We review recent lattice QCD activities with emphasis on the impact on nuclear physics. In particular, the progress toward the determination of nuclear and baryonic forces (potentials) using Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter (NBS) wave functions is presented. We discuss major challenges for multi-baryon systems on the lattice: (i) signal to noise issue and (ii) computational cost issue. We argue that the former issue can be avoided by extracting energy-independent (non-local) potentials from time-dependent NBS wave functions without relying on the ground state saturation, and the latter cost is drastically reduced by developing a novel “unified contraction algorithm.” The lattice QCD results for nuclear forces, hyperon forces and three-nucleon forces are presented, and physical insights are discussed. Comparison to results from the traditional Lüscher’s method is given, and open issues to be resolved are addressed as well.
1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental questions in nuclear physics is how nuclear physics itself emerges from the underlying theory, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). To answer this question, nuclear (and hadronic) forces are expected to play a vital role. They correspond to a particular representation of hadronic S-matrices, or scattering phase shifts, and serve as the most fundamental quantities in nuclear physics. Traditionally, nuclear potentials are phenomenologically determined using experimental two-nucleon (2N) scattering phase shifts as inputs. Recent “realistic two-nuclear forces (2NF)” can reproduce several thousands of 2N scattering data with $\chi^2$/dof $\sim 1$ with 30-40 fitting parameters. The major challenge imposed on nuclear and particle physics is to determine hadronic potentials in the first-principles method of QCD, such as lattice QCD simulations.

Once hadronic forces are determined directly from QCD, various applications to nuclear and astrophysical phenomena are in order. Some examples include the structures and reactions of atomic nuclei, and the equation of state (EoS) of nuclear matter. The latter is relevant not only to nuclear saturation but also to the physics of neutron stars and supernova explosions. Note, however, that not only 2NF but also other hadronic forces play an important role. For instance, detailed information of hyperon-nucleon (YN) and hyperon-hyperon (YY) interactions is necessary to understand the structure of neutron stars with hyperon core. They are essential inputs to the strangeness physics conducted in the J-PARC experiments as well. Three-nucleon forces (3NF) are other quantities which have vital roles in modern nuclear physics and astrophysics. They have an impact on binding energies of light nuclei, nuclear EoS and the maximum mass of neutron stars. Recently, the effect of 3NF on properties of neutron-rich nuclei, which would be relevant to supernova nucleosynthesis, is also recognized. Since experimental information for YN, YY forces and 3NF are quite limited, lattice QCD predictions are highly awaited.

Traditional approach to obtain hadronic interactions in lattice QCD is the Lüscher’s finite volume method. It can connect the energy of the two-particle system in a finite lattice box to the elastic scattering phase shift. Lattice QCD studies for nuclear physics in this approach are given in Refs. In principle, it is also possible to calculate the tower of 2N energy spectra on the lattice and obtain the phase shifts at the corresponding energies, which may be converted to nuclear forces. In practice, however, the computations of energy spectra are usually limited only for a few excited states at most, making potentials out of reach of lattice simulations. One may consider the effective field theory (EFT) approach to ease this problem, while the results could suffer from uncertainties of EFT in baryon sector.

To overcome this problem, a new approach to hadronic interactions in lattice QCD, now called the HAL QCD method, has been proposed recently. Utilizing the Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter (NBS) wave function on the lattice, a potential is extracted through the corresponding Schrödinger equation. Since the information of phase shift is embedded in the NBS wave function at the asymptotic (non-interacting) region, it is guaranteed that the obtained potential is faithful to the phase shift by construction. Resultant (parity-even) 2NF in this approach are found to have desirable features such as attractive wells at long and medium distances and central repulsive cores at short distance. The method has been successfully applied to more general hadronic interactions. See Refs. for recent reviews.

Up to now, lattice simulations for multi-baryon systems have been carried out at rather heavy
quark masses, while ultimate objective is to perform simulations at the physical point with infinite volume extrapolation and continuum extrapolation. Proceeding toward this goal, we have to meet two major challenges. The first one is the so-called signal to noise (S/N) issue. Actually, it is well known that S/N is ruined exponentially for lighter pion mass, larger volume and/or larger baryon number in the system [33]. The second challenge is the computational cost of the multi-baryon correlators, namely, the cost of the contractions [33]. Since the cost grows multiplicatively × exponentially for a larger baryon number A in the system, it becomes enormous for systems with A > 2. In this report, we present a recent breakthrough for each of these issues [20, 34].

This report is organized as follows. We first give a brief review of the HAL QCD method in Sec. 1. In Sec. 2, we explain the major challenges of (i) S/N issue and (ii) computational cost issue in lattice simulations for multi-baryon systems, and recent breakthroughs for these issues are given. We present the results of lattice numerical simulations for nuclear forces (Sec. 3), hyperon forces (Sec. 5) and three-nucleon forces (Sec. 6). In Sec. 7, we review the results from the traditional Lüscher’s method. Comparisons between different groups/approaches are given, and open issues to be resolved are addressed. Sec. 8 is devoted to conclusions and outlook.

2. Formalism

We explain the HAL QCD method by considering the 2N potential as an illustration. We consider the (equal-time) NBS wave function in the center-of-mass frame,

\[ \phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r}) \equiv \langle 0|N(\vec{r},0)N(\vec{0},0)|2N,W\rangle_{\text{in}}, \quad (2.1) \]

where N is the nucleon operator and |2N,W\rangle_{\text{in}} denotes the asymptotic in-state of the 2N system at the total energy of \( W = 2\sqrt{k^2 + m_N^2} \) with the nucleon mass \( m_N \) and the relative momentum \( k \equiv |\vec{k}| \). For simplicity, we omit other quantum numbers such as spinor/flavor indices. For the purpose of clarification, we here consider the elastic region, \( W < W_{\text{th}} = 2m_N + m_\pi \), while the method can be extended above inelastic threshold [21, 34]. The most important property of the NBS wave function is that it has a desirable asymptotic behavior [11, 33, 53–55],

\[ \phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r}) \sim \frac{\sin(kr - l\pi/2 + \delta_j^W)}{kr}, \quad r \equiv |\vec{r}| \to \infty, \quad (2.2) \]

where \( \delta_j^W \) is the scattering phase shift with the orbital angular momentum \( l \). Exploiting this feature, we define the (non-local) 2N potential, \( U_{2N}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') \), through the following Schrödinger equation,

\[ H_0\phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r}) + \int d\vec{r}' U_{2N}(\vec{r},\vec{r}')\phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r}') = E_{2N}^W\phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r}), \quad (2.3) \]

where \( H_0 = -\nabla^2/(2\mu) \) and \( E_{2N}^W = k^2/(2\mu) \) with the reduced mass \( \mu = m_N/2 \). It is evident that \( U_{2N} \) defined in this way is faithful to the phase shift by construction.

Another important property is that, while \( U_{2N} \) could be energy-dependent in general, it is possible to construct \( U_{2N} \) so that it becomes energy-independent [13, 31, 33]. The outline of the proof can be given as follows. We first introduce a norm kernel \( \mathcal{N}_{W,W_j} \equiv \int d\vec{r}\phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r})\phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r}') \) for \( W_i, W_j < W_{\text{th}} \), and define \( \mathcal{N}^{-1} \) so that it is an inverse of the linearly-independent (sub-)space of \( \mathcal{N} \). We then consider the potential given by

\[ U_{2N}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \sum_{W_i < W_{\text{th}}} (E_{2N}^W - H_0)\phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r})\mathcal{N}^{-1}_{W,W_j}\phi_{2N}^W(\vec{r}'). \quad (2.4) \]
It is evident that this (trivially energy-independent) potential satisfies the Schrödinger equation (2.3) for all $W < W_{th}$. It is also possible to show that one can construct energy-independent potential even above inelastic threshold [33]. This “existence proof” of energy-independent potential plays an essential role in the HAL QCD method.

Several remarks are in order. First, a potential itself is not an observable and is not unique. It depends on the definition of NBS wave functions, e.g., the choice of the nucleon operator $N$. One can also consider another form of $U_{2N}$ instead of Eq. (2.3). Recall, however, that physical observables calculated from different potentials, such as phase shifts, are unique by construction. Therefore, while there exists a “scheme” dependence in a potential, it is not a problematic ambiguity. Rather, it is a freedom at our disposal, analogous to the freedom to choose a “scheme” in perturbative calculations. Recall also that modern nuclear calculations often take advantage of the freedom to define the potential [33, 34]. Second, in practical lattice calculations, it is difficult to handle the non-locality of the potential directly, since Eq. (2.3) requires NBS wave functions at all energies below $W_{th}$. To proceed, we employ the derivative expansion of the potential [34], $U_{2N}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = \left[ V_C(r) + V_T(r) S_{12} + V_{LS}(r) \hat{L} \cdot \hat{S} + O'(V^2) \right] \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$, where $V_C$, $V_T$ and $V_{LS}$ are the central, tensor and spin-orbit potentials, respectively, with the tensor operator $S_{12}$. In this way, we can determine the potentials order by order with a realistic number of NBS wave functions determined on the lattice. In Ref. [23], the convergence of the derivative expansion is examined in parity-even channel, and it is shown that the leading terms, $V_C$ and $V_T$, dominate the potential at low energies.

Finally, let us address several advantages of the approach based on the potential. The first point is that it is a convenient framework to understand the physics. One of the examples is given in Sec. 3, where we discuss the origin of repulsive core from the viewpoint of Pauli exclusion principle. A potential is also a useful tool to study many-body systems, since various many-body techniques have been developed in nuclear physics based on potentials. This could serve as an alternative approach to dense systems, for which direct lattice QCD simulations are difficult due to the sign problem. Another advantage is that a potential is a localized object, and thus the finite volume artifact is better under control. Actually, once a potential is obtained in a finite lattice box, we can solve the Schrödinger equation in infinite volume. Last but not least, it is possible to extend the HAL QCD method so that the ground state saturation is not required. This point is a significant advantage over the traditional Lüscher’s method, and will be more elaborated in the next section.

3. Challenges toward lattice simulations with realistic setup

3.1 The signal to noise issue

In order to study nuclear physics from lattice QCD, we ultimately have to perform simulations at the physical point with sufficiently large volumes. Toward this direction, a major challenge that lies ahead is the so-called S/N issue. This issue arises since lattice simulations usually rely on the ground state saturation, which is in principle achieved by taking an infinitely large Euclidean time separation in the correlation function of concern. For instance, the NBS wave function of the ground state of the $2N$ system, $\phi_{2N}^{W_0}(\vec{r})$, is extracted from the four-point correlator as

$$G_{2N}(\vec{r}, t - t_0) \equiv \frac{1}{L^4} \sum_{\vec{R}} \langle 0 | (N(\vec{R} + \vec{r}) N(\vec{R})) (t) | (N'N') (t_0) | 0 \rangle \xrightarrow{t \gg t_0} A_{2N}^{W_0} \phi_{2N}^{W_0} e^{-W_0(t-t_0)},$$

$$\phi_{2N}^{W_0}(\vec{r}) = \langle 0 | N(\vec{r}) N(\vec{0}) | 2N, W_0 \rangle_{in}, \quad A_{2N}^{W_0} = \langle 2N, W_0 | (N'N') | 0 \rangle,$$  

(3.1)  

(3.2)
where $W_0$ denotes the energy of the ground state, $N$ ($N'$) the nucleon operator in the sink (source).

In the practical lattice calculation, however, it is notoriously difficult to achieve the ground state saturation for multi-baryon systems. In fact, for the correlation function of $A$-nucleon systems, the S/N becomes [22] $S/N \sim \exp \left[-A(m_N - 3m_\pi/2)(t - t_0)\right]$ for $t \gg t_0$, where $m_\pi$ is the pion mass. To make matters worse, there exists another problem for multi-baryon systems on the lattice, i.e., the energy splitting between the ground state and excited (scattering) states becomes (too) smaller for larger lattice volume. For instance, the minimum splitting of the 2N system in a lattice box with a spacial size of $L$ is $\Delta E \simeq p_{\text{min}}^2/m_N = (2\pi)^2/(m_N L^2)$. If we want to carry out simulations with $L \sim 10$ fm at the physical point, $\Delta E \sim 15$ MeV and thus $t/a \gg 100$ may be required with a lattice spacing of $a \sim 0.1$ fm. While one may employ techniques such as diagonalization of correlation function matrix to ameliorate this problem, this remains a serious issue as the volume gets larger.

In Ref. [24], a novel approach to resolve this issue is proposed, by extending the HAL QCD method. The essential point is that the (elastic) scattering states with different energies on the lattice are governed by the same potential, since an “energy-independent” (non-local) potential is utilized in the HAL QCD method. With this realization, one can construct the method in which an potential is extracted without relying on the ground state saturation. More specifically, we introduce an imaginary-time NBS wave function defined by $\psi_{2N}(\vec{r},t) \equiv G_{2N}(\vec{r},t)/e^{-Nt}$, and consider the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,

$$H_0 \psi_{2N}(\vec{r},t) + \int d\vec{r}' U_{2N}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') \psi_{2N}(\vec{r}',t) = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{4m_N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \psi_{2N}(\vec{r},t).$$

(3.3)

It is easy to see that Eq. (3.3) is consistent with Eq. (2.8), even when there exist contributions from excited states in $\psi_{2N}(\vec{r},t)$. Therefore, in this “time-dependent HAL QCD method”, the ground state saturation is not required any more as far as contaminations from states above inelastic threshold are suppressed. (For extension of the time-dependent HAL QCD method above inelastic threshold, see Ref. [43]). The effectiveness of this new method have been examined in explicit numerical simulations as well. For 2N systems [26] and $I = 2\pi\pi$ system [29], it is confirmed that reliable potentials and phase shifts can be extracted even with the presence of exite state contributions.

### 3.2 The computational cost issue

Another major challenge in multi-baryons systems on the lattice is the computational cost of the correlation functions. In particular, it is well known that the cost of the contraction is exceptionally enormous for larger baryon number $A$, since (i) the number of quark permutations (Wick contractions) grows factorially with $A$ and (ii) the contraction of color/spinor degrees of freedom (DoF) becomes exponentially large for large $A$. While there has been significant progress toward reducing this computational cost [1, 13, 15, 16, 26, 41, 44], it continues to remain the most time-consuming part of the calculation, particularly for $A > 2$.

On this issue, we recently developed a novel algorithm, called “unified contraction algorithm,” which achieves a drastic reduction of the computational cost [43]. Essential idea is to consider the Wick contractions and the color/spinor contractions simultaneously. In fact, if the quarks of the same flavor have the same space-time smearing function at the sink and/or source, a permutation of quark operators is equivalent to a permutation of color and spinor indices of the corresponding quark. Since color/spinor indices are dummy indices in the color/spin contractions, we can carry...
The obtained phase shift in $^1S_0$ channel in the laboratory frame, with experimental data [43].

Figure 1: Parity-even 2NF in $^1S_0$ and $^3S_1-^3D_1$ channels obtained on the lattice at $m_\pi = 0.70$ GeV.

4. Two-nucleon forces

4.1 Central and tensor forces in parity-even channel

We present the results for parity-even 2NF in $^1S_0$ and $^3S_1-^3D_1$ channels, which correspond to “dineutron” and “deuteron” channels, respectively. We determine the potentials up to the first order in the derivative expansion, namely, a central force in $^1S_0$ channel and central and tensor forces in $^3S_1-^3D_1$ channel. Quenched QCD [42,43] and full QCD [44,45] studies have been performed, and the results from the latter are presented in this report. We employ $N_f = 2 + 1$ clover fermion configurations generated by PACS-CS Collaboration [45]. The lattice spacing is $a \approx 0.091$ fm and the lattice size of $V = L^3 \times T = 32^3 \times 64$ corresponds to $(2.9 \text{ fm})^3$ box in physical spatial size. For quark masses, we take three hopping parameters at the unitary point as $\kappa_{ud} = 0.13700, 0.13727, 0.13754$ for $u, d$ quark masses, and $\kappa_s = 0.13640$ for $s$ quark mass. The hadron masses at each $\kappa_{ud}$ correspond to $m_{\pi} \simeq 701, 570, 411$ MeV and $m_N \simeq 1584, 1412, 1215$ MeV, respectively. For a nucleon operator, $N$, we employ the standard operator, $N(x) = \epsilon_{abc}(q_d^T(x)C\gamma_5q_b(x))q_c(x)$, at both sink and source, and wall quark source is used with Coulomb gauge fixing.

In Fig. 1, we plot the results for the central potential in $^1S_0$ channel and the central and tensor potentials in $^3S_1-^3D_1$ channel obtained at $m_\pi = 0.70$ GeV. In the latter, the central and tensor...
potentials are extracted from the coupled channel Schrödinger equation between S-wave and D-wave components of the NBS wave function. The obtained potentials reproduce the qualitative features of the phenomenological potentials, namely, attractive wells at long and medium distances, central repulsive cores at short distance and negative tensor force.

To obtain the results for physical observables, we fit the potential with a multi-Gaussian function, and solve the Schrödinger equation in infinite volume. We find that both of dineutron and deuteron are not bound. In Fig. 3, we show the results for the scattering phase shift in $^1S_0$ channel at $m_\pi = 0.70$ GeV. A qualitative feature of the experimental data is well reproduced, though the strength is weaker, most likely due to the heavy pion mass. The scattering length obtained from the derivative of the phase shift at $k = 0$ becomes $a(1S_0) = \lim_{k\to0} \tan\delta(k)/k = 1.6(1.1)$ fm, which is compared to the experimental value $a^{\exp}(1S_0) \simeq 20$ fm.

4.2 Central, tensor and spin-orbit forces in parity-odd channel

Nuclear forces in parity-odd channels are important not only in P-wave 2N scattering, but also in many-nucleon systems. In addition, spin-orbit forces attract a great deal of interest recently, since those in $^3P_2$ channel are considered to be relevant in superfluidity in neutron stars. They are also partly responsible for the magic numbers of nuclei in the nuclear shell model.

The lattice QCD study for parity-odd 2NF is more involved than the study of parity-even 2NF, since non-zero relative momentum has to be injected in the system. We employ a nucleon source operator with a momentum, $N' = \sum_{\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2,\vec{r}_3} \epsilon_{abc}(q_{\bar{d}}^{(T)}(\vec{x}_1)C^\dagger q_0(\vec{x}_2))q_c(\vec{x}_3)f(\vec{x}) = \exp[\pm 2\pi i\vec{x}/L], k = 1, 2, 3$. We consider NBS wave functions in $J^P = A_1^-, T_1^-, T_2^-$ channels in the cubic group, which correspond to $^3P_0, ^3P_1, ^3P_2 - ^3F_2$ in the continuum limit.

Numerical calculations are performed by employing $N_f = 2$ dynamical configurations with mean field improved clover fermion and renormalization-group improved gauge action generated by CP-PACS Collaboration [13]. The lattice spacing is $a^{-1} = 1.269(14)$ GeV and the lattice size of $V = L^3 \times T = 16^3 \times 32$ corresponds to $(2.5 \text{ fm})^3$ box in physical spacial size. For $u, d$ quark masses, we take the hopping parameter at the unitary point as $\kappa_{ud} = 0.13750$, which corresponds to $m_\pi = 1.1$ GeV and $m_N = 2.2$ GeV.

We determine the central ($V_C$), tensor ($V_T$) and spin-orbit potentials ($V_{LS}$) from the (coupled) Schrödinger equations in $J^P = A_1^-, T_1^-, T_2^-$ channels. Shown in Fig. 4 are the preliminary results for these potentials from lattice QCD. Their features qualitatively agree with those in phenomenological potentials, as (i) $V_C$ has repulsive core at short distance, (ii) $V_T$ is positive and very small, and (iii) $V_{LS}$ is large and negative at short distance.
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5. Hyperon forces

Hyperon is a new DoF in nuclear physics and their interactions are crucial to understand hypernuclei and the structure of neutron star cores. They are also essential inputs to explore exotic multi-quark states such as the $H$-dibaryon. While YN/YY scattering experiments are difficult because of the short life-time of hyperons, lattice QCD is suitable framework to determine hyperon interactions. The first calculations for hyperon potentials are performed in Ref. \[23\] for $p\Xi^0$ system in quenched simulations. In this report, we present the latest results for generalized baryon forces in $N_f = 3$ full QCD simulations \[19, 20, 23\], and the results in $N_f = 2 + 1$ full QCD simulations \[14\].

5.1 Generalized baryon forces in the flavor SU(3) limit and bound $H$-dibaryon

In order to grab the insight of physics, it is convenient to consider the generalized baryon forces (NN, YN, YY forces) in the flavor SU(3) limit. In this limit, two-baryon (2B) systems composed of spin 1/2 flavor-octet baryon can be classified by irreducible representation of SU(3) as

$$8 \otimes 8 = 27 \oplus 8_a \oplus 1 \oplus \overline{10} \oplus 10 \oplus 8_a,$$

where "symmetric" and "anti-symmetric" denotes the symmetry under the exchange of two baryons. For the system with S-wave, Pauli principle imposes $27$, $8_a$ and $1$ to be spin-singlet ($^1S_0$), while $\overline{10}$, $10$ and $8_a$ to be spin-triplet ($^3S_1 - ^3D_1$). We note that, 2NF corresponds to either $27$ or $\overline{10}$, and other 4 representations are purely unique interactions with the presence of hyperons.

We generate $N_f = 3$ dynamical configurations with $\theta(a)$ improved clover fermion action and renormalization-group improved gauge action on a $32^3 \times 32$ lattice at $a \simeq 0.12$ fm, and at five values of quark hopping parameters, which corresponds to $(M_{ps}, M_B) = (1170.9(7), 2274(2))$, $(1015(1), 2030(2))$, $(837(1), 1748(1))$, $(673(1), 1485(2))$ and $(468.6(7), 1161(2))$ in unit of MeV, where $M_{ps}$ and $M_B$ denote the masses of the octet pseudoscalar (PS) meson and the octet baryon, respectively. In the calculation of the NBS wave functions, we construct a 2B operator with appropriate Clebsch-Gordan coefficients to respect the irreducible representation of interest.
The coupled channel potentials of the \( \Lambda \Lambda - N \Xi - \Sigma \Sigma \) system at \( (m_s, m_K) \approx (875, 916) \) MeV [31]. (Left) The diagonal parts of the potential matrix. (Right) The off-diagonal parts of the potential matrix.

In Fig. 4, we show the obtained potentials at \( M_{ps} = 469 \) MeV for each flavor representation [23]. The upper panels show central forces in the spin-singlet channel, while the lower panels give central and tensor forces in the spin-triplet channel. What is noteworthy is that potentials are highly dependent on the flavor representation. In particular, compared to 2NF sectors, \( V_C^{(27)} \) and \( V_C^{(10)} \) has a stronger repulsive core and a weaker attractive pocket. Furthermore, \( V_C^{(8)} \) has a very strong repulsive core among all 6 channels, while \( V_C^{(8)} \) has a very weak repulsive core. In contrast to all other cases, \( V_C^{(1)} \) has attraction at short distances instead of repulsion. These features are found to be well explained from the viewpoint of the Pauli exclusion principle in the quark level [22]. Such agreements between the lattice data and the quark model suggest that the quark Pauli exclusion plays an essential role for the repulsive core in 2B systems.

The potential in 1 channel is particularly interesting, since the existence of an exotic \( H \)-dibaryon was proposed in this channel, and lattice QCD results show the existence of an attractive core. We fit the flavor singlet potential and solve the Schrödinger equation in infinite volume. It turns out that, at each quark mass, there is only one bound state with binding energy of 20–50 MeV, with smaller binding energy for smaller quark mass. On the other hand, there appears no bound state in the 27-plet channel (“dineutron”) or the \( \overline{10} \)-plet channel (”deuteron”) in the present range of quark masses. We note that, since the binding energy of \( H \)-dibaryon is comparable to the splitting between physical hyperon masses and not so sensitive to quark mass, there may be a possibility of weakly bound or resonant \( H \)-dibaryon even in the real world. To make a definite conclusion, however, the \( \Lambda \Lambda - N \Xi - \Sigma \Sigma \) coupled channel analysis is necessary for \( H \)-dibaryon in the \( N_f = 2 + 1 \) lattice QCD simulations, as will be discussed in the next section.

5.2 Coupled channel hyperon forces with flavor SU(3) breaking

In the realistic world, SU(3) symmetry is broken due to the heavy strange quark mass, and it is often necessary to study the coupled channel systems above the inelastic threshold. The HAL QCD method can be extended so that the potentials above the inelastic threshold are extracted. For instance, in the case of \( \Lambda \Lambda - N \Xi - \Sigma \Sigma \) system, we consider nine NBS wave functions \( \phi_{X}^{W}(\vec{r}) = \langle 0|B_{X_{1}}(\vec{r}, 0)B_{X_{2}}(\hat{0}, 0)|W_{i}\rangle_{\text{in}} \) with \( X(=X_{1}X_{2}) = \Lambda \Lambda, N \Xi \) or \( \Sigma \Sigma \) and three different energies \( W_{i} \) \( (i = 0, 1, 2) \). We consider the coupled channel Schrödinger equation with these NBS wave functions, and extract the energy-independent (non-local) \( 3 \times 3 \) potential matrix. For details, see Refs. [21] [31] [33].

We employ \( N_f = 2 + 1 \) dynamical clover fermion configurations generated by CP-PACS/JLQCD Collaborations [13] on a \( 16^{3} \times 32 \) lattice at \( a \approx 0.12 \) fm. We calculate three quark mass setup, corresponding to \( m_{s} = 0.66, 0.75, 0.88 \) GeV with \( s \)-quark mass fixed at roughly physical value. We perform a systematic study for \( S = -1, -2, -3, -4 \) channels, which cover all 2B systems com-
posed of two octet baryons. (For a single channel study for $\Lambda N$ and $\Sigma N$ potentials on a finer and larger volume by PACS-CS configurations, see Ref. [11].) In this report, we present the results in $S = -2, I = 0$ channel, i.e., $\Lambda \Lambda - N \Sigma - \Sigma \Sigma$ system, which is relevant to the $H$-dibaryon.

In Fig. 8 we show the coupled channel potential matrix obtained at $(m_\pi, m_K, m_N, m_\Lambda, m_\Sigma, m_\Xi) = (875(1), 916(1), 1806(3), 1835(3), 1841(3), 1867(2))$ MeV [11]. All diagonal components of the potential matrix have a repulsion at short distance, while the strength of the repulsion in each channel varies reflecting properties of its main component in the irreducible representation of SU(3)$_f$.

In particular, the $\Sigma \Sigma$ potential has the strongest repulsive core of these three components. Note also that off-diagonal parts of the potential matrix satisfy the hermiticity within statistical errors. We fit the potentials and solve the coupled channel Schrödinger equation, and find that the $H$-dibaryon is still bound in this setup. The results at smaller quark masses with PACS-CS configurations [12], however, show that $H$-dibaryon tends to become a resonance with its energy moving from $\Lambda \Lambda$ threshold toward $N \Sigma$ threshold in our setup. In order to obtain the definite conclusion on the fate of the $H$-dibaryon, it is necessary to further reduce the quark mass toward the physical point.

6. Three-Nucleon Forces

Three-nucleon forces (3NF) are considered to play an important role in various phenomena, e.g., the binding energies of light nuclei, deuteron-proton elastic scattering, the properties of neutron-rich nuclei and nuclear EoS at high density relevant to the physics of neutron stars. Together with experimental studies [13], lattice QCD is the most desirable way to determine 3NF.

The HAL QCD method can be extended to determine 3NF by considering the NBS wave functions of three-nucleon (3N). We introduce the imaginary-time NBS wave function of the 3N, $\psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, t)$, defined by the six-point correlator as

$$G_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, t - t_0) = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_\mathcal{R} \langle \mathcal{R} | (N(\vec{x}_1)N(\vec{x}_2)N(\vec{x}_3))(t) \langle \mathcal{R} | (\mathcal{R}') \rangle (t_0) \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)$$

$$\psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, t - t_0) \equiv G_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, t - t_0)/e^{-3m_N(t-t_0)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

where $\mathcal{R} \equiv (\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2 + \vec{x}_3)/3, \vec{r} \equiv \vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2, \vec{\rho} \equiv \vec{x}_3 - (\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2)/2$ are the Jacobi coordinates. Under the non-relativistic approximation, existence of energy-independent potential for 3N systems can be shown in a manner analogous to Sec. 4 [27] [31], and we can employ the time-dependent HAL QCD method to extract the 3NF without relying on the ground state saturation. To proceed, employing the derivative expansion of the potentials, the NBS wave function can be converted to the potentials through the following Schrödinger equation,

$$\left[ -\frac{1}{2\mu_r} \vec{\nabla}_r^2 - \frac{1}{2\mu_\rho} \vec{\nabla}_\rho^2 + \sum_{i<j} V_{2N}(\vec{r}_{ij}) + V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}) \right] \psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.3)

where $V_{2N}(\vec{r}_{ij})$ with $\vec{r}_{ij} \equiv \vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j$ denotes 2NF between $(i,j)$-pair, $V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$ the 3NF, $\mu_r = m_N/2$, $\mu_\rho = 2m_N/3$ the reduced masses. If we calculate $\psi_{3N}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho}, t)$, and if all $V_{2N}(\vec{r}_{ij})$ are obtained by (separate) lattice calculations for genuine 2N systems, we can extract $V_{3NF}(\vec{r}, \vec{\rho})$ through Eq. (6.3).

In our first exploratory study of 3NF, we consider the total 3N quantum numbers of $(I, J^P) = (1/2, 1/2^+)$, the triton channel. We also restrict the geometry of the 3N. More specifically, we consider the “linear setup” with $\vec{\rho} = \vec{0}$, with which 3N are aligned linearly with equal spacings of
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$\psi_S, \psi_M, \psi_{D_1}$, respectively.

\[ r_2 \equiv |r|/2. \]

In this setup, the third nucleon is attached to $(1,2)$-nucleon pair with only S-wave, and the wave function is completely spanned by only three bases, which can be labeled by the quantum numbers of $(1,2)$-pair as $1S_0, 2S_1, 3D_1$. In this way, the Schrödinger equation can be simplified to the $3 \times 3$ coupled channel equations with the bases of $\psi_{S_0}, \psi_{S_1}, \psi_{D_1}$.

While the computational cost of the NBS wave function is enormous, it is drastically reduced (by a factor of 192) using the “unified contraction algorithm” in Sec. 13. We here employ the non-relativistic limit operator for the nucleon at the source, $N' = \varepsilon_{abc}(q_1^T C \gamma_5 P_{nr} q_2) P_{nr} q_{c}$ with $P_{nr} = (1 + \gamma_4)/2$, to maximize the gain by the unified contraction algorithm. For the nucleon operator at the sink, which defines the NBS wave function, we employ the standard nucleon operator as in 2NF study, $N = \varepsilon_{abc}(q_1^T C \gamma_5 q_{b}) q_{c}$, so that 2NF and 3NF are determined on the same footing.

In order to extract the genuine 3NF, it is generally necessary to subtract the contributions from both of parity-even and parity-odd 2NF from the total potential in the 3N system. However, parity-odd 2NF on the lattice generally suffer from larger statistical errors due to the momentum injection in the system, which could obscure the signal of 3NF. Therefore, we consider the following channel, $\psi_S \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left( -p_T n_T n_1 + p_T n_T n_1 - n_T n_1 p_T + n_T n_1 p_T + n_T p_T n_1 - n_T p_T n_1 \right)$, which is anti-symmetric in spin/isospin spaces for any 2N-pair. Combined with the Pauli-principle, it is guaranteed that any 2N-pair couples with even parity only, and we can extract 3NF without referring to parity-odd 2NF.

Numerical simulations are performed by employing $N_f = 2$ clover fermion configurations generated by CP-PACS Collaboration [13], at the lattice spacing of $a^{-1} = 1.269(14)$ GeV, the lattice size of $V = L^3 \times T = 16^3 \times 32$, and a quark mass corresponding to $m_f = 1.1$ GeV and $m_N = 2.2$ GeV. They are the same configurations employed in Sec. 12.

In Fig. 6, we plot the radial part of each wave function of $\psi_S = (\psi_{S_0} + \psi_{S_1})/\sqrt{2}$, $\psi_M = (\psi_{S_0} - \psi_{S_1})/\sqrt{2}$ and $\psi_{D_1}$, obtained at $(t-t_0)/a = 8$, which are normalized by the central value of $\psi_S(r_2 = 0)$. In Fig. 7, we plot the preliminary results for the genuine 3NF obtained at $(t-t_0)/a = 7.5, 8.0, 8.5$, where results from different sink times are found to be consistent with each other. Here, 3NF are effectively represented in a scalar-isoscalar functional form, as is often employed for phenomenological short-range 3NF. These results correspond to the update of those in Ref. 17], where the method is improved from original (time-independent) HAL QCD method to time-dependent one, so that systematic errors associated with excited states are suppressed.

In Fig. 8, an indication of repulsive 3NF is observed at the short distance, while 3NF are found to be small at the long distance, in accordance with the suppression of two-pion exchange (2πE) 3NF by the heavy pion. Note that a repulsive short-range 3NF is phenomenologically required to
explain the properties of high density matter. The origin of the short-range 3NF may be attributed to the quark and gluon dynamics directly. As discussed in Sec. 5.1, the short-range cores in 2B forces are well explained by the quark Pauli exclusion. In this context, it is intuitive to expect that the 3N system is subject to extra Pauli repulsion effect, which could be an origin of the observed short-range repulsive 3NF. It is also of interest that the analyses with operator product expansion \[17\] show that 3NF has a universal repulsive core at short distance.

7. Approaches from the Lüscher’s method

In the last few years, a number of lattice studies have been performed by extracting the energy of the system from the temporal correlator, together with the Lüscher’s finite volume method.

Yamazaki et al. \[6\] performed quenched simulations with the clover fermion at \(a = 0.128\) fm, \((m_\pi,m_N) = (0.80, 1.62)\) GeV with the spacial lattice size of \(L = 3.1, 6.1, 12.3\) fm. Single-state analyses were performed with two quark smearing parameters. After the infinite volume extrapolation, they observed that both of dineutron and deuteron are bound. They also studied 2 \(\times\) 2 diagonalization method with \(L = 4.1, 6.1\) fm. The result for the 1st excited state is consistent with the existence of a bound state, where the 2N operator was chosen so that the ground state energy is consistent with single-state analysis. They also performed the study of helium nuclei, using single-state analysis with two smearing parameters. Both of \(^3\)He (=\(^3\)H) and \(^4\)He are found to be bound \[8\]. Recently, they repeated the study with \(N_f = 2 + 1\) full QCD simulations, with clover fermion at \(a = 0.09\) fm, \((m_\pi,m_N) = (0.51, 1.32)\) GeV with \(L = 2.9\)–\(5.8\) fm. Single-state analysis shows that all of dineutron, deuteron, \(^3\)He and \(^4\)He are bound \[8\].

NPLQCD Collaboration performed \(N_f = 2 + 1\) clover fermion simulations on an anisotropic lattice at \(a_s \sim 0.123\) fm \((a_s/a_t \sim 3.5)\), \((m_\pi,m_N) = (0.39, 1.16)\) GeV with \(L = 2.5\) fm, and found positive (repulsive) energy shifts in both of dineutron and deuteron channels \[5\], as was observed in their mixed action study \[3\]. Hyperon interactions were studied as well, and all are found to be repulsive except for \(\Lambda\Lambda\) interaction \[5\]. A feasibility study for three-baryon systems were also
performed \[8\]. On the contrary, their study including larger volumes \((L = 2.0, 2.5, 2.9, 3.9 \text{ fm})\) \[10\] found the suggestion of bound dineutron and deuteron where only the results from largest two volumes were used. They also found that \(H\)-dibaryon, \(\Xi^-\Xi^-\) and \(n\Sigma^-\) \((1^S_0)\) are bound \[11\–\[12\]. Recently, they performed the simulation\(^1\) in flavor SU(3) limit with clover fermion at \(a \sim 0.145 \text{ fm}\) (isotropic), \((\langle m_{ps}, m_B\rangle = (0.81, 1.64) \text{ GeV with } L = 3.4, 4.5, 6.7 \text{ fm})\). They obtained many bound \((\text{hyperl})\) nuclei, including dineutron, deuteron, flavor singlet \(H\)-dibaryon and \(^3\text{H}, ^4\text{He}\) nuclei \[13\].

The results of \(\Delta E\), which is the energy measured from the (fully-)breakup threshold, for dineutron \((1^S_0)\), deuteron \((3^S_1-3^D_1)\), \(^3\text{H}, ^4\text{He}\) are summarized in Fig. \[8\]. While there exist differences in lattice setup, we sometimes observe unexpected discrepancies between different results. For instance, at \(m_\pi \simeq 0.8 \text{ GeV}\), both of dineutron and deuteron are unbound in HAL QCD \[24\], while both are deeply bound in NPLQCD \[13\]. The binding energy of flavor singlet \(H\)-dibaryon is also quite different between HAL QCD \((\Delta E = -37.8(3.1)(4.2) \text{ MeV})\) and NPLQCD \((\Delta E = -74.6(3.3)(3.3)(0.8) \text{ MeV})\). Since both studies employ \(N_f = 3\) full QCD with a similar cut-off, these discrepancies are open issues to be clarified, which may be related to the difference in the analysis method. The results from Yamazaki et al. \[11\–\[12\] at \(m_\pi = 0.8 \text{ GeV}\) are also quite different from NPLQCD \[13\], although both groups employ basically the same analysis method. It remains to be investigated whether the difference of simulation setup (namely, \(N_f = 0\) vs \(N_f = 3\)) can explain such large discrepancies. Careful investigations on systematic errors should be examined, e.g., excited state contaminations in the case of the traditional Lüscher’s method. Note that, in the case of the time-dependent HAL QCD method, the ground state saturation is no more required, while the convergence of derivative expansion should be examined for each channel of concern.

Finally, we note that a study for the decuplet baryons has been also performed. In Ref. \[15\] lattice simulations at \(m_\pi = 0.39 \text{ GeV}\) shows that \(\Omega\Omega\) interaction in \(J = 0\) is weakly repulsive with the scattering length of \(a = -0.16(22) \text{ fm}\), while \(J = 2\) is highly repulsive.

8. Conclusions and Outlook

We have presented lattice QCD activities for nuclear physics, particularly the progress toward the determination of baryonic forces using Nambu-Bethe-Salpeter wave functions. Major challenges for multi-baryon systems on the lattice have been addressed, (i) signal to noise (S/N) issue and (ii) computational cost issue. Recent breakthroughs on these issues have been given: The S/N issue has been found to be avoided by the time-dependent HAL QCD method, in which energy-independent (non-local) potentials can be extracted without relying on the ground state saturation. For the latter issue, a novel “unified contraction algorithm” has been developed, by which computational cost is drastically reduced. The lattice QCD results for nuclear forces, hyperon forces and three-nucleon forces have been presented, and physical insights such as the origin of repulsive core have been discussed. We have also shown recent results from the traditional Lüscher’s method, and open issues to be resolved have been addressed. Since the current simulations employ rather heavy quark masses, it is crucial to go to lighter quark masses. While there may appear various challenges toward the physical point simulations \[16\], it is becoming within reach to determine realistic nuclear forces including few-baryon forces from first-principles lattice simulations, which will play an ultimate role in nuclear physics and astrophysics.

\(^1\)Their algorithm for the computation of correlators \[18\] is nothing but the “unified contraction algorithm” which was already proposed in Ref. \[15\].
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