*The XXth International Workshop High Energy Physics and Quantum Field Theory
September 24 - October 1, 2011
Sochi, Russia*

1. Introduction

Specific properties of the electroweak phase transition in the early universe essential for the following generation of baryonic asymmetry are defined by temperature evolution of Higgs potentials [1, 2] which has been investigated in the standard model (SM) [3, 4] and extensions. It is known that the simplest ϕ^4 model leads to the finite-temperature potential [5] (in the high-temperature expansion $m/T \ll 1$)

$$V_{eff}(v, T) = \frac{1}{2}(-\mu^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda T^2)v^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda v^4 - \frac{\mu^2 T^2}{24} \quad (1.1)$$

which describes the second order phase transition at the critical temperature $T_c = 2\mu/\sqrt{\lambda}$. The temperature-dependent minimum is $v^2(T) = v^2(0) - T^2/4$ ($T < T_c$) and the thermal mass is $m^2(T) = 2\mu^2 - \lambda T^2/2$. The minimum moves along the line $-v^4\lambda/4$ in the (v, V_{eff}) plane. For the tree-level SM Higgs potential $V_{SM} = -\mu^2\Phi^\dagger\Phi + \lambda(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^2$, where $\Phi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 - i\phi_2, v + H - i\phi_3)$ minimization condition at the Higgs boson mass m_H^2 has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \frac{1}{3v^2}(m_H^2 + \mu^2) \\ \mu^2 &= \lambda v^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

which leads to the one-loop high-temperature potential similar to (1.1) with the only difference that effective λ parameter includes gauge boson and top quark contributions: $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{SM} = 2\lambda + \frac{g_2^2 + 3g_1^2}{4} + h_{top}^2$ [6], corresponding to the second order phase transition at the critical temperature $T_c = 2v\sqrt{\lambda/\lambda_{SM}}$. In the SM H component of the $SU(2)$ isodoublet coincides with the Higgs mass eigenstate. The situation is more involved in the two-doublet models with the potential¹

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) &= -\mu_1^2(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1) - \mu_2^2(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2) - \mu_{12}^{*2}(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1) - \mu_2^2(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2) + \lambda_1(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)^2 + \lambda_2(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)^2 \\ &+ \lambda_3(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2) + \lambda_4(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1) + \frac{\lambda_5}{2}(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2)(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2) + \frac{\lambda_5^*}{2}(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1) \\ &+ \lambda_6(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2)(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2) + \lambda_6^*(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1) + \lambda_7(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2) + \lambda_7^*(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where doublets of scalar fields

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^+(x) \\ \phi_1^0(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\omega_1^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_1 + \eta_1 + i\chi_1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\Phi_2 = e^{i\xi} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^+(x) \\ \phi_2^0(x) \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\xi} \begin{pmatrix} -i\omega_2^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_2 e^{i\xi} + \eta_2 + i\chi_2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.5)$$

have vacuum expectation values

$$\langle \Phi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, v_1)^T, \quad \langle \Phi_2 \rangle = \frac{e^{i\xi}}{\sqrt{2}}(0, v_2 e^{i\xi})^T. \quad (1.6)$$

¹in the general case of explicit CP violation [7, 8] μ_{12}^2 and $\lambda_{5,6,7}$ are complex.

The $SU(2)$ states $\eta_{1,2}$ and $\chi_{1,2}$ are not the mass eigenstates, so mixing angles α and β should be introduced

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1 &= -h \sin \alpha + H \cos \alpha, & \eta_2 &= h \cos \alpha + H \sin \alpha \\ \chi_1 &= -A \sin \beta + G^0 \cos \beta, & \chi_2 &= A \cos \beta + G^0 \sin \beta\end{aligned}\quad (1.7)$$

and minimization in the mass basis instead of (1.3) leads to more complicated equations [7]

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2v^2} \left[\left(\frac{s_\alpha}{c_\beta} \right)^2 m_h^2 + \left(\frac{c_\alpha}{c_\beta} \right)^2 m_H^2 - \frac{s_\beta}{c_\beta^3} \text{Re} \mu_{12}^2 \right] + \frac{1}{4} (\text{Re} \lambda_7 \text{tg}^3 \beta - 3 \text{Re} \lambda_6 \text{tg} \beta), \quad (1.8)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2v^2} \left[\left(\frac{c_\alpha}{s_\beta} \right)^2 m_h^2 + \left(\frac{s_\alpha}{s_\beta} \right)^2 m_H^2 - \frac{c_\beta}{s_\beta^3} \text{Re} \mu_{12}^2 \right] + \frac{1}{4} (\text{Re} \lambda_6 \text{ctg}^3 \beta - 3 \text{Re} \lambda_7 \text{ctg} \beta), \quad (1.9)$$

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{v^2} \left[2m_{H^\pm}^2 - \frac{\text{Re} \mu_{12}^2}{s_\beta c_\beta} + \frac{s_{2\alpha}}{s_{2\beta}} (m_H^2 - m_h^2) \right] - \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_6}{2} \text{ctg} \beta - \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_7}{2} \text{tg} \beta, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\lambda_4 = \frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{\text{Re} \mu_{12}^2}{s_\beta c_\beta} + m_A^2 - 2m_{H^\pm}^2 \right) - \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_6}{2} \text{ctg} \beta - \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_7}{2} \text{tg} \beta, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\text{Re} \lambda_5 = \frac{1}{v^2} \left(\frac{\text{Re} \mu_{12}^2}{s_\beta c_\beta} - m_A^2 \right) - \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_6}{2} \text{ctg} \beta - \frac{\text{Re} \lambda_7}{2} \text{tg} \beta, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\mu_1^2 = \lambda_1 v_1^2 + (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \text{Re} \lambda_5) \frac{v_2^2}{2} - \text{Re} \mu_{12}^2 \text{tg} \beta + \frac{v^2 s_\beta^2}{2} (3 \text{Re} \lambda_6 \text{ctg} \beta + \text{Re} \lambda_7 \text{tg} \beta), \quad (1.13)$$

$$\mu_2^2 = \lambda_2 v_2^2 + (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \text{Re} \lambda_5) \frac{v_1^2}{2} - \text{Re} \mu_{12}^2 \text{ctg} \beta + \frac{v^2 c_\beta^2}{2} (\text{Re} \lambda_6 \text{ctg} \beta + 3 \text{Re} \lambda_7 \text{tg} \beta). \quad (1.14)$$

where (1.8) - (1.12) ensures the diagonalization and (1.13) - (1.14) secures the minimization². Obviously in the case of two background fields $v_1(T), v_2(T)$ which fix a point on the three-dimensional surface of minima $V_{eff}(v_1, v_2)$ the effective finite-temperature potential cannot be obtained by a redefinition of some parameters in (1.1). Instead of abovementioned SM line of extrema in the two-doublet model the minimum moves along a line on the surface of extrema which can be found by substitution of (1.13) and (1.14) to (1.3). Configuration of the fields (1.7) at any point of the extrema surface $V(v_1, v_2)$ must be such that thermal masses $m_h(T)$ and $m_H(T)$ defined by Eq.(1.8)-(1.12) are positively defined. In other words in the process of temperature evolution the matrix of second derivatives of $V(\eta_i, \chi_i)$ at the stationary points has only positive eigenvalues which exclude "saddle configurations" in the component fields space.

Note that the two-dimensional picture of thermal evolution in the v_1, v_2 space can be reduced to the one-dimensional picture by a rotation in the Φ_1, Φ_2 space to the so-called "Higgs basis" [9], where only Φ_2 has nonzero vev $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$. In the Higgs basis the SM-like Higgs potential can be constructed in the decoupling limit $m_h \ll m_H, m_A, m_{H^\pm}$ (see [10, 11] and the Appendix of [12]). In this proceeding we do not consider the special case of Higgs basis in the two-doublet model.

2. Temperature-dependent $\mu_{1,2}^2$ in the MSSM

MSSM Higgs sector is a special case of the general two-doublet Higgs sector. At the super-particle mass scale λ_i parameters are real and expressed by means of the electroweak couplings

²sometimes (1.8) - (1.14) are mentioned as transformation to the Higgs boson mass basis

$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \bar{\lambda} = \frac{g_1^2 + g_2^2}{8}$, $\lambda_3 = \frac{g_2^2 - g_1^2}{4}$, $\lambda_4 = -\frac{g_2^2}{2}$, $\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = \lambda_7 = 0$.. Scalar quarks interaction with MSSM Higgs bosons is defined by the potential

$$V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{D}) = (\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_j) \left[\Lambda_{ij}^Q (\tilde{Q}^\dagger \tilde{Q}) + \Lambda_{ij}^U (\tilde{U}^* \tilde{U}) + \Lambda_{ij}^D (\tilde{D}^* \tilde{D}) \right] + \bar{\Lambda}_{ij}^Q (\Phi_i^\dagger \tilde{Q}) (\tilde{Q}^\dagger \Phi_j). \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tilde{Q} = (\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{d}_L)^T$ and $\tilde{U} = \tilde{u}_R^*$, $\tilde{D} = \tilde{d}_R^*$ are left isodoublet and right isosinglet third generation squark fields, respectively. The one-loop finite-temperature contributions to $\mu_{1,2}^2$ are defined by

$$\mu_i^2 \rightarrow \mu_{\beta i}^2 = \mu_i^2 - \Pi_i(\beta^{-1}). \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\Pi_i(\beta^{-1}) = \Theta_i^j f(\beta^{-1}, m_j), f(\beta^{-1}, m_i) = \frac{6}{\pi^2 \beta^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + \beta^2 m_i^2}} \frac{1}{e^{\sqrt{x^2 + \beta^2 m_i^2}} - 1} dx, \quad (2.3)$$

and matrix Θ_i^j contains the interaction vertices³

$$(\Theta_i^j) = \begin{pmatrix} h_D^2 - \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 Y_Q & -\frac{1}{4} g_1^2 Y_U & h_D^2 - \frac{1}{4} g_1^2 Y_D \\ h_U^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 Y_Q & h_U^2 + \frac{1}{4} g_1^2 Y_U & \frac{1}{4} g_1^2 Y_D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

No temperature-dependent contributions to $\mu_{1,2}^2$ are induced. In the high-temperature approximation $m_i \beta < 1$

$$f^{high}(\beta^{-1}, m_i) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} - \frac{3m_i}{\pi\beta} + O((m_i\beta)^{-1}), \quad (2.5)$$

while in the low-temperature limit $m_i \beta > 1$

$$f^{low}(\beta^{-1}, m_i) = \frac{6e^{-m_i\beta}}{\pi^2 m_i^2 \beta^4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_i\beta}} \left[m_i^3 \beta^3 + \frac{3}{8} m_i^2 \beta^2 - \frac{15}{128} m_i \beta + \frac{105}{256} + O(m_i^{-1} \beta^{-1}) \right], \quad (2.6)$$

so corrections to MSSM mass parameters are

$$\Pi_1^{high}(\beta^{-1}) = \Theta_1^j f^{high}(\beta^{-1}, m_j) = \frac{2h_D^2}{\beta^2} - \frac{3}{\pi\beta} \left[h_D^2 (m_Q + m_D) - \frac{g_1^2}{6} (m_Q + m_D - 2m_U) \right], \quad (2.7)$$

$$\Pi_2^{high}(\beta^{-1}) = \Theta_2^j f^{high}(\beta^{-1}, m_j) = \frac{2h_U^2}{\beta^2} - \frac{3}{\pi\beta} \left[h_U^2 (m_Q + m_U) + \frac{g_1^2}{6} (m_Q + m_D - 2m_U) \right] \quad (2.8)$$

in the high-temperature limit and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1^{low}(\beta^{-1}) &= \Theta_1^j f^{low}(\beta^{-1}, m_j) = \frac{6(h_D^2 - \frac{1}{6} g_1^2) e^{-m_Q\beta}}{\pi^2 m_Q^2 \beta^4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_Q\beta}} \left[m_Q^3 \beta^3 + \frac{3}{8} m_Q^2 \beta^2 - \frac{15}{128} m_Q \beta + \frac{105}{256} \right] \\ &+ \frac{2g_1^2 e^{-m_U\beta}}{\pi^2 m_U^2 \beta^4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_U\beta}} \left[m_U^3 \beta^3 + \frac{3}{8} m_U^2 \beta^2 - \frac{15}{128} m_U \beta + \frac{105}{256} \right] \\ &+ \frac{6(h_D^2 - \frac{1}{6} g_1^2) e^{-m_D\beta}}{\pi^2 m_D^2 \beta^4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_D\beta}} \left[m_D^3 \beta^3 + \frac{3}{8} m_D^2 \beta^2 - \frac{15}{128} m_D \beta + \frac{105}{256} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

³lower index $i=1,2$ corresponds to $\mu_{1,2}^2$ and upper index $j=1,2,3$ corresponds to the squark mass parameters m_Q, m_U and m_D .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi_2^{low}(\beta^{-1}) = \Theta_2^j f^{low}(\beta^{-1}, m_j) = & \frac{6(h_U^2 + \frac{1}{6}g_1^2)e^{-m_Q\beta}}{\pi^2 m_Q^2 \beta^4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_Q\beta}} \left[m_Q^3 \beta^3 + \frac{3}{8} m_Q^2 \beta^2 - \frac{15}{128} m_Q \beta + \frac{105}{256} \right] \\
 & + \frac{6(h_U^2 - \frac{1}{3}g_1^2)e^{-m_U\beta}}{\pi^2 m_U^2 \beta^4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_U\beta}} \left[m_U^3 \beta^3 + \frac{3}{8} m_U^2 \beta^2 - \frac{15}{128} m_U \beta + \frac{105}{256} \right] \\
 & + \frac{g_1^2 e^{-m_D\beta}}{\pi^2 m_D^2 \beta^4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2m_D\beta}} \left[m_D^3 \beta^3 + \frac{3}{8} m_D^2 \beta^2 - \frac{15}{128} m_D \beta + \frac{105}{256} \right]. \quad (2.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

in the low-temperature approximation. The one-loop temperature corrections to λ_i , $i=1,\dots,7$ have been obtained in [13]. They have weaker dependences on the temperature, so in the case under consideration we neglect correction terms in λ_i .

Substitution of (2) and (2.2) to (1.13) - (1.14), where

$$\text{Re}\mu_{12}^2 = \frac{\sin 2\beta}{2} \left[m_A^2 + \frac{v^2}{2} (2\text{Re}\lambda_5 + \text{Re}\lambda_6 \cot \beta + \text{Re}\lambda_7 \tan \beta) \right] \quad (2.11)$$

includes mass parameter m_A , dependent on the charged Higgs mass

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_A^2 - m_{W^\pm}^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \text{Re}(\lambda_4 - \lambda_5), \quad (2.12)$$

leads to equations for the one-loop temperature corrections to $\mu_{1,2}^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_1^2 - \Pi_1(\beta^{-1}) + m_A^2 \sin^2 \beta &= \bar{\lambda} v^2 \cos 2\beta, \\
 \mu_2^2 - \Pi_2(\beta^{-1}) + m_A^2 \cos^2 \beta &= -\bar{\lambda} v^2 \cos 2\beta.
 \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

It follows that contours of equilibrium evolution in the (v_1, v_2) plane are defined by

$$\mu_{\beta 1}^2 + \mu_{\beta 2}^2 + m_A^2 = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Mass of the CP-odd state m_A does not depend on the temperature, so temperature-dependent terms respect the equation

$$\Pi_1(\beta^{-1}) + \Pi_2(\beta^{-1}) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Using the high-temperature approximation (2.5) for contours of minima one can obtain ($T = 1/\beta$)

$$\tan^2 \beta^{high} = \left(\frac{v_2}{v_1} \right)^2 = -\frac{m_t^2}{m_b^2} \frac{T - \frac{3}{2\pi}(m_Q + m_U)}{T - \frac{3}{2\pi}(m_Q + m_D)} \quad (2.16)$$

Note that mixed terms $\sim v_1 v_2$ are cancelled in (2.15), which is important because otherwise not straight lines (2.16) but hyperbolas would be the contours of minima. In such case the equilibrium transition from the symmetric phase to the broken phase would be possible only through some configurations not corresponding to any mass basis of the scalar fields. Using the leading term of the low-temperature approximation (2.6) we get

$$\tan^2 \beta^{low} = \left(\frac{v_2}{v_1} \right)^2 = -\frac{m_t^2}{m_b^2} \frac{\sqrt{m_Q} e^{-m_Q\beta} + \sqrt{m_U} e^{-m_U\beta}}{\sqrt{m_Q} e^{-m_Q\beta} + \sqrt{m_D} e^{-m_D\beta}} \quad (2.17)$$

Substitution of the minimization conditions (1.13) - (1.14) to the two-doublet potential gives the surface of minima

$$V_{min}^{(\lambda)}(v_1, v_2) = -\frac{1}{4} \left(v_1^3 \frac{\partial \Pi_1(\beta^{-1})}{\partial v_1} + v_2^3 \frac{\partial \Pi_2(\beta^{-1})}{\partial v_2} \right) - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{4} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2, \quad (2.18)$$

or equivalently

$$V_{min}^{(\mu)}(v_1, v_2) = -\frac{1}{8} \left(v_1^3 \frac{\partial \Pi_1(\beta^{-1})}{\partial v_1} + v_2^3 \frac{\partial \Pi_2(\beta^{-1})}{\partial v_2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \mu_{\beta 1}^2 v_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_{12}^2 v_1 v_2 - \frac{1}{4} \mu_{\beta 2}^2 v_2^2, \quad (2.19)$$

It follows that in the framework of the MSSM

$$-\frac{1}{4} \left(v_1^3 \frac{\partial \Pi_1(\beta^{-1})}{\partial v_1} + v_2^3 \frac{\partial \Pi_2(\beta^{-1})}{\partial v_2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} v_1^2 c_d^2 (f_Q + f_D) + \frac{1}{2} v_2^2 c_u^2 (f_Q + f_U). \quad (2.20)$$

where factors in Yukawa couplings squared $c_d^2 = \frac{g_2^2 m_d^2}{2 m_W^2}$ and $c_u^2 = \frac{g_2^2 m_u^2}{2 m_W^2}$ are in front of $(v/v_1)^2$ and $(v/v_2)^2$, respectively. In the case under consideration the effective parameters λ_i are temperature-independent, so the equilibrium matrix for the surface of minima has the form

$$\Gamma(v_1, v_2) = \left\| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial v_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial v_1 \partial v_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial v_1 \partial v_2} & \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial v_2^2} \end{array} \right\| = \left\| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{g_2^2 m_t^2}{2 m_W^2} (f_Q + f_U) - \bar{\lambda} (3v_1^2 - v_2^2) & 2\bar{\lambda} v_1 v_2 \\ 2\bar{\lambda} v_1 v_2 & \frac{g_2^2 m_b^2}{2 m_W^2} (f_Q + f_D) + \bar{\lambda} (v_1^2 - 3v_2^2) \end{array} \right\| \quad (2.21)$$

and the critical temperature is defined by the equation

$$\det \Gamma(v_1, v_2)|_{v_1=v_2=0} = \frac{g_2^4 m_t^2 m_b^2}{4 m_W^4} [f(\beta^{-1}, m_Q) + f(\beta^{-1}, m_U)][f(\beta^{-1}, m_Q) + f(\beta^{-1}, m_D)] = 0 \quad (2.22)$$

In the high-temperature approximation two roots of this equation are

$$T_{c1} = \frac{3}{2\pi} (m_Q + m_U), \quad T_{c2} = \frac{3}{2\pi} (m_Q + m_D). \quad (2.23)$$

3. Summary

We analyse the temperature evolution of the surface of minima (or the surface of stationary points) of the two-doublet MSSM Higgs potential (1.3), which is defined by substitution of the extrema conditions $\nabla U(v_1, v_2) = 0$ to the potential. These conditions are expressed symbolically by means of linear constraints (1.13), (1.14) on the parameters $\mu_1^1, \mu_{12}^2, \mu_2^2, \lambda_i$ ($i=1, \dots, 4$). Any point (v_1, v_2) in the background fields space situated on the minima surface and the parameter set $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$ define a family of two-doublet potentials with different mass parameters $\mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_{12}^2$. At zero temperature $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$ are fixed by the MSSM boundary conditions, thereupon $\mu_1^2, \mu_2^2, \mu_{12}^2$ are unambiguously defined at any given m_A and $\tan \beta = v_2/v_1$. At finite temperature the parameter set $\mu_1^1, \mu_2^2, \lambda_i$ ($i=1, \dots, 4$) acquires T -dependence, leading to evolution of 'thermal masses' and mixing angles owing to a displacement of temperature-dependent vev's (v_1, v_2) across the minima surface. For simplicity we neglect the temperature evolution of λ_i [13] which is weaker than the temperature evolution of μ_1^2, μ_2^2 . The parameter μ_{12}^2 is temperature-independent because Λ_{ij} matrices in the

squark-Higgs potential (2.1) are diagonal. In the case when both μ_1^2, μ_2^2 and λ_i are temperature-dependent, equations (1.13)-(1.14) constrain the MSSM parameter space.

At high temperature the two-doublet system is in the symmetric phase with a stable global minimum at $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, which is assured by derivative terms in (2.18), increasing as T^2 . Conditions of equilibrium thermal evolution must be supplemented by an availability of the scalar boson mass states (Higgs boson mass basis) expressed by (1.8)-(1.12), (2.11). As a consequence of (2.11) one obtains the constraint (2.15) for the one-loop self-energy corrections, which leads to directions of equilibrium thermal evolution (2.16) and (2.17) (in the high-temperature and in the low-temperature approximations, respectively). The critical temperature is defined by zero determinant of the stability matrix, Eq.(2.22), two roots of which coincide at degenerate squark mass parameters $m_{Q,U,D}$.

Acknowledgements. Work was partially supported by grants RFBR 10-02-00525-a and NS 1456.2008.2

References

- [1] D.A. Kirzhnits, A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B72**, 471 (1972); Ann. Phys. **101**, 195 (1976)
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D9**, 3357 (1974)
- [2] L. Dolan, R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. **D9**, 3320 (1974)
- [3] G.W. Anderson and L.J. Hall, Phys. Rev. **D45**, 2685 (1992).
- [4] M.E. Carrington, Phys. Rev. **D45**, 2933 (1992)
- [5] J.R. Espinosa, M. Quiros, F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B291**, 115 (1992)
- [6] J.R. Espinosa, M. Quiros, F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B314**, 206 (1993)
- [7] E. Akhmetzyanova, M. Dolgoplov, M. Dubinin, Phys.Rev. **D71** (2005) 075008 (hep-ph/0405264)
M.N. Dubinin, A.V. Semenov, Eur. J. Phys. **C28**, 223 (2003) (hep-ph/0206205)
- [8] S.Y. Choi, J.S. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 015003 (2000) (hep-ph/9907496)
A. Pilaftsis, C.E.M. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. **B553**, 3 (1999) (hep-ph/9902371)
D.A. Demir, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 055006 (1999) (hep-ph/9901389)
- [9] M. Sher, Phys. Rept. **179**, 273 (1989)
- [10] J.R. Espinosa, M. Quiros, F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B307**, 106 (1993)
- [11] A. Brignole, J.R. Espinosa, M. Quiros, F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B324**, 181 (1994)
- [12] E.N. Akhmetzyanova, M.V. Dolgoplov, M.N. Dubinin, Phys. Part. Nucl. **37**, 677 (2006)
- [13] M.V. Dolgoplov, M.N. Dubinin, E.N. Rykova, J.Mod.Phys. **2**, 301 (2011) (arXiv:0901.0524 [hep-ph])
A.O. Borisov, M.V. Dolgoplov, M.N. Dubinin, E.N. Rykova, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **72**, 167 (2009)
(Yad. Phys. **72**, 175 (2009))