We present the calculation of the finite part of the heavy quark impact factor at next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy in a form suitable for phenomenological studies at the LHC within the $k_{\perp}$-factorization scheme as described in the Ref. [1].
1. Introduction

One of the most important issues in QCD phenomenology at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) is estimating the relevance of small-$x$ physics related effects on a number of physical observables and getting a definite answer on the validity and the applicability of the high energy resummation programme.

In the phase space region where the momentum exchanged is much smaller than the total center-of-mass energy, $|t| \ll s$ or equivalently at very small-$x$, logarithms in energy (log $s \sim \log 1/x$) can spoil the convergence in the perturbative series of scattering amplitudes. More specifically, terms of the form $(\alpha_S \log 1/x)^n \sim 1$, where $\alpha_S$ is the strong coupling, for small enough $x$, need to be resummed to all orders.

The Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) framework allows the resummation of center-of-mass energy logarithms at leading [2, 3, 4] (L$x$) and next-to-leading [5, 6] accuracy (NL$x$). At L$x$, all the terms of the form $(\alpha_S \log 1/x)^n$ need to be resummed whereas, at NL$x$ one has also to resum terms in which the strong coupling lacks one power compared to the logarithm in energy, that is, terms that behave like $\alpha_S (\alpha_S \log 1/x)^n$. The necessary ingredients for the study of scattering amplitudes within the BFKL formalism, are the gluon Green’s function which is obtained by solving the BFKL equation, the gluon Regge trajectory and the impact factors, the latter being process-dependent objects. In a very general definition, the impact factors are the effective couplings of the scattering particles to whatever is exchanged in the $t$-channel for a process studied in the high energy factorization scheme. Resumming small-$x$ logarithms is finally well understood for a wide range of processes and observables at HERA and the LHC: perturbative evolution of parton distribution functions, photoproduction [7, 8, 9] and double-DIS [10, 11, 12] processes, Mueller-Navelet jets and forward jets in DIS.

The impact factors for gluons and massless quarks were calculated in Ref. [13] at NL$x$ accuracy and in momentum space. This allows in principle for the calculation of various DIS and double-DIS processes with massless quarks and gluons in the initial state whereas the extension to the case of hadron-hadron collisions was also established.

2. NL$x$ correction in an integral form

The leading order quark impact factor is described by a simple formula

$$h^{(0)}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{N_c^2 - 1}} \frac{2C_F \alpha_S N_c}{k^2 \mu^{2\epsilon}}, \quad N_c = \left(\frac{4\pi}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}\right)^{\epsilon/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

The NL$x$ impact factor can be written as a sum of the leading order impact factor and NL$x$ correction

$$h_q(k_2) = h^{(0)}(k_2) + h^{(1)}_q(k_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

According to Ref. [1], the NL$x$ correction for the impact factor of a heavy quark can be written as the sum of three contributions:

$$h^{(1)}_q(k_2) = h^{(1)}_{q,m=0}(k_2) + \int_0^1 dz_1 \int d[k_1]\Delta F_q(z_1, k_1, k_2)
+ \int d[k_1] \alpha_S h^{(0)}_q(k_1) K_0(k_1, k_2) \log \frac{m}{k_1} \Theta_{mk_1},$$
with the convention $\Theta_{mk} = \theta(m-k_1)$, where the $\theta$-function is the well-known Heaviside step function and $k_1 = |k_1|$. The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (2.3) is the NLx correction to the impact factor of a massless quark, which can be expressed by using the leading order impact factor $h^{(0)}(k)$ and the gluon Regge trajectory $\omega^{(1)}(k) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma^{(1+\varepsilon)}(\frac{k^2}{\mu^2})}{\Gamma^{(1+2\varepsilon)}(\frac{k^2}{\mu^2})} \varepsilon$, with the beta function $b_0 = \frac{11}{6} - \frac{n_f}{3\lambda_c}$ and $\lambda_c = \frac{37}{18} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{5n_f}{9\lambda_c}$.

The second term on the right hand side of Eq. (2.3) is the NLx correction induced by the heavy quark mass $m$, with $\Delta F_q(z_1, k_1, k_2)$ defined in Ref. [1]. The third term comes from the introduction of the mass scale to the leading order BFKL kernel $K_0(k_1, k_2)$ which is defined as

$$\alpha_s K_0(k_1, k_2) = \frac{\alpha_s}{q^2\Gamma(1-\varepsilon)\mu^{2\varepsilon}} + 2\omega^{(1)}(k_1) \delta[q], \quad \delta[q] = \pi^{1+\varepsilon} \delta^{2+2\varepsilon}(q),$$

with $q = k_1 + k_2$. The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (2.4) corresponds the real component of the BFKL kernel and the second one to the virtual corrections. In the following text we shall analyze the second and third terms in the right hand side of Eq. (2.3).

3. The $\Delta F_q$ term

The second term in the right hand side of Eq. (2.3),

$$\Delta F_q(k_2) = \int_0^1 dz_1 \int dz_1 \Delta F_q(z_1, k_1, k_2),$$

contains virtual and real corrections. The explicit expression of the integrand of Eq. (3.1) in momentum space (integrated over $k_1$) is given in Ref. [1]. The remaining integrations, however, cannot be performed directly in a straightforward way. It is easier to calculate the Mellin transform first, instead:

$$\Delta \hat{F}_q(\gamma) = \Gamma(1+\varepsilon)(m^2)^{-\varepsilon} \int dz_1 \left( \frac{k_x^2}{m^2} \right)^{\gamma-1} \Delta F_q(k_2),$$

we get this expression

$$\Delta \hat{F}_q(\gamma) = A_\varepsilon (m^2)^{-\varepsilon} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+\varepsilon)\Gamma(1-\gamma-2\varepsilon)\Gamma^2(1-\gamma-\varepsilon)}{8\Gamma(2-2\gamma-2\varepsilon)} \times \left[ \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\gamma+2\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{1-2\gamma-4\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{1-\gamma-2\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{3-2\gamma-2\varepsilon} \right) \right].$$

Then, the function $\Delta F_q(k_2)$ in momentum space is recovered by computing the inverse Mellin transform:

$$\Delta F_q(k_2) = \frac{1}{m^2} \int_{1-2\varepsilon<\Re \gamma<1-\varepsilon} \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{k_x^2}{m^2} \right)^{-\gamma-\varepsilon} \Delta \hat{F}_q(\gamma).$$

This integral is a contour integral in the complex plane which converges when the integration contour is parallel to the imaginary axis and intersects the real axis in the interval $1 - 2\varepsilon < \Re \gamma < 1$. 


1 − ε. To perform the integration in Eq. (3.4) we utilise the Cauchy residue theorem. The ratio $k_{2}/m^{2}$ takes, in principal, any value between 0 and ∞. However, if $k_{2}/m^{2} < 1$, we deform the integration contour at $-i∞$ and at $+i∞$ to the right, such that the two ends meet at $+∞$ of the real axis, whereas, if $k_{2}/m^{2} > 1$ we deform the integration contour at $-i∞$ and at $+i∞$ to the left, in which case we denote the result by $ΔF_{q}^{-}(k_{2})$.

In detail, after deforming the integration contour as described above, we have:

$$
ΔF_{q}^{-}(k_{2}) = \frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{γ ≥ 1 - 2ε} \text{Res} \left[ \left( \frac{k_{2}}{m^{2}} \right)^{-γ - ε} ΔF_{q}(γ) \right].
$$

(3.5)

4. The $K_{0}(k_{1}, k_{2})$ related term

Let us now turn to the final ingredient in order to have the full NL$_{x}$ heavy quark impact factor with mass corrections. For the real emission part of the BFKL kernel, $K_{0}(k_{1}, k_{2})$ (see Eq. (2.4)), we define the integral

$$
I_{m}(k_{2}) = \int d|k_{1}| \frac{α_{S}q_{h}^{00}(k_{1})}{q^{2}Γ(1 - ε)μ^{2ε}} \log \frac{m}{k_{1}} Θ_{mk_{1}}.
$$

(4.1)

We use the following integral representation [1]:

$$
\log \frac{a}{b} Θ_{ab} = \lim_{α → 0^{+}} \int_{−i∞}^{+i∞} \frac{dλ}{2πi} \frac{1}{(λ + α)^{2}} \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{λ} \equiv \int d[λ] \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)^{λ},
$$

(4.2)

valid for $a, b > 0$, which allows us to write

$$
I_{m}(k_{2}) = \frac{A_{ε}}{2} \lim_{α → 0^{+}} \int_{−i∞}^{+i∞} \frac{dλ}{2πi} \frac{1}{(λ + α)^{2}} \frac{Γ(1 + λ - ε)Γ(ε)Γ(ε - λ)}{Γ(1 + λ)Γ(2ε - λ)} (m^{2})^{λ} (k_{2})^{-1 - λ + ε}.
$$

(4.3)

The integrand in Eq. (4.3) vanishes for $|λ| → ∞$ in all directions apart from the real axis. As was the case in the previous Section, this is a contour integral and can be calculated by a sum of residua in a way similar to 3.5.

5. The finite result

The final NL$_{x}$ impact factor can be written as

$$
h_{q}(k_{2}) = h_{q}^{(1)}(k_{2})|_{\text{sing}} + h_{q}(k_{2})|_{\text{finite}},
$$

(5.1)

with the finite part of the result after the summation being:

$$
h_{q}(k_{2})|_{\text{finite}} = h^{(0)}(k_{2}, α_{S}(k_{2})) \left\{ 1 + \frac{α_{S}N_{c}}{2π} \left[ K - \frac{π^{2}}{6} + 1 - R \log (4R) \right.ight.

\left. - \log (Z) \left( 1 + R \right) \sqrt{\frac{1 + R}{R} + 2 \log (Z)} \right.

\left. - 3 \sqrt{R} \left( \text{Li}_{2}(Z) - \text{Li}_{2}(-Z) + \log (Z) \log \left( \frac{1 - Z}{1 + Z} \right) \right) \right.

\left. + \text{Li}_{2}(4R) Θ_{mk_{2}} + \left( \frac{1}{2} \log (4R) + \frac{1}{2} \log^{2} (4R) + \text{Li}_{2} \left( \frac{1}{4R} \right) \right) Θ_{k_{2}m} \right\}.
$$

(5.2)

where $R = k_{2}^{2}/(4m^{2})$ and $Z = (\sqrt{1 + R} + \sqrt{R})^{-1}$.
6. Numerical results and conclusions

The result in Eq. (5.2) allows us to proceed to a first numerical study of the size of the mass corrections to the impact factor at NLx accuracy. We have adopted the running coupling scheme as described in Refs. [14, 15] with \( n_f = 5 \) flavors. At Lx accuracy, we use a fixed value for the strong coupling constant \( \alpha_S = 0.2 \).

In Fig. 1 we plot the Lx as well as the NLx quark impact factor, the latter for two quark mass values, \( m = 0 \) and \( m = 5 \) GeV. We see that the NLx correction to the leading order impact factor for massless quark is positive and moderate for small \( k_2^2 \) where the behavior is governed by the strong running coupling constant, but for most of the range of the plot the NLx correction is negative. For a non-zero quark mass, in the region \( k_2^2/m^2 < 1 \), the overall correction is positive and large. It turns negative closely after \( k_2^2/m^2 = 1 \), but for larger \( k_2^2/m^2 \), they follow the NLx massless curve as expected. To get a better qualitative picture of the behavior of the NLx corrections in the massless and massive case, it is useful to study the ratios of the impact factors at Lx and NLx accuracy. In Fig. 2 (on the right) we can see that the relative size of the full NLx corrections for small \( k_2 / m < 10 \) GeV vary from more than +100% down to some −20%, for similar mass values \( m = 4 \) GeV and \( m = 5 \) GeV. To estimate the size of the NLx corrections induced purely by the quark mass, we plot, Fig. 2 (on the left), the ratio between the finite parts of the NLx massive and massless quark impact factor. The corrections are of the order of a 100% for the small \( k_2^2/m^2 \) values and decrease as \( k_2^2/m^2 \) is getting larger. The cusps in the curves are solely an artefact of the choice of the factorization scale. As expected, in the limit \( k_2 \to \infty \), the massless and massive NLx impact factors coincide such that their ratio approaches 1.
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Figure 1: Transversal momentum distributions.

Figure 2: Transversal momentum dependence of ratios of impact factors.