Sensitivity of the DANSS detector to short range neutrino oscillations
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DANSS is a highly segmented 1 m³ plastic scintillator detector. It’s 2500 scintillator strips have a Gd loaded reflective cover. Light is collected with 3 wavelength shifting fibers per strip and read out with 50 PMTs and 2500 SiPMs. The DANSS will be installed under the industrial 3 GWth reactor of the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant at distances varying from 9.7 m to 12.2 m from the reactor core. Tests of the detector prototype DANSSino demonstrated that in spite of a small size (20 × 20 × 100 cm³) it is quite sensitive to reactor antineutrinos, detecting about 70 Inverse Beta Decay events per day with the signal-to-background ratio of about unity. The prototype tests have demonstrated feasibility to reach the design performance of the DANSS detector. The DANSS experiment will detect about 10 thousand ν̄e events per day with a background below ~1%. Detector will be calibrated every day and its position will be changed frequently to reduce systematic errors. These features will provide a high sensitivity to reactor antineutrino oscillations to sterile neutrinos, suggested recently to explain a so-called "reactor anomaly". Data taking will start already next year.
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1. Introduction

Recent calculations [1, 2] predict about 5% higher $\tilde{\nu}_e$ flux from reactors than previous estimates. The new smaller value of the neutron life time [3] increases the expected number of detected antineutrino by about 1%. The discrepancy of new predictions with the measured antineutrino flux at short distances is called a "reactor anomaly". It can be interpreted as the signature of additional sterile neutrino states with mass splittings of the order of 1 eV$^2$ [4]. Moreover the deficits in observed events from high-intensity neutrino sources used to calibrate solar neutrino detectors can be also explained by this hypothesis [5]. The observation of apparent $\nu_e$ and $\tilde{\nu}_e$ appearance in accelerator experiments [6, 7] indicate similar mass-squared splittings. However it is not clear whether the appearance and disappearance experiments can be simultaneously explained by sterile neutrinos [8]. In this paper we discuss the sensitivity of the DANSS detector [9] to short range reactor $\tilde{\nu}_e$ oscillations.

2. Detector DANSS

The DANSS collaboration plans to build a relatively compact neutrino spectrometer which does not contain any flammable or other dangerous liquids and may therefore be located very close to a core of a 3 GWth industrial power reactor. Due to a high $\tilde{\nu}_e$ flux ($\sim 5 \times 10^{13} \bar{\nu}_e$/cm$^2$/s at a distance of 11 m) it could be used for the reactor monitoring and neutrino oscillation studies.

The DANSS detector will consist of highly segmented plastic scintillator with a total volume of 1 m$^3$, surrounded with a composite shield of copper (Cu), lead (Pb) and borated polyethylene (CHB), and vetoed against cosmic muons with a number of external scintillator plates.

The basic element of DANSS is a polystyrene-based extruded scintillator strip $(1 \times 4 \times 100$ cm$^3$) with a thin Gd-containing surface coating which is a light reflector and a $(n, \gamma)$-converter simultaneously (Fig. 1). Light collection from the strip is done via three wavelength-shifting (WLS) Kuraray fibers Y-11, $\varnothing$ 1.2 mm, glued into grooves along the strip. One (blind) end of each fiber is polished and covered with a mirror paint, which decreases a total lengthwise attenuation of a light signal down to $\sim 20\%$/m.

Each 50 parallel strips are combined into a module, so that the whole detector (2500 strips) is a structure of 50 intercrossing modules (Fig. 1). Each module is viewed by a compact photomultiplier tube coupled to all 50 strips of the module via 100 WLS fibers, two per strip. In addition, to get more precise energy and space pattern of an event, each strip is equipped with an individual SiPM (CPTA-151) coupled to the strip via the third WLS fiber. They provide about 10 photo-electrons (p.e.) per MeV in case of the DANSS strips. This number was obtained using measurements with cosmic rays. PMTs sensitivity determined from the calibration source measurements is also about 10 p.e./MeV.

3. The DANSSino design and tests

In order to check operability of the DANSS design, to measure the real background conditions and shielding efficiency, a simplified pilot version of the detector (DANSSino) was constructed.

DANSSino consists of exactly the same basic elements as the main DANSS detector. One hundred strips of DANSSino (Fig. 3) form a bar $20 \times 20 \times 100$ cm$^3$ divided into two modules: the
Sensitivity of the DANSS detector to neutrino oscillations

Mikhail Danilov

odd strip layers are coupled to the X-PMT and the even ones to the Y-PMT. Information from the individual SiPMs is not used in this prototype. Energy calibration is performed using $^{137}$Cs, $^{60}$Co, $^{22}$Na, $^{248}$Cm sources. The detector is surrounded with a passive shield. A set of big scintillator plates (200 $\times$ 50 $\times$ 3 cm$^3$) form an active cosmic veto system.

The Inverse Beta-Decay (IBD) of hydrogen in the detector body is used to detect $\bar{\nu}_e$.

$$\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

The prompt signal is produced by the positron and two photons from its annihilation. The neutron after moderation in the plastic scintillator is captured by $^{157}$Gd or $^{155}$Gd. In both cases a cascade of $\gamma$-rays is emitted with the total energy of about 8 MeV. The trigger is produced by any Prompt signal, and then the system waits for the Delayed signal during some fixed time. The energy of both Prompt and Delayed signals detected by both X and Y modules are measured. Thus each collected event contains 4 energies ($E_{XP}$, $E_{YP}$, $E_{XD}$, $E_{YD}$), time between the P and D pulses ($T_{PD}$) and information about the muon veto.

The DANSSino detector was installed at the Kalinin Nuclear Power Plant (350 km NW of Moscow) at a distance of 11 m from the WWER-1000 reactor core center. Materials above the detector provide excellent shielding ($\approx 50$ m w. e.) which completely removes fast cosmic neutrons. The muon component is suppressed by a factor of $\approx 6$. The results of some background measurements performed at the JINR laboratory and under the operating KNPP reactor with and without shielding are presented\textsuperscript{1} in Table 1. Although the initial background conditions under the reactor

\textsuperscript{1}The symbols $\wedge$ (AND) and $\oplus$ (XOR) here and below stand for logical operations of conjunction and exclusive disjunction, respectively.
are worse, a relatively thin passive shielding improves it significantly and makes even 3 times better than in the laboratory. The columns 5 and 6 reflect mainly the flux of thermal neutrons and cosmic muons, respectively (the neutrons produce $X \land Y$-coincidences with the total energy between the threshold and 8 MeV, whereas the muons also cause $X \land Y$ coincidences but saturate the QDCs).

**Table 1:** Background in the JINR laboratory and under the KNPP reactor measured by DANSSino unshielded and shielded with 10 cm of lead, 16 cm of CHB and $\mu$-veto plates (here and below the shielding composition is enumerated from inside to outside).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation conditions</th>
<th>Detector shielding</th>
<th>Module count rate, counts per second</th>
<th>(P+D) pairs per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$X$ $E \geq 0.5$ $\gamma + n + \mu$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JINR</td>
<td>no shielding</td>
<td>532 465 235 19</td>
<td>601 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb+CHB+$\mu$-veto</td>
<td>61 58 42 17</td>
<td>30 750 9 030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knpp</td>
<td>no shielding</td>
<td>1 470 1 360 408 4</td>
<td>11 837 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pb+CHB+$\mu$-veto</td>
<td>20 19 11 2</td>
<td>1 240 980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last two columns of Table 1 show the rate of events consisting of (Prompt+Delayed) signal pairs without any additional selection. A big number of these events for the unshielded detector arises from random coincidences caused by the high raw count rate. In the case of the shielded detector those are signals mainly from the fast neutrons. As expected, the number of these false neutrino-like events under the reactor becomes orders of magnitude lower than at the laboratory. The remaining part is mostly associated with the $\mu$-veto signals and corresponds probably to secondary fast neutrons produced by cosmic muons in the surrounding heavy materials. Further background rejection is achieved by requirements motivated by the IBD signature:

1) the time between the Prompt and Delayed signals must be within a range $T_{PD} \in [1.5 - 30.0]$ $\mu$s;
2) the Delayed signal should correspond to the $\text{Gd}(n, \gamma)$ reaction, i.e., both the X and Y modules should be fired ($X_D \land Y_D$) with a reasonable\(^2\) total energy $E_{XD} + E_{YD} = E_D \in [1 - 8]$ MeV;
3) the Prompt energy must also be in a right range $E_P \in [1 - 7]$ MeV.

Two of our measurement runs came across few-days interruptions in the reactor operation (OFF periods), so that it was possible to estimate background experimentally without the neutrino flux. Fig. 3 shows time diagrams of these runs. Strong correlation between the reactor power and the number of the neutrino-like events detected by DANSSino is obvious. Numerical values of these count rates, Signal-to-Background ratios, and muon-induced background rates are given in Table 2, which describes the neutrino-like events detected with an energy threshold $E_p^{\text{min}} = 1.5$ MeV. It can be seen from the table that intensities of the muon-induced background events do not differ significantly for the ON and OFF periods, which indicates a low level of random coincidences. A heavy shielding without an inner moderator increases the background by $\sim 70\%$ but also improves the efficiency by $\sim 10\%$, returning part of escaped IBD neutrons back to the scintillator.

Assuming that the OFF data correspond to a pure background, one can build an energy spectrum of IBD positrons as a difference of two: $S = N_\nu(\text{ON}) - N_\nu(\text{OFF})$. As an example, $E_P$ and $T_{PD}$

---

\(^2\)As the detector is small, significant part of the $\gamma$-cascade is not detected, and therefore the acceptable $E_D$ range is extended to the lower energy.
Table 2: Rate of the neutrino-like events in the reactor ON and OFF periods. The shielding thickness (cm) is given in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run#</th>
<th>KNPP#035</th>
<th>KNPP#044</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shield composition</td>
<td>CHB(8)+Pb(10)</td>
<td>Cu(5)+CHB(8)+Pb(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactor operation</td>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>ON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of $\nu$-like events</td>
<td>Number of $\nu$-like events per day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated with $\mu$</td>
<td>175±9</td>
<td>179±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free of $\mu$-veto</td>
<td>46±5</td>
<td>108±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal=ON–OFF</td>
<td>62±5</td>
<td>70±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/B=S/OFF</td>
<td>1.33±0.25</td>
<td>0.74±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

spectra built under an additional ($X_P \wedge Y_P$) requirement\(^3\) are shown in Fig. 4. In spite of poor statistics and merely illustrative character of the spectra, they are in a very good agreement with theoretical expectations\(^4\). The delay time distribution for signal events agrees nicely with the expectations for the neutron capture process while for random coincidences the distribution should be flat. The steeper slope of muon-induced events is explained by the higher neutron multiplicity ($k \approx 1.6$).

4. DANSS sensitivity to short range neutrino oscillations

The agreement between MC expectations and actual DANSSino data provides confidence in the MC simulations of the DANSS detector and reliability of the estimated sensitivity to neutrino oscillations. DANSS efficiency for IBD decays is estimated to be about 70%. The antineutrino counting rate will be as high as 10 thousand events per day. At the same time the background level

\(^3\)Otherwise, the low-energy part of the spectrum becomes polluted with random ($\gamma$-$n$) coincidences.

\(^4\)For example MC simulations with GEANT-4 predict 75 events/day for the run #044 while 70±5 events were observed.
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Figure 4: The differential $E_P$ energy spectrum (left) and $T_{PD}$ time distribution (right) of the neutrino-like events. The dashed curve represents the IBD positron energy spectrum calculated for the $^{235}$U fission.

is expected to be about 1% only. These features together with a possibility to change frequently the distance from the reactor core make the DANSS very sensitive to neutrino oscillations.

At this stage we perform a simple simulation of the detector response with the positron energy smeared only by the Poisson fluctuations in number of p.e. Errors in the energy measurement due to the light attenuation along the strip are smaller and in many cases can be corrected using information from the orthogonal strips. Non-uniformity across the strip is small enough to be neglected. For the sensitivity calculations we use so far only shape information of the measured spectra at different distances from the reactor core.

The size of the core is quite big. However the oscillation pattern is smeared by this effect not too much. The ratio of positron energy spectra at 11 m and 9.7 m is shown in Fig. 5 for the oscillation parameters $\Delta M^2 = 2 eV^2$ and $sin^2(2\theta_{14}) = 0.2$. These parameters are close to the most probable values indicated by the "reactor anomaly". Errors in figure correspond to 8 months of running. The oscillation pattern is clearly seen. Using similar plots for other oscillation parameters the 95% CL sensitivity region was obtained. It is shown in Fig. 6. It covers well the region indicated by the "reactor anomaly". So far we have not included systematic errors in the estimates of the sensitivity. However, they are expected to be very small because of the very low background level.

Figure 5: Ratio of positron energy spectra at 11 m and 9.7 m for $\Delta M^2 = 2 eV^2$ and $sin^2(2\theta_{14}) = 0.2$. 
frequent changes of the detector position, and its frequent calibration. We plan to start measurements already in 2014. Thus the DANSS experiment has very good chances to clarify the situation with the "reactor anomaly".
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