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We extend the three-flavor linear sigma model with (axial-)vector mesons to four flavors. We compute the masses of (pseudo)scalar and (axial-)vector mesons including open and hidden charmed mesons as well as weak decay constants. The results are in good agreement with experimental data.
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## 1. Introduction

For $N_{f}$ massless quark flavors, quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the fundamental theory of the strong interaction, has a global chiral $U\left(N_{f}\right)_{r} \times U\left(N_{f}\right)_{l}=S U\left(N_{f}\right)_{r} \times S U\left(N_{f}\right)_{l} \times U(1)_{V} \times U(1)_{A}$ symmetry, where $V=r+l$, and $A=l-r$. The $U(1)_{V}$ symmetry corresponds to baryon number conservation. Effective models in the linear [1] and nonlinear [2] realization of the chiral symmetry are widely used to investigate the low-energy sector of the strong interaction, e.g. vacuum properties of hadrons [3, 4]. The nonlinear realization (the so-called nonlinear sigma model) contains only the lightest degrees of freedom, the pseudoscalar mesons. It forms the basis of chiral perturbation theory as shown in Ref. [5]. The linear representation of chiral symmetry (the so-called linear sigma model) contains both scalar and pseudoscalar degrees of freedom. (Axial-)vector mesons can also be included in the model $[6,7,8,9]$.

The extended Linear Sigma Model (eLSM) has been successfully used to study the vacuum phenomenology of the nonets of (pseudo)scalar, (axial-)vector, and tensor mesons, as shown in Refs. [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] for non-strange hadrons ( $N_{f}=2$ ), and Refs. [15, 16, 17] for strange hadrons ( $N_{f}=3$ ). The eLSM emulates the global symmetries of the QCD Lagrangian; the global chiral symmetry (which is exact in the chiral limit), the discrete $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}$, and T symmetries, and the classical dilatation (scale) symmetry. When working with colorless hadronic degrees of freedom, the local color symmetry of QCD is automatically preserved. In QCD (and thus also in the eLSM) the global chiral symmetry is explicitly broken by non-vanishing quark masses and quantum effects [18], and spontaneously by a non-vanishing expectation value of the quark condensate. The dilatation symmetry is broken explicitly by the logaritmic term of the dilaton potential, by the mass terms, and by the $U(1)_{A}$ anomaly.

In the present work, we outline the extension of the eLSM from the three-flavor case ( $N_{f}=3$ ) to the four-flavor case $\left(N_{f}=4\right)$ which includes charm degrees of freedom. In nature, this symmetry is strongly explicitly broken by the large charm quark mass. Nevertheless, it is still of principle interest to see how a linear sigma model fares in describing charmed hadron vacuum properties. As we shall see, this works surprisingly well.

Although the present work represents a straightforward implementation of the principles of the linear realization of chiral symmetry, this is the first time that all these degrees of freedom are considered within a single linear chiral framework which includes twelve new charmed mesons in addition to the nonstrange-strange sector. The new charmed mesons of lowest mass, the $D, D_{S}$, and the higher mass $\eta_{C}$, are quark-antiquark spin-singlet states with quantum number $J^{p c}=0^{-+}$, i.e., pseudoscalar mesons. The scalar mesons $D_{0}^{*}, D_{S 0}^{*}$, and $\chi_{C 0}$ are spin-singlet states with $J^{p}=0^{++}$. The vector mesons $D^{*}, D_{S}^{*}$, and $J / \psi$ are quark-antiquark spin triplets with $J^{p c}=1^{--}$. The axialvector mesons $D_{1}, D_{S 1}$, and $\chi_{C 1}$ are quark-antiquark spin triplets with $J^{p c}=1^{++}$. Most parameters of our linear sigma model are taken directly from Ref. [17] where the nonstrange-strange mesons were considered. There are three new parameters pertaining to the charm degree of freedom. In these proceedings, we calculate all meson masses in the model including open and hidden charmed mesons, and the decay constants of the pseudoscalar $D$ and $D_{S}$ mesons.

These proceedings are organized as follows: in Sec. 2 we present the $U(4)_{R} \times U(4)_{L}$ linear sigma model with (axial-)vector mesons and its implications. In Sec. 3 we fix the parameters and present the results, and in Sec. 4 we provide our conclusions and an outlook. Our units are
$\hbar=c=1$, the metric tensor is $g^{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{diag}(+,-,-,-)$.

## 2. The $U(4)_{r} \times U(4)_{l}$ linear sigma model and its implications

In this section we extend the eLSM to the case $N_{f}=4$ which includes open and hidden charmed mesons. We consider isospin multiplets as a single degree of freedom, which gives 28 resonances for $N_{f}=4$ :
(i) scalar mesons: $\sigma_{N}, \sigma_{S}, a_{0}, K_{0}^{*}, D_{0}^{*}, D_{S 0}^{*}, \chi_{C 0}$.
(ii) pseudoscalar mesons: $\eta_{N}, \eta_{S}, \pi, K, D, D_{S}, \eta_{C}$.
(iii) vector mesons: $\omega_{N}, \omega_{S}, \rho, K^{*}, D^{*}, D_{S}^{*}, J / \psi$.
(iv) axial-vector mesons: $f_{1 N}, f_{1 S}, a_{1}, K_{1}, D_{1}, D_{S 1}, \chi_{C 1}$.

When we assign a state from our model to a physical resonance we assume that the resonance is a $q \bar{q}$ state. There are 16 light (i.e., with mass $\lesssim 2 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) resonances as discussed in Refs. [15, 17], and twelve new heavy resonances. We include all of these mesons in our model by introducing $4 \times 4$ matrices as follows:
(i) The multiplet of the scalar, $S_{i}$, and the pseudoscalar, $P_{i}$, quark-antiquark states:

$$
\Phi=\sum_{i=0}^{15}\left(S_{i}+i P_{i}\right) T_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\left(\sigma_{N}+a_{0}^{0}\right)+i\left(\eta_{N}+\pi^{0}\right)}{\sqrt{2}} & a_{0}^{+}+i \pi^{+} & K_{0}^{*+}+i K^{+} & D_{0}^{* 0}+i D^{0}  \tag{2.1}\\
a_{0}^{-}+i \pi^{-} & \frac{\left(\sigma_{N}-a_{0}^{0}\right)+i\left(\eta_{N}-\pi^{0}\right)}{}{ }^{2} K_{0}^{* 0}+i K^{0} & D_{0}^{*-}+i D^{-} \\
K_{0}^{*-}+i K^{-} & \bar{K}_{0}^{* 0}+i \bar{K}^{0} & \sigma_{S}+i \eta_{S} & D_{S 0}^{*-}+i D_{S}^{-} \\
\bar{D}_{0}^{* 0}+i \bar{D}^{0} & D_{0}^{*+}+i D^{+} & D_{S 0}^{*+}+i D_{S}^{+} & \chi_{C 0}+i \eta_{C}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $T_{i}(i=0, \ldots, 15)$ denote the generators of $U(4)$.
(ii) The left-handed and right-handed matrices containing the vector, $V_{i}^{\mu}$, and axial-vector, $A_{i}^{\mu}$, degrees of freedom:

$$
\begin{gather*}
L^{\mu}=\sum_{i=0}^{15}\left(V_{i}^{\mu}+i A_{i}^{\mu}\right) T_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\omega_{N}+\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{f_{1 N}+a_{1}^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^{+}+a_{1}^{+} & K^{*+}+K_{1}^{+} & D^{* 0}+D_{1}^{0} \\
\rho^{-}+a_{1}^{-} & \frac{\omega_{N}-\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{f_{1 N}-a_{1}^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{* 0}+K_{1}^{0} & D^{*-}+D_{1}^{-} \\
K^{*-}+K_{1}^{-} & \bar{K}^{* 0}+\bar{K}_{1}^{0} & \omega_{S}+f_{1 S} & D_{S}^{*-}+D_{S 1}^{-} \\
\bar{D}^{* 0}+\bar{D}_{1}^{0} & D^{*+}+D_{1}^{+} & D_{S}^{*+}+D_{S 1}^{+} & J / \psi+\chi_{C 1}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
R^{\mu}=\sum_{i=0}^{15}\left(V_{i}^{\mu}-i A_{i}^{\mu}\right) T_{i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\omega_{N}+\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{f_{1 N}+a_{1}^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^{+}-a_{1}^{+} & K^{*+}-K_{1}^{+} & D^{* 0}-D_{1}^{0} \\
\rho^{-}-a_{1}^{-} & \frac{\omega_{N}-\rho^{0}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{f_{1 N}-a_{1}^{0}}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{* 0}-K_{1}^{0} & D^{*-}-D_{1}^{-} \\
K^{*-}-K_{1}^{-} & \bar{K} \\
\bar{D}^{* 0}-\bar{K}_{1}^{0} & \omega_{S}-f_{1 S} & D_{S}^{*-}-D_{S 1}^{-} \\
\bar{D}_{1}^{0} & D^{*+}-D_{1}^{+} & D_{S}^{*+}-D_{S 1}^{+} & J / \psi-\chi_{C 1}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The assignment of fields in the $N_{f}=3$ sector to physical resonances is the following [17, 19, 20, 21]: the fields $\vec{\pi}$ and $K$ represent the pions and kaons, respectively. The fields $\omega_{N}, \omega_{S}, \vec{\rho}, f_{1 N}, f_{1 S}$, $\vec{a}_{1}, K^{*}, K_{0}^{*}$, and $K_{1}$ are assigned to the $\omega(782), \phi(1020), \rho(770), f_{1}(1285), f_{1}(1420), a_{1}(1260)$, $K^{*}(892), K_{0}^{*}(1430)$, and $K_{1}(1270)$, or $K_{1}(1400)$ mesons, respectively. The field $\vec{d}_{0}$ is the physical isotriplet state $a_{0}(1450)$ (the details of this assignment are given in Ref. [17]). The bare non-strange
field $\eta_{N} \equiv|\bar{u} u+\bar{d} d\rangle / \sqrt{2}$ and strange field $\eta_{S} \equiv|\bar{s} s\rangle$ mix to yield the physical $\eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ fields, with the pseudoscalar mixing angle $\varphi \simeq-44.6^{\circ}[17,21]$. The non-strange and strange isoscalar $\sigma_{N}$ and $\sigma_{S}$ fields mix to give the physical isoscalar resonances $f_{0}(1370)$ and $f_{0}(1710)$, respectively $[6,21]$.

In the present work, we assign the additional charmed fields $D^{* 0}, D^{*}, D_{0}^{* 0}, D_{0}^{*}, D_{S 0}^{*}, \chi_{c 1}, \chi_{c 0}$, and $J / \psi$ to the physical resonances $D^{*}(2007) 0, D^{*}(2010)^{ \pm}, D_{0}^{*}(2400)^{0}, D_{0}^{*}(2400)^{ \pm}, D_{S 0}^{*}(2317)$, $\chi_{c 1}(1 P), \chi_{c 0}(1 P)$, and $J / \psi(1 S)$, respectively. The isospin doublet $D_{1}^{0}$ is $D_{1}(2420)$. The isospin singlet $D_{S 1}$ can be assigned to two different physical resonances, $D_{S 1}(2460)$ and $D_{S 1}(2536)$. Reference [22] found $D_{S 1}(2460)$ to be a molecule, so we assign $D_{S 1}$ to $D_{S 1}(2536)$.

The eLSM contains also the scalar glueball, $G$, and the pseudoscalar glueball, $\widetilde{G}$. The scalar glueball is included in the dilaton Lagrangian [17, 23, 24]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{\text {dil }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} G\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{m_{G}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}\left(G^{4} \ln \frac{\mathrm{G}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{G}^{4}}{4}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a constant and is the minimum of the dilaton potential. The dilaton potential breaks the dilatation symmetry explicitly. The mass of the glueball is about 1.6 GeV which obtained from lattice-QCD calculations [25].

The Lagrangian of the $N_{f}=4$ model with global chiral invariance has an analogous form as the corresponding eLSM Lagrangian for $N_{f}=3[15,16,17]$. For a better fit to the masses, we add a new mass term $-2 \operatorname{Tr}\left[\varepsilon \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right]$. The Lagrangian then reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{L}=\mathscr{L}_{\text {dil }}+\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{D}^{\mu} \Phi\right)^{\dagger}\left(\mathrm{D}^{\mu} \Phi\right)\right]-\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{G}_{0}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)-\lambda_{1}\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)\right]^{2}-\lambda_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)^{2} \\
& +\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathrm{H}\left(\Phi+\Phi^{\dagger}\right)\right]-2 \operatorname{Tr}\left[\varepsilon \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right]+\mathrm{c}\left(\operatorname{det} \Phi-\operatorname{det} \Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{ic}_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}} \Phi} \tilde{\mathrm{G}}\left(\operatorname{det} \Phi-\operatorname{det} \Phi^{\dagger}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mu \nu}\right)^{2}\right]+\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{G}_{0}}\right)^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{1}^{2}}{2}+\Delta\right]\left[\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mu}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \\
& -2 i g_{2}\left\{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mu \nu}\left[\mathrm{L}^{\mu}, \mathrm{L}^{\nu}\right]\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mu \nu}\left[\mathrm{R}^{\mu}, \mathrm{R}^{\nu}\right]\right)\right\}+\frac{\mathrm{h}_{1}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mu}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +h_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\Phi \mathrm{R}^{\mu}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mu} \Phi\right)^{2}\right]+2 \mathrm{~h}_{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\Phi \mathrm{R}_{\mu} \Phi^{\dagger} \mathrm{L}^{\mu}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{\text {dil }}$ is the dilaton term (2.5), $D^{\mu} \Phi \equiv \partial^{\mu} \Phi-i g_{1}\left(L^{\mu} \Phi-\Phi R^{\mu}\right)$ is the covariant derivative; $L^{\mu \nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu} L^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} L^{\mu}$, and $R^{\mu \nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu} R^{v}-\partial^{v} R^{\mu}$ are the left-handed and right-handed field strength tensors. $H, \Delta$, and $\varepsilon$ are constant external fields defined as

$$
H=H_{0} T_{0}+H_{8} T_{8}+H_{15} T_{15}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
h_{0 N} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.6}\\
0 & h_{0 N} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} h_{0 S} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} h_{0 C}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $h_{0 N}=$ const.,$h_{0 S}=$ const., and $h_{0 C}=$ const. ,

$$
\Delta=\Delta_{0} T_{0}+\Delta_{8} T_{8}+\Delta_{15} T_{15}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\delta_{0 N} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.7}\\
0 & \delta_{0 N} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta_{0 S} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \delta_{0 C}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.8}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{C}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{N} \sim m_{N}^{2}, \delta_{S} \sim m_{S}^{2}, \delta_{C} \sim m_{C}^{2}$, and $\varepsilon_{C} \sim m_{C}^{2}$. In our framework the isospin symmetry is exact for up and down quarks, so in Eqs. (2.6-2.7) the first two diagonal elements are identical. Then, only the scalar-isoscalar fields $\sigma_{N}, \sigma_{S}, G$, and $\chi_{C 0}$ have the quantum numbers of the vacuum and can have nonzero expectation values. Moreover with no loss of generality, one can set $\delta_{0 N}=0$.

In order to implement spontaneous symmetry breaking, we shift $\sigma_{N}$ and $\sigma_{S}$ by their respective vacuum expectation values $\phi_{N}, \phi_{S}$, and $\phi_{C}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{N} \rightarrow \sigma_{N}+\phi_{N} \text { and } \sigma_{\mathrm{S}} \rightarrow \sigma_{\mathrm{S}}+\phi_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as obtained in Refs. $[6,7,15]$, and similarly for $\chi_{C 0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{C 0} \rightarrow \chi_{C 0}+\phi_{C} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spontaneous symmetry breaking generates in $\eta_{N}-f_{1 N}, \vec{\pi}-\vec{a}_{1}[7], \eta_{S}-f_{1 S}, K_{S}-K^{*}$, and $K-K_{1}$ mixing terms [11]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -g_{1} \phi_{N}\left(f_{1 N}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \eta_{N}+\vec{a}_{1}^{\mu} \cdot \partial_{\mu} \vec{\pi}\right)-\sqrt{2} g_{1} \phi_{S} f_{1 S}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \eta_{S}+i g_{1}\left(\sqrt{2} \phi_{S}-\phi_{N}\right)\left(\bar{K}^{* \mu 0} \partial_{\mu} K_{S}^{0}\right. \\
& \left.+K^{* \mu-} \partial_{\mu} K_{S}^{+}\right) / 2+i g_{1}\left(\phi_{N}-\sqrt{2} \phi_{S}\right)\left(K^{* \mu 0} \partial_{\mu} \bar{K}_{S}^{0}+K^{* \mu+} \partial_{\mu} K_{S}^{-}\right) / 2-g_{1}\left(\phi_{N}+\sqrt{2} \phi_{S}\right) \\
& \quad\left(K_{1}^{\mu 0} \partial_{\mu} \bar{K}^{0}+K_{1}^{\mu+} \partial_{\mu} K^{-}\right) / 2-g_{1}\left(\phi_{N}+\sqrt{2} \phi_{S}\right)\left(\bar{K}_{1}^{\mu 0} \partial_{\mu} K^{0}+K_{1}^{\mu-} \partial_{\mu} K^{+}\right) / 2 \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, as well as in $\eta_{C}-\chi_{C 1}, D_{S}-D_{S 1}, D_{S 0}^{*}-D_{S 1}^{*}, D_{0}^{*}-D^{*}$, and $D-D_{1}$ mixing terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -g_{1} \phi_{C} \chi_{C 1}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \eta_{C}-\sqrt{2} g_{1} \phi_{S}\left(D_{S 1}^{\mu-} \partial_{\mu} D_{S}^{+}+D_{S 1}^{\mu+} \partial_{\mu} D_{S}^{-}\right) / 2+\sqrt{2} i g_{1} \phi_{S}\left(D_{S 1}^{* \mu-} \partial_{\mu} D_{S 0}^{*+}\right. \\
& \left.-D_{S 1}^{* \mu+} \partial_{\mu} D_{S 0}^{*-}\right) / 2+i g_{1} \phi_{N}\left(D^{* \mu-} \partial_{\mu} D_{0}^{*+}-D^{* \mu+} \partial_{\mu} D_{0}^{*-}\right) / 2+i g_{1} \phi_{N}\left(D^{* \mu 0} \partial_{\mu} \bar{D}_{0}^{* 0}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\bar{D}^{* \mu 0} \partial_{\mu} D_{0}^{* 0}\right) / 2-g_{1} \phi_{N}\left(D_{1}^{0 \mu} \partial_{\mu} \bar{D}^{0}+\bar{D}_{1}^{\mu 0} \partial_{\mu} D^{0}+D_{1}^{\mu+} \partial_{\mu} D^{-}+D_{1}^{\mu-} \partial_{\mu} D^{+}\right) / 2 \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Note that our Lagrangian is real despite the imaginary $K_{S}-K^{*}, D_{S 0}^{*}-D_{S 1}^{*}$, and $D_{0}^{*}-D^{*}$ coupling because the $K_{S}-K^{*}, D_{S 0}^{*}-D_{S 1}^{*}$, and $D_{0}^{*}-D^{*}$ mixing terms are equal to their hermitian conjugates.

In order to obtain canonically normalized fields, we introduce wave-function renormalization constants labelled $Z_{\eta_{N, S}}$ for $\eta_{N, S}, Z_{\pi}$ for $\vec{\pi}, Z_{K_{S}}$ for $K_{S}$, and $Z_{K}$ for $K, Z_{\eta_{C}}$ for $\eta_{C}, Z_{D_{S}}$ for $D_{S}, Z_{D_{S 0}}$ for $D_{S 0}, Z_{D_{0}^{*}}$ for $D_{0}^{*}, Z_{D_{0}^{* 0}}$, and $Z_{D}$ for $D$. We obtain the following formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{\pi} \equiv Z_{\eta_{N}}=\frac{m_{a_{1}}}{\sqrt{m_{a_{1}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2} \phi_{N}^{2}}}, \quad Z_{\eta_{S}}=\frac{m_{f_{1 S}}}{\sqrt{m_{f_{1 S}}^{2}-2 g_{1}^{2} \phi_{S}^{2}}}  \tag{2.13}\\
Z_{K}=\frac{2 m_{K_{1}}}{\sqrt{4 m_{K_{1}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{N}+\sqrt{2} \phi_{S}\right)^{2}}}, \quad Z_{K_{S}}=\frac{2 m_{K_{*}}}{\sqrt{4 m_{K_{*}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{N}-\sqrt{2} \phi_{S}\right)^{2}}}, \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

as in Ref. [16], and additionally

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{\eta_{C}}=\frac{m_{\chi_{C 1}}}{\sqrt{m_{\chi_{C 1}}^{2}-2 g_{1}^{2} \phi_{C}^{2}}}, \quad Z_{D_{S}}=\frac{\sqrt{2} m_{D_{S 1}}}{\sqrt{2 m_{D_{S 1}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{S}+\phi_{C}\right)^{2}}}  \tag{2.15}\\
Z_{D_{S 0}}=\frac{\sqrt{2} m_{D_{S}^{*}}}{\sqrt{2 m_{D_{S}^{*}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{S}-\phi_{C}\right)^{2}}}, Z_{D_{0}^{*}}=\frac{2 m_{D^{*}}}{\sqrt{4 m_{D^{*}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{N}-\sqrt{2} \phi_{C}\right)^{2}}}  \tag{2.16}\\
Z_{D_{0}^{* 0}}=\frac{2 m_{D^{* 0}}}{\sqrt{4 m_{D^{* 0}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{N}-\sqrt{2} \phi_{C}\right)^{2}}}, Z_{D}=\frac{2 m_{D_{1}}}{\sqrt{4 m_{D_{1}}^{2}-g_{1}^{2}\left(\phi_{N}+\sqrt{2} \phi_{C}\right)^{2}}} \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\phi_{N}=Z_{\pi} f_{\pi}$ [6], $\phi_{S}=\frac{2 Z_{K} f_{K}-\phi_{N}}{\sqrt{2}}$ for the non-strange and strange condensates, with $f_{\pi}=92.4$ MeV and $f_{K}=155 / \sqrt{2} \mathrm{MeV}$ being the pion and kaon decay constants, respectively, as shown in Ref. [17]. For the charm condensates, we have $\phi_{C}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2 Z_{D} f_{D}-\phi_{N}\right)$ or $\phi_{C}=\sqrt{2} Z_{D_{S}} f_{D_{S}}-\phi_{S}$, where $f_{D}$, and $f_{D_{S}}$ are the decay constants of the pseudoscalar $D$ and $D_{S}$ mesons, respectively.

## 3. Parameters and results

Our model (2.5) has 16 free parameters in the case of $N_{f}=4$, where twelve of them have been determined in Ref. [17] for the case $N_{f}=3$. Their values are:

| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}^{2}$ | $0.4135 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$ | $m_{0}^{2}$ | $-0.9183 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$ |
| $\delta_{N}$ | 0 | $\delta_{S}$ | $0.1511 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$ |
| $g_{1}$ | 5.8433 | $h_{1}$ | 0 |
| $h_{2}$ | 9.8796 | $h_{3}$ | 3.8667 |
| $\phi_{N}$ | 164.6 MeV | $\phi_{S}$ | 126.2 MeV |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | 0 | $\lambda_{2}$ | 68.2972 |

Table 1: Values of parameters.
The parameter $c$ in the axial anomaly term is related to the corresponding parameter [17,23] in the case $N_{f}=3$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{2 c_{N_{f}=3}}{\phi_{c}^{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{N_{f}=3}=450.5420 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{MeV}^{-2}$ from the fit in Ref. [17].
The three new parameters $\delta_{C}, \phi_{C}$, and $\varepsilon_{C}$ related to the mass of the charm quark have been determined by a fit including the experimental charmed meson masses from the PDG [26] and our equations for the charmed meson masses. Then we get $\phi_{C}=198.103 \mathrm{MeV}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}=3.742 \times$ $10^{6} \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$, and $\varepsilon_{C}=1.432 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$. We obtain $c=2.296 \times 10^{-8}$ in the axial anomaly term $c\left(\operatorname{det} \Phi-\operatorname{det} \Phi^{\dagger}\right)^{2}$. The decay constant of the isodoublet $D$ is $f_{D}=266.52 \mathrm{MeV}$, and of the isosinglet $D_{S}$ we get $f_{D_{S}}=273.8 \mathrm{MeV}$, while the experimental values from the PDG [26] are $f_{D}=206.7$ MeV , and $f_{D_{S}}=260.5 \mathrm{MeV}$, respectively.

The difference of the square charmed vector and axial-vector masses are:

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{D_{S 1}}^{2}-m_{D_{S}^{*}}^{2}=2\left(g_{1}^{2}-h_{3}\right) \phi_{S} \phi_{C}  \tag{3.2}\\
m_{D_{1}}^{2}-m_{D^{*}}^{2}=\sqrt{2}\left(g_{1}^{2}-h_{3}\right) \phi_{N} \phi_{C} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\chi_{C 1}}^{2}-m_{J / \psi}^{2}=2\left(g_{1}^{2}-h_{3}\right) \phi_{C}^{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These mass differences are interesting because they do not depend on the charm mass. The results for the light mesons are reported in table 2. By construction, one finds the same values as in Refs. [16, 17].

| observable | our value $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | experimental value $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{f_{1 N}}$ | 1186 | $1281.8 \pm 0.6$ |
| $m_{a_{1}}$ | 1185 | $1230 \pm 40$ |
| $m_{f_{1 S}}$ | 1372 | $1426.4 \pm 0.9$ |
| $m_{K^{*}}$ | 885 | $891.66 \pm 0.26$ |
| $m_{K_{1}}$ | 1281 | $1272 \pm 7$ |
| $m_{\sigma_{1}}$ | 1362 | $(1200-1500)-\mathrm{i}(150-250)$ |
| $m_{a_{0}}$ | 1363 | $1474 \pm 19$ |
| $m_{\sigma_{2}}$ | 1531 | $1720 \pm 60$ |
| $m_{\omega_{N}}$ | 783 | $782.65 \pm 0.12$ |
| $m_{\omega_{S}}$ | 975 | $1019.46 \pm 0.020$ |
| $m_{\rho}$ | 783 | $775.5 \pm 38.8$ |
| $m_{\eta}$ | 509 | $547.853 \pm 0.024$ |
| $m_{\pi}$ | 141 | $139.57018 \pm 0.00035$ |
| $m_{\eta^{\prime}}$ | 962 | $957.78 \pm 0.06$ |
| $m_{K_{S}}$ | 1449 | $1425 \pm 50$ |
| $m_{K}$ | 485 | $493.677 \pm 0.016$ |

Table 2: Light meson masses.

The results for the (hidden and open) charmed mesons are reported in table 3. They have been obtained through a fit to experimental data.

| Observable | Our Value $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | Experimental Value $[\mathrm{MeV}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{D_{s 1}}$ | 2501 | $2535.12 \pm 0.13$ |
| $m_{D_{s}^{*}}$ | 2188 | $2112.3 \pm 0.5$ |
| $m_{D^{*}}$ | 2155 | $2010.28 \pm 0.13$ |
| $m_{D^{* 0}}$ | 2155 | $2006.98 \pm 0.15$ |
| $m_{D_{1}}$ | 2448 | $2421.3 \pm 0.6$ |
| $m_{\chi_{c 1}}$ | 3282 | $3510.66 \pm 0.07$ |
| $m_{\chi_{c 0}}$ | 3160 | $3414.75 \pm 0.31$ |
| $m_{J / \psi}$ | 2911 | $3096.916 \pm 0.011$ |
| $m_{D_{0}}$ | 1882 | $1864.86 \pm 0.13$ |
| $m_{\eta_{c}}$ | 2491 | $2981 \pm 1.1$ |
| $m_{D_{0}^{*}}$ | 2416 | $2403 \pm 14 \pm 35$ |
| $m_{D}$ | 1882 | $1869.62 \pm 0.15$ |
| $m_{D_{s 0}^{*}}$ | 2470 | $2317.8 \pm 0.6$ |
| $m_{D_{s}}$ | 1900 | $1968.49 \pm 0.32$ |
| $m_{D_{0}^{* 0}}$ | 2416 | $2318 \pm 29$ |
| Table3 | Oper |  |

Table 3: Open and hidden charmed meson masses.

## 4. Conclusions and outlook

We have extended a linear sigma model with (axial-)vector degrees of freedom, the so-called eLSM, to the case of four flavors, $N_{f}=4$. The model implements the symmetries of QCD: the discrete C , P , and T symmetries and the global chiral $U\left(N_{f}\right)_{r} \times U\left(N_{f}\right)_{l}$ symmetry. The latter is broken spontaneously through the chiral condensate, explicitly through non-vanishing quark masses, and at the quantum level through the $U(1)_{A}$ anomaly. Furthermore, it implements the dilatation symmetry and its explicit breaking due to the trace anomaly. In the extension to $N_{f}=4$ we have included twelve new charmed mesons in the model, which are the scalar mesons $\left(D_{0}^{*}, D_{s 0}^{*}, \chi_{c 0}\right)$, the pseudoscalar mesons $\left(D, D_{s}, \eta_{c}\right)$, the vector mesons $\left(D^{*}, D_{s}^{*}, J / \psi\right)$, and the axial-vector mesons $\left(D_{1}, D_{s 1}, \chi_{c 1}\right)$. To our knowledge, this the first time that a model was constructed, which contains (pseudo)scalars and (axial-)vectors with charm quarks (open and hidden charmed mesons), and the first time that the model has been used to describe meson states with high masses.

We have found that we need a new mass term to successfully fit the masses of charmed mesons. Implementing spontaneous symmetry breaking in the model yields not only the known $\eta_{N}-f_{1 N}$, $\vec{\pi}-\vec{a}_{1}, \eta_{S}-f_{1 S}, K_{S}-K^{*}$, and $K-K_{1}$ mixings [16] in Eq. (2.11) but also the $\eta_{C}-\chi_{C 1}, D_{S}-D_{S 1}$, $D_{S 0}^{*}-D_{S 1}^{*}, D_{0}^{*}-D^{*}$, and $D-D_{1}$ mixings in Eq. (2.12). Removing the non-diagonal terms in the Lagrangian and subsequently bringing the kinetic terms of $\eta_{N, S, C}, \vec{\pi}, K_{S}, K, D_{S}, D_{S 0}, D_{0}^{*}, D_{0}^{* 0}$, and $D$ to the canonical form leads us to define the charmed renormalization coefficients $Z_{\eta_{C}}, Z_{D_{S}}, Z_{D_{S 0}}, Z_{D_{0}^{*}}$, $Z_{D_{0}^{* 0}}$, and $Z_{D}$. The squared mass differences $m_{D_{S 1}}^{2}-m_{D_{S}^{*}}^{2}, m_{D_{1}}^{2}-m_{D^{*}}^{2}-m^{2}$, and $m_{\chi_{C 1}}^{2}-m_{J / \psi}^{2}$ are, as seen in Eqs. (3.2-3.4), independent from the charm masses, they just depend on the condensates.

The eLSM containing open and hidden charmed mesons has 16 free parameters. We have fixed 13 of these parameters from the strange and non-strange sector. The three new unknown parameters have been fixed in a fit to the expermental values (details will be presented in Ref.
[27]). Moreover, the decay constants of $D$ and $D_{S}$ have been calculated. All meson masses in the Lagrangian (2.5) have been computed, with the same results for light mesons as in the strange and non-strange sector [15, 16, 17], and with (open and hidden) charmed meson masses being in good agreement with experimental data [26].

A study in progress is the calculation of the decay widths of the charmed mesons presented in this work [27]. In the future, we are planning to study the vacuum phenomenology of the light and heavy tetraquarks and the inclusion of the scalar and pseudoscalar glueballs in $N_{f}=4$.
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