

# Topological susceptibility near $T_c$ in SU(3) gauge theory

# Jian-Bo Zhang<sup>\*†</sup>, Guang-Yi Xiong

ZIMP and Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Zhejiang 310027, China *E-mail*: bluejbzhang08@zju.edu.cn

## Ying Chen

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100049, China Theoretical Center for Science Facilities, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

## Chuan Liu

School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

## Yu-Bin Liu

School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

## **Jian-Ping Ma**

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

We calculated the topological charge susceptibility  $\chi_t$  for pure gauge SU(3) theory at finite temperature. The anisotropic lattice and over-improved stout-link smoothing method were used to calculate the topological charge. Near the phase transition point we find a smooth crossover behavior for  $\chi_t$  with values decreasing from  $(189(2)\text{MeV})^4$  to  $(67(3)\text{MeV})^4$  as the temperature increased from  $0.3T_c$  to  $1.9T_c$ , which demonstrate that topological excitations exist far above  $T_c$ . Our results are consistent with the earlier studies using other methods to calculate the topological charge on smaller lattice with narrower temperature range.

The 30 International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory - Lattice 2012, June 24-29, 2012 Cairns, Australia

<sup>\*</sup>Speaker.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>For the CLQCD Collaboration.

#### 1. Introduction

Topology in lattice QCD has been widely studied for different purposes, while one of most exciting application is to explore the structure of QCD vacuum, which has not been well understood. The topological charge susceptibility  $\chi_t$ , which is defined as

$$\chi_t = \frac{1}{V} \left( \left\langle Q^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle Q \right\rangle^2 \right). \tag{1.1}$$

where Q is the topological charge, and it has attracted special interest since 1979. The quenched  $\chi_t$  is related to the U(1) axial anomaly and mass of  $\eta'$  meson as the well-known Witten-Vaneziano relation [1] [2]. On the other hand, lattice studies of QCD at finite temperature and the phase structure of QCD has made great progress recently [3], and the relation between chiral symmetry breaking and confinement, as they happen to be near  $T_c$ , are of great interest.  $\chi_t$  describes the topological fluctuations of the vacuum in quenched situation, and its behavior near  $T_c$  are expected to provide a further understanding on the chiral symmetry breaking and confinement.

 $\chi_t$  in SU(3) pure gauge theory near  $T_c$  was studied by Gattringer *et al.* in 2002 [4]. Their simulation find the cross-over behavior of  $\chi_t$  in the temperature interval of  $0.8T_c \sim 1.3T_c$ . They use the chiral improved fermion action and the fermionic method based on Atiyah-Singer index theorem to calculate the topological charge. Here we work on the anisotropic lattices, with wider range of temperature, using the bosonic method to explore the topological properties at finite temperature. More recent studies were carried out [5], part of their results is compatible with us.

### 2. Methods

We executed the calculation on the anisotropic lattices, which have advantages of improving accuracy in lattice QCD for both zero and finite temperatures. The Symanzik and tadpole improvement schemes of the gauge action are found to have better continuum extrapolation behaviors for many physical quantities, that is, the finite lattice spacing effect is well suppressed by these improvements. Considering these facts, we use the following improved gauge action,

$$S_{IA} = \beta \left\{ \frac{5}{3} \frac{\Omega_{sp}}{\xi u_s^4} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{\xi \Omega_{tp}}{u_t^2 u_s^2} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\Omega_{sr}}{\xi u_s^6} - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\xi \Omega_{str}}{u_s^4 u_t^2} \right\}$$
(2.1)

where  $\beta$  is related to the bare QCD coupling constant  $g_0$ ,  $\xi = a_s/a_t$  is the aspect ratio for anisotropy (we take  $\xi = 5$  in this work),  $u_s$  and  $u_t$  are the tadpole improvement parameters of spatial and temporal gauge links.  $\Omega_C = \sum_C \frac{1}{3} \text{ReTr}(1 - W_C)$ , with  $W_C$  referring to the path ordered product of link variables along a closed contour *C* on the lattice.  $\Omega_{sp}$  includes the sum over all spatial plaquettes on the lattice,  $\Omega_{tp}$  includes the temporal plaquettes,  $\Omega_{sr}$  includes the product of link variables about planar 2 × 1 spatial rectangular loops, and  $\Omega_{str}$  refers to the short temporal rectangles (one temporal link, two spatial). Practically,  $u_t$  is set to 1, and  $u_s$  is defined by the expectation value of the spatial plaquette,  $u_s = \langle \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} P_{ss'} \rangle^{1/4}$ . Besides, it's been proved in [6] that the renormalization of the anisotropy  $\xi$  is ignorable as  $\beta$  varies.

#### 2.1 The definition of temperature

The temperature on lattice is defined as follow:

$$T = \frac{1}{N_t a_t},\tag{2.2}$$

where  $N_t$  is the temporal lattice size. *T* can be changed by varying either  $N_t$  or the coupling constant  $\beta$ , which is related directly to the lattice spacing  $a_t$ . The critical temperature is determined for a given lattice  $N_t = 24$  after the critical coupling  $\beta_c$  has been determined. The order parameter for determining  $\beta_c$  is chosen to be the susceptibility  $\chi_P$  of the Polyakov line, which is defined as

$$\chi_P = \left\langle \Theta^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \Theta \right\rangle^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\Theta$  is the Z(3) rotated Polyakov line. After a rough and refined study the peak position of order parameter gives the critical coupling constant  $\beta_c = 2.808$ , which corresponds to the critical temperature  $T_c \approx 0.724r_0^{-1} = 296$ MeV [6]. Here  $r_0$  is the hadronic scale parameter and we take  $r_0^{-1} = 410(20)$  MeV.

Considering both finite volume effects and good resolution of temporal lattice at  $T \sim 2T_c$ , we set  $\beta = 3.2$  in the study of topological susceptibility at finite temperature. The corresponding lattice spacing  $a_s$  is set by calculating the static quark potential V(r) on an anisotropic lattice  $24^3 \times 128$ . The fitting result of string tension  $\sigma$  reads:

$$\frac{a_s}{r_0} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma a_s^2}{1.6 + e_c}} = 0.1825(7), \qquad (2.4)$$

taking  $r_0^{-1} = 410(20)$  MeV, we have  $a_s = 0.0878(4)$  fm. Comparing to  $a_s$  at  $\beta_c = 2.808$  and  $N_t = 24$ , the  $N_t$  of  $T_c$  and  $2T_c$  at fixed  $\beta = 3.2$  can be calculated and the results are  $N_t \sim 40$  and  $N_t \sim 20$ . More parameters details could be found in [7].

In this study we change temperature by varying temporal lattice size  $N_t$ . Setting  $\beta = 3.2$ , we generated a series of lattice  $24^3 \times N_t$  with  $N_t = 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40, 44, 48, 60, 80$  and 128, which cover the range of  $T \in [0.3T_c, 1.9T_c]$ . For each  $N_t$  lattice we sampled 1000 configurations, after 10000 sweeps from cold start and with 500 sweeps between each sampling. Each sweep consists of a composite update including 1 pseudo heat-bath and 5 over-relaxing procedures. It should be pointed out that we assume the difference between  $T_c$  ( $\beta = 2.808$ ) and  $T_c$  ( $\beta = 3.2$ ) is ignorable due to the application of the improved gauge action.

#### 2.2 Over-improved stout-link method

It is well-known that the topological charge Q calculated directly from a typical gauge configuration by the field theoretic definition [8] will not be an integer in general, which is obviously conflicting with the continuum situation. Thus, some cooling or smearing procedure is essentially to be taken on the configuration before calculating Q, aiming to suppress the ultraviolet fluctuations.

All cooling or smearing methods are based on an approximation to the continuum gauge field action:

$$S_g = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x \, \mathrm{tr} \left[ F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \right].$$
 (2.5)

and the lattice version of action contains combination of plaquette and larger Wilson loops. But the coefficients of  $2 \times 2$  and larger loop terms involved quite complicated renormalization problem, while  $\mathcal{O}(a^4)$  errors are not suppressed as expected. Moreover, in these methods the destruction of topological structure is unavoidable. For the purpose of the study on topology susceptibility, we adopt the over-improved stout-link smearing method developed by Moran and Leinweber [9] to filter the lattice, which is proved efficiently preserving instanton-like objects.

Over-improved stout-link smearing method introduces the over-improved parameter  $\varepsilon$  into stout-link smearing algorithm. Modified link combinations are as follows:

$$\mathscr{C}_{\mu}(x) = \rho_{\rm sm} \sum \left\{ \frac{5 - 2\varepsilon}{3} \left( 1 \times 1 \text{ paths touching } U_{\mu}(x) \right) - \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{12} \left( 1 \times 2 + 2 \times 1 \text{ paths touching } U_{\mu}(x) \right) \right\},$$
(2.6)

There are three free parameters in the over-improved stout-link smearing method, the overimproved parameter  $\varepsilon$ , the smearing parameter  $\rho_{sm}$ , and  $n_{sm}$ , the number of smoothing steps taken on each configuration. Moran and Leinweber has practically determined  $\varepsilon = -0.25$  to maximize the life of instantons under iterative smearing procedure, so do we set this parameter. We checked this on several configurations at different temperature and confirmed that mostly the topological charge quickly fell into an integer number (near the integer within  $\pm 0.1$ ) as  $n_{sm}$  increased and kept quite stable even after more then 1000 steps of smoothing procedure.



**Figure 1:** Test for different  $\rho$  at  $N_t = 40$ 

For the other two parameters  $\rho_{sm}$  and  $n_{sm}$ , we take some test to find suitable values for them. 5 configurations were randomly selected for each  $N_t$  and were smoothed 200 times for  $\rho_{sm} = 0.01 \sim 0.08$ , and the results of topological charge for some  $\rho_{sm}$  values are shown in Figure 1.  $\rho_{sm}$  is the strength to suppress the fluctuation, but in practice we found that when  $\rho_{sm}$  is larger than 0.08, it will leads to completely unstable topological charge, as shown in Figure 1. Finally we set  $n_{\rm sm} = 40$  and  $\rho_{\rm sm} = 0.05$  for all lattices (except for  $N_t = 128$  we set  $\rho_{\rm sm} = 0.07$ , keeping  $n_{\rm sm} = 40$ ). Moreover, the  $F_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(x)$  used in computing the topological charge Q is calculated by highly improved operators [10], including  $1 \times 1$ ,  $2 \times 2$  and  $3 \times 3$  loops.

## 3. Results and discussion

We get 1000 Q charge data for each  $N_t$  lattice, which are calculated after 40 times of smoothing procedures. Most of them are integers, as shown in Figure 2 that points biased from the integers



**Figure 2:** Numbers of Q that bias from integer > 0.1



Figure 3: Distribution of topological charge

are less than 4%. Noting that for  $N_t = 128$  we set  $\rho_{sm} = 0.07$  to ensure that 40 steps of smooth are practically enough.

Firstly, we display the histogram of the topological charge Q for different temperature in Figure 3. As the temperature increase, the Q has been suppressed as expected. We fit the distribution of Q with Gaussian function, and the results are good, especially near  $T_c$ .

Next, susceptibility and its standard derivation could be worked out for each  $N_t$ , or say for different temperature, the results are shown in Table 1 and Figure 4.

$N_t$	T/MeV	$\chi^{1/4}/{ m MeV}$
20	561.5	$67.1 \pm 2.8$
24	467.9	$81.8\pm1.9$
28	401.1	$97.5\pm1.7$
32	350.9	$125.4 \pm 2.0$
36	311.9	$148.0 \pm 2.1$
40	280.8	$181.7 \pm 2.2$
44	255.2	$186.5 \pm 2.0$
48	234.0	$187.0 \pm 2.0$
60	187.2	$189.1 \pm 2.1$
80	140.4	$183.4 \pm 2.1$
128	87.7	$187.9 \pm 2.2$

**Table 1:**  $\chi$  results



**Figure 4:**  $\chi$  results

The susceptibility below  $T_c$  results as  $\chi_t = 185.95$  MeV, which is consistent with former work. It could be seen clearly that topological excitation is observed non-zero even at  $T = 1.9T_c$ . That means instanton-like structure may exist above to 2 times of the "critical" temperature, supporting the conclusion of Gattringer. As the Figure 4 shows, a smooth crossover behavior for  $\chi_t$  decreasing from  $(188(2)\text{MeV})^4$  to  $(67(3)\text{MeV})^4$  is found.

Another purpose of this work is checking the over-improved stout-link smearing method, which is an effective and cheaper (than the fermionic method) method to reveal the cloaking na-

ture of QCD vacuum while preserving topological structure as well as suppressing the ultraviolet fluctuations on the lattice.

## Acknowledgments

This work was mainly run on Magic supercomputer in Shanghai Supercomputer Center and partially on cluster of IFTS at Zhejiang University, and supported by the NSFC-Grant No.10835002.

#### References

- [1] E. Witten, *Current algebra theorems for the U(1) "Goldstone boson"*, *Nuclear Physics B* **156** 269–283 (1979).
- [2] G. Veneziano, U(1) without instantons, Nuclear Physics B 159 213–224 (1979).
- [3] P. Petreczky, Lattice QCD at non-zero temperature (2012), [hep-lat/1203.5320].
- [4] C. Gattringer and R. Hoffmann, *The topological susceptibility of SU(3) gauge theory near Tc*, *Physics Letters B* 535 358–362 (2002).
- [5] G. Cossu, S. Aoki, S. Hashimoto et al., *Topological susceptibility and axial symmetry at finite temperature* (2012), [hep-lat/1204.4519].
- [6] W. LIU, Y. CHEN, M. GONG et al., *Static quark potential and the renormalized anisotropy on tadpole improved anisotropic lattices, Modern Physics Letters A* **21** 2313–2322 (2006).
- [7] X. Meng, G. Li, Y. Zhang et al., *Glueballs at finite temperature in SU(3) Yang-Mills theory*, *Physical Review D* 80 114502 (2009).
- [8] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwartz et al., Pseudoparticle solutions of the Yang-Mills equations, Physics Letters B 59 85 – 87 (1975).
- [9] P. Moran and D. Leinweber, Over-improved stout-link smearing, Physical Review D 77 094501 (2008).
- [10] S. O. Bilson-Thompson, D. B. Leinweber and A. G. Williams, *Highly improved lattice field strength tensor*. *Annals Phys.*, **304** 1–21 (2003), [hep-lat/0203008].