

Higgs as a Top-Mode Pseudo*

Hidenori S. Fukano

Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe (KMI),

Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

E-mail: fukano@kmi.nagoya-u.ac.jp

In this talk, in the spirit of the top quark condensation, we introduce a model which has a naturally light composite Higgs boson, “tHiggs”, to be identified with the 126 GeV Higgs discovered at the LHC. The tHiggs emerges as a pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB), “Top-Mode Pseudo”, together with the exact NGBs (eaten by the W and Z bosons) as well as another Top-Mode Pseudo (CP-odd composite scalar). Those five NGBs are dynamically produced simultaneously by a four-fermion dynamics.

KMI International Symposium 2013 on “Quest for the Origin of Particles and the Universe”,

11-13 December, 2013

Nagoya University, Japan

*This talk is based on [1].

1. Introduction

A key clue to access a dynamical origin of the 126 GeV Higgs boson at the LHC [2, 3] would be deduced from an observed coincidence among masses of top quark, Higgs boson and electroweak gauge bosons. This coincidence may imply that the top quark plays a crucial role for both the generation of the electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) scale and the generation of the mass of the Higgs boson. Top quark condensation [4, 5, 6] naturally provides such a close relation between those mass scales. However, the original top quark condensate model is somewhat far from a realistic situation, e.g. a Higgs boson predicted as a $t\bar{t}$ bound state has the mass in a range of $m_t < m_H < 2m_t$, which cannot be identified with the 126 GeV Higgs boson at the LHC.

Based on [1]¹, we introduce a new class of the top quark condensate model, where a composite Higgs boson emerges as a pseudo Nambu–Goldstone boson (PNGB) associated with the spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry, which can be as light as the 126 GeV Higgs boson at the LHC.

2. Model

Let us consider a Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL)-like model constructed from the third generation quarks in the SM, $q = (t, b)$, and an $SU(2)_L$ singlet quark (χ). The left-handed quarks q_L and χ_L form a triplet $\psi_L^i \equiv (t_L, b_L, \chi_L)^T$, ($i = 1, 2, 3$) under the flavor $U(3)_{\psi_L}$ group, while the right-handed top and bottom quarks $q_R^i \equiv (t_R, b_R)^i$, ($i = 1, 2$) and χ_R are a doublet and singlet under the $U(2)_{q_R}$ group, respectively. The electroweak gauge symmetry is embedded as a subgroup of the global symmetry. We thus write the global $U(3)_{\psi_L} \times U(2)_{q_R} \times U(1)_{\chi_R}$ -invariant Lagrangian: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin.}} + \mathcal{L}^{4f}$ where

$$\mathcal{L}^{4f} = G(\bar{\psi}_L^i \chi_R)(\bar{\chi}_R \psi_L^i), \quad (2.1)$$

and G denotes the four-fermion coupling strength. We can derive the gap equations for fermion dynamical masses $m_{t\chi}$ and $m_{\chi\chi}$ through the mean field relations $m_{t\chi} = -G\langle\bar{\chi}_R t_L\rangle$ and $m_{\chi\chi} = -G\langle\bar{\chi}_R \chi_L\rangle$ in the large N_c limit: $m_{t\chi, \chi\chi} = m_{t\chi, \chi\chi}[N_c G/(8\pi^2)][\Lambda^2 - (m_{t\chi}^2 + m_{\chi\chi}^2) \ln \Lambda^2 / (m_{t\chi}^2 + m_{\chi\chi}^2)]$ where Λ stands for the cutoff of the model. There exist nontrivial solutions $m_{t\chi} \neq 0$ and $m_{\chi\chi} \neq 0$ when the criticality condition is satisfied: $G > G_{\text{crit}} = 8\pi^2/(N_c \Lambda^2)$ under which we have the nonzero dynamical masses as well as the nonzero condensates, $\langle\bar{\chi}_R q_L\rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle\bar{\chi}_R \chi_L\rangle \neq 0$.

In order to make the structure of the symmetry breaking clearer, we may change the flavor basis of fermions $\psi_L \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}_L$ by an orthogonal rotation. The above gap equations are then reduced to a single gap equation, $1 = [N_c G/(8\pi^2)] \left[\Lambda^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2 \ln \Lambda^2 / m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2 \right]$ with $m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2 \equiv m_{t\chi}^2 + m_{\chi\chi}^2 \neq 0$. Accordingly, the associated two condensates are reduced to a single nonzero condensate on the basis of $\tilde{\psi}_L$: $\langle\bar{\chi}_R \tilde{\chi}_L\rangle \neq 0$. We thus see that, with the criticality condition satisfied, the four-fermion dynamics triggers the global symmetry breaking pattern $U(3)_{\tilde{\psi}_L} \times U(1)_{\chi_R} \rightarrow U(2)_{\tilde{q}_L} \times U(1)_{V=\tilde{\chi}_L+\chi_R}$. The broken currents associated with this symmetry breaking are found to be $J_{3L}^{a,\mu} = \tilde{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu \lambda^a \tilde{\psi}_L$ and $J_A^{a,\mu} \equiv (1/4)(\chi_R \gamma^\mu \chi_R - \tilde{\psi}_L \lambda^A \tilde{\psi}_L)$ where λ^a ($a = 4, 5, 6, 7, A$) are the Gell-Mann matrices normalized as $\text{tr}[\lambda^a \lambda^b] = 2\delta^{ab}$ and $\lambda^A = \text{diag}(0, 0, \sqrt{2})$. The associated five NGBs emerge with the

¹At almost the same time as [1] a similar model was proposed in a slightly different context [7].

decay constant f as $\langle 0 | J_\mu^a(x) | \pi_i^b(p) \rangle = -if \delta^{ab} p_\mu e^{-ip \cdot x}$ where the decay constant f is calculated through the Pagels-Stokar formula [8]: $f^2 = (N_c/8\pi^2) m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2 \ln(\Lambda^2/m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2)$. The five NGBs (π_i^a) can be expressed as composite fields (interpolating fields) made of the fermion bilinears on the basis of $(\tilde{\psi}_L, \chi_R)$. Besides these composite NGBs, there exists a composite scalar (H_t^0) corresponding to the σ mode in the usual NJL model, $H_t^0 \sim \tilde{\chi}_R \tilde{\chi}_L + \tilde{\chi}_L \chi_R$ with the mass $m_{H_t^0}^2 = 4m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2$. The H_t^0 will be regarded as a heavy Higgs boson with the mass of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV, not the light Higgs boson at around 126 GeV.

We incorporate explicit breaking terms into the Lagrangian to give masses to some NGBs: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin.}} + \mathcal{L}^{4f} + \mathcal{L}^h$ where $(\Delta_{\chi\chi}, G' > 0)$

$$\mathcal{L}^h = - [\Delta_{\chi\chi} \tilde{\chi}_R \chi_L + \text{h.c.}] - G' (\tilde{\chi}_L \chi_R) (\tilde{\chi}_R \chi_L). \quad (2.2)$$

The gap equations for fermion dynamical masses $m_{t\chi, \chi\chi}$ are given by $m_{t\chi} = m_{t\chi} [N_c G / (8\pi^2)] [\Lambda^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2 \ln \Lambda^2 / m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2]$, $m_{\chi\chi} = \Delta_{\chi\chi} + m_{\chi\chi} [N_c (G - G') / (8\pi^2)] [\Lambda^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2 \ln \Lambda^2 / m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2]$ where $m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi}^2 = m_{t\chi}^2 + m_{\chi\chi}^2$. In this case, the nonzero $\Delta_{\chi\chi}$ and G' allow to determine the ratio of two dynamical masses $m_{t\chi}$ and $m_{\chi\chi}$, i.e. $\tan \theta = m_{t\chi} / m_{\chi\chi}$, in contrast to the previous gap equations which only determine the squared-sum of two, $m_{t\chi}^2 + m_{\chi\chi}^2$. It turns out that Eq.(2.2) does not affect the criticality of the four-fermion dynamics at all. Eq.(2.2) forces the vacuum to choose a specific direction, $\langle \tilde{\chi}_R \tilde{\chi}_L \rangle \neq 0$, and give masses to some of the NGBs. In fact, Eq.(2.2) is invariant under the chiral transformation associated with the broken currents $(J_{3L}^{6,\mu} \pm iJ_{3L}^{7,\mu})$ and $(J_{3L}^{4,\mu} \cos \theta + J_{3L}^{5,\mu} \sin \theta)$, but not for $J_{\mu 3L}^5$ and $(-J_{3L}^{4,\mu} \sin \theta + J_{3L}^{5,\mu} \cos \theta)$. Hence Eq.(2.2) gives masses only to the NGBs associate with latter two:

$$m_{z_i^0}^2 = m_{w_i^\pm}^2 = 0 \quad , \quad m_{A_i^0}^2 = \frac{2 \langle \tilde{\chi}_R \tilde{\chi}_L \rangle \langle \tilde{\chi}_R \chi_L \rangle}{f^2 \cos \theta} \quad , \quad m_{h_i^0}^2 = m_{A_i^0}^2 \sin^2 \theta. \quad (2.3)$$

The would-be NGBs (z_i^0, w_i^\pm) eaten by the Z and W bosons are found to be $z_i^0 \equiv \pi_i^4 \cos \theta + \pi_i^5 \sin \theta$, $w_i^\pm \equiv (1/\sqrt{2})(\pi_i^6 \mp i\pi_i^7)$. Other NGBs remain as physical states: $h_i^0 \equiv \pi_i^5$, $A_i^0 \equiv -\pi_i^4 \sin \theta + \pi_i^5 \cos \theta$ and these NGBs become pseudo NGBs, called ‘‘Top-Mode Pseudos’’, obtaining their masses once explicit breaking effects are introduced as Eq.(2.2).

We identify the CP-even Top-Mode Pseudo, h_t^0 , as the 126 GeV Higgs, called tHiggs. The mass of h_t^0 is proportional to $m_{t\chi}$ associated with the EWSB scale v_{EW} as $\sin \theta = m_{t\chi} / m_{\tilde{\chi}\chi} = v_{\text{EW}} / f$, just like the case of the SM Higgs boson, while the mass of A_t^0 is not. We thus set the mass of h_t^0 to $\simeq 126$ GeV: $m_{h_t^0} = m_{A_t^0} \sin \theta \simeq 126$ GeV.

3. Phenomenological constraints on Top-Mode Pseudos

After adding four-fermion interactions to give the SM fermion masses, we find the tHiggs h_t^0 couplings to the SM particles in the present model are described by (see [1, 10] for details)

$$g_{hVV} \frac{v_{\text{EW}}}{2} \left(g^2 h_t^0 W_\mu^+ W^{-\mu} + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2} h_t^0 Z_\mu Z^\mu \right) - \sum_{f=t,b,\tau} g_{hff} \frac{m_f}{v_{\text{EW}}} h_t^0 \bar{f} f, \quad (3.1)$$

where $g_{hVV} = g_{hbb} = g_{h\tau\tau} = \cos \theta$ and $g_{htt} = \sqrt{(1 + \cos^2 \theta)}/2$. We see that the h_t^0 couplings to the W and Z bosons and to the SM fermions become the same as the SM Higgs ones when we take the limit $\cos \theta \rightarrow 1$, i.e., $g_{hVV} = g_{hbb} = g_{h\tau\tau} = g_{htt} = g^{\text{SM}} (= 1)$ when $\sin \theta = v_{\text{EW}} / f \rightarrow 0$ by $f \rightarrow \infty$ with

$v_{EW} = 246 \text{ GeV}$ fixed. Examining Eq.(3.1), we see that the couplings of h_t^0 to the W and Z bosons deviate from the SM Higgs ones by $\kappa_V \equiv g_{hVV}/g_{hVV}^{\text{SM}} = \cos \theta$ where $V = W$ and Z . The current LHC data give the constraint on κ_V to be $\kappa_V > 0.94$ at 95% C.L. for the 126 GeV Higgs boson [9]. Therefore, we obtain the following constraint on the angle θ : $\sin \theta < 0.34$. This bound combined with the mass relation in Eq.(2.3) constrains the A_t^0 mass to be $m_{A_t^0} \gtrsim 370 \text{ GeV}$. The A_t^0 does not couple to the W and Z bosons due to the CP-symmetry and couplings to other SM particles are generically suppressed by $\sin \theta (< 0.34)$. Hence the A_t^0 is distinguishable from that of the SM-like Higgs boson in the high-mass SM Higgs boson search at the LHC. More detailed LHC study is discussed in [10].

4. Summary

We introduced a model which has a naturally light composite Higgs boson, tHiggs, to be identified with the 126 GeV Higgs in the spirit of the top quark condensation based on [1]. The tHiggs, a bound state of the top quark and its flavor (vector-like) partner, emerges as a pseudo NGB. The coupling properties of the tHiggs are shown to be consistent with the currently available data reported from the LHC.

References

- [1] H. S. Fukano, M. Kurachi, S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki, *Higgs as a Top-Mode Pseudo*, [arXiv:1311.6629 [hep-ph]].
- [2] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012) [arXiv:1207.7214 [hep-ex]].
- [3] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30 (2012) [arXiv:1207.7235 [hep-ex]].
- [4] V. A. Miransky, M. Tanabashi and K. Yamawaki, *Dynamical Electroweak Symmetry Breaking with Large Anomalous Dimension and t Quark Condensate*, Phys. Lett. B **221**, 177 (1989); *Is the t Quark Responsible for the Mass of W and Z Bosons?*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **4**, 1043 (1989).
- [5] Y. Nambu, *Bootstrap Symmetry Breaking In Electroweak Unification*, EFI-89-08.
- [6] W. A. Bardeen, C. T. Hill and M. Lindner, *Minimal Dynamical Symmetry Breaking of the Standard Model*, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1647 (1990).
- [7] H. -C. Cheng, B. A. Dobrescu and J. Gu, *Higgs mass from compositeness at a multi-TeV scale*, [arXiv:1311.5928 [hep-ph]].
- [8] H. Pagels and S. Stokar, *The Pion Decay Constant, Electromagnetic Form-Factor and Quark Electromagnetic Selfenergy in QCD*, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 2947 (1979).
- [9] The ATLAS Collaboration, *Combined coupling measurements of the Higgs-like boson with the ATLAS detector using up to 25 fb^{-1} of proton-proton collision data*, [ATLAS-CONF-2013-034].
- [10] H. S. Fukano and S. Matsuzaki, *Top-Mode Pseudos at the LHC*, [arXiv:1401.6292 [hep-ph]].