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We review our lattice results concerning the Gribov-Zwanziger confinement mechanism in Coulomb gauge. In particular, we verify the validity of Gribov’s IR divergence condition for the Coulomb ghost form factor. We also show how the quark self energy is, like that of the transverse gluon, IR divergent, thus effectively extending the Gribov-Zwanziger scenario to full QCD.
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1. Introduction

Gribov was the first to notice [1] that for non-Abelian theories most gauge conditions admit several solutions and the corresponding Faddeev-Popov (FP) mechanism is not sufficient to define the functional integral beyond perturbation theory. The field-configuration space must therefore be restricted to a domain, continuously connected to the origin, where the gauge condition possesses unique solutions. He then showed how, as soon as the fields cross the boundary of such region, the ghost dressing function acquires a singularity; his “no-pole” condition for the FP-ghost at non-vanishing momentum is then necessary to implement the restriction to the so called Gribov region.

In particular, in Coulomb gauge, he argued how such restriction can imply a diverging gluon self-energy, motivating its disappearance from the physical spectrum. QCD in Coulomb gauge has since then been a fruitful playground in investigating the Gribov-Zwanziger (GZ) confinement mechanism [1, 2]. In a series of papers [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], briefly summarized here, we have analyzed the behaviour of the relevant two-point functions at zero temperature on the lattice and compared them with the corresponding predictions of Hamiltonian variational calculations [9, 10, 11].

Gribov based his conjectures on more or less heuristic arguments, which Zwanziger later tried to put on a more solid basis, while variational calculations, viable in Coulomb gauge since they by-pass the explicit construction of the gauge invariant Hilbert space [12], did provide some insight on the relation of the GZ-mechanism to the Hamiltonian formulation. In both cases, however, approximations need to be made; although many authors tackled the problems during the years [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18], a satisfactory non-perturbative cross-check from lattice calculation was hindered for a long time by the presence of strong discretization effects. We have shown [3, 7, 8] how for each propagator a mixture of improved actions and separate treatment of their energy dependence can quite effectively solve such problems, allowing an explicit check of the GZ-scenario.

From the continuum analysis and from our results in [3, 4] we know that in the pure gauge sector the static gluon propagator, the static Coulomb potential and the ghost form factor should obey:

\[
D(\vec{p}) = \frac{|\vec{p}|}{\sqrt{|\vec{p}|^4 + M^4}} \quad d(\vec{p}) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{|\vec{p}|^{2\nu_h}} & |\vec{p}| \ll \Lambda \\
\frac{1}{\log m |\vec{p}|} & |\vec{p}| \gg \Lambda 
\end{cases}
\]

(1.1)

where the Gribov mass \( M \simeq 1 \text{ GeV} \) and for the gluon self-energy \( \omega_4 = D^{-1}(\vec{p}) \) holds. The quark propagator, the fermion self-energy and the running mass \( M(|\vec{p}|) \) should take the form [7]:

\[
S(\vec{p}, p_4) = \frac{Z(\vec{p})}{i\vec{p} + ip_4\alpha(\vec{p}) + M(\vec{p})} \quad \omega_F(|\vec{p}|) = \frac{\alpha(|\vec{p}|)}{Z'(\vec{p}) |\vec{p}|} \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + M^2(|\vec{p}|)}
\]

\[
M(|\vec{p}|) = \frac{m_\chi(m_b)}{1 + b \frac{(|\vec{p}|^2)^\gamma}{\Lambda^2}} \log \left( e + \frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)^{-\gamma} + \frac{m_\gamma(m_b)}{\log \left( e + \frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)^\gamma},
\]

(1.2)
where $Z$ is the field renormalization function, $\alpha$ the energy renormalization function, $m_b$ the bare quark mass, $m_{\chi}(m_b)$ the chiral mass and $m_r(m_b)$ the renormalized running mass [7]. The exponent $n$ in the rhs of $\omega_F$ depends on the exact definition of the self energy to be compared with the hamiltonian approach.

2. Results

2.1 Ghost form factor

A careful analysis of the ghost form factor in the Hamiltonian limit $a_t \to 0$ shows that its UV behaviour agrees with Eq. (1.1), with $\gamma_{gh} = 1/2$, confirming continuum predictions, and $m = 0.21(1)$ GeV, see Fig. 1 (a). In the IR going to higher anisotropies increases the exponent $\kappa_{gh}$, as shown in Fig. 1 (b), where we plot $|\vec{p}|^{\kappa_{m}} d(|\vec{p}|)$, with $\kappa_m$ the IR exponent for $\xi = 1$, as a function of the anisotropy. The limit $\xi \to \infty$ gives $\kappa_{gh} \gtrsim 0.5$, confirming the GZ-scenario. This however disagrees with some continuum predictions $\kappa_{gh} = 1$, deriving from the assumption of the finiteness of the static ghost-gluon vertex. Whether this is indeed correct and algorithmic improvements could change the lattice result is still a matter of investigation.
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**Figure 1:** (a): UV behavior of $d(|\vec{p}|)$ compared with Eq. (1.1). (b): IR behavior of $|\vec{p}|^{\kappa_{m}} d(|\vec{p}|)$, both for different anisotropies $\xi$.

2.2 Coulomb potential

In Fig. 2 (a) we show $|\vec{p}|^{4V_C(|\vec{p}|)}$ as obtained from different anisotropies. Somewhat boldly fitting the results to Eq. (1.1) we get, in the Hamiltonian limit $\xi \to \infty$, $\alpha_C = 2.2(2) \sigma$ as expected from Zwanziger’s predictions [25]. See the talk of H. Vogt in this conference for a more “honest” discussion.
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2.3 Quark propagator

Our calculations were all made on a set of configurations generated by the MILC collaboration [26], see [7] for details. The use of improved actions is crucial to establish the scaling properties of the Coulomb gauge quark propagators. This is very similar to the situation in Landau gauge, see e.g. [27, 28, 29], whose techniques we have adapted to our case.

Fig. 2 (b) shows the scaling of the renormalization function $Z(|\vec{p}|)$ for configurations calculated at similar bare quark mass, while the RG-invariant functions $\alpha(|\vec{p}|)$ and $M(|\vec{p}|)$ are given in Fig. 3. Their behaviour agrees with theoretical expectations, see Eq. (1.2).

Figure 2: (a) Infrared behavior of $|\vec{p}|^4 V_C(\vec{p})/(8\pi\sigma)$ for different anisotropies $\xi$. (b) Quark field renormalization function $Z(|\vec{p}|)$.

Figure 3: (a) Energy renormalization function $\alpha(|\vec{p}|)$. (b) Running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$. 
Our most interesting results are given in Fig. 4. Analogously to the gluon self-energy $\omega_A(|\vec{p}|)$, the quark self energy $\omega_F(|\vec{p}|)$ has a turn-over at $|\vec{p}| \sim 1$ GeV, clearly departing from the behaviour of a free particle, and diverging in the IR, see Fig. 4 (a); although awaiting confirmation on larger lattices, this extends the Gribov argument to full QCD. Moreover, as Fig. 4 (b) shows, the running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$ we obtain is quantitatively compatible with our phenomenological expectations from chiral symmetry breaking. Fitting it to Eq. (1.2) we obtain $b = 2.9(1)$, $\gamma = 0.84(2)$, $\Lambda = 1.22(6)$ GeV, $m_\chi(0) = 0.31(1)$ GeV, with $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 1.06.

Figure 4: (a): Quark self energy $\omega_F(|\vec{p}|)$. (b): Running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$ in the chiral limit $m_\chi \to 0$; see Eq. (1.2).

3. Conclusions

We have shown that lattice calculations confirm the GZ confinement scenario in Coulomb gauge at $T = 0$. The ghost form factor $d(|\vec{p}|)$ is IR divergent with an exponent $\kappa_{gh} \gtrsim 0.5$, which implies Gribov’s no-pole condition and a dual-superconducting scenario [30]; the gluon propagator satisfies the Gribov formula, implying an IR diverging self-energy, and the Coulomb potential seems compatible with a string tension roughly twice the physical string tension. Moreover from the quark propagator we can extract the quark self energy $\omega_F(|\vec{p}|)$, which is compatible with an IR divergent behaviour, and the running mass $M(|\vec{p}|)$, which gives a constituent quark mass of $m_\chi(0) = 0.31(1)$ GeV.

The situation in Coulomb gauge seem to be easier than in Landau gauge, where BRST symmetry is non-perturbatively broken, violating the Kugo-Ojima confinement scenario [31], while the GZ confinement scenario is realized explicitly introducing of an horizon function, see e.g. [32] for a recent review; its physical implications and how these can be related to the presence of dim-2 condensates [33, 34, 35] are an interesting issue still debated in the literature [36].
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