## Triviality of $\phi_{4}^{4}$ in the broken phase revisited
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We define a finite size renormalization scheme for $\phi^{4}$ theory which in the thermodynamic limit reduces to the standard scheme used in the broken phase. We use it to re-investigate the question of triviality for the four dimensional infinite bare coupling (Ising) limit. The relevant observables all rely on two-point functions and are very suitable for a precise estimation with the worm algorithm. This contribution updates an earlier publication by analysing a much larger dataset.
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## 1. Summary of theoretical background

This brief article gives an update on [1] in the sense that we here analyze a very much enlarged new data set. This is found in the next section while we here summarize the theory for the reader's convenience ${ }^{1}$.

We consider the single component $\mathbf{Z}(2)$ symmetric scalar field theory on a torus of length $L$ embedded in four dimensional Euclidean space. We employ the simplest hypercubic lattice discretization with $L / a$ sites in each direction and the standard nearest neighbor lattice action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{x}\left[\varphi(x)^{2}+\lambda\left(\varphi(x)^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right]-2 \kappa \sum_{x \mu} \varphi(x) \varphi(x+\hat{\mu}) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard picture for this quantum field theory is [3, 4] that there is a critical line $\kappa_{c}(\lambda)$ where the model possesses a continuum limit. This limit in $\kappa$ may be approached from below to reach the symmetric massive continuum theory or (for $\lambda>0$ ) from above to define the brokensymmetry massive model. The latter is of particular theorectical interest here due to some similarity to the Higgs field in the standard model.

As a standard way to renormalize the infinite volume theory in the broken phase we may match the Fourier transform $G(p)$ of the two point correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p)=\sum_{x} \mathrm{e}^{-i p x}\langle\varphi(x) \varphi(0)\rangle \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the asymptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p)=Z\left\{v^{2} \delta^{4}(p)+\frac{1}{\hat{p}^{2}+m^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\hat{p}^{4}\right)}\right\} \quad(p \rightarrow 0) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the limit of vanishing $p$. Here the lattice momenta implied by our discretization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{\mu}=\frac{2}{a} \sin \left(a p_{\mu} / 2\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

have entered. In this formula $v$ and $m$ are the renormalized vacuum expectation value and the renormalized mass and $Z$ is a multiplicative renormalization factor. We prefer to define $v$ from a zero momentum contribution to the unsubtracted two point function rather than from the direct expectation value $\langle\varphi\rangle$ because we thus avoid subleties with an otherwise necessary symmetry breaking external field and we prepare the extension of the scheme to a finite size system.

On a finite torus - lattice or continuum - all momentum components get quantized to integer multiples of $2 \pi / L$. We now focus on three momenta with the smallest mutually differing values of $p^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =(0,0,0,0)  \tag{1.5}\\
p_{*} & =(1,0,0,0) \frac{2 \pi}{L}  \tag{1.6}\\
p_{* *} & =(1,1,0,0) \frac{2 \pi}{L} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]for which we enforce (1.3) as exact equality and then solve for $Z, m, v$. The result is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}=(m L)^{2}=\frac{G\left(p_{* *}\right) \hat{p}_{* *}^{2} L^{2}-G\left(p_{*}\right) \hat{p}_{*}^{2} L^{2}}{G\left(p_{*}\right)-G\left(p_{* *}\right)} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{2}=(v L)^{2}=\frac{G(0)}{G\left(p_{*}\right)} \frac{1}{L^{2} \hat{p}_{*}^{2}+z^{2}}-z^{-2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the dimensionless finite size scaling quantities $z=m L$ and $w=v L$. In addition we have substitued

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{4}(p) \rightarrow L^{4} \delta_{p, 0} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the finite size system. A renormalized coupling in the broken phase is conveniently defined by the ratio of mass to expectation value,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{3 m^{2}}{v^{2}}=\frac{3 z^{2}}{w^{2}} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In an expansion around one of the degenerate minima $g$ is seen to coincide with the bare coupling up to loop corrections.

In our numerical investigation we have restricted ourselves to the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Then the path integral over lattice fields $\varphi$ with weight $\exp (-S)$ reduces to the Ising model where we sum over cofigurations $\{\varphi(x)= \pm 1\}$.

The required observable $G(p)$ can be very conveniently estimated in the loop representation [5] of the Ising model which is efficiently sampled by the worm algorithm [6]. In this ensemble the $\tanh (2 \kappa)$ expansion of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{Z}=\sum_{u, v} \sum_{\varphi} \mathrm{e}^{2 \kappa \sum_{x, \mu} \varphi(x) \varphi(x+\hat{\mu})} \varphi(u) \varphi(v) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is sampled. As a consequence the distribution of $u$ and $v$ is related to the two point correlation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\varphi(x) \varphi(0)\rangle=\frac{\left\langle\left\langle\delta_{x, u-v}\right\rangle\right\rangle}{\left\langle\left\langle\delta_{u, v}\right\rangle\right\rangle} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where double angles refer to the average defined by (1.12). Finally the desired Fourier transforms are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p)=\frac{\left\langle\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{-i p(u-v)}\right\rangle\right\rangle}{\left\langle\left\langle\delta_{u, v}\right\rangle\right\rangle}=\frac{\left\langle\left\langle\prod_{\mu} \cos \left(p_{\mu}(u-v)_{\mu}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle}{\left\langle\left\langle\delta_{u, v}\right\rangle\right\rangle} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the reflection invariance in each direction is used to get a real representation in terms of cosines only.

## 2. New data

In comparison to [1] we have substantially extended our simulations. In table 1 we compile our complete dataset. Apart from some memory optimizations, our implementation of the worm algorithm is a standard one. The only 4D field that we keep in memory, is the link field that represents a graph of the $\tanh (2 \kappa)$ expansion. Since it can only assume two values per link, $V / 2$ bytes suffice for its storage. Thus even our largest lattices fit comfortably into the memory of a

| $L / a$ | $2 \kappa$ | $z^{2}$ | $g$ | $\left.\tilde{g}\right\|_{z^{2}=10}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 0.1524600 | $10.024(96)$ | $29.13(30)$ | $29.70(26)$ |
| 12 | 0.1509920 | $10.008(98)$ | $24.88(26)$ | $25.09(22)$ |
| 16 | 0.1504500 | $9.928(80)$ | $22.30(19)$ | $22.44(16)$ |
| 24 | 0.1500460 | $9.974(98)$ | $19.65(21)$ | $19.70(18)$ |
| 32 | 0.1498990 | $9.970(65)$ | $17.93(13)$ | $17.96(11)$ |
| 48 | 0.1497900 | $10.189(53)$ | $16.121(93)$ | $16.074(77)$ |
| 64 | 0.1497484 | $10.03(11)$ | $15.03(18)$ | $15.03(15)$ |
| 80 | 0.1497294 | $10.10(14)$ | $14.36(22)$ | $14.34(19)$ |
| 160 | 0.1497035 | $10.30(38)$ | $12.06(49)$ | $12.01(42)$ |

Table 1: Simulation results for $z$ and $g$ at growing $L / a$ and for $\tilde{g}$ corrected to refer to $z^{2}=10$.
standard desktop PC. It took roughly 43 k core hours to generate our most expensive ( $L / a=160$ ) ensemble. This corresponds to $1.2 \times 10^{7} \times V$ worm updates.

The coupling $\tilde{g}$ is related to the renormalized coupling $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}=g \frac{1}{\left(1-a^{2} m^{2} / 16\right)^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i. e. it only differs by a small lattice artefact. The rationale [1] is that the Callan Symanzik $\beta$ function for $g$ has a tree level artefact contribution which is absent for $\tilde{g}$. For our $z^{2}=10$ data this amounts to a relative $1.25 L^{-2}$ correction that is completely insignificant except for the smallest lattices.


Figure 1: Data and linear fit for $g^{-2} d g / d z$.

We had to tune $\kappa$ to approach $z^{2}=10$. Table 1 shows that we were often successful within our small statistical errors. To implement the remaining tiny correction leading to the last column we
have numerically determined the derivative $d g / d\left(z^{2}\right)$. This is relatively easy by using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \frac{d}{d t}\langle\langle A\rangle\rangle=\langle\langle A K\rangle\rangle-\langle\langle A\rangle\rangle\langle\langle K\rangle\rangle \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds in the loop representation [5]. In this formula $t \equiv \tanh (2 \kappa)$ is used, $A$ can be any $\kappa$-independent observable and $K$ is the total number of links occupied by lines. We see in figure 1 that beyond $L=64$ the connected correlation (2.2) is too noisy to get a signal and we had to extrapolate with the shown linear fit. Its form is suggested by perturbation theory. We emphasize however that any error in this procedure only affects a systematic correction in the final results that itself is only of the order of the statistical error.


Figure 2: Cutoff dependence of the coupling $\tilde{g}$.
Our main result is now represented by figure 2. The curves show the evolution with the perturbative renormalization group at 1,2 and 3 loop $^{2}$ precision where the evolution is started (in both directions) from our most precise data point at $L / a=48$. The shaded bands represent the small error of the initial value. We see a perfect match of all our data points with the three loop evolution and thus complete consistency with the (logarithmic) triviality scenario.
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[^0]:    *Speaker.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A more detailed elementary introduction can be found in [2].

[^2]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{We}$ have to note that the three loop term is taken from [4] and refers to $z=\infty$. According to experiences in the symmetric phase [7] the value for $z^{2}=10$ is expected to be very similar.

