Confining gauge theories with adjoint scalars on $R^3 \times S^1$
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Recent work on QCD-like gauge theories on $R^3 \times S^1$ has shown that we can study confinement both perturbatively using the effective potential of the Polyakov loop and nonperturbatively using the semi-classical evaluation of monopoles and instantons. We extend the theory with an adjoint scalar field and use a deformation potential inspired by two-dimensional fermions with periodic boundary conditions, which unlike the previous models give a second-order phase transition. The model shows a rich phase structure, including a new confined phase where the Polyakov loop mixes with the scalar field. This new phase in turn shows that the confined phase is incompatible with the Higgs phase. Moreover, the mixing gives rise to topological objects that generalize the instanton constituents of BPS and KK monopoles in Euclidean space, which are then related to infinite sum of Julia-Zee dyons in Minkowski space by Poisson duality. All phases in the model are connected by a dilute monopole gas, and the string tension associated with Wilson loops orthogonal to the compact direction can be computed using Abelian duality.
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1. Introduction

Recent work on confining gauge theories on $R^3 \times S^1$ has revealed that a confined phase can exist at small circumference of $S^1$ if certain deformations or fields are added to pure gauge theories; see [1] for a review. The use of $R^3 \times S^1$ with a small circumference, as opposed to $R^4$, makes the gauge coupling small. Thus we now have four-dimensional field theories in which we can study confinement using semiclassical methods.

With confinement in the pure gauge theory on $R^3 \times S^1$ under analytic control, we extend these results by introducing scalar fields [2]. Together with a deformation term, the scalar potential added to the model will allow us to examine the relationship between confinement and the Higgs mechanism and to explore what turns out to be a very rich phase structure.

2. The effective potential

We consider deformed $SU(2)$ gauge theory with a scalar field in the adjoint representation. The phase diagram of our model can be constructed from an approximate form of the one-loop effective potential, including the deformation term. The effective potential can be calculated in background field gauge, with the background fields for scalar field, $\phi = (0, 0, v)$ and the Polyakov loop, $P = \exp \left( ig \int_0^L dx_4 A_4 \right) = \text{diag} \left[ \exp (i \theta), \exp (-i \theta) \right]$, where $g$ is the gauge coupling. The total one-loop effective potential, $U$, constitutes of three parts when the circumference of $S^1$, $L$, is small:

$$U = V_c + V_L + V_d$$

where $V_c$ is the classical contribution, which is the sum of the kinetic term and the scalar potential

$$V_c(\phi) = g^2 \text{Tr}_F [A_4, \phi]^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi^2)^2.$$  

(2.2)

The positivity of the kinetic term for the adjoint representation implies that the effective potential will be minimized if $[A_4, \phi] = 0$. The finite-$L$ effective potential, $V_L$, of both the gauge fields and adjoint scalar field can be written as

$$V_L = \frac{2 \pi^2}{L^4} B_4 \left( \frac{\theta}{\pi} \right) + \frac{2m^2 + \lambda \nu^2 + 3g^2 \nu^2}{2L^2} B_2 \left( \frac{\theta}{\pi} \right) + \frac{\lambda \nu^2}{4L^2}$$

(2.3)

where $B_k$ is the Bernoulli polynomial.

In order to realize the confined phase for small $L$, we will add a double-trace deformation term $V_d$. This term will be a $Z(N)_C$-invariant function of $P$, and therefore will be nonlocal in the compact variable $x_4$. Many forms of $V_d$ may be used, such that the confined phase is favored for some range of parameters. We consider two forms which give rise to the second order phase transition. First one takes the form

$$V_d = h_1 L^{-4} (\text{Tr}_F P)^2 + h_2 L^{-4} (\text{Tr}_F P)^4.$$  

(2.4)

For sufficiently large $h_1 > 0$, the symmetry will be restored. Furthermore, larger values of $h_2$ make the phase transition continuous. We plot the phase diagram of the deformed $SU(2)$ as shown in Figure 1(a). The second choice, which we found was the most analytically tractable, is based
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Figure 1: Deformed $SU(2)$ phase diagrams

on the one-loop potential for $N_f$ adjoint Dirac fermions with periodic boundary conditions in two dimensions. These sheets of two-dimensional fermions can be embedded in four dimensions with a density $(N_4/L)^2$ in the plane orthogonal to the plane of the fermions with mass $M$

$$V_d = \frac{2MLN_fN_4^2}{\pi L^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{K_1(nML)}{n} \text{Tr}_A P^n$$

(2.5)

where $K_n$ is the modified Bessel function. The infinite series can be summed exactly in the limit when the mass goes to zero,

$$\lim_{M \to 0} V_d = \frac{2N_fN_4^2}{\pi L^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Tr}_A P^n}{n^2} = \frac{4N_fN_4^2}{\pi L^4} (\theta - \pi/2)^2$$

(2.6)

where $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. This deformation leads to a second-order phase transition at some $N_f$ for sufficiently small $M$ as shown in Figure 1(b). We will use the $M = 0$ form in what follows, thereby obtaining a second-order deconfinement transition.

Finally, the one-loop effective potential becomes

$$U = \frac{1}{2} m^2 (L)^2 v^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda (L) v^4 + \frac{2}{\pi^2 L^4} (\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})^4 + \frac{a}{L^4} \left( \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2$$

$$+ \frac{(m^2 + \lambda v^2 + 3g^2 v^2)}{2\pi^2 L^2} \left( \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2$$

(2.7)

where we have defined the dimensionless parameter

$$a \equiv \frac{4N_fN_4^2}{\pi} - 1.$$  

(2.8)

In order for us to take the phase diagram predicted by our one-loop effective potential seriously, both the gauge coupling $g(L)$ and the scalar coupling $\lambda (L)$ must be small. The gauge coupling is naturally small at a scale where $\Lambda L \ll 1$ as a consequence of asymptotic freedom, but the scalar coupling must be tuned to make $\lambda (L)$ small.
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4. Nonperturbative effects

4.1 Classical monopole solutions

The nonperturbative dynamics of gauge theories on \( R^3 \times S^1 \) are all based on Polyakov’s analysis of the Georgi-Glashow model in three dimensions. This is an \( SU(2) \) gauge model coupled to an adjoint Higgs scalar. The model we are considering thus differs by the addition of a fourth
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compact dimension and a suitable deformation added to the action. The four-dimensional Georgi-Glashow model is the standard example of a gauge theory with classical monopole solutions when the Higgs expectation value is nonzero. They are topologically stable because $\Pi_2(SU(2)/U(1)) = \Pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}$, and make a nonperturbative contribution to the partition function $Z$. In three dimensions, these monopoles are instantons. Polyakov showed that a gas of such three-dimensional monopoles gives rise to nonperturbative confinement in three dimensions, even though the theory appears to be in a Higgs phase perturbatively [5].

In the model at hand, both $A_4$ and $\phi$ play roles in the monopole solutions. The solutions for all these monopoles can be found explicitly in the BPS limit; when $A_4$ is nontrivial, the $N-1$ BPS monopoles are joined by a Kaluza-Klein (KK) monopole [6, 7, 8]. Their actions are [2]

$$S_{\text{BPS}} = \frac{4\pi}{g^2} \sqrt{4\theta^2 + g^2 L^3 v^2}$$

for BPS monopoles and

$$S_{\text{KK}} = \frac{4\pi}{g^2} \sqrt{(2\pi - 2\theta)^2 + g^2 L^3 v^2}$$

for KK monopoles. The KK solution is topologically distinct from the BPS solution because it carries instanton number 1. KK monopoles also have the opposite monopole charge from BPS monopoles. This is consistent with the KvBLL decomposition of instantons in the pure gauge theory with non-trivial Polyakov loop behavior, where $SU(2)$ instantons can be decomposed into a BPS monopole and a KK monopole. Our picture of the confined and mixed confined phases is one where instantons and anti-instantons have “melted” into their constituent monopoles and anti-monopoles, which effectively form a three-dimensional gas of magnetic monopoles.

4.2 Abelian duality

The contribution to the partition function of a single monopole is

$$Z_{a} = \xi_{a} \exp \left[-S_{a}\right] \int d^3x$$

where $a$ denotes the type of monopoles, $a = \{\text{BPS, KK, BPS, KK}\}$ and the factor of $d^3x$ represents the integration over the location of the monopole. The factor $\xi_{a}$ together with $\exp[-S_{a}]$ is called the fugacity, and the one-loop contribution for the case of an adjoint scalar gives [9, 10, 2]

$$\xi_{a} = c\mu^{7/2} (2L)^{1/2} S_{a}^2$$

where $\mu$ is a Pauli-Villars regulator and $c$ is a numerical constant. From the construction of the KK monopole, we have $\xi_{KK}(\theta) = \xi_{BPS}(\pi - \theta)$. The interaction of the monopoles is essentially the one described by Polyakov in his original treatment of the Georgi-Glashow model in three dimensions [5], slightly generalized to include both the BPS and KK monopoles. The generating functional in terms of a scalar field $\sigma$

$$Z_{\sigma} = \int [d\sigma] \exp \left[-\int d^3x \left( \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2 L} (\partial_j \sigma)^2 - \sum_{a} \xi_{a} e^{-S_{a} + iq_{a}\sigma} \right)\right]$$

is precisely equivalent to the generating function of the monopole gas. Note that each species of monopole has its own magnetic charge sign $q_{a} = \pm$ as well as its own action $S_{a}$. This equivalence is
a generalization of the equivalence of a sine-Gordon model to a Coulomb gas, and may be proved
by expanding $Z_{\sigma}$ in a power series in the $\xi_a$’s, and doing the functional integral over $\sigma$ for each
term of the expansion.

It is well known that the magnetic monopole plasma leads to confinement in three dimensions.
For our effective three-dimensional theory, any Wilson loop in a hyperplane of fixed $x_4$, for example
a Wilson loop in the $x_1 - x_2$ plane, will show an area law. It can be obtained from the kink solution
connecting the two vacua of the dual field $\sigma$ [10]. We write the potential term in the dual effective
lagrangian as

$$-\sum_a \xi_a e^{-S_{\sigma} + iq_a \sigma} \to 2 \left( \xi_{BPS}(\theta) e^{-S_{BPS}(\theta)} + \xi_{KK}(\theta) e^{-S_{KK}(\theta)} \right) \left[1 - \cos(\sigma)\right] \quad (4.6)$$

which has minima at $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = 2\pi$; we have added a constant for convenience such that
the potential is positive everywhere and zero at the minima. A one-dimensional soliton solution
$\sigma_s(z)$ connects the two vacua, and the string tension $\sigma_{3d}$ for Wilson loops in the three noncompact
directions is given by

$$\sigma_{3d} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz L_{eff}(\sigma_s(z)) \quad (4.7)$$

which can be calculated via yet another Bogomol’nyi inequality to be

$$\sigma_{3d} = \frac{4g}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2L} \left( \xi_{BPS}(\theta) e^{-S_{BPS}(\theta)} + \xi_{KK}(\theta) e^{-S_{KK}(\theta)} \right)} \quad (4.8)$$

The apparent renormalization group-dependence of the final result is discussed in [2]. It is notable
that in the confined phase $\sigma_{3d}$ can be written in a form independent of the renormalization group
scale [10].

In Figure 3, we show a final version of the phase diagram. The figure shows the large region
where the dilute monopole gas description should be valid, and either $S_{BPS} = S_{KK}$ or $S_{BPS} \simeq S_{KK}$.
Note that this region includes all of the confined and mixed confined regions, a large part of the
Higgs phase, and a small part of the deconfined phase. The region where the dilute gas approximation
is valid is somewhat larger. However, we have also indicated the region where the dilute gas approximation
breaks down, because $S_{BPS} \approx 0$ and $S_{KK} \approx 8\pi^2/g^2$. For obvious reasons, we have
labeled this region as an instanton region, although the correct treatment of topological excitations
in this region is no clearer in the Higgs system than in the pure gauge case.

4.3 Poisson duality

We can understand the role of topological excitations from a different point of view by invoking
duality in a form similar to that used by Poppitz and Unsal in their analysis of the Seiberg-
Witten model [11]; their work also serves as an introduction to duality in this context. We begin
with an easy variant of the Poisson summation formula associated with $Z(N)_C$. Let $f(\theta)$ be a
function defined on the interval $-\pi < \theta < \pi$. We define the Fourier series in the usual way:

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}(n) e^{in\theta} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\tilde{f}(n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \frac{\theta}{2\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} \quad (4.10)$$
Then we have

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f \left( \theta - \frac{2\pi k}{N} \right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} N \tilde{f}(nN)e^{in\theta} \]  

(4.11)

so that for \( N = 2 \) only the even coefficients \( \tilde{f}(2n) \) contribute. Let us apply this identity to the combination

\[ \xi_{BPS}(\theta)e^{-S_{BPS}(\theta)} + \xi_{KK}(\theta)e^{-S_{KK}(\theta)} = \xi_{BPS}(\theta)e^{-S_{BPS}(\theta)} + \xi_{BPS}(\pi - \theta)e^{-S_{BPS}(\pi - \theta)} \]  

(4.12)

which occurs in the dual Lagrangian and in the formula for \( \sigma_3 \) so we have

\[ f(\theta) = \xi_{BPS}(\theta)e^{-S_{BPS}(\theta)}. \]  

(4.13)

For small \( g^2 \), \( S_{BPS}(\theta) \) is strongly peaked at \( \theta = 0 \), so we can make the approximation

\[ \tilde{f}(2n) \approx \xi_{BPS}(0) \exp \left[ -LM(n) \right] \]  

(4.14)

Although this integral, with the limits taken to infinity, can be evaluated in a saddle point approximation, it can also be evaluated exactly [11], giving

\[ \tilde{f}(2n) \approx \xi_{BPS}(0) \frac{gL\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{g}}}{2\pi} \sqrt{\left( \frac{4\pi}{g} \right)^2 + n^2} K_1 \left[ gL\sqrt{\left( \frac{4\pi}{g} \right)^2 + n^2} \right]. \]  

(4.15)

The Higgs phase represents the most general domain of applicability of the duality transformation, because in the Higgs phase \( v \neq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq \theta < \pi/2 \). It is natural to introduce \( M(n) \) the mass of a Minkowski-space Julia-Zee dyon [12] of magnetic charge \( 4\pi/g \) and electric charge \( ng \)

\[ M(n) = v\sqrt{\left( \frac{4\pi}{g} \right)^2 + (ng)^2}. \]  

(4.16)

The asymptotic expansion of the Bessel function for large argument gives a factor of \( \exp \left[ -LM(n) \right] \):

\[ \tilde{f}(2n) \approx \xi_{BPS}(0) \frac{LM(0)}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{4\pi}{g} \right)^2 + n^2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2LM(n)}} \exp \left[ -LM(n) \right]. \]  

(4.17)

Thus each term in the sum carries a factor of \( \exp \left[ -LM(n) + i2n\theta \right] \). This suggests an obvious interpretation of the finite sum over BPS and KK monopoles, which are constituents of instantons, as being equivalent to a gas of Julia-Zee dyons, each carrying a Polyakov loop factor appropriate to its charge. This interpretation is valid throughout most of the Higgs and mixed confined phases, except in the region near \( m^2 = 0 \) where the mass of the lightest dyon \( M(0) = 4\pi v/g \), which is a Minkowski-space monopole, becomes light. Within this framework, the only significant difference between the mixed confined and Higgs phases is that in the mixed confined phase, \( \theta \) is restricted to \( \pi/2 \).
5. Conclusions

We have shown that the deformed $SU(2)$ adjoint Higgs model on $R^3 \times S^1$ have four different phases distinguished by the behavior of the three gauge-invariant order parameters associated with $Z(2)_C \times Z(2)_H$. We have calculated the area-law behavior of Wilson loops orthogonal to the compact $S^1$ direction in at least part of all four phases where a picture of a dilute magnetic monopole gas is valid. Furthermore, we show that the monopole gas picture, arrived at using Euclidean instanton methods, can be interpreted as a gas of finite-energy dyons using Poisson duality.

For $SU(N)$ gauge theories on $R^3 \times S^1$, the natural set of order parameters is $\text{Tr} F^k$, and the $Z(N)$ center symmetry can break to a subgroup $Z(p)$ [13, 14]. With the addition of an adjoint scalar, there is the additional set of order parameters of the form form $\text{Tr} F^k \phi$ available. This suggests a very rich phase structure is possible. Finally, the overall phase structure we have predicted in our four-dimensional model should be relatively easy to test with lattice simulations.
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