Pseudorapidity spectra of secondary particles emitted in the relativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions
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We discuss new results connected with more detail structure of the pseudorapidity spectra of charged relativistic particles with $\beta > 0.7$ produced in Si (at energy 4 A GeV and 14 A GeV), Au (at energy 11.6 A GeV) and Pb+Em (at energy 158 A GeV) reactions. The relativistic nucleus beams were obtained from AGS and SPS machines. The pseudorapidity spectra were analyzed using Maximum Entropy Methods. The number of fast target protons: $g$-particles are used to fix the centrality of collisions. Using the method we found some selected pseudorapidity values – nontrivial structure, the number of which depends on energy; increases from 2 to 4 with energy.
1. Introduction

In high energy hadron-nuclear and nuclear-nuclear experiments some plateaus were observed visually in central area of pseudorapidity ($\eta$). The existence of plateau is very important for theoretical estimation. For example, in paper [1] the space-time evolution of the hadronic matter produced in the central rapidity region in extreme nucleus-nucleus collisions has been described. It is found that quark-gluon plasma is produced at a temperature of the order of 200 $\text{MeV}$, which is in agreement with previous studies. The authors commented that the description relies on the existence of a flat central plateau and on the applicability of hydrodynamics. The experimentally observed plateau could be the sum of different contributions connected for example, to the fragments of various dynamics. In the framework of the thermalized cylinder model [2] it is a natural result of longitudinal extension. So the new results which could confirm the existence of the plateau are required.

In the paper [3] the methods of a posteriori increase the resolution of the spectral lines - Fourier transformation and maximum entropy methods were applied to obtain more detailed information on the structure of pseudorapidity spectra of relativistic particles emitted in the Au(at 11.6 $\text{AGeV}$) and Pb(at 158 $\text{AGeV}$) induced reactions at high energies. The central plateau and shoulder are seen visually on the spectra. Both methods demonstrate some complex structure of the spectra. The maximum entropy method can confirm the existence of some selected pseudorapidity values ($\eta_c$) which would be connected with the boundary values of the central plateau and the values of the pseudorapidity shoulder. The numbers of $\eta_c$s were different for $\text{Au}+\text{Em}$ and $\text{Pb}+\text{Em}$ reactions, for $\text{Pb}+\text{Em}$ the number is greater than for the $\text{Au}+\text{Em}$. In the paper only two sets of experimental data were used, apparently it is not simple to make any conclusion on energy and the mass dependences for the results. The results coming from the methods were in form of some peaks which didn’t fit to do any quantitative comparisons. Furthermore the authors didn’t consider any simulation data coming from codes. These are some of the shortcomings of the results which are considered in this work.

2. On the method

Pseudorapidity distributions were considered in the framework of the $s(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} h(i,j)f(j) + n(i) \ (i=1,...,M)$ model, where $s$ is the estimation of the $z$-distribution (smoothed distribution) of the $\eta$-spectra with the smoothed fluctuations, $h$ is the blurring function, $n$ is the additive noise, $f$ is the estimation of the distribution which defines the structure of $z$-distribution and $M$ is the number of steps in the histogram. Reconstruction of the structure for pseudorapidity distributions of secondary particles was done by a posteriori decreasing the widths of its components [5]. As a
result of the artificial decreasing of the widths at constant positions, the resolution, the contrast and self-descriptiveness of estimations grow. The maximum entropy method (MEM) [6] was applied to decrease the width for the distribution components of the given values. Such data processing is especially evident for the case of Gaussian or Lorentz (Breit-Wigner) forms of components.

The MEM removes the given blurring function from distribution and maximizes the entropy of estimation at performance of models restrictions. The method could give the stable estimations at levels of additive noise 25%. It works with narrow and wide, space-invariant and no space-invariant blurring functions [6]. The narrow blurring functions could detect profound details of structure, the wide ones leave in estimations of distributions wide intensive components. To analyze the $\eta$-distributions of the secondary particles and search for the existence of central plateau the MEM seems to be more preferable. The statistical fluctuations of the spectra were deleted using wavelet-transformation of distribution [7] at the first stage of the processing. This is equivalent to the removal of high-frequency parts of signal from the spectrum. This procedure is effective at high level noise and differs considerably from the traditional averaging of histograms on counting and maintaining in estimations the smoothed fluctuations. The algorithm was realized in package Wavelet Toolbox of the computer mathematics system MATLAB by means of a wdcbm command, using approximating $c$ and detailing $l$ factors of wavelet decomposition of distribution and parameters $alpha=1.02$ and $m=l(1)$ [7]. To avoid occurrence of small (on absolute values) negative values in the estimation those smaller than 30 were attributed to the initial given histograms data. The obtained smoothed $z$-distribution of $\eta$-spectra were processed using the model / algorithm. In the next step the components widths were reduced assuming the forms of components were Gaussian function in the case of MEM. In the method the computing program MEMFR [8] and the blurring function $h(i; j) = e^{-(0.078(\eta(i) - \eta(j))/\delta \eta)^2}$ were taken in next analysis of the $\eta$-spectra. For the convenience of interpretation of the processing results the maximum from the estimations was fetched to the maximal value of the initial histogram.

Presentation of the pseudorapidity distributions for secondary particles as a superposition of peaks has allowed to estimate positions (P), amplitudes(A) and widths ($S/G$) of peaks using the genetic algorithm [9]. It was done with the command patternsearch [10] in MATLAB system. The algorithm gives the values of parameters which are global minimums function of least squares The errors of the parameters and the smoothed distributions were calculated on 30 values received at stochastic transformation of the histogram within the limits of three standard deviations.

3. Used experimental data

The stacks of NIKFI BR-2 nuclear emulsions were irradiated horizontally by 28Si beam at 4 [11] and 14 A GeV [12], by 208Pb beam at 158 A GeV/c (Experiment EMU12 at the CERN SPS [13]) and by 197Au beam at 11.6 A GeV=c (Experiment E863 at BNL AGS [14]). The projectile and target nuclei are disintegrated in the interactions
of relativistic nuclei. According to the geometrical picture, there are in such collisions an interaction area and non-overlapping parts of both nuclei disintegrated to fragments due to the obtained excitation. As a result of an impact parameter variation, there appear events with the emission of secondaries of different types and energies within a wide range of multiplicity. The used emulsion method allows to measure multiplicities and angles of any charged particles and charge of projectile fragments. Secondary charged particles used in this study were classified into the (1) relativistic (shower) s-particles ($N_s$), fast singly charged particles with $\beta > 0.7$. This group includes particles produced in the interactions (mainly pions), relativistic singly charged projectile fragments as well as those singly charged particles knocked-out from the target nucleus. (2) fast target fragments, g-particles ($N_g$), with $0.23 \leq \beta \leq 0.7$. They consist mainly of recoil protons from the target. In this work we have analyzed 1322 $Si+Em$ (at 4 AGeV), 1093 $Si+Em$ (at 14 AGeV), 628 $Pb+Em$ and 1185 $Au+Em$ collisions found by the along the track scanning.

4. Obtained results

The main purpose of the investigations is to get information on structure of the pseudorapidity ($\eta = -\log(\tan(\theta/2))$) spectra of single-charged relativistic particles with $\beta > 0.7$ emitted in the $Si$, $Au$ and $Pb$ induced reactions at energies 4.0-158.0 A GeV.

The Fig.1 shows the experimental $\eta$-distribution for the s-particles produced in the reactions $Si+Em$ (4 and 14 AGeV), $Au+Em$ (11.6 AGeV) and in the reaction $Pb+Em$ (158 AGeV). The arrows point up some visually selected points for $Pb+Em$ interactions. The central plateau and shoulder are seen visually on the spectra. It is not real thing to take the values of the $\eta_c$s from the figure with adequate accuracy.

So in paper [3] the methods of a posteriori increase the resolution of the spectral lines - Fourier transformation and maximum entropy methods were applied to take the values for the $\eta_c$ from the spectra of relativistic particles emitted in the $Au$ (at 11.6 AGeV) and $Pb$ (at 158 AGeV) induced reactions at high energies. Both methods demonstrate complex structure of the spectra. The maximum entropy method can confirm the existence of some $\eta_c$ which would be connected with the central plateau and the...
Fig. 1. The $\eta$-distribution of single-charged relativistic particles with $\beta > 0.7$ emitted in the Si, Au and Pb induced reactions at energies $4.0 - 158.0$ A GeV. The arrows point out the probable positions for selected values of the $\eta$.

shoulders. In paper [3] we presented results for $AuEm(\text{at } 11.6\ AGeV)$ and $PbEm(\text{at } 158\ AGeV)$ without any competitions with result coming from the codes.

In this paper we made some enhancement in our investigation by adding the new experimental data on $SiEm$ (at $4\ AGeV$) and $PbEm$ (at $158\ AGeV$) reactions. Only the MEM’s results are considered because the method could give more clean results [3]. The results are fitted and compared with ones coming from the model [4]. The Fig. 2a-d and 3a-d demonstrate the results of the process, the data using the MEM (it was described in the section 2). We can say that visual observation shows that:

- the number of peaks, their positions ($P$), height ($A$) and widths ($S$) change as a function of energy and mass of the projectile;
- the method gives different results for the model and experimental data.

To characterize quantitatively the peaks the distributions from the Fig. 2 and 3 are fitted using the algorithm has been mentioned in the Section 2. The obtained values for the $\eta_c$ are shown in Fig. 4a-d. The method could also define forth peaks in 3 cases. As we can see from Fig. 4a-d the values for the $\eta_c$ at:

- the first peaks don’t depend on the beam energy and could be described completely by the model (Fig.4a);
- the second peaks depend on the beam energy in the region of $E<10\ AGeV$ and could not be described completely by the model in the region of $E>10\ AGeV$ and don’t depend on the energy (Fig.4b);
- the third peaks could not be described completely by the model in the region of energy $E>10\ AGeV$ and don’t depend on the energy (Fig.4c).
As we have mentioned above the method could also define forth peaks in 3 cases (Fig.4d). We have analyzed the cases separately taking into account the number of g-particles. The Fig. 5 shows the fitting results for the cases which contain the fourth peaks: AuEm (at 11.6 AGeV) for all experimental events (Fig.5 top panel); PbEm (at 158 AGeV) for simulated events with a number of g particles $N_g>20$ (Fig.5 middle panel); PbEm (at 158 AGeV) for experimental events with a number of g particles $N_g=15-20$ (Fig.5 bottom panel). One can see that:

- in the first case amplitude of fourth peak (A4) is very small, i.e., 10 times less than that for the first peak (A1) and defined with error more than 50%. The width of the peak also defined with error greater than 50% and that is why we ignored the peak;
- in the second case the first peak is not reasonable too due to the fact that in this case the position for the first peak (P1) could be defined with 100% error and the amplitude with error close to 50%, it is really 3 peak case .
- in the last case : PbEm (at 158 AGeV) , $N_g=15-20$ all 4 peaks could be defined reasonably and could be considered as a 4 peak case only.

For the last case, the value of the $P4=6.3\pm0.2$ is in the stripping area of $\eta$. But the number of g-particles for this case is $N_g=15-20$ so it is not stripping particles. So we support that the case may be connected with some special dynamics other than dynamics for previous 3 cases. We believe that in the last case we have some medium effect. To be sure we are going to continue the investigation using other models which could include medium effects too.

![Fig.2 a-d. MEM results (new figure) for the experimental (left panels) and model (right panels) data on s-particle $\eta$-distributions for Si+Em-reactions at 4 AGeV(top panels) and 14 AGeV(bottom panels).](image)
Fig. 3 a-d. MEM results for the experimental (left panels are Figures from the paper [3]) and model (right panels new figures) data on s-particle $\eta$-distributions for Au+Em-reactions at 11.6 AGeV (top panels) and Pb+Em at 158 AGeV (bottom panels).

**Conclusion.**

1. The methods of a posteriori increase the resolution of the spectral lines – maximum entropy methods were applied to get more detail information on a structure of pseudorapidity spectra of relativistic particles emitted in the Si, Au and Pb induced reactions at high energies. The spectra continue visually some selected values for the pseudorapidity.

2. The method could extract some selected values for $\eta$ too which are very close to observed visually ones.

3. The number of peaks increases with energy from 2 to 4.

4. The method could extract some selected values of $\eta$ for simulated data coming from the Modified Cascade model too. Comparisons of the results coming from the experiment and the model show that the results are different.
5. The first peaks don’t depend on the beam energy and could be described completely by the model; the second peaks depend on the beam energy in the region of $E < 10$ AGeV and could not be described completely by the model, in the region of $E > 10$ AGeV they don’t depend on the energy; the third peaks could not be described completely by the model in the region of energy $E > 10$ AGeV and don’t depend on the energy.

6. The fourth peak observed in case $PbEm$ (at 158 AGeV)-reactions for experimental events with a number of $g$ particles $N_g = 15-20$

7. For the last case the value of the $P4 = 6.3 \pm 0.2$, is in the stripping area of $\eta$. But the number of $g$-particles for this case is $N_g = 15-20$ which show that these are not stripping particles. So we support that the case may be connected with some special dynamics other than dynamics for 3 previous cases. We believe that in the last case there is some medium effect. To be sure we are going to continue the investigation using other models which include medium effects too.
Fig. 5.
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