The propagator of a physical degree of freedom ought to obey a Källén-Lehmann spectral representation, with positive spectral density. The latter quantity is directly related to a cross section based on the optical theorem. The spectral density is a crucial ingredient of a quantum field theory with elementary and bound states, with a direct experimental connection as the masses of the excitations reflect themselves into (continuum) $\delta$-singularities. In usual lattice simulational approaches to the QCD spectrum the spectral density itself is not accessed. The (bound state) masses are extracted from the asymptotic exponential decay of the two-point function. Given the importance of the spectral density, each nonperturbative continuum approach to QCD should be able to adequately describe it or to take into proper account. In this work, we wish to present a first trial in extracting an estimate for the scalar glueball spectral density in SU(3) gluodynamics using lattice gauge theory.
1. Introduction and Motivation

The observed hadronic physical spectra can be understood as a collection of bound states of quarks and gluons, the fundamental fields of QCD. So far, all attempts to detect directly quarks and gluons have produced negative results. However, if one takes QCD seriously, one has to explain why quarks and gluons do not contribute to the S-matrix or, from another point of view, why quarks and gluons only come about when they are confined into color singlet states. Investigating the spectral representation of the QCD propagators does not only help us to perceive better the dynamics of the Yang-Mills theory but it also provides clues to grasp the problem of confinement.

Let \( \mathcal{O}(p) \) be the Euclidean momentum creation operator associated with a given set of quantum numbers. Its vacuum expectation value \( \langle \mathcal{O}(p) \mathcal{O}(-p) \rangle \) defines a propagator which encodes all the information about the quanta with the chosen quantum numbers. Furthermore, let us assume that the propagator has a Källén-Lehmann spectral representation, i.e. that

\[
\langle \mathcal{O}(p) \mathcal{O}(-p) \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} d\mu \frac{\rho(\mu)}{p^2 + \mu},
\]

where \( \rho(\mu) \) is the spectral density, which is real and positive defined if the quantum associated with \( \mathcal{O}(p) \) contributes physically to the S-matrix. Then, if the spectral density vanishes or becomes negative it is a sign that the corresponding quanta are not asymptotic states of the S-matrix. Of course, this is not a proof of confinement, but it is a necessary condition to be satisfied by a confined particle (assuming that its propagator has a Källén-Lehmann representation at least). If the quanta associated with \( \mathcal{O} \) contribute to the S-matrix, a typical spectral density is given by

\[
\rho(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_i \delta(\mu - \mu_i) + \theta(\mu - \mu_0) f(\mu),
\]

where \( \mu_i \) are the particle masses squared associated with the operator \( \mathcal{O} \), \( Z_i \) the corresponding probability of creating such a state and \( \mu_0 \) is the threshold where the multiparticle spectrum (= the continuum) starts.

The lattice approach to QCD provides a way to compute nonperturbatively the propagators of quarks, gluons and composite operators, such as glueballs, from first principles. The problem we address here is what can be learned about the spectral density given a propagator. In particular in this contribution, we will revisit the Landau gauge gluon propagator and report on preliminary data for the glueball propagator. In the present report we will consider only pure gauge Yang-Mills SU(3). For the generation of the gauge configurations, we use the MILC code [1]. The simulations were performed both at Coimbra and Ghent Universities.

From the numerical point of view, the computation of \( \rho(\mu) \) from the propagator requires an inverse integral transform which, typically, calls for some regularization of the data as provided by, for example, the maximum entropy method [2]. Spectral forms of correlation functions are widely studied using lattice simulations, in particular mesons, charmonia etc. at finite \( T \). Note that, although it will be interesting to access the propagators in the entire complex \( p^2 \) plane, lattice QCD simulations only allow us to consider the Euclidean momenta region \( p^2 \geq 0 \).
2. On the gluon propagator

The Landau gauge gluon propagator

\[ D_{\mu \nu}^{ab}(q) = \delta^{ab} \left( \delta_{\mu \nu} - \frac{q_{\mu} q_{\nu}}{q^2} \right) D(q^2) \]  

(2.1)

computed on the lattice has been thoroughly scrutinized in the recent years, see for example [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. It turns out that the propagator is well described by the tree-level prediction of the refined Gribov-Zwanziger action [9]

\[ D(q^2) = Z q^2 + M^2 q^4 + (M^2 + m^2) q^2 + \lambda^4 \]  

(2.2)

up to momenta \( q \approx 4 \) GeV with \( Z \sim 0.81, M^2 \sim 4.0 \) GeV\(^2, M^2 + m^2 \sim 0.57 \) GeV\(^2\) and \( \lambda^4 \sim 0.39 \) GeV\(^4\). This functional form means that the low energy propagator has two complex poles at \( \approx 0.412 \pm i0.674 \) GeV. Given that (2.2) is a perturbative result it is conceivable that taking into account quantum corrections will modify the observed behaviour, leading to branch cuts in the complex plane. A recent computation of \( D(p^2) \) over the entire complex \( p^2 \) plane using the Schwinger-Dyson equations (SDE) [10] does not observe any complex conjugate poles or other cuts than on the negative Euclidean axis. Of course, in order to solve (numerically) the SDE, truncations had to be made together with a parametrization of the vertices. All the approximations require independent confirmation and further studies are needed to arrive at a reliable conclusion.

In [11], the gluon spectral function was also probed using fitting forms for the propagator.

Once the propagator \( D(q^2) \) is known, one can compute the Schwinger function defined as

\[ \Delta(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dq \frac{e^{-iqt}}{2\pi} e^{-\int_0^\infty dy \rho(y^2)} e^{-ty} \text{ for } t > 0 . \]  

(2.3)

If \( \rho(\mu) \) displays a \( \delta \)-function singularity, then correspondingly \( \Delta(t) \sim e^{-mt} \) and \( m \) would be the physical mass of an asymptotic free gluon. On the other hand, if the Schwinger function has a region where it goes negative, then \( \rho(\mu) \) must be negative over a given region of \( \mu \) and, therefore, the gluon cannot be a free particle in the usual sense. In this latter case we speak about positivity violation by the gluon. This violation of positivity has been observed both in lattice calculations [12, 13, 14] and for the solutions of the Schwinger-Dyson equations [10, 15]. For completeness, in Fig. 1 we show the Schwinger function computed using the lattice gluon propagator for several ensembles. Despite the large statistical errors, the plot points towards a \( \rho(\mu) \) with positive and negative values and one may conclude that the gluon is, certainly, not an asymptotic state contributing to the S-matrix.

That the gluon is not a physical state can also be viewed from (2.2). However, if one combines the gluon propagator of (2.2) to build a glueball propagator [16], at least part of the glueball propagator looks like a physical particle propagator and it turns out that its mass value is within the values predicted by quenched lattice QCD [17, 18]. In future work, we shall investigate the gluon spectral representation using lattice data, based on the hereafter to be explained technology.
3. On the glueball propagator

In this section, we will report on an ongoing computation of the spectral density for the color singlet glueball operator with quantum number $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. In the continuum, such states are associated with $\mathcal{O} = F_{\mu\nu}^2$. A lattice version of $F_{\mu\nu}$ can be found in [18]. Our lattice operator for the non-Abelian tensor is such that it reproduces the continuum $F_{\mu\nu}$ up to corrections of order $a^2$, where $a$ is the lattice spacing.

The lattice simulations were performed using the Wilson action at $\beta = 6.2$, meaning a lattice spacing $a = 0.0726$ fm as measured from the string tension, and a lattice of $64^4$ with a physical size of $\sim 4.65$ fm. We have generated about 872 gauge configurations.

For the glueball propagator one expects

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}(q)\mathcal{O}(-q) \rangle = \text{infinities polynomial in } q^2 + \langle \mathcal{O}(q)\mathcal{O}(-q) \rangle_{\text{finite}} = \int_0^{+\infty} d\mu \frac{\rho(\mu)}{q^2 + \mu}.
$$

In order to compute the spectral density, one first has to remove the polynomial infinities. Dimensional analysis shows that the infinities should show up in the coefficients of a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inf}}(q^2)$ of order $q^4$ [16]. Therefore, to subtract $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inf}}(q^2)$ we fit the bare glueball propagator to $a_0 + a_1 q^2 + a_4 q^4$ over several momenta interval between 4 and 8 GeV and afterwards we subtract $\mathcal{P}_{\text{inf}}(q^2)$ from the bare propagator. For the polynomial fit, in all cases, the reduced $\chi^2/d.o.f. \approx 1$. In Fig. 2 we report on the bare glueball propagator before subtraction (left). The r.h.s. plot shows the subtracted and rescaled glueball propagator defined in such a way that $D(q_{\text{min}}) = 1$, where $q_{\text{min}}$ is the smallest nonvanishing momenta.
We would like to call the reader’s attention that no data points are shown for $q = 0$ in Fig. 2. The numerical simulations all give $D(0)$ very large and this point was thence removed from the plot. In the continuum, we indeed have that

$$D(0) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\mu \frac{\rho(\mu)}{\mu} \rightarrow +\infty$$

(3.2)

since the $\rho(\mu)/\mu$ integral also diverges, in good agreement with the discussion of the previous paragraph.

![Figure 2: Glueball propagator: (left) bare propagator before subtraction; (right) rescaled subtracted propagator for various fitting intervals. See text for details.](image)

The subtracted and rescaled propagator plot show relative independence of the subtraction point $T$, defined as the square of the centre of the fitting interval, indicative that eventual physical results will be relatively $T$-independent. This independence of $T$ allows us to take, from now on, only one of the data sets after subtraction. In the following, we will only consider the subtracted data obtained from taking the polynomial fit to the range $5 - 6$ GeV.

The finite part, i.e. the glueball propagator after subtraction of $P_{mf}(q^2)$, is given in terms of the spectral density as

$$D(q^2) = \langle \Theta(q)\Theta(-q) \rangle_{\text{finite}} = -(q^2 - T)^3 \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\mu \frac{\rho(\mu)}{(\mu + T)^3} \frac{1}{q^2 + \mu}$$

$$= -(q^2 - T)^3 \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\mu \frac{\hat{\rho}(\mu)}{q^2 + \mu}.$$  

(3.3)

Formally, this equation can be written as follows

$$\mathcal{D}(q^2) = -\frac{D(q^2)}{(q^2 - T)^3} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\mu \frac{\hat{\rho}(\mu)}{q^2 + \mu} = \mathcal{L}^2 \hat{\rho}(q^2),$$

(3.4)

i.e. the l.h.s. of the equation is given by the double Laplace transform of $\hat{\rho}(\mu)$, where the Laplace transform reads $\mathcal{L} f(t) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-st} f(s)$. The propagator is generated by the Monte Carlo technique and, therefore, we end up with noisy data as usual. The direct solution of the linear system obtained from the last equation is meaningless: it needs to be regularized. Inverting the Laplace transform is a typical example of an ill-posed problem.
We replaced the original linear system by a Tikhonov-Morozov regularized [19] linear system, where the so-called normal equation to be solved is

$$\mathcal{L}^4 \hat{\rho}(z) + \lambda \hat{\rho}(z) = \mathcal{L}^2 \mathcal{D}(z), \quad (3.5)$$

viz.

$$\int_0^{+\infty} dt \, \hat{\rho}(t) \frac{\ln \frac{z}{z-t}}{z-t} + \lambda \hat{\rho}(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} dt \, \frac{\mathcal{D}(t)}{z+t}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\lambda$ is the regularization parameter. For solving this equation, the (convergent) integrals were numerically handled via the introduction of a cutoff at $\Lambda = 11$ GeV and the system was discretized via a half-open Newton-Cotes quadrature rule. The solution of (3.6) as a function of the momentum is show in Fig. 3.

![Figure 3: $\hat{\rho}$ as a function of the momentum.](image)

The function $\hat{\rho}(\mu)$ shows a peak around $\sim 1.7$ GeV and second smaller and broader peak just above 3 GeV. These preliminary results compare well the predictions of [17, 18]. There, (continuum extrapolated) $0^{++}$ states with masses of $1710(50)(80)$ MeV and $2670(180)(130)$ MeV were reported.
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