On the spectral density of the Wilson operator
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We summarize our recent determination [1] of the spectral density of the Wilson operator in the $p$-regime of Wilson chiral perturbation theory. We discuss the range of validity of our formula and a possible extension to our computation in order to better understand the behaviour of the spectral density close to the threshold.
1. Introduction

A theoretical description for the spectral density of the Wilson-Dirac operator is important mainly for two reasons. It has been recently shown in [2] that spectral observables computed with Wilson fermions, such as the spectral density of the Wilson operator, can be a powerful tool to determine interesting quantities as the chiral condensate. Additionally, a theoretical understanding of the behaviour of the spectral density close to the threshold might help in estimating stability bounds for HMC-like algorithms [3]. Cutoff effects and finite size effects (FSE) modify the functional form of the spectral density of the Dirac operator, and Wilson chiral perturbation theory (WχPT) is the right tool to analyze these aspects.

In continuum chiral perturbation theory (χPT) in order to compute the spectral density \( \rho_D(\gamma, m) \) of the Dirac operator \( D_m = D + m \) with eigenvalues \( \gamma_k + m \) one adds a valence quark with mass \( m_v \); the discontinuity of the valence scalar quark condensate along the imaginary axis of the \( m_v \) plane is proportional to the spectral density [4, 5]. The valence quark condensate in partially quenched chiral perturbation theory (PQχPT) can be computed using the graded group method of [6] or the replica method of [7]. From the form of the spectral density in the continuum [8, 5, 2] for \( N_f = 2 \)

\[
[\rho_D(\gamma, m)]_{NLO} = \frac{\Sigma}{\pi} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\Sigma}{(4\pi)^2 F^4} \left[ -\pi|\gamma| + m(3L_6 - 1) + 2\gamma\arctan \frac{\gamma}{m} - 2m\ln \left( \frac{\Sigma\sqrt{\gamma^2 + m^2}}{F^2 \mu^2} \right) \right] - m\ln \left( \frac{2\Sigma\gamma}{F^2 \mu^2} \right) \right\},
\]

one can already observe that the spectral density is a very good candidate to compute the low energy constant \( \Sigma \), because the leading order (LO) expression of \( \rho_D(\gamma, m) \) is directly related to \( \Sigma \) and the next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections vanish in the chiral limit.

2. Spectral density of the Hermitean Wilson operator

For the Wilson operator \( D_W \) it is convenient to study the Hermitean operator \( Q_m = \gamma_5 (D_W + m) = Q_m^\dagger \) with real eigenvalues \( \lambda_k \). Giusti and Lüscher [2] have shown that the spectral density \( \rho_Q \) of \( Q_m \) renormalises multiplicatively as

\[
[rho_Q] = Z_p \rho_Q Z_p^{-1}, \quad m_R = Z_m (m_0 - m_c),
\]

where \( Z_p \) is the renormalisation constant of the pseudoscalar density. Moreover, once the action is improved the remaining cutoff effects are of \( O(\alpha m) \) and they can be removed by suitable improvement coefficients. It is also useful to determine \( \Sigma \) to define the mode number

\[
N(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, m) = V \int_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda_2} d\lambda \rho_Q(\lambda, m), \quad \Lambda_2 \geq \Lambda_1 \geq m
\]

which is a renormalisation group invariant (RGI) quantity [2]. To compute the spectral density \( \rho_Q \) one can introduce a doublet of valence twisted mass fermions \( \chi_v \) with untwisted mass \( m_v = m \) and twisted mass \( \mu_v \); the spectral density is now related to the discontinuity along the imaginary axis of the twisted mass plane of the valence pseudoscalar condensate [9]. To compare the results obtained
in $W\chi PT$ for $\rho_Q$ with the continuum formula for $\rho_D$ we recall that the two spectral densities in the continuum are connected by the relation $\rho_Q(\lambda, m) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - m^2}} \rho_D(\sqrt{\lambda^2 - m^2}, m)$.

For all the details about our computation we refer to ref. [1]. Here we simply recall that for the valence and sea quark masses we have chosen to work in the $p$-regime, and for the lattice spacing we have chosen to be in the generic small masses (GSM) regime where both masses are counted as $O(a)$, viz.

$$m, \mu, a \sim O(p^2), \quad 1/L, 1/T \sim O(p).$$

(2.3)

The final result [1] for the spectral density of the Hermitian Wilson operator in infinite volume for $N_f = 2$ in terms if the PCAC quark mass is given by

$$\left[\rho_Q(\lambda, m_{PCAC}) + \rho_Q(-\lambda, m_{PCAC})\right]_{NLO} = 2\left[\rho_Q(\lambda, m_{PCAC})\right]_{NLO, cont} + \frac{2\Sigma \lambda}{\pi \sqrt{\lambda^2 - m_{PCAC}^2}} \left[\frac{m_{PCAC}^2 \Delta}{\lambda^2 - m_{PCAC}^2} + \frac{16\hat{a}}{F^2} \lambda W_6\right].$$

(2.4)

with $\hat{a} = 2W_0a$. There are two types of corrections to the continuum formula: an overall shift of $O(a)$ parametrized by the $W\chi PT$ LEC $W_6$ and a modification of the shape of the spectral density with contributions of $O(a)$ and $O(a^2)$ parametrized by $\Delta = -\frac{16\hat{a}}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{W_8}{F^2} + \frac{W_{10}}{F^2} + \frac{W_8'}{m_{PCAC}^2}\right)$. It is important to notice that if we perform a non-perturbative improvement of the lattice theory the $W_6$ and $W_8$ terms vanish, and if we improve the axial current in the determination of the PCAC mass, the $W_{10}$ term vanishes. We are thus left with remaining $O(a^2)$ cutoff effects proportional to $W_8'$ that go to zero in the chiral limit.

3. Comparison with numerical data and range of validity

To test our formula for the mode number (cfr. eqs. 2.2 and 2.4) we compared it with the numerical data published in [2]. The simulations of ref. [2] were performed with $N_f = 2$ non-perturbative $O(a)$ improved Wilson fermions at lattice spacing $a \simeq 0.08$ fm and physical volume $L \simeq 2.5$ fm with $T = 2L$. We have fixed $F = 90$ MeV and the renormalisation scale $\mu = m_\Sigma$; we have performed a global fit at all the 3 masses available and all the values of $\Lambda_R$ with 3 fit parameters: $\Sigma, \Delta$ and $T_6$.\footnote{In this proceedings with $\Sigma$ we denote its value renormalised in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme at a scale of $2 \text{ GeV}$.} From the global fit we obtain

$$\Sigma^{1/3} = 266(7) \text{ MeV}, \quad \Delta = -0.62(80), \quad T_6 = 6(1).$$

(3.1)

The numerical data and our global fit are shown in the left plot of fig. 1. For $\Sigma$ we obtain a perfectly consistent result with Giusti and Lüscher [2] without performing any chiral extrapolation. We observe that we are also sensitive for a determination of $T_6$, while for $\Delta$ we obtain a value consistent with zero. We have also performed a fit fixing $F = 80$ MeV obtaining compatible results within errors.

In our our paper [1] we have also compared our result with the continuum $\chi PT$ formula and with numerical data on a different range of $\Lambda$. Even if the analysis presented in ref. [1] is rather qualitative and more numerical data are needed, our conclusion is that $W\chi PT$ describes the numerical data better than continuum $\chi PT$ sufficiently away from the threshold, even if we can’t exclude
we show the NLO prediction for the spectral density in continuum and to be valid close to the threshold. The reason is that $L_{\pi}$ depends on the continuum $\chi$PT prediction, while the red (blue) lines correspond to the lattice $W_{\chi PT}$ prediction (or $O(a)$-improved) on eq. 2.4 with $\tilde{\Sigma}_{\pi} = \pm 5 \cdot 10^6$ MeV$^4$, corresponding to $\Delta = \mp 0.53$.

that adding NNLO terms in the continuum formula could lead to a comparable improvement. In the right plot of fig. 1 we show the NLO prediction for the spectral density in continuum $\chi$PT (cfr. eq. 1.1, black curve) and $W_{\chi PT}$ (cfr. eq. 2.4) for two opposite values of $\Delta$ (red curve $\Delta < 0$ and blue curve $\Delta > 0$). This plot is useful to make few observations. First we notice that in the region of $\lambda$ we have used to perform the global fit of fig. 1, i.e. with $80$ MeV $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 120$ MeV, and for a reasonable choice of parameters, cutoff effects are very small. This is consistent with the fact that in the global fit we are not sensitive to determine $\Delta$, that turns out to be consistent with zero. Cutoff effects become more visible in the range $40$ MeV $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 60$ MeV and this might explain why all our fits in this range (cfr. figs. 3 and 4 of ref. [1]) prefer a negative value for $\Delta$. In fact for $\Delta < 0$ we observe that over the whole range of $\lambda \gtrsim 40$ MeV the spectral density in $W_{\chi PT}$ is remarkably flat that is perfectly consistent with the striking linear behaviour of the mode number over the same range (cfr. fig. 3 of ref. [2]). The last remark concerns the behaviour of the spectral density close to the threshold: here the NLO corrections coming from the $O(a^2)$ terms become very large. On the other hand, we don’t expect our formula eq. 2.4 to be valid close to the threshold. The reason is that close to the threshold (i.e. $\lambda \to m$) at fixed lattice spacing $a$ and at fixed quark mass $m$ the relevant scale $\sqrt{\lambda^2 - m^2}$, related to the valence polar mass, might become very small. It is plausible then that for this scale

1. the GSM power counting $\sqrt{\lambda^2 - m^2} \sim a\Lambda^2_{QCD}$ breaks down, and it is more appropriate to adopt the so-called Aoki power counting (see ref. [9]);

2. the $p$-regime power counting, $M_\lambda^2 L \gg 1$ (with $M_\lambda^2 = 2\Sigma \sqrt{\lambda^2 - m^2}/F^2$) breaks down and this mass scale enters the so-called $\varepsilon$-regime. The FSE diverge when $\lambda \to m$ [1]. A possible explanation to this behaviour is indeed that the zero-modes of the Goldstone bosons in the valence sector have to be treated in a non-perturbative manner as if the valence polar mass would be in the $\varepsilon$-regime (for example in refs. [10, 11] both valence and sea quarks are considered in the $\varepsilon$-regime).
4. The spectral density close to the threshold

A possible way to overcome the second limitation illustrated at the end of the previous section is to adopt the so-called mixed Chiral Effective Theory \[12\], where some masses obey the \(p\)-regime counting, and others are in the \(\varepsilon\)-regime.

We first start with some considerations in the continuum. We take \(N_s\) sea quarks with mass \(m_s\) in the \(p\)-regime and \(N_v = 1\) valence quarks in the \(\varepsilon\)-regime, viz.

\[
m_s \sim O(p^2), \quad m_v \sim O(p^4), \quad 1/L, 1/T \sim O(p). \tag{4.1}
\]

As an intermediate step of our calculation we introduce a \(\theta\)-term as follows

\[
\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ \partial_\mu U \partial_\mu U^\dagger \right] - \frac{\Sigma}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ U_\theta^\dagger U(x)^\dagger \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^\dagger U(x) U_\theta \right]. \tag{4.2}
\]

It is important to remark that the introduction of a \(\theta\)-term it is just a computational tool, and this remark becomes more important when we extend the framework to \(W\chi\)PT. For simplicity we consider the replica formulation\[2\], where

\[
\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^\dagger = \text{diag}(m_{s1}, m_{s2}, \ldots, m_{sN_s}, m_{v1}, m_{v2}, \ldots, m_{vN_v}), \quad U_\theta = \text{diag}(e^{i\frac{\pi}{N_s} \eta_{1s}}, \ldots, e^{i\frac{\pi}{N_s} \eta_{N_s}}, e^{i\frac{\pi}{N_v} \eta_{1v}}, \ldots, e^{i\frac{\pi}{N_v} \eta_{N_v}}). \tag{4.3}
\]

While in the continuum it is not important how the \(\theta\)-term is introduced in the parametrization of the \(U\)-field \[12, 13\], we have decided to introduce the \(\theta\)-term only in the sea sector because it becomes relevant when extending our calculation to finite lattice spacing.

It turns out \[12\] that among the Goldstone bosons there is one degree of freedom that becomes massless when we send the number of replicas to zero \(N_r \to 0\). It is the Goldstone boson that is a singlet under the \(SU(N_v)\) subgroup of \(SU(N_s + N_v)\). To overcome this problem one treats the zero-modes related to this degree of freedom, labelled by \(\eta\), in a non-perturbative manner \[12\].

The \(U\)-field can then be parametrized as follows

\[
U(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i\frac{\pi}{N_s} \eta_{1s}}, & e^{i\frac{\pi}{N_v} \eta_{1v}} U_0 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\frac{\pi}{N_s} \eta_{N_s}},
\]

In the valence sector additionally to the zero-modes field \(U_0\), needed because the valence quarks are in the \(\varepsilon\)-regime, we have the \(\eta\)-field that makes \(\overline{U_0} \in U(N_v)\). In the sea sector we just have the additional \(\eta\)-field and as a consequence the fluctuations \(\xi\) do not contain the zero-modes of the \(SU(N_v)\) generators nor of the \(\eta\)-field. We can now shift the \(\theta\)-term in the parametrization of the \(U\)-field as follow

\[
U(x) U_\theta \to U(x). \tag{4.5}
\]

The periodicity in \(\theta\) of the chiral Lagrangian allows us to write the partition function in standard fashion

\[
\mathcal{Z}(\theta) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i\nu \theta} \mathcal{Z}_\nu, \quad \mathcal{Z}_\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \ e^{i\nu \theta} \mathcal{Z}(\theta). \tag{4.6}
\]

\[2\]The same conclusions are valid if we use the supersymmetric formulation.
By performing an exact integration over the constant field $\hat{\theta} = \theta - \eta$ one obtains \[\mathcal{Z}_V \propto e^{\frac{-N_v x^2}{2}} \int_{U(N_c)} dU_0 \left( \det U_0 \right)^\nu e^{\frac{m_3^\nu}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \Sigma^\nu + \tau_3 \right]} \], \[z_\nu = m_\nu V \Sigma, \tag{4.7}\]

from which one observes that the distribution of $\nu$ is Gaussian and it is controlled by the sea quarks which are in the $p$-regime. The computation of the spectral density is now straightforward and we obtain the NLO result

\[\rho(\xi) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_\nu(\xi) \frac{\mathcal{Z}_V}{\mathcal{Z}}, \quad \rho_\nu(\xi) = \frac{\Sigma_{\text{eff}}}{2} \xi \left[ J_\nu(\xi) - J_{\nu+1}(\xi) \right], \quad \xi = p\Sigma, \tag{4.8}\]

where $\Sigma_{\text{eff}}$ is a function of the sea quark mass $m_\nu$ and of the geometry of the 4-d box. The sum over $\nu$ can be easily done because we know the weight factor $\frac{\mathcal{Z}_V}{\mathcal{Z}}$ (cfr. eq. 4.7). We observe that the shape of the spectral density is entirely described 'effectively' by the spectral density in the quenched theory, while the effects of the sea quarks are twofold. They change the absolute normalization by introducing an $m_\nu$ dependence in $\Sigma_{\text{eff}}$ and they control the distribution of $\nu$, which, we remark, stays Gaussian only because the sea quarks are in the $p$-regime.

To extend this result to include the lattice spacing effects we need to discuss how to deal with the $\theta$-term and the $O(a)$ already at the LO of the chiral Lagrangian

\[\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ \partial_\mu U \partial_\mu U^\dagger \right] - \frac{\Sigma}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ U_0^\dagger U(x)^\dagger M + M^3 U(x) U_0 \right] - \frac{\tilde{a} F^2}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ U + U^\dagger \right], \tag{4.9}\]

where the mass matrix is of the same form as in eq. 4.3, but with a twisted mass $(m_\nu + i \mu_\nu \tau^3)$ in the valence sector. It becomes evident now the importance of introducing a $\theta$-term only in the sea sector. Exactly as we have done for the continuum theory we redefine the $U$-field as in eq. 4.5 and the resulting chiral Lagrangian becomes

\[\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{F^2}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ \partial_\mu U \partial_\mu U^\dagger \right] - \frac{\Sigma}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{M}^\dagger U + U \mathcal{M} \right] - \frac{\tilde{a} F^2}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ U_0^\dagger U + U_0 U^\dagger \right]. \tag{4.10}\]

The important question is to understand how to reabsorb the $O(a)$ term in a redefinition of the mass term in presence of a $\theta$-term. One possible answer is based on a combination of introducing the $\theta$ term only in the sea sector and an appropriate choice of power counting. Once we have fixed the power counting for the masses as in eq. 4.1, where we now have $m_p = \sqrt{m_3^2 + \mu_3^2} \sim O(p^4)$, we have to decide which power counting we want to adopt for the lattice spacing $a$. We can consider the following cases:

- $a \sim m_p \sim p^4$ (GSM-valence): at NLO, the sea quarks are effectively as in the continuum;
- $a \sim p^3$ (GSM'-valence [15, 16]): at NLO, the sea quarks are effectively in the continuum;
- $a \sim m_\nu \sim p^3$ (GSM-sea/Aoki-valence): at NLO, lattice spacing corrections affect both the sea and the valence sector.

It is easy to see that in the first two power countings the $O(a)$ can be reabsorbed in the untwisted valence mass $m_\nu$, because in the valence sector there is no $\theta$-term, due to the specific choice of

---

3 See for instance [14] for an explicit expression of $\Sigma_{\text{eff}}$. 
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$U_θ$. The O(α) corrections in the sea sector are, on the other side, of higher order, leaving all the unwanted mixing between $θ$-term and O(α) as NNLO corrections.

Another important results of this mechanism is that in the GSM and GSM*-valence power countings the distribution of $ν$ is still Gaussian and the lattice spacing corrections appear at NNLO. This comes hardly as a surprise because in the mentioned power countings the sea quarks, that control the distribution of $ν$, are effectively in the continuum. While it is still possible that discretization effects will suffer from the first limitation exposed at the end of section 3, we believe that this framework could be the appropriate one to describe numerical data obtained with quark masses in the $p$-regime (as usually is done with Wilson fermions).

5. Conclusions

We have computed the spectral density in the $p$-regime of $Wχ$PT at NLO [1]. Our final result eq. 2.4 gives a good description of the numerical data available [2]. Lattice artefacts at NLO are small and vanish when $m → 0$ if we stay sufficiently distant from the threshold. Our formula can help both in estimating the safe region in the eigenvalue spectrum to use for the extraction of $Σ$ and also to give an estimate of $W_θ'$.

The theoretical understanding of the behaviour of the spectral density near the threshold is not complete and to fill this gap we have started a computation in the $ε/p$-regime in PQW$χ$PT. We have proposed a computational tool to deal with the simultaneous presence of a $θ$-term and O(α) corrections in the chiral Lagrangian.
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