

Mixing angle of K_1 axial vector mesons

Hai-Yang Cheng^{*†}

Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 115, Republic of China

E-mail: phcheng@phys.sinica.edu.tw

Analyses of various experimental measurements all indicate that the mixing angle θ_{K_1} of $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ is in the vicinity of 33° or 57° . However, whether θ_{K_1} is greater or less than 45° is still quite controversial. For example, there were two very recent studies of the strong decays of K_1 mesons. One group claimed that $\theta_{K_1} \approx 60^\circ$, while the other group obtained $\theta_{K_1} = (33.6 \pm 4.3)^\circ$. Since the determination of the mixing angles α_{3P_1} and α_{1P_1} with the former (latter) being the mixing angle of $f_1(1285)$ ($h_1(1170)$) and $f_1(1420)$ ($h_1(1380)$) in the flavor basis through mass relations depends on θ_{K_1} , we show that $\theta_{K_1} \approx 57^\circ$ is ruled out as it leads to a too large deviation from ideal mixing in the 1P_1 sector, inconsistent with the lattice calculation of α_{1P_1} and the observation of strong decays of $h_1(1170)$ and $h_1(1380)$. We find that for $\theta_{K_1} \approx (28 - 30)^\circ$, the corresponding α_{3P_1} and α_{1P_1} agree well with all lattice and phenomenological analyses. This again reinforces the statement that $\theta_{K_1} \sim 33^\circ$ is much more favored than 57° .

XV International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy-Hadron 2013

4-8 November 2013

Nara, Japan

^{*}Speaker.

[†]Work supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan, R. O. C. under Grant No. NSC-100-2112-M-001-009-MY3.

1. Introduction

The mixing of the flavor-SU(3) singlet and octet states of vector and tensor mesons to form mass eigenstates is of fundamental importance in hadronic physics. According to the Appelquist-Carazzone decoupling theorem, in a vectorial theory, as the mass of a particle gets large compared with a relevant scale, say, $\Lambda_{QCD} \simeq 300$ MeV, one can integrate this particle out and define a low-energy effective field theory applicable below this scale [1]. Evidently, even though m_s is not $\gg \Lambda_{QCD}$, there is still a nearly complete decoupling for the case of vector mesons, namely, $\rho(770)$ and $\omega(892)$ states. A similar situation of near-ideal mixing occurs for the $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$ tensor mesons $f_2(1275)$, $f_2'(1525)$ and the $J^{PC} = 3^{--}$ mesons $\omega_3(1670)$, $\phi_3(1850)$ and this can also be understood in terms of approximate decoupling of the light $u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$ state from the heavier $s\bar{s}$ state.

In the quark model, two nonets of $J^P = 1^+$ axial-vector mesons are expected as the orbital excitation of the $q\bar{q}$ system. In terms of the spectroscopic notation $^{2S+1}L_J$, there are two types of P -wave axial-vector mesons, namely, 3P_1 and 1P_1 . These two nonets have distinctive C quantum numbers for the corresponding neutral mesons, $C = +$ and $C = -$, respectively. Experimentally, the $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ nonet consists of $a_1(1260)$, $f_1(1285)$, $f_1(1420)$ and K_{1A} , while the 1^{+-} nonet contains $b_1(1235)$, $h_1(1170)$, $h_1(1380)$ and K_{1B} . The non-strange axial vector mesons, for example, the neutral $a_1(1260)$ and $b_1(1235)$ cannot have a mixing because of the opposite C -parities. On the contrary, K_{1A} and K_{1B} are not the physical mass eigenstates $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ and they are mixed together due to the mass difference of strange and light quarks. Following the common convention we write

$$\begin{pmatrix} |K_1(1270)\rangle \\ |K_1(1400)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_{K_1} & \cos \theta_{K_1} \\ \cos \theta_{K_1} & -\sin \theta_{K_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |K_{1A}\rangle \\ |K_{1B}\rangle \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

Various phenomenological studies indicate that the K_{1A} - K_{1B} mixing angle θ_{K_1} is around either 33° or 57° ,¹ but there is no consensus as to whether this angle is greater or less than 45° .

We have shown in [2] that the mixing angle θ_{K_1} can be pinned down based on the observation that when the $f_1(1285)$ - $f_1(1420)$ mixing angle θ_{3P_1} and the $h_1(1170)$ - $h_1(1380)$ mixing angle θ_{1P_1} are determined from the mass relations, they depend on the masses of K_{1A} and K_{1B} , which in turn depend on θ_{K_1} . Since nearly ideal mixing occurs for vector, tensor and 3^{--} mesons except for pseudoscalar mesons where the axial anomaly plays a unique role, this feature is naively expected to hold also for axial-vector mesons. Lattice calculations of θ_{1P_1} and the phenomenological analysis of the strong decays of $h_1(1170)$ and $h_1(1380)$ will enable us to discriminate the two different solutions for θ_{K_1} . In this talk we will elaborate on this in more detail.

2. Mixing of axial-vector mesons

There exist several estimations on the mixing angle θ_{K_1} in the literature. From the early experimental information on masses and the partial rates of $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$, Suzuki found

¹As discussed in [2] and many early publications, the sign ambiguity of θ_{K_1} can be removed by fixing the relative sign of the decay constants of K_{1A} and K_{1B} . We shall choose the convention of decay constants in such a way that θ_{K_1} is always positive.

two possible solutions $\theta_{K_1} \approx 33^\circ$ and 57° [3]. A similar constraint $35^\circ \lesssim \theta_{K_1} \lesssim 55^\circ$ was obtained in Ref. [4] based solely on two parameters: the mass difference between the $a_1(1260)$ and $b_1(1235)$ mesons and the ratio of the constituent quark masses. An analysis of $\tau \rightarrow K_1(1270)\nu_\tau$ and $K_1(1400)\nu_\tau$ decays also yielded the mixing angle to be $\approx 37^\circ$ or 58° [5].² Another determination of θ_{K_1} comes from the $f_1(1285)$ - $f_1(1420)$ mixing angle θ_{3P_1} to be introduced shortly below which can be reliably estimated from the analysis of the radiative decays $f_1(1285) \rightarrow \phi\gamma, \rho^0\gamma$ [6]. A recent updated analysis yields $\theta_{3P_1} = (19.4_{-4.6}^{+4.5})^\circ$ or $(51.1_{-4.6}^{+4.5})^\circ$ [7].³ As we shall see below, the mixing angle θ_{3P_1} is correlated to θ_{K_1} . The corresponding θ_{K_1} is found to be $(31.7_{-2.5}^{+2.8})^\circ$ or $(56.3_{-4.1}^{+3.9})^\circ$. Therefore, all the analyses yield a mixing angle θ_{K_1} in the vicinity of either 33° or 57° .

However, there is no consensus as to whether θ_{K_1} is greater or less than 45° . It was found in the non-relativistic quark model that $m_{K_{1A}}^2 < m_{K_{1B}}^2$ [10, 11, 12] and hence θ_{K_1} is larger than 45° . Interestingly, θ_{K_1} turned out to be of order 34° in the relativized quark model of [13]. Based on the covariant light-front model [14], the value of 51° was found by the analysis of [15]. From the study of $B \rightarrow K_1(1270)\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow K_1(1270)\nu_\tau$ within the framework of light-cone QCD sum rules, Hatanaka and Yang advocated that $\theta_{K_1} = (34 \pm 13)^\circ$ [16]. There existed two recent studies of strong decays of $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ mesons with different approaches. One group obtained $\theta_{K_1} \approx 60^\circ$ based on the 3P_0 quark-pair-creation model for K_1 strong decays [17], while the other group found $\theta_{K_1} = (33.6 \pm 4.3)^\circ$ using a phenomenological flavor symmetric relativistic Lagrangian [18]. In short, there is a variety of different values of the mixing angle cited in the literature. It is the purpose of this work to pin down θ_{K_1} .

We next consider the mixing of the isosinglet 1^3P_1 states, $f_1(1285)$ and $f_1(1420)$, and the 1^1P_1 states, $h_1(1170)$ and $h_1(1380)$ in the quark flavor and octet-singlet bases:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |f_1(1285)\rangle \\ |f_1(1420)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{3P_1} & \sin \theta_{3P_1} \\ -\sin \theta_{3P_1} & \cos \theta_{3P_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |f_1\rangle \\ |f_8\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_{3P_1} & \sin \alpha_{3P_1} \\ -\sin \alpha_{3P_1} & \cos \alpha_{3P_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |f_q\rangle \\ |f_s\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} |h_1(1170)\rangle \\ |h_1(1380)\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{1P_1} & \sin \theta_{1P_1} \\ -\sin \theta_{1P_1} & \cos \theta_{1P_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |h_1\rangle \\ |h_8\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha_{1P_1} & \sin \alpha_{1P_1} \\ -\sin \alpha_{1P_1} & \cos \alpha_{1P_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |h_q\rangle \\ |h_s\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $f_1 = (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} + s\bar{s})/\sqrt{3}$, $f_8 = (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} - 2s\bar{s})/\sqrt{6}$, $f_q = (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$, $f_s = s\bar{s}$ and likewise for h_1 , h_8 , h_q and h_s . The mixing angle α in the flavor basis is related to the singlet-octet mixing angle θ by the relation $\alpha = 35.3^\circ - \theta$. Therefore, α measures the deviation from ideal mixing. Applying the Gell-Mann Okubo relations for the mass squared of the octet states

$$m_8^2({}^3P_1) \equiv m_{3P_1}^2 = \frac{1}{3}(4m_{K_{1A}}^2 - m_{a_1}^2), \quad m_8^2({}^1P_1) \equiv m_{1P_1}^2 = \frac{1}{3}(4m_{K_{1B}}^2 - m_{b_1}^2), \quad (2.3)$$

we obtain the following mass relations for the mixing angles θ_{1P_1} and θ_{3P_1} (for details, see [2])

$$\tan \theta_{3P_1} = \frac{m_{3P_1}^2 - m_{f_1'}^2}{\sqrt{m_{3P_1}^2(m_{f_1}^2 + m_{f_1'}^2 - m_{3P_1}^2) - m_{f_1}^2 m_{f_1'}^2}},$$

²Note that the mixing angle results in [5] based on CLEO [8] and OPEL [9] data differ from the ones obtained in the CLEO paper [8].

³From the same radiative decays, it was found $\theta_{3P_1} = (56_{-5}^{+4})^\circ$ in [6]. This has led some authors (e.g. [10]) to claim that $\theta_{K_1} \sim 59^\circ$. However, another solution, namely, $\theta_{3P_1} = (14.6_{-5}^{+4})^\circ$ corresponding to a smaller θ_{K_1} , was missed in [6].

Table 1: The values of the $f_1(1285)$ - $f_1(1420)$ and $h_1(1170)$ - $h_1(1380)$ mixing angles in the quark flavor (upper) and octet-singlet (lower) bases calculated using Eq. (2.4) for some representative K_{1A} - K_{1B} mixing angle θ_{K_1} .

θ_{K_1}	57°	51°	45°	33°	30°	28°
α_{3P_1}	16.5°	9.6°	2.4°	-13.7°	-18.9°	-23.5°
α_{1P_1}	-53.0°	-44.6°	-21.1°	-6.4°	-3.8°	-2.4°
θ_{3P_1}	52°	45°	38°	22°	16°	12°
θ_{1P_1}	-18°	-9°	14°	29°	32°	33°

$$\tan \theta_{1P_1} = \frac{m_{1P_1}^2 - m_{h_1'}^2}{\sqrt{m_{1P_1}^2(m_{h_1}^2 + m_{h_1'}^2 - m_{1P_1}^2) - m_{h_1}^2 m_{h_1'}^2}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where f_1 and f_1' (h_1 and h_1') are the short-handed notations for $f_1(1285)$ and $f_1(1420)$ ($h_1(1170)$ and $h_1(1380)$), respectively, and

$$\begin{aligned} m_{K_{1A}}^2 &= m_{K_1(1400)}^2 \cos^2 \theta_{K_1} + m_{K_1(1270)}^2 \sin^2 \theta_{K_1}, \\ m_{K_{1B}}^2 &= m_{K_1(1400)}^2 \sin^2 \theta_{K_1} + m_{K_1(1270)}^2 \cos^2 \theta_{K_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

It is clear that the mixing angles θ_{3P_1} and θ_{1P_1} depend on the masses of K_{1A} and K_{1B} states, which in turn depend on the K_{1A} - K_{1B} mixing angle θ_{K_1} . Table 1 exhibits the values of α_{3P_1} , θ_{3P_1} and α_{1P_1} , θ_{1P_1} calculated using Eq. (2.4) for some representative values of θ_{K_1} .

3. Discussion

We see from Table 1 that the K_{1A} - K_{1B} mixing angle $\theta_{K_1} \approx 57^\circ$ corresponds to $\alpha_{1P_1} = -53^\circ$ which is too far away from ideal mixing for the 1P_1 sector. Indeed, it is in violent disagreement with the lattice result $\alpha_{1P_1} = \pm(3 \pm 1)^\circ$ obtained by the Hadron Spectrum Collaboration [19]. Since only the modes $h_1(1170) \rightarrow \rho\pi$ and $h_1(1380) \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*, \bar{K}K^*$ have been seen so far, this implies that the quark content is primarily $s\bar{s}$ for $h_1(1380)$ and $q\bar{q}$ for $h_1(1170)$. Indeed, if $\theta_{K_1} = 57^\circ$, we will have $h_1(1170) = 0.60n\bar{n} - 0.80s\bar{s}$ and $h_1(1380) = 0.80n\bar{n} + 0.60s\bar{s}$ with $n\bar{n} = (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$. It is obvious that the large $s\bar{s}$ content of $h_1(1170)$ and $n\bar{n}$ content of $h_1(1380)$ cannot explain why only the strong decay modes $h_1(1170) \rightarrow \rho\pi$ and $h_1(1380) \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*, \bar{K}K^*$ have been seen thus far. Therefore, it is evident that $\theta_{K_1} \approx 57^\circ$ is ruled out.

Can we conclude that θ_{K_1} is less than 45° ? Let's examine the mixing angle α_{3P_1} . There are some information available. First, the radiative decay $f_1(1285) \rightarrow \phi\gamma$ and $\rho\gamma$ yields $\alpha_{3P_1} = \pm(15.8_{-4.6}^{+4.5})^\circ$ [7]. An updated lattice calculation gives $\alpha_{3P_1} = \pm(27 \pm 2)^\circ$ [20]. A study of $B_{d,s} \rightarrow J/\psi f_1(1285)$ decays by LHCb leads to $\alpha_{3P_1} = \pm(24.0_{-2.6-0.8}^{+3.1+0.6})^\circ$ [21]. Hence, α_{3P_1} lies in the range $\pm(15 \sim 27)^\circ$. Unlike the 1P_1 sector, the deviation of $f_1(1285)$ - $f_1(1420)$ mixing from the ideal one is sizable. Nevertheless, the quark content is still primarily $s\bar{s}$ for $f_1(1420)$ and $q\bar{q}$ for $f_1(1285)$. Indeed, $K^*\bar{K}$ and $K\bar{K}\pi$ are the dominant modes of $f_1(1420)$ whereas $f_1(1285)$ decays mainly to the $\eta\pi\pi$ and 4π states. It is clear from Table 1 that when $\theta_{K_1} \approx (28 - 30)^\circ$, the corresponding

α_{3P_1} and α_{1P_1} agree well with all lattice and phenomenological analyses. This in turn reinforces the statement that $\theta_{K_1} \sim 33^\circ$ is much more favored than 57° .

Two remarks are in order: (i) The K_1 mixing angle $\theta_{K_1} \approx 57^\circ$ leads to acceptable α_{3P_1} but too large α_{1P_1} . (ii) In the octet-singlet basis, the mixing angles are of order $\theta_{3P_1} \sim 15^\circ$ and $\theta_{1P_1} \sim 32^\circ$.

4. Conclusions

The K_1 mixing angle $\theta_{K_1} \approx 57^\circ$ is ruled out as it will lead to a too large deviation from ideal mixing in the 1P_1 sector, inconsistent with the observation of strong decays of $h_1(1170)$ and $h_1(1380)$ and a recent lattice calculation of θ_{1P_1} . We found when $\theta_{K_1} \approx (28 - 30)^\circ$, the corresponding α_{3P_1} and α_{1P_1} agree well with all lattice and phenomenological analyses. This again implies that $\theta_{K_1} \sim 33^\circ$ is much more favored than 57° .

References

- [1] H. Y. Cheng and R. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 094008 (2011) [arXiv:1109.3877 [hep-ph]].
- [2] H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. B **707**, 116 (2012) [arXiv:1110.2249 [hep-ph]].
- [3] M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 1252 (1993).
- [4] L. Burakovsky and T. Goldman, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1368 (1997).
- [5] H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 094007 (2003).
- [6] F. E. Close and A. Kirk, Z. Phys. C **76**, 469 (1997) [hep-ph/9706543].
- [7] K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 034035 (2011) [arXiv:1011.6113 [hep-ph]].
- [8] D.M. Anser *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **62**, 072006 (2000).
- [9] G. Abbiendi *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], Eur. J. Phys. C **13**, 197 (2000).
- [10] D. M. Li, B. Ma and H. Yu, Eur. Phys. J. A **26**, 141 (2005) [hep-ph/0509215]; D. M. Li and Z. Li, Eur. Phys. J. A **28**, 369 (2006) [hep-ph/0606297].
- [11] L. Burakovsky and T. Goldman, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 2879 (1998) [hep-ph/9703271].
- [12] P.V. Chliapnikov, Phys. Lett. B **496**, 129 (2000).
- [13] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985).
- [14] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and C. W. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074025 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0310359].
- [15] H. Y. Cheng and C. K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 114006 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4627 [hep-ph]].
- [16] H. Hatanaka and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094023 (2008) [arXiv:0804.3198 [hep-ph]].
- [17] A. Tayduganov, E. Kou and A. Le Yaouanc, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 074011 (2012) [arXiv:1111.6307 [hep-ph]].
- [18] F. Divotgey, L. Olbrich and F. Giacosa, Eur. Phys. J. A **49**, 135 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1193 [hep-ph]].
- [19] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, B. Joo, M. J. Peardon, D. G. Richards, and C. E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 111502 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4299 [hep-lat]].
- [20] J. J. Dudek, R. G. Edwards, P. Guo and C. E. Thomas, arXiv:1309.2608 [hep-lat].
- [21] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], arXiv:1310.2145 [hep-ex].