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1. Introduction

In the middle of the 1980s, renewed interest in neutral pion photoproduction at threshold was triggered by experimental data from Saclay and Mainz [1, 2], which indicated a serious disagreement with the predictions for the s-wave electric dipole amplitude \( E_{0+} \) based on current algebra and PCAC [3, 4, 5]. This discrepancy was explained with the aid of chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [6]. Pion loops, which are beyond the current-algebra framework, generate infrared singularities in the scattering amplitude which then modify the predicted low-energy expansion of \( E_{0+} \) (see also Ref. [7]). Subsequently, several experiments investigating pion photo- and electroproduction in the threshold region were performed at Mainz, MIT-Bates, NIKHEF, Saskatoon and TRIUMF, and on the theoretical side, all of the different reaction channels of pion photo- and electroproduction near threshold were extensively investigated by Bernard et al. within the framework of heavy-baryon chiral perturbation theory (HBChPT) [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. For a complete list of references, see Ref. [19]. In the beginning, the manifestly Lorentz-invariant or relativistic formulation of ChPT (RChPT) was abandoned, as it seemingly had a problem with respect to power counting when loops containing internal nucleon lines come into play. In the meantime, the development of the infrared regularization (IR) scheme [20] and the extended on-shell (EOMS) scheme [21, 22] offered a solution to the power-counting problem, and RChPT became popular again.

Here, we give a short introduction to the EOMS scheme and present its application to a calculation of pion photo- and electroproduction up to and including order \( q^4 \) \( [O(q^4)] \). We present the so-called chiral MAID (\( \chi \)MAID) [23] which provides the numerical results of these calculations.

2. Renormalization and power counting

Chiral perturbation theory is the effective field theory of QCD in the low-energy regime [24, 25, 26] (for an introduction, see Refs. [27, 28]). The prerequisite for an effective field theory program is (a) a knowledge of the most general effective Lagrangian and (b) an expansion scheme for observables in terms of a consistent power counting method.

2.1 Effective Lagrangian and power counting

The effective Lagrangian relevant to the one-nucleon sector consists of the sum of the purely mesonic and \( \pi N \) Lagrangians, respectively,

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_\pi + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N} = \mathcal{L}_{\pi (2)}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi (4)}^{(1)} + \ldots + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N (1)} + \mathcal{L}_{\pi N (2)} + \ldots,
\]

which are organized in a derivative and quark-mass expansion [24, 25, 26]. For example, the lowest-order basic Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} \), already expressed in terms of renormalized parameters and fields, is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} = \bar{\Psi} \left( i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m \right) \Psi - \frac{1}{2} g_A \bar{\Psi} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \tau^a \partial^\mu \pi^a \Psi + \ldots,
\]

where \( m, g_A, \) and \( F \) denote the chiral limit of the physical nucleon mass, the axial-vector coupling constant, and the pion-decay constant, respectively. The ellipsis refers to terms containing external
fields and higher powers of the pion fields. When studying higher orders in perturbation theory, one encounters ultraviolet divergences. As a preliminary step, the loop integrals are regularized, typically by means of dimensional regularization. For example, the simplest dimensionally regularized integral relevant to ChPT is given by [27]

$$I(M^2, \mu^2, n) = \mu^{4-n} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{i}{k^2 - M^2 + i0^+} = \frac{M^2}{16\pi^2} \left[ R + 2 \ln \left( \frac{M}{\mu} \right) \right] + O(n-4),$$

where \( R = \frac{2}{n-4} - \ln(4\pi) + \Gamma'(1) - 1 \) approaches infinity as \( n \to 4 \). The 't Hooft parameter \( \mu \) is responsible for the fact that the integral has the same dimension for arbitrary \( n \). In the process of renormalization the counter terms are adjusted such that they absorb all the ultraviolet divergences occurring in the calculation of loop diagrams [29]. This will be possible, because we include in the Lagrangian all of the infinite number of interactions allowed by symmetries [30]. At the end the regularization is removed by taking the limit \( n \to 4 \).

Moreover, when renormalizing, we still have the freedom of choosing a renormalization prescription. In this context the finite pieces of the renormalized couplings will be adjusted such that renormalized diagrams satisfy the following power counting: a loop integration in \( n \) dimensions counts as \( q^n \), pion and nucleon propagators count as \( q^{-2} \) and \( q^{-1} \), respectively, vertices derived from \( \mathcal{L}^{(2k)}_\pi \) and \( \mathcal{L}^{(k)}_{\pi N} \) count as \( q^{2k} \) and \( q^k \), respectively. Here, \( q \) collectively stands for a small quantity such as the pion mass, small external four-momenta of the pion, and small external three-momenta of the nucleon. The power counting does not uniquely fix the renormalization scheme, i.e., there are different renormalization schemes such as the IR [20] and EOMS [21, 22] schemes, leading to the above specified power counting.

### 2.2 Example: One-loop contribution to the nucleon mass

In the mesonic sector, the combination of dimensional regularization and the modified minimal subtraction scheme \( \tilde{\text{MS}} \) leads to a straightforward correspondence between the chiral and loop expansions [25]. By discussing the one-loop contribution of Fig. 1 to the nucleon self energy, we will see that this correspondence, at first sight, seems to be lost in the baryonic sector. According to the power counting specified above, after renormalization, we would like to have the order \( D = n \cdot 1 - 2 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2 = n - 1 \). An explicit calculation yields [28]

$$\Sigma_{\text{loop}} = \frac{3g_A^2}{4F^2} \left\{ (\not{p} + m)I_N + M^2(\not{p} + m)I_{NZ} - \frac{(p^2 - m^2)p}{2p^2} \right\} \left\{ (p^2 - m^2 + M^2)I_{NZ} + I_N - I_\pi \right\},$$

where \( M^2 = 2B\hat{m} \) is the lowest-order expression for the squared pion mass in terms of the low-energy coupling constant \( B \) and the average light-quark mass \( \hat{m} \) [25]. The relevant loop integrals...
are defined as
\[ I_\pi = \mu^{4-n} \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{i}{k^2 - M^2 + i0^+}, \quad I_N = \mu^{4-n} \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2 + i0^+}, \quad (2.2) \]
\[ I_{N\pi} = \mu^{4-n} \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{[(k-p)^2 - m^2 + i0^+] k^2 - M^2 + i0^+}. \quad (2.3) \]

The application of the \( \tilde{\overline{\text{MS}}} \) renormalization scheme of ChPT\cite{25, 26}—indicated by “r”—yields
\[ \Sigma_{\text{loop}}^r = -\frac{3\alpha_s^2}{4F_r^2} [M^2(p + m)I_{N\pi} + ...]. \]

The expansion of \( I_{N\pi}^r \) is given by
\[ I_{N\pi}^r = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left(-1 + \frac{\pi M}{m} + ... \right), \]
resulting in \( \Sigma_{\text{loop}}^r = O(q^2) \). In other words, the \( \tilde{\overline{\text{MS}}} \)-renormalized result does not produce the desired low-energy behavior which, for a long time, was interpreted as the absence of a systematic power counting in the relativistic formulation of ChPT.

The expression for the nucleon mass \( m_N \) is obtained by solving the equation
\[ m_N - m - \Sigma(m_N) = 0, \]
from which we obtain for the nucleon mass in the \( \tilde{\overline{\text{MS}}} \) scheme \cite{26},
\[ m_N = m - 4c_1rM^2 + \frac{3\alpha_s^2 M^2}{32\pi^2 F_r^2} m - \frac{3\alpha_s^2 M^3}{32\pi F_r^2}. \quad (2.4) \]

At \( O(q^2) \), Eq. (2.4) contains, besides the undesired loop contribution proportional to \( M^2 \), the tree-level contribution \(-4c_1rM^2 \) from the next-to-leading-order Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)} \).

The solution to the power-counting problem is the observation that the term violating the power counting, namely, the third on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.4), is analytic in the quark mass and can thus be absorbed in counter terms. In addition to the \( \tilde{\overline{\text{MS}}} \) scheme we have to perform an additional finite renormalization. For that purpose we rewrite
\[ c_{1r} = c_1 + \delta c_1, \quad \delta c_1 = \frac{3m_{\alpha_s^2}}{128\pi^2 F_r^2} + ... \quad (2.5) \]
in Eq. (2.4) which then gives the final result for the nucleon mass at \( O(q^3) \):
\[ m_N = m - 4c_1rM^2 - \frac{3\alpha_s^2 M^3}{32\pi F_r^2}. \quad (2.6) \]

We have thus seen that the validity of a power-counting scheme is intimately connected with a suitable renormalization condition. In the case of the nucleon mass, the \( \tilde{\overline{\text{MS}}} \) scheme alone does not suffice to bring about a consistent power counting.
2.3 Extended on-mass-shell scheme

We illustrate the underlying ideas of the EOMS scheme in terms of a typical one-loop integral in the chiral limit,

\[ H(p^2, m^2; n) = -i \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{[(k - p)^2 - m^2 + i0^+][k^2 + i0^+]}, \]

where \( \Delta = (p^2 - m^2)/m^2 = \mathcal{O}(q) \) is a small quantity. Applying the dimensional counting analysis of Ref. \[31\], the result of the integration is of the form

\[ H \sim F(n, \Delta) + \Delta^{n-3} G(n, \Delta), \]

where \( F \) and \( G \) are hypergeometric functions which are analytic for \( |\Delta| < 1 \) for any \( n \). The central idea of the EOMS scheme \[21, 22\] consists of subtracting those terms which violate the power counting as \( n \to 4 \). Since the terms violating the power counting are analytic in small quantities, they can be absorbed by counter-term contributions. In the present case, we want the renormalized integral to be of the order \( D = n - 1 - 2 = n - 3 \). To that end one first expands the integrand in small quantities and subtracts those integrated terms whose order is smaller than suggested by the power counting. The corresponding subtraction term reads \[22\]

\[ H_{\text{subtr}} = -i \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{1}{[k^2 - 2p \cdot k + i0^+][k^2 + i0^+]} \bigg|_{p^2=m^2} = \frac{m^{n-4}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(2 - \frac{n}{2})}{n-3}, \]

and the renormalized integral is written as

\[ H^R = H - H_{\text{subtr}} = \frac{m^{n-4}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left[ -\Delta \ln(-\Delta) + (-\Delta)^2 \ln(-\Delta) + \ldots \right] = \mathcal{O}(q) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to 4. \]

The EOMS scheme can be straightforwardly extended to include other degrees of freedom, such as vector mesons \[32\] or the \( \Delta(1232) \) resonance \[33\], and it can be applied to multi-loop diagrams \[34\]. Moreover, the infrared regularization of Becher and Leutwyler \[20\] has been reformulated in a form analogous to the EOMS renormalization \[35\]. Applications include the calculation of the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon \[36, 37, 38\], the axial form factor \[39\], pion-nucleon scattering \[40, 41, 42\], and a two-loop analysis of the nucleon mass \[43, 44\]. For a review of the three-flavor sector, see Ref. \[45\].

The inclusion of virtual vector mesons generates an improved description of the electromagnetic form factors \[37, 38\], for which ordinary chiral perturbation theory does not produce sufficient curvature. However, one would also like to describe the properties of hadronic resonances such as their masses and widths as well as their electromagnetic properties. In this context, one needs to set up a power counting valid in the momentum region near the complex pole of a resonance. An extension of the chiral effective field theory program in this direction was proposed in Ref. \[46\], in which the power-counting problem was addressed by applying the complex-mass scheme (CMS) \[47, 48\] to the effective field theory. For recent applications of the CMS to hadronic resonances, see Refs. \[49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54\].
3. Pion photo- and electroproduction

3.1 Invariant amplitude and cross section

In the one-photon-exchange approximation (see Fig. 2), the invariant amplitude for the reaction \( e(k_i,s_i) + N(p_i,S_i) \rightarrow e(k_f,s_f) + N(p_f,S_f) + \pi(q) \) may be written as

\[
\mathcal{M} = \varepsilon_\mu \mathcal{M}^\mu, \quad \varepsilon_\mu = e \begin{pmatrix} u(k_f,s_f) \gamma_\mu u(k_i,s_i) \end{pmatrix} k^2, \quad k = k_i - k_f, \quad (3.1)
\]

where \( e > 0 \) is the elementary charge, \( \varepsilon_\mu \) denotes the polarization vector of the virtual photon, and \( \mathcal{M}^\mu \) is the hadronic transition current matrix element: \( \mathcal{M}^\mu = -ie \langle N(p_f,S_f), \pi(q) | J^\mu(0) | N(p_i,S_i) \rangle \).

Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the process

\[
\gamma^*(k) + N(p_i) \rightarrow N(p_f) + \pi(q), \quad (3.2)
\]

where \( \gamma^* \) refers to a virtual photon. The invariant amplitude of pion photoproduction is obtained by replacing the polarization vector of the virtual photon by the polarization vector of a real photon and taking \( k^2 = 0 \). Treating the virtual photon as a particle of “mass” \( k^2 = -Q^2 \), the Mandelstam variables \( s, t, \) and \( u \) are defined as

\[
s = (p_i + k)^2 = (p_f + q)^2, \quad t = (p_i - p_f)^2 = (q - k)^2, \quad u = (p_i - q)^2 = (p_f - k)^2, \quad (3.3)
\]

and fulfill

\[
s + t + u = 2m_N^2 + M_\pi^2 - Q^2, \quad (3.4)
\]

where \( m_N \) and \( M_\pi \) denote the nucleon mass and the pion mass, respectively. In the case of photoproduction \( (k^2 = 0) \) only two of the Mandelstam variables are independent. In the center-of-mass
(c.m.) frame (see Fig. 3), the energies of the photon, $k_0$, and the pion, $E_\pi$, are given by

$$k_0 = \frac{W^2 - m_\pi^2 - Q^2}{2W}, \quad E_\pi = \frac{W^2 + M_\pi^2 - m_N^2}{2W}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $W = \sqrt{s}$ is the c.m. total energy. The equivalent real photon laboratory energy $E_{\gamma}^{\text{lab}}$ is given by

$$E_{\gamma}^{\text{lab}} = \frac{W^2 - m_N^2}{2m_N}. \quad (3.6)$$

The c.m. scattering angle $\Theta_\pi$ between the pion three-momentum and the z-axis, defined by the incoming (virtual) photon, can be related to the Mandelstam variable $t$ via

$$t = M_\pi^2 - 2(E_\gamma E_\pi - |\vec{k}||\vec{q}| \cos \Theta_\pi). \quad (3.7)$$

Using current conservation, $k_\mu \cdot \mathbb{M}^\mu = 0$, the transition current matrix element may be parametrized in terms of six invariant amplitudes $A_i$,

$$\mathbb{M}^\mu = \bar{u}(p_f, S_f) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{6} A_i(s, t, u) M_i^\mu \right) u(p_i, S_i). \quad (3.8)$$

The $M_i^\mu$ are suitable, linearly independent $4 \times 4$ matrices,

$$
\begin{align*}
M_1^\mu &= -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_5 (\gamma^\mu \vec{k} - \vec{k} \gamma^\mu), \\
M_2^\mu &= 2i \gamma_5 \left( P^\mu k \cdot \left( q - \frac{1}{2} k \right) - \left( q^\mu - \frac{1}{2} k^\mu \right) k \cdot P \right), \\
M_3^\mu &= -i \gamma_5 (\gamma^\mu k \cdot q - k q^\mu), \\
M_4^\mu &= -2i \gamma_5 (\gamma^\mu k \cdot P - \vec{k} P^\mu) - 2m_N M_1^\mu, \\
M_5^\mu &= i \gamma_5 \left( k^\mu k \cdot q - q^\mu k^2 \right), \\
M_6^\mu &= -i \gamma_5 \left( \vec{k} k^\mu - \gamma^\mu k^2 \right),
\end{align*}
$$
where $P = (p_i + p_f)/2$. Note that each structure $M_i^\mu$ satisfies $k_\mu M_i^\mu = 0$.

The so-called Chew-Goldberger-Low-Nambu (CGLN) amplitudes $\mathcal{F}_i$ are another common parametrization [55, 56]. These amplitudes are defined in the c.m. frame via

$$
\epsilon_\mu \bar{u}(p_f, S_f) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{6} A_i M_i^\mu \right) u(p_i, S_i) = \frac{4\pi W}{m_N} \chi_f \mathcal{F}_i,
$$

(3.9)

where $\chi_i$ and $\chi_f$ denote initial and final Pauli spinors. Electromagnetic current conservation allows one to work in a gauge where the polarization vector of the virtual photon has a vanishing time component. In terms of the polarization vector of Eq. (3.1) this is achieved by introducing the vector [57]

$$
a^\mu = \epsilon^\mu - k^\mu \frac{\epsilon_0}{k_0} = \epsilon^\mu - k^\mu \frac{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{e}}{k_0^2},
$$

(3.10)

where use of $k_\mu \epsilon^\mu = 0$ has been made. Splitting $\bar{a}$ into a longitudinal and a transversal piece,

$$
\bar{a} = \bar{a}_{\|} + \bar{a}_{\perp},
$$

$$
\bar{a}_{\|} = \bar{a} \cdot \hat{k} \hat{k} = \frac{k^2}{k_0^2} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{k} \hat{k},
$$

$$
\bar{a}_{\perp} = \bar{a} - \bar{a}_{\|} = \tilde{e} - \tilde{e} \cdot \hat{k} \hat{k} = \tilde{e}_{\perp},
$$

(3.11)

$\mathcal{F}$ may be written as

$$
\mathcal{F} = i \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \bar{a}_{\perp} \mathcal{F}_1 + \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \hat{q} \hat{q} \cdot \hat{k} \times \bar{a}_{\perp} \mathcal{F}_2 + i \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \hat{k} \hat{q} \cdot \bar{a}_{\perp} \mathcal{F}_3 + i \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \hat{q} \hat{q} \cdot \bar{a}_{\perp} \mathcal{F}_4 + i \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \hat{k} \hat{k} \cdot \bar{a}_{\|} \mathcal{F}_5 + i \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \hat{q} \hat{q} \cdot \bar{a}_{\|} \mathcal{F}_6,
$$

(3.12)

where $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{k}$ denote unit vectors in the direction of the pion and the photon, respectively. For the case of pion photoproduction, only the first four terms of Eq. (3.12) contribute. The CGLN amplitudes can be expanded in a multipole series [55, 56, 57],

$$
\mathcal{F}_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ lM_{l+} + E_{l+} \right] P_{l+1}^0(x) + \left[ (l+1)M_{l-} + E_{l-} \right] P_{l-1}^0(x) \right\},
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_2 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (l+1)M_{l-} + lM_{l+} \right\} P_l^0(x),
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_3 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left\{ E_{l+} - M_{l+} \right\} P_{l+1}^0(x) + \left\{ E_{l-} + M_{l-} \right\} P_{l-1}^0(x),
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_4 = \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \left\{ M_{l+} - E_{l+} - M_{l-} - E_{l-} \right\} P_l^0(x),
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_5 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (l+1)L_{l+} P_{l+1}^0 - lL_{l-} P_l^0(x) \right\},
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_6 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left\{ lL_{l-} - (l+1)L_{l+} \right\} P_l^0(x),
$$

(3.13)

where $x = \cos \Theta_\pi = \hat{q} \cdot \hat{k}$. In Eq. (3.13), $P_l(x)$ is a Legendre polynomial of degree $l$, $P_l^0 = dP_l/dx$ and so on, with $l$ denoting the orbital angular momentum of the pion-nucleon system in the final state. The multipoles $E_{l\pm}$, $M_{l\pm}$, and $L_{l\pm}$ are functions of the c.m. total energy $W$ and the photon
virtuality $Q^2$ and refer to transversal electric and magnetic transitions and longitudinal transitions, respectively. The subscript $l\pm$ denotes the total angular momentum $j = l \pm 1/2$ in the final state. In the threshold region, the multipoles $\mathcal{M}_{l\pm}$ ($\mathcal{M} = E, M, L$) are proportional to $|\vec{q}|$. To get rid of this purely kinematical dependence, one introduces reduced multipoles $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{l\pm}$ via

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{l\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{l\pm}}{|\vec{q}|}. \quad (3.14)$$

Due to the assumed isospin symmetry, the process involves only three independent isospin structures for the four physical channels [55]. Any amplitude $M$ for producing a pion with Cartesian isospin index $a$ can be decomposed as

$$M(\pi^a) = \chi_f^a \left( i e^{a3b} \epsilon^a M_{i}^{(-)} + \epsilon^a M_{i}^{(0)} + \delta^{a3} M_{a}^{(+)} \right) \chi_i, \quad a = 1, 2, 3, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\chi_i$ and $\chi_f$ denote the isospinors of the initial and final nucleons, respectively, and $\epsilon^a$ are the Pauli matrices. The isospin amplitudes corresponding to $A_i$ of Eq. (3.8) obey a crossing symmetry,

$$A_i^{(0,+)} \rightarrow \eta_i A_i^{(0,+)},$$

$$A_i^{(-)} \rightarrow \eta_i A_i^{(-)}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\eta_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 4$ and $\eta_i = -1$ for $i = 3, 5, 6$. Finally, the physical reaction channels are related to the isospin channels via

$$A_i(\gamma^e p \rightarrow n\pi^+) = \sqrt{3} \left( A_i^{(-)} + A_i^{(0)} \right), \quad A_i(\gamma^e n \rightarrow p\pi^-) = -\sqrt{3} \left( A_i^{(-)} - A_i^{(0)} \right),$$

$$A_i(\gamma^e p \rightarrow p\pi^0) = A_i^{(0)} + A_i^{(0)}, \quad A_i(\gamma^e n \rightarrow n\pi^0) = A_i^{(+)} - A_i^{(0)}. \quad (3.17)$$

For pion photoproduction with polarized photons from an unpolarized target without recoil polarization detection, the cross section can be written in the following way with the unpolarized cross section $\sigma_0$ and the photon beam asymmetry $\Sigma$:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \sigma_0 \left( 1 - P_T \Sigma \cos 2\varphi \right). \quad (3.18)$$

For $\pi^0$ photoproduction on the proton, both observables are very precisely measured in the threshold region, allowing for an almost model-independent partial-wave analysis [58].

For pion electroproduction, in the one-photon-exchange approximation, the differential cross section can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon f d\Omega_f d\Omega_{\pi}^{c.m.}} = \Gamma \frac{d\sigma_v}{d\Omega_{\pi}^{c.m.}}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\Gamma$ is the virtual photon flux and $d\sigma_v/d\Omega_{\pi}^{c.m.}$ is the pion production cross section for virtual photons.

For an unpolarized target and without recoil polarization detection, the virtual-photon differential cross section for pion production can be further decomposed as

$$\frac{d\sigma_v}{d\Omega_{\pi}} = \frac{d\sigma_T}{d\Omega_{\pi}} + \varepsilon \frac{d\sigma_T}{d\Omega_{\pi}} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} \frac{d\sigma_T}{d\Omega_{\pi}} \cos \Phi_{\pi} + \varepsilon \frac{d\sigma_T}{d\Omega_{\pi}} \cos 2\Phi_{\pi} + h \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} \frac{d\sigma_T}{d\Omega_{\pi}} \sin \Phi_{\pi}, \quad (3.19)$$

where it is understood that the variables of the individual virtual-photon cross sections $d\sigma_T/d\Omega_{\pi}$ etc. refer to the c.m. frame. For further details, especially concerning polarization observables, see Ref. [59].
3.2 Evaluation of the invariant amplitude and chiral MAID

At $\mathcal{O}(q^3)$, the invariant amplitude involves 15 tree-level diagrams and 50 one-loop diagrams. At $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$, 20 tree-level diagrams and 85 one-loop diagrams contribute. We have calculated the loop contributions numerically, using the computer algebra system MATHEMATICA with the FeynCalc [60] and LoopTools packages [61]. We have explicitly verified that current conservation and crossing symmetry are fulfilled analytically for our results.

At $\mathcal{O}(q^3)$, four independent LECs exist which are specifically related to pion photoproduction. Two of them enter the isospin $(\pm)$ channel and are, therefore, only relevant for the production of charged pions. Moreover, they contribute differently to the invariant amplitudes $A_i$ of Eq. (3.8). The remaining two constants enter the isospin $(0)$ channel, respectively, though both in combination with the same Dirac structure. Finally, at $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$ the description of pion electroproduction is a prediction, because no new parameter (LEC) beyond photoproduction is available at that order. At $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$, 15 additional LECs appear. In the case of pion photoproduction, five constants contribute to the isospin $(0)$ channel, five constants to the isospin $(\pm)$ channel, and one constant to the isospin $(\mp)$ channel. For electroproduction, the $(0)$ and $(\pm)$ channels each have two more independent LECs. We note that the isospin $(\mp)$ channel, even at $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$, does not contain any free LEC specifically related to electroproduction.

Figure 4 shows the homepage of the web interface chiral MAID. At the beginning, the program allows one to choose among various quantities to be calculated (multipoles, different sets of amplitudes, etc.). The loop contributions, including their parameters, are fixed and cannot be modified from the outside. On the other hand, the contact diagrams at $\mathcal{O}(q^3)$ and $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$ enter analytically and the corresponding LECs can be changed arbitrary (see Ref. [19] for a discussion of our present values). As a specific example, Fig. 5 shows the settings to calculate the electric dipole amplitude $E_{0+}$ for the physical channels at the real-photon point as a function of the total c.m. energy $W$. The corresponding output is shown in Fig. 6. The LECs of the contact interactions can be modified by the user (see Fig. 7). The default settings originate from our fit to the available data (as at year 2013, see Ref. [19]).

Of course, $\chi$MAID has a limited range of applicability. First of all, ChPT without additional dynamical degrees of freedom restricts the energy region, where our results can be applied. In
the case of neutral pion photoproduction (see Fig. 8 and Ref. [62]) one can clearly see that for energies above $E_{\gamma}^{\text{lab}} \approx 170$ MeV the theory starts to deviate from experimental data. The inclusion of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance at $\mathcal{O}(q^3)$ has recently been discussed in Refs. [63, 64]. In the case of the charged channels the range of applicability is larger, but some observables are quite sensitive to the cutoff of multipoles, as the pion pole term is important at small angles. As an estimate, for $W > 1160$ MeV the difference between our full amplitude and the approximation up to and including $G$ waves becomes visible.

4. Results and conclusions

In the following, we present selected results generated with the chiral MAID (see Refs. [19, 62] for a complete discussion). First, in Fig. 8 we show the differential cross sections $\sigma_0(\Theta_\pi)$ of $\gamma + p \rightarrow p + \pi^0$ in $\mu b/sr$ as a function of the c.m. production angle $\Theta_\pi$. The fits make use of data up to and including $E_{\gamma}^{\text{lab}} = 165.8$ MeV, i.e., the first nine panels. For larger values of $E_{\gamma}^{\text{lab}}$, differences between experiment and our calculation become visible. In Fig. 9, we show how the reduced $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ changes if one includes all data points up to a certain energy $E_{\gamma}^{\text{lab,max}}$. For comparison we also provide the reduced $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ of the HBChPT fit. Next, in Fig. 10 we show the real parts of the $S$ and $P$ waves of $\gamma + p \rightarrow p + \pi^0$ together with single-energy fits of Ref. [58]. For comparison, we also show the predictions of the Dubna-Mainz-Taipei (DMT) model [65] and the covariant,
Figure 6: Output for the electric dipole amplitude $E_{0+}$ for the physical channels.

unitary, chiral approach of Gasparyan and Lutz (GL) [66]. The multipole $E_{0+}$ agrees nicely with the data in the fitted energy range. The reduced $P$ waves $E_{1+} = E_{1+}/q_{\pi}$ and $M_{1-} = M_{1-}/q_{\pi}$ with the pion momentum $q_{\pi}$ in the c.m. frame agree for even higher energies with the single energy fits. The largest deviation can be seen in $M_{1+}$. This multipole is related to the $\Delta^{(1232)}$ resonance and the rising of the data above 170 MeV can be traced back to the influence of this resonance. As we did not include the $\Delta^{(1232)}$ explicitly, this calculation is not able to fully describe its impact on the multipole. For electroproduction, $\gamma^* + p \rightarrow p + \pi^0$, in Fig. 11, we show the total cross section $\sigma_{\text{total}} = \sigma_T + \varepsilon \sigma_L$ in the threshold region together with the experimental data [67]. As a final example, we consider the reaction $\gamma^* + p \rightarrow n + \pi^+$. In this reaction channel, only a few data points exist in the energy range and for photon virtualities, where ChPT can be applied. Unfortunately, these data of the differential cross sections $\sigma_T$ and $\sigma_L$ at $W = 1125$ MeV are at one fixed angle, namely, $\Theta_{\pi} = 0^\circ$ [69, 70]. The results of our calculation are shown in Fig. 12. While the theory agrees with the data for $\sigma_T$, for $\sigma_L$ some deviation is visible.

In summary we have shown for the first time a chiral perturbation theory approach that can consistently describe all pion photo- and electroproduction processes in the threshold region equally well. By performing fits to the available experimental data, we determined all 19 LECs of the contact graphs at $O(q^3)$ and $O(q^4)$ (see Table 1). Our relativistic chiral perturbation theory calculation is also available online within the MAID project as chiral MAID under http://www.kph.uni-
Figure 7: The LECs of the contact interactions can be modified by the user.

It is clear that new experiments will lead to different estimates for the LECs [71, 72]. For that reason, we included in χMAID the possibility of changing the LECs arbitrarily. This will help to further study the range of validity and applicability of ChPT in the future.
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Figure 8: Differential cross sections $\sigma_0(\Theta_\pi)$ in $\mu b/sr$ as a function of the c.m. production angle $\Theta_\pi$. The graphs are shown for increasing photon energies in the lab frame. The solid (black) curves show the results in RChPT at $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$, the dashed (red) curves show the same chiral order in HBChPT. The fits make use of data up to and including $E_{\text{lab}}^\gamma = 165.8\text{ MeV}$, i.e., the first nine panels. The data are taken from Ref. [58]. The energy $E_{\text{lab}}^\gamma$ is given in each panel.
**Figure 9:** $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ as a function of the fitted energy range. The black squares and red dots refer to the RBChPT and HBChPT fits, respectively.

**Figure 10:** $S$- and reduced $P$-wave multipoles for $\gamma + p \rightarrow p + \pi^0$. The solid (red) curves show our RChPT calculations at $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$. The short-dashed (green) and long-dashed (black) curves are the predictions of the DMT model [65] and the GL model [66], respectively. The data are from Ref. [58].


Figure 11: Total cross sections in μb as a function of $Q^2$ for different c.m. energies above threshold $\Delta W$ in MeV. The solid (red) curves show our RChPT calculations at $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$. The short-dashed (green) curves are the predictions of the DMT model [65]. The data are from Refs. [67].


Figure 12: Differential cross sections as a function of $Q^2$ for $\gamma^* + p \to n + \pi^+$ at $W = 1125$ MeV and $\Theta_\pi = 0^\circ$. The solid (red) curves show our RChPT calculation at $\mathcal{O}(q^4)$ and the dashed (green) curves are the predictions of the DMT model \[65\]. The data are from Refs. \[69, 70\].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isospin channel</th>
<th>LEC</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$d_9$ [GeV$^{-2}$]</td>
<td>$-1.22 \pm 0.12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$e_{48}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$5.2 \pm 1.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$e_{49}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$0.9 \pm 2.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$e_{50}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$2.2 \pm 0.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$e_{51}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$6.6 \pm 3.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$e_{52}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$-4.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$e_{53}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$-2.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$e_{112}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$9.3 \pm 1.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$d_8$ [GeV$^{-2}$]</td>
<td>$-1.09 \pm 0.12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$e_{67}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$-8.3 \pm 1.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$e_{68}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$-0.9 \pm 2.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$e_{69}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$-1.0 \pm 2.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$e_{71}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$-4.4 \pm 3.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$e_{72}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$10.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$e_{73}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$2.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>$e_{113}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$-13.7 \pm 2.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>$d_{20}$ [GeV$^{-2}$]</td>
<td>$4.34 \pm 0.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>$d_{21}$ [GeV$^{-2}$]</td>
<td>$-3.1 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>$e_{70}$ [GeV$^{-3}$]</td>
<td>$3.9 \pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Numerical values of all LECs of pion photo- and electroproduction. The $*$ indicates constants that appear in electroproduction, only. If possible, the errors were estimated using the bootstrap method (see Ref. \[19\] for details). In the case of the electroproduction LECs $e_{52}$, $e_{53}$, $e_{72}$, and $e_{73}$, we can only give errors for $\tilde{e}_{52} = e_{52} + e_{72} = 6.4 \pm 0.7$ and $\tilde{e}_{53} = e_{53} + e_{73} = -0.5 \pm 0.2$. 
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