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We show that in the lattice Hamiltonian limit all Coulomb gauge propagators are consistent with the Gribov-Zwanziger confinement mechanism, with an IR enhanced effective energy for quarks and gluons and a diverging ghost form factor compatible with a dual-superconducting vacuum. Multiplicative renormalizability is ensured for all static correlators, while for non-static ones their energy dependence plays a crucial role in this respect. Moreover, from the Coulomb potential we can extract the Coulomb string tension $\sigma_C \sim 2 \sigma$.
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1. Introduction

QCD in Coulomb gauge, being best suited to examine the Gribov-Zwanziger (GZ) confinement ideas \cite{1, 2}, has been the subject of intense research in the last few years. In a series of papers \cite{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8}, which we will briefly summarize here, we have analyzed the behaviour of all relevant two-point functions on the lattice and compared them with the corresponding predictions of Hamiltonian variational calculations \cite{9, 10, 11}, concentrating on the features relevant for the GZ scenario.

As Gribov in his seminal paper noticed, for non-Abelian theories most gauge conditions admit several solutions and the corresponding Faddeev-Popov (FP) mechanism is not sufficient to define the functional integral beyond perturbation theory. The field-configuration space must therefore be restricted to a domain, continuously connected to the origin, where the gauge condition at hand always possesses unique solutions. He then argued how, as soon as the fields cross the boundary of such region, the ghost dressing function acquires a singularity; the “no-pole” condition for the FP-ghost is then necessary to implement the restriction. In particular, in Coulomb gauge, he argued how such restriction can imply a diverging gluon self-energy, motivating its disappearance from the physical spectrum.

Many issues remain of course in the above description open. Gribov based his conjectures on more or less heuristic arguments. Zwanziger later tried to put the whole set-up on a more solid basis, while variational calculations, which are viable in Coulomb gauge since they by-pass the explicit construction of the gauge invariant Hilbert space \cite{12}, did provide some insight on the relation of the GZ-mechanism to the Hamiltonian formulation. In both cases, however, approximations need to be made; although many authors tackled the problems during the years \cite{13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18}, a satisfactory non-perturbative cross-check from lattice calculation was hindered for a long time by the presence of strong discretization effects. In our papers we have shown \cite{3, 7, 8} how for each propagator improvement techniques can quite effectively take care of such problems and make an explicit check of the GZ-scenario possible.

As first suggested in \cite{3}, the size of discretization effects can be investigated on anisotropic lattices, where the time and space like cut-off $a_t, a_s$ are kept different. In Fig. 1 we show the effect of taking the limit $a_t \to 0$, which controls the lattice Hamiltonian limit, on the SU(2) Coulomb gauge functional calculated at fixed space-like cut-off, i.e. RG-point. Perturbative determinations of the latter \cite{19, 20} are not precise enough and we have re-checked the only non-perturbative calculation found in the literature \cite{21}; details can be found in \cite{8}, as well as the details of the gauge fixing algorithm, which adapts those introduced in \cite{22, 23}. Following the ideas in \cite{3}, a first anisotropic analysis in SU(3) had been attempted in \cite{24}.

From the continuum analysis and from our results in \cite{3, 4} we know that in the pure gauge sector the static gluon propagator, the static Coulomb potential and the ghost form factor should obey:

\[
D(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\vec{p}^4 + M^4}} \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad d(\vec{p}) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{|\vec{p}|^{\kappa_{gh}}} & |\vec{p}| \ll \Lambda \\
\frac{1}{\log_{\gamma_{gh}} |\vec{p}|} & |\vec{p}| \gg \Lambda 
\end{cases} \\
V_C(\vec{p}) = \frac{8\pi \alpha_c}{|\vec{p}|^4} + \frac{\eta}{|\vec{p}|^2} + O(1) \quad (1.1)
\]
where $M \simeq 1$ GeV and for the gluon self-energy $\omega_h = D^{-1}(\vec{p})$. The quark propagator, the fermion self energy and the running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$ take the form [7]:

$$S(\vec{p}, p_4) = \frac{Z(\vec{p})}{i\vec{p} + i p_4 \alpha(\vec{p}) + M(\vec{p})} \quad \omega_F(|\vec{p}|) = \frac{\alpha(|\vec{p}|)}{Z^2(|\vec{p}|)} \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + M^2(|\vec{p}|)}$$

$$M(|\vec{p}|) = \frac{m_X(m_b)}{1 + b \frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{\Lambda^2} \log \left( e + \frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) - \gamma} + \frac{m_r(m_b)}{\log \left( e + \frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) - \gamma},$$

where $Z$ is the field renormalization function, $\alpha$ the energy renormalization function, $m_b$ the bare quark mass, $m_X(m_b)$ the chiral mass and $m_r(m_b)$ the renormalized running mass [7]. In the following we shall verify such behaviour and determine the relevant parameters.

2. Results

2.1 Ghost form factor

A careful analysis of the ghost form factor in the Hamiltonian limit $a_t \to 0$ shows that its UV behaviour agrees with Eq. (1.1), with $\gamma_{gh} = 1/2$, confirming continuum predictions, and $m = 0.21(1)$ GeV, see Fig. 1 (a). In the IR going to higher anisotropies increases the exponent $\kappa_{gh}$, as shown in Fig. 1 (b), where we plot $|\vec{p}|^\kappa m d(\vec{p})$, with $\kappa_m$ the IR exponent for $\xi = 1$, as a function of the anisotropy. The limit $\xi \to \infty$ gives $\kappa_{gh} \gtrsim 0.5$, confirming the GZ-scenario. This however disagrees with some continuum predictions $\kappa_{gh} = 1$, deriving from the assumption of the finiteness of the static ghost-gluon vertex. Whether this is indeed correct and algorithmic improvements could change the lattice result is still a matter of investigation.
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2.2 Coulomb potential

In Fig. 3 (a) we show $|\vec{p}|^4 V_C(|\vec{p}|)$ as obtained from different anisotropies. Fitting the results to Eq. (1.1) we get, in the Hamiltonian limit $\xi \to \infty$ $\sigma_C = 2.2(2) \sigma$, as expected from Zwanziger’s predictions [25].

2.3 Quark propagator

Our calculations were all made on a set of configurations generated by the MILC collaboration
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[26], see [7] for details. The use of improved actions is crucial to establish the scaling properties of the Coulomb gauge quark propagators. This is very similar to the situation in Landau gauge, see e.g. [27, 28, 29], whose techniques we have adapted to our case.

Fig. 3 (b) shows the scaling of the renormalization function $Z(|\vec{p}|)$ for configurations calculated at similar bare quark mass, while the RG-invariant functions $\alpha(|\vec{p}|)$ and $M(|\vec{p}|)$ are given in Fig. 4. Their behaviour agrees with theoretical expectations, see Eq. (1.2).

Figure 4: (a): Energy renormalization function $\alpha(|\vec{p}|)$. (b): Running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$.

Our most interesting results are given in Fig. 5. Analogously to the gluon self-energy $\omega_A(|\vec{p}|)$, the quark self energy $\omega_F(|\vec{p}|)$ has a turn-over at $|\vec{p}| \sim 1$ GeV, clearly departing from the behaviour of a free particle, and diverging in the IR, see Fig. 5 (a); although awaiting confirmation on larger lattices, this would in principle extend the Gribov argument for its disappearance from the physical spectrum to full QCD. Moreover, as Fig. 5 (b) shows, the running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$ we obtain is quantitatively compatible with our phenomenological expectations from chiral symmetry breaking. Fitting it to Eq. (1.2) we obtain $b = 2.9(1)$, $\gamma = 0.84(2)$, $\Lambda = 1.22(6)$ GeV, $m_\chi(0) = 0.31(1)$ GeV, with $\chi^2/d.o.f. = 1.06$.

3. Conclusions

We have shown that the GZ confinement scenario is realized in Coulomb gauge. The ghost form factor $d(|\vec{p}|)$ is IR divergent with an exponent $\kappa_{gh} \gtrsim 0.5$, which implies Gribov’s no-pole condition and a dual-superconducting scenario [30]; the gluon propagator satisfies the Gribov formula, implying an IR diverging self-energy, and the Coulomb string tension is roughly twice the physical string tension. Moreover from the quark propagator we can easily extract the quark self energy $\omega_F(|\vec{p}|)$, which is also compatible with an IR divergent behaviour, and the running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$, which gives a constituent quark mass of $m_\chi(0) = 0.31(1)$ GeV.

This is in contrast to Landau gauge, where BRST symmetry seems to be non-perturbatively broken, violating the Kugo-Ojima confinement scenario [31], while the GZ confinement scenario
Figure 5: (a): Quark self energy $\omega_F(|\vec{p}|)$. (b): Running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$ in the chiral limit $m_b \to 0$; see Eq. (1.2).

cannot be realized without the explicit introduction of an horizon function, see e.g. [32] for a recent review; its physical implications and how these can be related to the presence of dim-2 condensates [33, 34, 35] are an interesting issue still debated in the literature [36].
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