

Scintillating Fiber Detector for the Beam Loss Proton Measurements at J-PARC Linac

Olga A. Konstantinova¹

High Energy Accelerator Research Organization 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan E-mail: olga@post.kek.jp

Hiroyuki Sako

Japan Atomic Energy Agency 2-4 Shirakata-Shirane, Tokai, Naka-gun, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan E-mail: hiroyuki.sako@j-parc.jp

Tomofumi Maruta

High Energy Accelerator Research Organization 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan E-mail: tmaruta@post.j-parc.jp

Akihiko Miura

Japan Atomic Energy Agency 2-4 Shirakata-Shirane, Tokai, Naka-gun, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan E-mail: miura.akihiko@jaea.go.jp

In the J-PARC linac, due to its high intensity H⁻ beam, significant beam loss has been observed at a downstream straight beam line section called ACS (Annular-Coupled Structure linac). The loss is mainly due to a proton which is produced by double electron stripping of the H⁻ beam by residual gas inside the beam pipe, and the titanium beam pipe. We have developed a detector system consisting of eight planes of the scintillating fiber detector in order to measure proton tracks emitted from the beam pipe of the J-PARC linac. The system measures the position and the time-of-flight of a charged particle track in a small solid angle. We show angular and energy distributions of proton tracks measured in 2012-2013. We also show comparison of the results with simulation.

Technology and Instrumentation in Particle Physics 2014 2-6 June, 2014 Amsterdam, the Netherlands

1

Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

1.Introduction

Due to the high intensity H⁻ beam, significant beam loss has been observed at the ACS (Annular-Coupled Structure linac) section in the J-PARC linac [1-4]. Its schematic layout and designed operation parameters achieved in 2012 are shown at Fig. 1 [1]. The highest radiation level was measured to be a few 100 μ Sv/h in 2012. The beam loss distribution measured with Ar-CO₂ proportional counters in the *z*-position (along the beam line), and simulated distribution are shown at Fig. 2. The peaks measured at *z* = 30-110 m are due to X rays emitted from RF cavities. High beam loss signals are detected in the ACS and L3BT sections.

The beam loss signal amplitude is supposed to be dependent on the residual gas pressure (see Fig. 3). The data obtained by the proportional counters is plotted as a function of the pressure in the beam duct. Thus we suggest that the beam loss is caused by electron stripping of the H⁻ beam by residual gas in the beam duct.



Figure 1: A schematic layout of the J-PARC linac.



Figure 2: Beam loss measured with proportional counters (squares), and simulated beam loss (solid lines) as a function of the *z*-position along beam line (m) at the J-PARC linac.



Figure 3: Beam loss signal amplitude measured with the proportional counter as a function of the pressure in the beam duct.

2.Detector System

The beam loss mechanism due to residual gas interaction of H⁻ is shown ta Fig. 4 [5-6]. If an H⁻ has interacted with an atom in residual gas, it is converted to H⁰ by losing an electron. Since H⁰ is a neutral particle, it is not focused by quadruple magnets and retains its momentum vector at the interaction since the binding energy between an electron and H⁰ is only a few eV.

Then, most of H^0 s hit the beam duct. An H^0 passing through the beam duct loses one more electron with 100% probability to become H^+ . Thus, H^+ s are emitted from the surface of the beam duct.

As far as an H⁺ is produced from an H⁻ by double electron stripping, the measured number of H⁺s is same as the number of lost H⁻s. The goal of the present detector system is to measure the number of H⁺s, namely protons emitted from the beam duct. The detector is required to measure a charged particle track in a high rate (~1 MHz/cm²), and it has to distinguish a proton of 50-100 MeV from an electron of β =1. The detector system is designed as shown as Fig. 4 with eight detector planes made of plastic scintillating fibers. The upstream 4 planes are separated from the downstream 4 planes by about 1.6 m for the time-of-flight measurement. The upstream two planes measure horizontal positions and the other two planes measure vertical positions. Each plane consists of 16 of 4 x 4 x 16 mm³ scintillating fibers as shown in Fig. 5. Each pair of planes is optically connected to a multi-anode photomultiplier (PMT) (Hamamatsu H8500C) via light guide fibers.

The detector system is installed in the upstream part of ACS section as shown in Fig. 6. The upstream and downstream sets of planes can be moved in the horizontal and vertical directions remotely with stepping motors in order to measure tracks at different positions and angles. PMT signals of each channel are transmitted via coaxial cables to the amplifiers at the 10 m above ground level. The trigger signal of a charged particle track is defined as a coincidence signal of the 12th dynode signals of the 4 PMTs. The dynode signal serves as

analogue sum of signals in all the 64 channels of a PMT. Therefore, the trigger signal should be generated when a charged track passes through the 8 fiber planes. The trigger signal is used as a gate signal for the QDC (Charge-to-digital converter) to measure the signal amplitude, and used for the common stop signal of the TDC (Time-to-digital converter) to measure the signal timing.



Figure 4: A schematic view of an H⁺ detection with the detector system.



Figure 5: A scintillating fiber plane.



Figure 6: The detector system installed in the ACS section.

3.Results

We studied the possible background particles using the GEANT4 code to simulate the H⁻ electron stripping processes, which includes the geometry of the beam duct, magnets, and RF cavities, and the physics processes of H⁻ and H⁰ electron stripping. Fig. 7 shows energy distributions of protons, neutrons, gamma-rays and electrons produced around the detector *z*-position. At energy above 60 MeV, proton signals are dominant, while at energy at 30-60 MeV, the main background is neutrons and the signal-to-background ratio is 1-5. Electrons and

gamma rays have significant contributions only at energy less than 1 MeV. Since only a charged particle passing through the fiber planes can be detected, in the above background, only electrons can be background. In such case, the background can be rejected by requiring the time-of-flight cut for β =0.31-0.43 (corresponding to the energy of 50-100 MeV) for protons. A neutron could be detected if it has interacted with protons in the fiber and is converted to a proton which passes through the fiber planes. However, such a nuclear interaction probability is small (~2.8%).



Figure 7: Simulated energy distribution for protons, neutrons, gammas, and electrons around the detector *z*-position.

Three-dimensional straight tracks were reconstructed in (z, t, x) or (z, t, y) space with horizontal 4 planes and vertical 3 planes respectively (signals at the vertical most downstream plane were not read out by electronics). We reconstruct a straight line connecting hits on the outmost planes, and search for hits on the inner planes closest to the track projection. Figure 8 shows the residual distribution at the H2 plane. Two signal peaks due to the fiber width are clearly seen.

Then, for each track, we calculate the time-of-flight as the time difference between a pair of planes as shown in Fig. 9. Only a single peak corresponding to protons is seen, while no peak corresponding to β =1 (to electrons) is observed. In order to extract the number of protons, we fit the distribution with a Gaussian function (accidental background) and with a Lorentzian function (signal) and obtained the number of protons as the integral of the fitted Lorentzian function.

The number of the protons per beam pulse (with 600 μ s width and 25 Hz repetition) is derived using the following relation;

$$N = \frac{N_{track}}{N_{pulse}} \frac{N_{trig}}{N_{DAQ}}$$

where N_{track} is the number of reconstructed proton tracks, N_{pulse} is the number of beam pulses, N_{trig} is the number of triggers per beam pulse, and N_{DAQ} is the number of triggers collected by the data acquisition system.

The proton rate per beam pulse per solid angle is calculated as the following formula;

$$\frac{dN(\theta_x)}{d\Omega} = \frac{d^2N}{\Delta\theta_x\Delta\theta_y},$$

where $\theta_x (\Delta \theta_x)$ and $\theta_y (\Delta \theta_y)$ are the mean (rms) of the track angle distribution in the *z*-*x* plane and the *z*-*y* plane, respectively.



Figure 8: Residual distributions of a fiber hit in the horizontal position (horizontal axis) and the time (vertical axis) with respect to the projected track position at H2 plane.

Figure 9: Time-of-flight distribution (ns) at θ_x =4.6 deg. The signal peak (red line) corresponds to the protons, the blue line is its Gaussian fitting and the green line corresponds to the electrons signal.

Fig. 10 shows the proton rate (deg⁻²) as a function of θ_x . Each data point corresponds to the rate at a geometry configuration of the upstream and the downstream detector sets. Each color denotes θ_x dependence at a fixed x-position of the upstream detector set (x_{up}). The distributions peak around θ_x =5-6 deg. The simulated angle distributions shown as dashed lines also peak at 6 deg. correspondingly. The width of the measured distribution is consistent with the simulation at x_{up} =100 mm, but smaller at x_{up} =300 and 350 mm, and wider at 200 mm. Also the rates are not ordered in x_{up} , but it rather decreases with the measured time (the order in time is 300 mm, 350 mm, 100 mm, and 200 mm), which may suggest radiation damages of the scintillation fibers, but detailed investigation is necessary. Table 1 summarizes integrated rates over the solid angle per *z*-position (m⁻¹), and also the ratio of the measured number of H⁺s over that of H⁻s per beam pulse (2.5x10¹³). The smaller measured ratios may be due to inefficiency of the detector system which has not been corrected yet.

The mean proton energy as a function of θ_x , shown at the Fig. 11 is compared with the simulation. The simulated energy of ~90 MeV is consistent with the measured energy within \pm 25 MeV at θ_x =3-8 deg. A slight increase seen in the simulation at θ_x =2-5 deg. may be due to reduction of the beam loss because of smaller effective thickness of the titanium beam duct as θ_x increases. This tendency is seen at x_{up} =200, 300, and 350 mm. Although at θ_x >8 deg. sudden decrease is predicted by the simulation, the data does not follow this and only slight decrease is observed, which has not been understood yet.

The z-position of the closest approach of the track to the beam axis at an upstream position (see Fig. 12) should reflect the beam loss source position. At $x_{up}=300$ and 350 mm the distributions peak around z=4.5-4.0 m upstream from the detector system, while at x_{uv} =100 and 200 mm the distributions peak around z=2.0-2.5 m upstream from the detector system. We need to apply efficiency and acceptance corrections to understand the source distributions.



function of θ_x (deg).

Figure 10: Proton rates per beam pulse as a Figure 11: Mean kinetic energies (MeV) as a function of θ_x (deg).



Figure 12: Proton rates as a function of the closest approach z-position (m) of the track to the beam axis.

x_{up} (mm)	$dN/dz (m^{-1})$	N_{H^+}/N_{H^-}
100	316538	1.27x10 ⁻⁸
200	180189	7.21x10 ⁻⁹
300	441681	1.77x10 ⁻⁸
350	211315	8.45x10 ⁻⁹

Table 1: Proton rates per beam pulse and the H^+/H^- ratios.

4.Summary and Prospects

We measured the absolute beam loss rate for the first time at the J-PARC linac, by measuring H⁺ emitted from the beam duct, using the scintillating fiber detector. We reconstructed charged particle tracks passing through seven fiber planes. The time-of-flight corresponds to the protons. We measured the proton rate per unit source length of (1.8-4.4) x10⁵ (m⁻¹) and the corresponding H⁺/H⁻ ratio of (0.72-1.77) x10⁻⁸, which is close to the estimation from the residual gas pressure of $2.7x10^{-8}$. The distributions of the horizontal angle θx which have the peaks at 5-6 deg. are consistent with the simulation. The mean proton energies of 80-115 MeV are in a good agreement with the simulated value of 90 MeV within ± 25 MeV.

We are going to study efficiency and acceptance of the detector and apply the corrections. We are also going to evaluate radiation effects. From Oct. 2014, we will restart proton measurements at downstream of the ACS section.

This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Exploratory Research No. 23656063 and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 24510134 from JSPS.

References

- [1] H. Sako, et al., *Beam Loss Particle Tracking in J-PARC Linac*, in proceedings of *PASJ2011 conference*, 501 (2011).
- [2] H. Sako, et al., *Measurement of Beam Loss Tracks by Scintillating Fibers at J-PARC Linac*, in proceedings of *IPAC11 conference*, 1251 (2011).
- [3] H. Sako, et al., Observations of Beam Loss Proton Trajectories in J-PARC Linac, in proceedings of PASJ2012 conference, 638 (2012).
- [4] H. Sako, et al., Beam Loss Track Measurements by a Fast Trigger Scheme at J-PARC Linac, in proceedings of LINAC2012 conference, 663 (2012).
- [5] H. Sako, et al., *Study of Beam Loss Spatial Distribution Measurements at J-PARC Linac*, in proceedings of *IPAC13 conference*, 524 (2013).
- [6] H. Sako, et al., Angular Distributions of Beam Loss Protons at J-PARC Linac, in proceedings of PASJ2013 conference, 1098 (2013).
- [7] S. Agostinelli, et al., *Geant4 a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* 506 (2003) 250 [10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368].
- [8] Flat panel type 64ch multianode PMT assembly, H8500C, Hamamatsu Photonics K. K., Literature Number: PMT:R10551-00-M64, <u>www.hamamatsu.com</u>.