

Nucleon structure in light-front quark model constrained by AdS/QCD

Valery Lyubovitskij*

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen, Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany Department of Physics, Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia Mathematical Physics Department, Tomsk Polytechnic University, Lenin Avenue 30, 634050 Tomsk, Russia E-mail: valeri.lyubovitskij@uni-tuebingen.de

Thomas Gutsche

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen, Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany E-mail: thomas.gutsche@uni-tuebingen.de

Ivan Schmidt

Departamento de Física y Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso (CCTVal), Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile E-mail: ivan.schmidt@usm.cl

Alfredo Vega

Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de Valparaíso, Avenida Gran Bretaña 1111, Valparaíso, Chile Centro de Astrofísica de Valparaíso, Avenida Gran Bretaña 1111, Valparaíso, Chile E-mail: alfredo.vega@uv.cl

Using global fits of valence u and d quark parton distributions and data on quark and nucleon form factors in the Euclidean region, we derive a light-front quark model for the nucleon structure consistent with quark counting rules.

XXII International Baldin Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems 15-20 September, 2014 JINR, Dubna, Russia

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

The main objective of this paper is to continue our study of a phenomenological light-front wave function (LFWF) for the nucleon started as in Ref. [1]. We derived a LFWF for hadrons both for pions and nucleons which at an initial scale is constrained by the soft-wall AdS/QCD model, and which at higher scales gives the correct scaling behavior of parton distributions and form factors. The explicit form of the wave function at large scales is extracted from the hard evolution of parton distribution functions (PDFs) and generalized parton distributions (GPDs). The proposed wave function produces form factors consistent with quark counting rules [2] and also gives predictions for the corresponding parton distributions. In our considerations we obtained harder PDFs in comparison with the results of global fits (see e.g. results of Martin, Stirling, Thorne and Watt (MSTW) [3]). The reason for a softening of the PDFs was discussed in the pion case in Ref. [4]. There it was clearly demonstrated that the inclusion of next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) threshold resummation effects, due to collinear and soft gluon contributions, leads to a softer pion PDF [4]. This result also shows that we should take into account these resummation effects and derive an improved nucleon LFWF. In Ref. [5] we demonstrate how to derive in the case of the pion a LFWF producing a softer PDF as in Ref. [4] and a pionic electromagnetic form factor consistent with data and quark counting rules. Here we extend this idea to the case of the nucleon. We propose a LFWF for the nucleon modelled as a quark-scalar diquark bound state, with a specific dependence on the transverse momentum \mathbf{k}_{\perp} and the light-cone variable x. This LFWF produces PDFs for valence *u*- and *d*-quark found in the global fits of Ref. [3]. It also describes the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon including their flavor decomposition into u and d quark form factors up to values of the momentum transfer squared $Q^2 = 30 \text{ GeV}^2$ in the Euclidean region. It is important to stress that the calculated nucleon electromagnetic form factors are consistent with quark counting rules for large values of Q^2 .

2. Light-front quark-diquark model for the nucleon

In this section we propose a phenomenological LFWF $\psi_{\tau}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ for the nucleon, set up as a bound state of an active quark and a spectator scalar diquark [6]. This LFWF is able to produce the *u*- and *d*-quark PDFs derived in the global fits of Ref. [3] and generates electromagnetic form factors of nucleons including their flavor decomposition which are consistent with data. First we collect the well-known decompositions [7] of the nucleon Dirac and Pauli form factors $F_{1,2}^N$ (N = p, n) in terms of the valence quarks distributions in nucleons with $F_{1,2}^q$ (q = u, d), which then are related to the GPDs (\mathcal{H}^q and \mathcal{E}^q) [8] of valence quarks

$$F_i^{p(n)}(Q^2) = \frac{2}{3} F_i^{u(d)}(Q^2) - \frac{1}{3} F_i^{d(u)}(Q^2),$$

$$F_1^q(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \,\mathscr{H}^q(x, Q^2), \quad F_2^q(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \,\mathscr{E}^q(x, Q^2).$$
(2.1)

At $Q^2 = 0$ the GPDs are related to the quark densities — valence $q_v(x)$ and magnetic $\mathscr{E}_q(x)$ as

$$\mathscr{H}^q(x,0) = q_v(x), \quad \mathscr{E}^q(x,0) = \mathscr{E}^q(x), \tag{2.2}$$

which are normalized as

$$n_q = F_1^q(0) = \int_0^1 dx q_v(x), \quad \kappa_q = F_2^q(0) = \int_0^1 dx \mathscr{E}^q(x).$$
(2.3)

The number of *u* or *d* valence quarks in the proton is denoted by n_q , and κ_q is the quark anomalous magnetic moment.

Next we recall the definitions of the nucleon Sachs form factors $G_{E/M}^N(Q^2)$ and the electromagnetic $\langle r_{E/M}^2 \rangle^N$ radii in terms of the Dirac and Pauli form factors

$$G_{E}^{N}(Q^{2}) = F_{1}^{N}(Q^{2}) - \frac{Q^{2}}{4m_{N}^{2}}F_{2}^{N}(Q^{2}), \quad G_{M}^{N}(Q^{2}) = F_{1}^{N}(Q^{2}) + F_{2}^{N}(Q^{2}),$$
$$\langle r_{E}^{2} \rangle^{N} = -6\frac{dG_{E}^{N}(Q^{2})}{dQ^{2}}\Big|_{Q^{2}=0}, \qquad \langle r_{M}^{2} \rangle^{N} = -\frac{6}{G_{M}^{N}(0)}\frac{dG_{M}^{N}(Q^{2})}{dQ^{2}}\Big|_{Q^{2}=0}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $G_M^N(0) \equiv \mu_N$ is the nucleon magnetic moment.

The light-front representation [9, 10] for the Dirac and Pauli quark form factors is

$$F_1^q(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \left[\psi_{+q}^{+*}(x, \mathbf{k}'_\perp) \psi_{+q}^+(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) + \psi_{-q}^{+*}(x, \mathbf{k}'_\perp) \psi_{-q}^+(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \right],$$
(2.5)

$$F_2^q(Q^2) = -\frac{2M_N}{q^1 - iq^2} \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \left[\psi_{+q}^{+*}(x, \mathbf{k}'_\perp) \psi_{+q}^{-}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) + \psi_{-q}^{+*}(x, \mathbf{k}'_\perp) \psi_{-q}^{-}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \right].$$
(2.6)

Here M_N is the nucleon mass, $\psi_{\lambda_q q}^{\lambda_N}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ are the LFWFs at the initial scale μ_0 with specific helicities for the nucleon $\lambda_N = \pm$ and for the struck quark $\lambda_q = \pm$, where plus and minus correspond to $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. We work in the frame with $q = (0, 0, \mathbf{q}_{\perp})$, and where the Euclidean momentum squared is $Q^2 = \mathbf{q}_{\perp}^2$. As the initial scale we choose the value $\mu_0 = 1$ GeV which is used in the MSTW global fit [3]. In the quark-scalar diquark model, the generic ansatz for the massless LFWFs at the initial scale $\mu_0 = 1$ GeV reads

$$\psi_{+q}^{+}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \varphi_{q}^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}), \qquad \psi_{-q}^{+}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = -\frac{k^{1}+ik^{2}}{xM_{N}}\varphi_{q}^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}),
\psi_{+q}^{-}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{k^{1}-ik^{2}}{xM_{N}}\varphi_{q}^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}), \qquad \psi_{-q}^{-}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \varphi_{q}^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}),$$
(2.7)

where $\varphi_q^{(i)}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ are the twist-3 LFWF, which are generalizations of the twist-3 LFWFs found from matching the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon in soft-wall AdS/QCD [11]-[15] and Light-Front QCD (see detailed discussion in Ref. [1]). In particular, as result of the matching the following LFWFs have been deduced

$$\varphi_q^{\text{AdS/QCD}(i)}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = N_q^{(i)} \frac{4\pi}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/x)}{1-x}} \exp\left[-\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}{2\kappa^2} \frac{\log(1/x)}{(1-x)^2}\right],$$
(2.8)

where the $N_q^{(i)}$ are normalization constants fixed by the conditions of (2.3). Note that the derived LFWF is not symmetric under the exchange $x \to 1-x$. This is the case because it was extracted from a matching of matrix elements of the bare electromagnetic current between the dressed

LFWF in LF QCD and of the dressed electromagnetic current between hadronic wave functions in AdS/QCD. The contribution of the struck quark with positive ($\lambda_q = +$) or negative ($\lambda_q = -$) helicity corresponds either to the minimal or the nonminimal coupling of the fermion to the external electromagnetic field in the context of soft-wall AdS/QCD [13]-[15].

The generalization $\varphi_q^{\text{AdS/QCD}(i)}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \rightarrow \varphi_q^{(i)}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ is encoded in the longitudinal factors $f_q^{(i)}(x)$ and $\bar{f}_q(x)$ which take into account collinear and soft-gluon effects as

$$\varphi_q^{(i)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = N_q^{(i)} \frac{4\pi}{\kappa} \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/x)}{1-x}} \sqrt{f_q^{(i)}(x)\bar{f}_q(x)} \exp\left[-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2\kappa^2} \frac{\log(1/x)}{(1-x)^2} \bar{f}_q(x)\right].$$
 (2.9)

These factors lead to softer PDFs, which coincide with the results of the global fit performed e.g. in Ref. [3]. At the same time, the power scaling of electromagnetic form factors for large values of Euclidean momentum squared with $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ remains the same up to power-scaling breaking corrections $\Delta_q^{(i)}$ (see Eqs. (2.18) and (2.20)), which produce fine-tuned fits of the nucleon electromagnetic form factors, i.e. consistent with quark counting rules. The choice of the functions $f_q^{(i)}(x)$ is constrained by the valence *u*- and *d*-quark PDF, while $\bar{f}_q(x)$ is fixed from the fit to quark and nucleon form factors. The functions $f_q^{(i)}(x)$ and $\bar{f}_q(x)$ are specified as

$$f_q^{(1)}(x) = x^{\eta_q^{(1)}-1} (1-x)^{\eta_q^{(2)}-1} (1+\varepsilon_q \sqrt{x}+\gamma_q x),$$

$$f_q^{(2)}(x) = x^{2+\rho_q} (1-x)^{\sigma_q} (1+\lambda_q \sqrt{x}+\delta_q x)^2 f_q^{(1)}(x),$$

$$\bar{f}_q(x) = x^{\bar{\eta}_q^{(1)}} (1-x)^{\bar{\eta}_q^{(2)}} (1+\bar{\varepsilon}_q \sqrt{x}+\bar{\gamma}_q x),$$
(2.10)

where the parameters $\eta_q^{(1)}$, $\eta_q^{(2)}$, ε_q and γ_q are fixed from the global MSTW analysis of Ref. [3] [for simplicity we restrict to leading-order results]. The parameters ρ_q , σ_q , λ_q , δ_q , $\bar{\eta}_q^{(1)}$, $\bar{\eta}_q^{(2)}$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_q$ and $\bar{\gamma}_q$ are fixed from a fit to the anomalous magnetic moments of quarks (nucleons) and to the Q^2 -dependence of the electromagnetic quark (nucleon) form factors. The final set of parameters specifying the functions $f_q^{(i)}(x)$ and $\bar{f}_q(x)$ is listed in TableI. The scale parameter $\kappa = 350$ MeV remains the same as fixed in the analysis of Ref. [1] and used in the analysis for the pion of Ref. [5]. The parameter κ is related to the scale parameter of the background dilaton field providing confinement and is universal for all hadronic wave functions.

The expressions for the quark PDFs read

$$q_{\nu}(x) = (N_q^{(1)})^2 (1-x) f_q^{(1)}(x) + (N_q^{(2)})^2 \frac{\kappa^2}{M_N^2} \frac{(1-x)^3}{x^2 \log(1/x)} \frac{f_q^{(2)}(x)}{\bar{f}_q(x)},$$
(2.11)

$$\mathscr{E}^{q}(x) = 2N_{q}^{(1)}N_{q}^{(2)}\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{x}\sqrt{f_{q}^{(1)}(x)f_{q}^{(2)}(x)}.$$
(2.12)

Notice that the contribution of the struck quark with negative helicity $\lambda_q = -$ (see second term in Eq. (2.11)) to the quark PDFs $q_v(x)$ is relatively suppressed by a factor $\kappa^2/M_N^2 \sim 1/10$. To match the $u_v(x)$ and $d_v(x)$ PDFs fixed in the global fit of Ref. [3], we slightly change the parameters in the longitudinal factor $f_q(x)$. We found that in case of the PDF $u_v(x)$ the contribution of the struck quark with negative helicity is negligible. In the case of the PDF $d_v(x)$ we slightly change the parameter $\eta_d^{(2)} = 5.1244$ fixed in Ref. [3] to $\eta_d^{(2)} = 5.3444$ to match the results of the global fit

There is a number of specifying the functions $f_{q}(x)$ and $f_{q}(x)$				
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value	
$\eta_u^{(1)}$	0.45232	$\eta_d^{(1)}$	0.71978	
$\eta_u^{(2)}$	3.0409	$\eta_d^{(2)}$	5.3444	
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}}$	-2.3737	\mathcal{E}_d	-4.3654	
Yu	8.9924	γ_d	7.4730	
$ar{m{\eta}}_u^{(1)}$	0.195	$ar{\eta}_d^{(1)}$	0.280	
$ar\eta_u^{(2)}$	$\frac{\eta_u^{(2)}-1}{2}-0.54$	$ar{\eta}_d^{(2)}$	$rac{\eta_d^{(2)}-1}{2}-0.60$	
$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{u}$	-0.71	$ar{m{arepsilon}}_d$	-0.10	
$ar{\gamma}_{u}$	0	$ar{\pmb{\gamma}}_d$	0	
$ ho_u$	0.091	$ ho_d$	-0.17	
$\sigma_{\!u}$	$(\eta_u^{(2)} - 1) - 0.2409$	σ_{d}	$(\eta_d^{(2)} - 1) - 2.3444$	
λ_{u}	-2.40	λ_d	-0.35	
δ_u	3.18	δ_d	4.34	

Table 1: Parameters specifying the functions $f_q^{(i)}(x)$ and $\bar{f}_q(x)$

in [3]. Expressions for the quark helicity-independent generalized parton distributions (GPDs) \mathscr{H}^q and \mathscr{E}^q in the nucleon read

$$\mathcal{H}^{q}(x,Q^{2}) = q_{\nu}(x,Q^{2}) \exp\left[-\frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}\log(1/x)\bar{f}_{q}(x)\right],$$

$$q_{\nu}(x,Q^{2}) = q_{\nu}(x) - (N_{q}^{(2)})^{2}\frac{Q^{2}}{4M_{N}^{2}}\frac{(1-x)^{3}}{x^{2}}f_{q}^{(2)}(x),$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{q}(x,Q^{2}) = \mathcal{E}^{q}(x)\exp\left[-\frac{Q^{2}}{4\kappa^{2}}\log(1/x)\bar{f}_{q}(x)\right].$$
(2.13)

In the following we consider the scaling of the PDFs at large x and form factors at large Q^2 . The $q_v(x)$ scale at large x as

$$q_{\nu}(x) \sim (1-x)^{\eta_q^{(2)}}, \ u_{\nu}(x) \sim (1-x)^3, \ d_{\nu}(x) \sim (1-x)^5.$$
 (2.14)

(2)

For the $\mathscr{E}^q(x)$ we have the scaling behavior at large *x* as

$$\mathscr{E}^{q}(x) \sim q_{\nu}(x) \left(1-x\right)^{1+\sigma_{q}/2} \sim \left(1-x\right)^{\eta_{q}^{(2)}+1+\sigma_{q}/2}, \ \mathscr{E}^{u}(x) \sim \left(1-x\right)^{5}, \ \mathscr{E}^{d}(x) \sim \left(1-x\right)^{7}.$$
(2.15)

Note that in the case of the *u*-quark PDFs our results are consistent with perturbative QCD [16]. The *d*-quark PDFs have an additional power of 2 in the scaling behavior as required by the global analysis. In the case of the nucleon form factors we get for large Q^2 the behavior

$$F_1^q(Q^2) \sim \int_0^1 dx (1-x)^{\eta_q^{(2)}} \exp\left[-\frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2} (1-x)^{1+\bar{\eta}_q^{(2)}}\right] \sim \left(\frac{1}{Q^2}\right)^{\frac{1+\eta_q^{(2)}}{1+\bar{\eta}_q^{(2)}}} \sim \left(\frac{1}{Q^4}\right)^{1+\Delta_q^{(1)}}$$
(2.16)

and

$$F_2^q(Q^2) \sim \int_0^1 dx (1-x)^{1+\eta_q^{(2)} + \sigma_q/2} \exp\left[-\frac{Q^2}{4\kappa^2} (1-x)^{1+\bar{\eta}_q^{(2)}}\right] \sim \left(\frac{1}{Q^2}\right)^{\frac{2+\eta_q^{(2)} + \sigma_q/2}{1+\bar{\eta}_q^{(2)}}} \sim \left(\frac{1}{Q^6}\right)^{1+\Delta_q^{(2)}} (2.17)$$

Here, the $\Delta_q^{(1)}$ and $\Delta_q^{(2)}$ are the small corrections encoding a deviation of the Dirac and Pauli quark form factors from the power-scaling laws $1/Q^4$ and $1/Q^6$, respectively. These corrections are given in the form

$$\Delta_q^{(1)} = \frac{1 + \eta_q^{(2)}}{2(1 + \bar{\eta}_q^{(2)})} - 1, \ \Delta_q^{(2)} = \frac{2}{3}\Delta_q^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1 + \sigma_q/2}{1 + \bar{\eta}_q^{(2)}} - 1\right)$$
(2.18)

and vanish for

$$\bar{\eta}_q^{(2)} = \frac{\sigma_q}{2} = \frac{\eta_q^{(2)} - 1}{2} \,. \tag{2.19}$$

The last limit is consistent with the Drell-Yan-West duality [17] relating the large- Q^2 behavior of nucleon electromagnetic form factors and the large-*x* behavior of the structure functions. However, a fine-tuned fit to the electromagnetic form factors requires a deviation of $\Delta_q^{(i)}$ from zero with the numerical values of

$$\Delta_u^{(1)} = 0.365, \ \Delta_d^{(1)} = 0.233, \ \Delta_u^{(2)} = 0.338, \ \Delta_d^{(2)} = 0.081.$$
(2.20)

3. Results

Finally, we discuss our numerical results. The fit results in values for the magnetic moments in terms of the nuclear magneton (n.m.) and for the electromagnetic radii as shown in Table I, we also show the current data [18] on these quantities. In Figs. 1-7 we present the plots of the Dirac and Pauli form factors for *u* and *d* quarks and nucleons. The data in Figs. 1-4 are taken from Refs. [19] and [20], respectively. In Figs. 5-7 we also give results for the Sachs nucleon form factors and compare them with the dipole formula $G_D(Q^2) = 1/(1+Q^2/0.71 \text{ GeV}^2)^2$ and with data. Overall we have good agreement with the data.

Quantity	Our results	Data [18]	
μ_p (in n.m.)	2.793	2.793	
μ_n (in n.m.)	-1.913	-1.913	
r_E^p (fm)	0.781	0.8768 ± 0.0069	
$\langle r_E^2 \rangle^n (\mathrm{fm}^2)$	-0.112	-0.1161 ± 0.0022	
r_M^p (fm)	0.717	$0.777 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.010$	
r_M^n (fm)	0.694	$0.862\substack{+0.009\\-0.008}$	

Table 2: Electromagnetic properties of nucleons

Valery Lyubovitskij

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we want to summarize the main result of our paper. We construct a lightfront quark model (or wave function) consistent with model-independent scaling laws — the DYW duality [17] and quark counting rules [2], and which is explicitly dependent on the scale resolution.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Werner Vogelsang for useful discussions. This work was supported by the DFG under Contract No. LY 114/2-1, by the German Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) under Grant No. 05P12VTCTG, by Marie Curie Reintegration Grant IRG 256574, by CONICYT (Chile) Research Project No. 80140097, by CONICYT (Chile) under Grant No. 7912010025, by FONDECYT (Chile) under Grant No. 1140390 and No. 1141280, and by Tomsk State University Competitiveness Improvement Program. V.E.L. would like to thank Departamento de Física y Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso (CCTVal), Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile for warm hospitality.

References

- T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, Light-front quark model consistent with Drell-Yan-West duality and quark counting rules, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 054033
 [arXiv:1306.0366 [hep-ph]].
- [2] S. J. Brodsky and G. R. Farrar, Scaling Laws at Large Transverse Momentum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31 (1973) 1153; V. A. Matveev, R. M. Muradian and A. N. Tavkhelidze, Automodellism in the large-angle elastic scattering and structure of hadrons, Lett. Nuovo Cim. 7 (1973) 719.
- [3] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne and G. Watt, Parton distributions for the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 63 (2009) 189 [arXiv:0901.0002 [hep-ph]].
- [4] M. Aicher, A. Schafer and W. Vogelsang, *Soft-gluon resummation and the valence parton distribution function of the pion, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 252003 [arXiv:1009.2481 [hep-ph]].
- [5] T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, *Pion light-front wave function, parton distribution and the electromagnetic form factor*, [arXiv:1410.6424 [hep-ph]].
- [6] T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, *Nucleon structure in a light-front quark model consistent with quark counting rules and data*, [arXiv:1411.1710 [hep-ph]].
- [7] A. V. Radyushkin, Nonforward parton densities and soft mechanism for form-factors and wide angle Compton scattering in QCD, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 114008 [hep-ph/9803316]].
- [8] D. Mueller, D. Robaschik, B. Geyer, F. M. Dittes and J. Horejsi, Wave functions, evolution equations and evolution kernels from light-ray operators of QCD, Fortsch. Phys. 42 (1994) 101
 [arXiv:hep-ph/9812448]; X. D. Ji, Gauge invariant decomposition of nucleon spin and its spin-off, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 610 [hep-ph/9603249]; A. V. Radyushkin, Nonforward parton distributions, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 5524 [hep-ph/9704207].
- [9] S. J. Brodsky, S. D. Drell, The anomalous magnetic moment and limits on fermion substructure, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2236.

- [10] S. J. Brodsky and D. S. Hwang, Exact light cone wave function representation of matrix elements of electroweak currents, Nucl. Phys. B 543 (1999) 239 [hep-ph/9806358]; S. J. Brodsky, D. S. Hwang, B. -Q. Ma and I. Schmidt, Light cone representation of the spin and orbital angular momentum of relativistic composite systems, Nucl. Phys. B 593 (2001) 311 [hep-th/0003082]; S. J. Brodsky, M. Diehl and D. S. Hwang, Light cone wave function representation of deeply virtual Compton scattering, Nucl. Phys. B 596 (2001) 99 [hep-ph/0009254].
- [11] S. J. Brodsky, G. F. de Teramond, Light-front dynamics and AdS/QCD correspondence: The pion form factor in the space- and time-like regions, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 056007 [arXiv:0707.3859 [hep-ph]].
- [12] S. J. Brodsky, F. -G. Cao and G. F. de Teramond, Meson transition form factors in light-front holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 075012 [arXiv:1105.3999 [hep-ph]].
- [13] Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Nucleon electromagnetic and gravitational form factors from holography, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 115003 [arXiv:0903.4818 [hep-ph]].
- [14] A. Vega, I. Schmidt, T. Branz, T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, *Meson wave function from holographic models*, *Phys. Rev. D* 80 (2009) 055014 [arXiv:0906.1220 [hep-ph]]; T. Branz, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt, A. Vega, *Light and heavy mesons in a soft-wall holographic approach*, *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010) 074022 [arXiv:1008.0268 [hep-ph]]; Chiral symmetry breaking and meson wave functions in soft-wall AdS/QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 056001 [arXiv:1212.5196 [hep-ph]].
- [15] T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, Dilaton in a soft-wall holographic approach to mesons and baryons, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 076003 [arXiv:1108.0346 [hep-ph]]; Nucleon structure including high Fock states in AdS/QCD, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 036007 [arXiv:1204.6612 [hep-ph]]; Nucleon resonances in AdS/QCD, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 016017 [arXiv:1212.6252 [hep-ph]].
- [16] S. J. Brodsky, M. Burkardt and I. Schmidt, *Perturbative QCD constraints on the shape of polarized quark and gluon distributions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **441** (1995) 197 [hep-ph/9401328]; F. Yuan, *Generalized parton distributions at* $x \rightarrow 1$, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 051501 [hep-ph/0311288].
- [17] S. D. Drell and T. -M. Yan, Connection of elastic electromagnetic nucleon form-factor at large Q^2 and deep inelastic structure functions near threshold, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24** (1970) 181; G. B. West, Phenomenological model for the electromagnetic structure of the proton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24** (1970) 1206.
- [18] K. A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Review of Particle Physics, Chin. Phys. C 38 (2014) 090001.
- [19] G. D. Cates, C. W. de Jager, S. Riordan and B. Wojtsekhowski, Flavor decomposition of the elastic nucleon electromagnetic form factors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 252003 [arXiv:1103.1808 [nucl-ex]].
- [20] M. Diehl, Generalized parton distributions from form factors, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 161 (2006) 49 [arXiv:hep-ph/0510221].



Figure 1: Dirac *u* and *d* quark form factors multiplied by Q^4 .



Figure 2: Pauli u and d quark form factors multiplied by Q^4 .



Figure 3: Dirac proton and neutron form factors multiplied by Q^4 .



Figure 4: Ratio $Q^2 F_2^p(Q^2) / F_1^p(Q^2)$.



Figure 5: Ratio $G_E^p(Q^2)/G_D(Q^2)$ and charge neutron form factor $G_E^n(Q^2)$.



Figure 6: Ratios $\mu_p G_E^p(Q^2)/G_M^p(Q^2)$ and $\mu_n G_E^n(Q^2)/G_M^n(Q^2)$.



Figure 7: Ratios $G_M^p(Q^2)/(\mu_p G_D(Q^2))$ and $G_M^n(Q^2)/(\mu_n G_D(Q^2))$.