



Michael Schimp*, Martin Leuermann and Christopher Wiebusch

III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany E-mail: michael.schimp@rwth-aachen.de

IceCube, a cubic-kilometer sized neutrino detector at the Geographic South Pole, has recently discovered a diffuse all-flavor flux of astrophysical neutrinos. However, the corresponding astrophysical sources have not yet been identified in current IceCube analyses. We present a method to interpret the results of a recently published angular correlation analysis in IceCube searching for spatial clustering of muon neutrino events in terms of astrophysical models (given by an arbitrary source count distribution). We exemplarily show the resulting limits on the parameters of a class of source count distributions motivated by Fermi-LAT observations of resolved blazars.

The 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference, 30 July- 6 August, 2015 The Hague, The Netherlands

*Speaker.



1. Introduction

IceCube is a cubic-kilometer neutrino detector at the Geographic South Pole capable to detect neutrinos in the energy regime above $\sim 10 \text{ GeV}$. It consists of 86 strings in the Antarctic glacial ice, each equipped with 60 photosensors deployed at depths between 1450m and 2450m below the surface [1]. The detection of high-energy neutrino events is based on the measurement of Cherenkov photons, emitted by charged particles produced by neutrino interactions in the ice. IceCube recorded the data used for this work from 2008 to 2011 in the detector configurations *IC40, IC59* and *IC79*, where the numbers denote the amounts of deployed strings.

Astrophysical neutrinos are well suitable messengers for studying production and acceleration mechanisms of cosmic rays. Recently, IceCube discovered a diffuse all-flavor astrophysical neutrino flux [2]. However, the properties of its astrophysical sources have not been identified yet. Besides single point source and catalogue searches [3], an angular correlation analysis was performed on IceCube data from 2008 to 2011 to search for sources too weak to be detected individually [4]. In this work, the results of this analysis are reinterpreted with a new method to account for realistic source populations and the observed astrophysical neutrino flux.

2. Method

2.1 Limits used for astrophysical interpretation

In this section, the key properties of the angular correlation analysis are summarized. It is based on spherical harmonics expansions of muon neutrino arrival direction skymaps. The signal expectation has been benchmarked according to different signal hypotheses, characterized by three quantities: The number of sources N_{Sou}; the mean number of measured neutrinos per source at the horizon μ (source strength); and the astrophysical spectral index γ . Assuming a constant flux from each source, a source at the horizon is assigned a number of neutrinos following a Poisson distribution with mean μ ; with changing declination, the mean number of neutrinos per source is reduced according to the lower detector acceptance. The signal part of each hypothesis includes $N_{\rm Sou}$ isotropically distributed sources in the Northern Sky with such Poisson distributed numbers of neutrinos. To match the total number of 108310 neutrinos in agreement with the number of events in the used IceCube data sample, a background part for the respective hypothesis is added. It consists of atmospheric neutrinos, sampled from experimental data. A test statistic (TS) value is calculated from the spherical harmonics expansion coefficients of each skymap. It denotes how significantly the specific skymap is distinguishable from pure atmospheric background. By repeating this process for many skymaps of each specific signal hypothesis, a distribution of TS values is obtained for each signal hypothesis. The shift of this distribution with respect to the distribution of the TS of the purely atmospheric background in units of the standard deviation of the distribution of the TS of the background is called *signalness* Σ . Finally, a Feldmann-Cousin approach [5] is used to compute experimental limits (90 % C.L.) in terms of N_{Sou} , μ and γ from the TS value of the experimental skymap and the distributions of the TS of the simulated hypotheses.

2.2 Motivation and conversion

While the angular correlation analysis described in Section 2.1 tests signal hypotheses for fixed



Figure 1: Signalness per source $\frac{d\Sigma}{dN_{Sou}}$ against Figure 2: Sketch of the source count distribution source strength μ for astrophysical energy spectra E^{-2} and $E^{-2.5}$; legend: best-fit power law Fermi-LAT exponent

 $rac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{Sou}}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(\mu)$ with powers eta_1 and eta_2 adopted from

values of $N_{\rm Sou}$ and μ , corresponding to a fixed flux per source at Earth, realistic source populations contain multiple sources of *variable* strength. Thus, to obtain limits on realistic source distributions, two possibilities exist. First, every source population of interest can be simulated and its distribution of the TS investigated; second, the already obtained limits can be converted to limits on realistic source populations. To describe a realistic source population, a so called source count distribution $\frac{dN_{Soul}}{d\mu}$ is used which describes the number of sources per (infinitesimal) source-strength interval. The source count distribution is a function of the signal parameter μ , used in the angular correlation analysis, which simplifies the conversion of the limits from the analysis to limits on realistic source distributions as shown in the following. It should be noted that while this work focuses on the limits, also other signalness-related results from the angular correlation analysis, like the sensitivity or the discovery potential, can be converted in the same way. To perform the conversion of the limits, two quantities have to be equated: the signalness of the limit Σ_{lim} from the angular correlation analysis ($\Sigma_{\text{lim}} = 1.38$ [4]), and the signalness of the source population of interest, given on the right hand side of Equation (2.1):

$$\Sigma_{\rm lim} \stackrel{!}{=} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mu \, \frac{dN_{\rm Sou}}{d\mu}(\mu) \frac{d\Sigma}{dN_{\rm Sou}}(\mu) \tag{2.1}$$

 $\frac{dN_{Sou}}{d\mu}(\mu)$ is the source count distribution and $\frac{d\Sigma}{dN_{Sou}}(\mu)$ is the signalness per source which is known from [6] to follow a power law with an exponent compatible with about 2 as shown in Figure 1. Thus, the signalness of the source population is the integral over the source strength μ of all sources per μ interval times their respective signalness per source. Solving Equation (2.1) for parameters of an assumed source count distribution yields the converted limits on these parameters.

2.3 Exemplary source count distribution parametrization adopted from Fermi-LAT

This work focuses on extragalactic neutrino sources. The gamma-ray telescope Fermi-LAT has detected extragalactic high-energy photon sources at high galactic latitudes [7] with a fitted energy spectrum of $E^{-2.4}$ which are also candidates for high-energy neutrino sources [8]. Thus, for

the neutrino source count distribution in this work, the parametrization of the gamma ray source count distribution from Fermi-LAT is adopted. It should be noted that this parametrization is, despite its physical motivation, only an exemplary parametrization to demonstrate the performance of this method.

The Fermi-LAT source count distribution from [7] is the photon flux dependent number density $\frac{dN_{Sou}}{dS}(S)$ where N_{Sou} is the number of sources and *S* is the photon flux per source at Earth. It is parametrized by a broken power law:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{Sou}}}{\mathrm{d}S} = \begin{cases} AS^{-\beta_1}, \ S \ge S_{\mathrm{b}} \\ AS_{\mathrm{b}}^{-\beta_1 + \beta_2} S^{-\beta_2}, \ S < S_{\mathrm{b}} \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

 $\beta_1 = 2.49 \pm 0.12$ and $\beta_2 = 1.58 \pm 0.08$ are the powers of the source count distribution after and before the break, respectively. $A = (16.46 \pm 0.80)10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2 \text{deg}^{-2}\text{s}$ is a simple scale factor for $\frac{dN_{\text{sou}}}{dS}$ and $S_b = (6.60 \pm 0.91)10^{-8} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ is the particle flux at the break of the source count distribution. For the purpose of this work, we express this parametrization in terms of the (neutrino) source strength as defined in Section 2.1 (the source strength at the break is denoted μ_b) instead of the photon flux *S* (and a breaking flux S_b) and in terms of a dimensionless scale factor *B* instead of *A*. All parameters we use are dimensionless in contrast to the replaced parameters. Furthermore, a cutoff is introduced by setting the source count distribution to zero for all source strengths above a maximum μ_{max} because otherwise contributions from sources with diverging strength would be included in the signalness calculation (Equation (2.1)). It is fixed to $\mu_{\text{max}} \approx 20S_b$. The best-fit values for the powers of the Fermi-LAT source count distribution, $\beta_1 = 2.49$ and $\beta_2 = 1.58$, are adopted for neutrinos (except for the generalization in Section 3.4). This results in:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{Sou}}}{\mathrm{d}\mu} = \begin{cases} 0, & \mu \ge 20\mu_{\mathrm{b}} \\ B\mu^{-\beta_{1}}, & 20\mu_{\mathrm{b}} > \mu \ge \mu_{\mathrm{b}} \\ B\mu^{-\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}\mu^{-\beta_{2}}, & \mu < \mu_{\mathrm{b}} \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

Figure 2 shows an illustration of the used parametrization.

3. Application and results

The interpretation of the IceCube results proceeds according to the following steps: In Section 3.1, Equation (2.1) is solved for the parameters *B* and μ_b of the source count distribution described in Section 2.3 using the limit signalness from the angular correlation analysis. In Section 3.2, the parameters of the source count distribution are constrained to reproduce the expected numbers of neutrinos from the observed astrophysical neutrino flux. In Section 3.3, the Fermi-LAT observation is expressed in the parameters *B* and μ_b as a function of a universal neutrino-to-photon ratio $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ from all sources measured by Fermi-LAT. Values for $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ corresponding to the measurements of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 are determined. As a last step, in Section 3.4, the dependencies of the results for $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ on β_1 and β_2 are investigated. For the applications in Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, the powers of the source count distribution, β_1 and β_2 are fixed to the Fermi-LAT best-fit values from Section 2.3. The neutrino energy spectral indices $\gamma = 2.0$ and $\gamma = 2.5$ are tested, motivated by IceCube measurements of the astrophysical neutrino flux [2].



Figure 3: Dashed lines: IceCube limits converted to $B(\mu_b)$; colored solid lines: (B, μ_b) reproducing the observed astrophysical neutrino flux (s. Section 3.2); triangles: (B, μ_b) at limit for universal $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$; diamonds: prediction for $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ reproducing the observed astrophysical neutrino flux purely originating from high-latitude sources measured by Fermi-LAT; asterisks: (B, μ_b) for $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma} = 1$; black line: allowed (B, μ_b) for universal $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$

3.1 Limit conversion

The solution of Equation (2.1) with $\frac{dN_{Sou}}{d\mu}$ from Equation (2.3) is a function $B(\mu_b)$. It represents the upper limit from the angular correlation analysis [4] on *B* for each value of μ_b and is shown as a dashed line for each spectral index in Figure 3. The negative slopes of the exclusions lines are explained by the increased signalness for larger $\mu_{max} \propto \mu_b$ (s. Equation (2.1)) due to a corresponding larger non-zero integration range. This increased signalness is compensated by lower values for *B*, causing the negative slope.

3.2 Constraints from the observed astrophysical neutrino flux

The astrophysical neutrino flux, observed by IceCube in 2014 [2], can also be represented in terms of *B* and μ_b . The number of measured signal neutrinos expected from the source count distribution *n*(scd) and the number expected from the observed flux *n*(astroph.) are equated:

$$n(\text{scd}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mu \, \frac{dN_{\text{Sou}}(B,\mu_{\text{b}})}{d\mu} \cdot f\mu \stackrel{!}{=} n(\text{astroph.}) = \sum_{\text{IC}} T^{\text{IC}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dE A_{\text{eff}}^{\text{IC}} \cdot \frac{d\Phi}{dE}$$
(3.1)

where IC denotes the IceCube detector configuration, T^{IC} the livetime of IceCube for the operation of each detector configuration, A_{eff}^{IC} is the effective area of each configuration and $\frac{d\Phi}{dE}$ the observed differential astrophysical neutrino flux by IceCube. f is a factor ~ 1 to obtain the declinationaveraged number of measured neutrinos for a value of μ . The pairs (B, μ_b) solving this equation for the different considered neutrino energy spectra are the values for B and μ_b that correspond to the number of neutrinos expected from the observed astrophysical neutrino flux and are shown as colored lines in Figure 3. They are different since n(astroph.) is energy spectrum dependent due

γ	$\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ prediction	$\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ limit	ratio
2.0	0.92	3.13	0.29
2.5	41.4	30.6	1.35

Table 1: Results for universal $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$; 2nd column: $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ predictions assuming the observed neutrino flux; 3rd column: $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ (upper) limits; 4th column: ratio between $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ prediction and $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ limit

to the correlation of the flux normalization and the energy spectrum. Their intersections with the dashed limit lines (of the respective energy spectrum) separate the allowed regions (below) from the excluded regions (above) of parameter values constituting the observed flux.

3.3 The Fermi-LAT best-fit value and the neutrino-to-photon ratio

Assuming a universal neutrino-to-photon ratio at Earth $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ from all sources measured in the Fermi-LAT high-latitude survey, one can express the gamma-ray source count distribution measured by Fermi-LAT in terms of B, μ_b (i.e. properties of a neutrino flux) and $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$. First, the values for B and μ_b corresponding to an equal flux of neutrinos and photons at Earth ($\varepsilon_{v/\gamma} = 1$) in the energy range of the used IceCube measurement (100GeV – 100TeV), have to be determined. These particular values for B and μ_b are called B_{Fermi} and $\mu_{b,\text{Fermi}}$. Fermi-LAT measured the extragalactic photon flux in the energy range from 100MeV to 100GeV. Thus, this particle flux is extrapolated into the energy range of IceCube using the assumed energy spectra with indices $\gamma = 2.0$ and $\gamma = 2.5$, yielding the values for B_{Fermi} and $\mu_{b,\text{Fermi}}$. The extrapolation from the energy range of Fermi-LAT into the energy range of IceCube yields different flux normalizations for the energy spectra and therefore different values for B_{Fermi} and $\mu_{b,\text{Fermi}}$. For each value of $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$, the source strength μ of each source in the population with $B = B_{\text{Fermi}}$ and $\mu_b = \mu_{b,\text{Fermi}}$ has to be multiplied by $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$. For the source count distribution parameters B and μ_b , this leads to:

$$B = \varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}^{\beta_1 - 1} \cdot B_{\text{Fermi}} \qquad \qquad \mu_{\text{b}} = \varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma} \cdot \mu_{\text{b},\text{Fermi}} \qquad (3.2)$$

Thus, for each value of $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$, a certain pair (B, μ_b) is obtained, resulting in the black line shown in Figure 3. The intersections of the (dashed) limit lines from Section 3.1 and the (solid) lines representing the observed astrophysical neutrino flux with the (black) line representing the neutrino-to-photon ratios $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ directly yield upper limits on $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ and predictions for $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ for each neutrino energy spectrum in this simplified model. The values for the $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ limit and the $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ prediction are given in Table 1.

3.4 Changing parameter space - varying source count distribution powers

The procedure from Section 3.1 through 3.3 is repeated with varied powers of the source count distribution β_1 and β_2 (defined as in Equation (2.3)). Figures 4a and 4b show the results in terms of the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ prediction as introduced in Section 3.2 and Figures 4c and 4d show the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ limit as introduced in Section 3.3 for the neutrino energy spectra with $\gamma = 2.0$ and $\gamma = 2.5$. Figures 4e and 4f show the ratios between the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ prediction and the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ limit. Ratios larger than 1 indicate that the astrophysical neutrino flux is excluded with 90 % C.L. to origin purely from source populations with the corresponding source count distributions with universal $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ in the respective energy ranges denoted in Section 3.3.





Figure 4: (a), (b): predicted universal neutrino-to-photon ratios $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ for source populations that correspond to the observed astrophysical neutrino flux for different powers β_1 , β_2 (s. Equation (2.3)); (c), (d): converted limits on universal $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ for different powers β_1 , β_2 (s. Equation (2.3)); (e), (f): ratios between the $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ prediction and the $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ limit; asterisk with error bars: β_1 , β_2 and uncertainties from Fermi-LAT [7]; black line: color-coded quantity = 1

4. Conclusions

The universal neutrino-to-photon ratios $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ resulting in a number of neutrinos corresponding to the observed astrophysical neutrino flux by IceCube, called the $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ prediction, and the limit on $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$, set by the angular correlation analysis in [4] are summarized in Table 1. For $\gamma = 2.0$, the observed astrophysical neutrino flux corresponds to a lower $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ prediction than the $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ limit. Thus, for this energy spectrum, the sources from the Fermi-LAT high-latitude survey are not excluded to be the origin of the astrophysical neutrino flux under the stated assumptions. For $\gamma = 2.5$, the opposite is the case: The $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ prediction exceeds the $\varepsilon_{\nu/\gamma}$ limit and is thus excluded. The results of the variations of β_1 and β_2 (s. Equations (2.2) and (2.3)) reveal several insights: First, β_1 plays a major role for both, the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ prediction and the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ limit since the source count distribution depends strongly on β_1 for all source strengths μ . Second, the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ limit is almost independent of β_2 as shown in Figures 4c and 4d. As β_2 only affects the source count distribution $\frac{dN_{Sou}}{d\mu}$ for source strengths below the source strength of the break μ_b (s. Equation (2.3)), this leads to the conclusion that the sources brighter than μ_b are the signalness dominating sources. In contrast to that, for the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ prediction corresponding to the astrophysical neutrino flux, there is a remarkable dependence on β_2 . The quantity used for the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ prediction is the number of neutrinos from the tested source count distribution n(scd). As the integrand in the formula for n(scd) (Equation (3.1)) is one power of μ lower compared to the integrand in the formula for the signalness (Equation (2.1)), fainter sources (i.e. small μ) affect the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ prediction while barely affecting the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ limit. A ratio between the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ prediction and the $\varepsilon_{v/\gamma}$ limit larger than 1 is excluded with 90 % C.L. Thus, for both examined energy ranges, the areas to the lower left from the black lines in Figures 4e and 4f are excluded. For $\gamma = 2.5$, where the hypothesis with the benchmark values for β_1 and β_2 is excluded, their uncertainty intervals reach into the allowed region.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), the Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics (HAP) and the German Research Foundation (DFG). We thank Markus Ahlers, Jan Auffenberg and Leif Rädel for the valuable discussions during the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- A. Achterberg et al. (IceCube Collaboration), First Year Performance of The IceCube Neutrino Telescope, Astropart. Phys. 26 155 (2010) [arXiv:astro-ph/0604450]
- [2] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube Collaboration), *Evidence for Astrophysical Muon Neutrinos from the Northern Sky with IceCube*, *PRL* (accepted) [arXiv:1507.04005 [astro-ph.HE]]
- [3] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube Collaboration), Searches for Extended and Point-like Neutrino Sources with Four Years of IceCube Data, ApJ **796** 109 (2014) [arXiv:1406.6757 [astro-ph.HE]]
- [4] M. G. Aartsen et al. (IceCube Collaboration), Searches for small-scale anisotropies from neutrino point sources with three years of IceCube data, Astroparticle Physics 66 39 (2015) [arXiv:1408.0634 [astro-ph.HE]]
- [5] G. J. Feldmann, R. D. Cousins, Unified approach to the classical statistical analysis of small signals, Phys. Rev. D 57 3873 (1998) [arXiv:physics/9711021]
- [6] M. Leuermann, Search for diffuse neutrino point sources using a multipole analysis in IceCube, Master's thesis, RWTH Aachen University (2014)
- [7] A. A. Abdo et al., The Fermi-LAT high-latitude Survey: Source Count Distributions and the Origin of the Extragalactic Diffuse Background, ApJ 720 435 (2010) [arXiv:1003.0895 [astro-ph.CO]]
- [8] M. Petropoulou, S. Dimitrakoudis, P. Padovani, A. Mastichiadis, E. Resconi, *Photohadronic origin of* γ-ray BL Lac emission: implications for IceCube neutrinos, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 448 2412 (2015) [arXiv:1501.07115 [astro-ph.HE]]