

$\tau \to {\it K}_{\it S} \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau \to {\it K}^- \eta \, \nu_{\tau}$ decays: a combined analysis

Rafel Escribano* †

Grup de Física Teòrica, Departament de Física, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain E-mail: Rafel.Escribano@ifae.es

The decay spectra of $\tau^- \to K_S \pi^- v_\tau$ and $\tau^- \to K^- \eta v_\tau$ decays are studied in a combined analysis using a dispersive representation of the required vector and scalar form factors. The $K^*(1410)$ resonance parameters are extracted with improved precision as compared to previous studies, with the findings $M_{K^{*\prime}} = 1304 \pm 17$ MeV and $\Gamma_{K^{*\prime}} = 171 \pm 62$ MeV. Isospin violations in the form factor slopes are investigated as the $K^-\pi^0$ vector form factor enters the description of the decay $\tau^- \to K^- \eta v_\tau$. In this respect, the spectrum of the transition $\tau^- \to K^- \pi^0 v_\tau$ would be extremely useful.

The 8th International Workshop on Chiral Dynamics, CD2015 29 June 2015 – 03 July 2015 Pisa, Italy

*Speaker.

[†]I would like to express my gratitude to the CD2015 Organizing Committee for the opportunity of presenting this contribution, and for the pleasant and interesting workshop we have enjoyed.

1. Introduction

The dominant strangeness-changing τ decays are into $K\pi$ meson systems and the corresponding observables have been measured with increasing precision at LEP [1, 2], BaBar [3] and Belle [4]. We would like to note that the BaBar collaboration published their analysis for the $K^-\pi^0$ mode [3], while Belle studied the $K_S\pi^-$ decay channel [4]. Belle's spectrum became publicly available but the published BaBar analysis only concerned the branching fraction while the corresponding spectrum has not been released yet. As a result, all dedicated studies of the $\tau^- \to (K\pi)^- v_{\tau}$ decays focused on the $K_S\pi^-$ system [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Consequently, even using data from semileptonic Kaon decays $(K \to \pi \ell v, \text{ so-called } K_{\ell 3} \text{ decays})$ [9, 10], important information on isospin breaking effects in the low-energy expansion of the hadronic form factors could not be extracted. The quoted references succeeded in improving the determination of the $K^*(892)$ and $K^*(1410)$ resonance properties: their pole positions and relative weight, although the errors on the radial excitation were noticeably larger than in the $K^*(892)$ case.

The threshold for the decay $\tau^- \to K^- \eta v_{\tau}$ is above the region of $K^*(892)$ -dominance which enhances its sensitivity to the properties of the heavier copy $K^*(1410)$. This observation was one of the motivations for the analysis of ref. [11], where it was first shown that the considered decays were competitive to the $\tau^- \to (K\pi)^- v_{\tau}$ decays for the extraction of the $K^*(1410)$ meson parameters. This was made possible thanks to BaBar [12] and Belle [13] data of the $K^-\eta$ spectrum which improved drastically the pioneering CLEO [14] and ALEPH [15] measurements.

The main purpose of this work is to illustrate the potential of a combined analysis of the decays $\tau^- \to (K\pi)^- v_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \to K^- \eta v_{\tau}$ in the determination of the $K^*(1410)$ resonance properties. This study is presently limited by three facts: unfolding of detector effects has not been performed for the latter data, the associated errors of these are still relatively large and no measurement of the $K^-\pi^0$ spectrum has been published by the B-factories. We intend to demonstrate that an updated analysis of the $K_S\pi^-$ and/or $K^-\eta$ Belle spectrum including the whole Belle-I data sample could improve notably the knowledge of the $K^*(1410)$ pole position. Therefore, we hope that our paper strengths the case for a (re)analysis of the $(K\pi)^-$ and $K^-\eta$ spectra at the first generation Bfactories including a larger data sample and also for devoted analyses in the forthcoming Belle-II experiment. Turning to the low-energy parameters, we emphasise the importance of (independent) measurements of the two $\tau^- \to (K\pi)^- v_{\tau}$ charge channels with the target of disentangling isospin violations in forthcoming studies.

While the $K\pi$ -hadronization in the $\tau^- \to (K\pi)^- v_\tau$ decays is quite well understood, earlier analyses of $\tau^- \to K^- \eta v_\tau$ decays [16, 17, 18] were at odds with Belle data (also [19] showed discrepancies) which motivated the claim in Belle's paper [13] that 'further detailed studies of the physical dynamics in τ decays with η mesons are required', as also observed in ref. [20] in a more general context. In ref. [11], we showed that a simple Breit-Wigner parametrisation of the dominating vector form factor lead to a rather poor description of the data, while more elaborated approaches based on Chiral Perturbation Theory (χPT) [21, 22, 23] including resonances as dynamical fields [24, 25] and resumming final-state interactions (FSI) encoded in the chiral loop functions provided very good agreement with data.

2. Form factor representations

The required form factors cannot be computed analytically from first principles. Still, the symmetries of the underlying QCD Lagrangian are useful to determine their behaviour in specific limits, the chiral or low-energy limit and the high-energy behaviour, so that the model dependence is reduced to the interpolation between these known regimes. For our central fits, to be presented in the next section, we follow the dispersive representation of the vector form factors outlined in ref. [8], and briefly summarised below for the convenience of the reader. For the case of the $K_S\pi^-$ system, including two resonances, the $K^* = K^*(892)$ and the $K^{*\prime} = K^*(1410)$, the reduced vector form factor is taken to be of the form [8]

$$\widetilde{f}_{+}^{K\pi}(s) = \frac{m_{K^*}^2 - \kappa_{K^*} H_{K\pi}(0) + \gamma s}{D(m_{K^*}, \gamma_{K^*})} - \frac{\gamma s}{D(m_{K^{*\prime}}, \gamma_{K^{*\prime}})},$$
(2.1)

where

$$D(m_n, \gamma_n) = m_n^2 - s - \kappa_n \widetilde{H}_{K\pi}(s), \qquad (2.2)$$

and

$$\kappa_n = \frac{192\pi}{\sigma_{K\pi} (m_n^2)^3} \frac{\gamma_n}{m_n}.$$
(2.3)

The fit function for the vector form factor is expressed in terms of the unphysical "mass" and "width" parameters m_n and γ_n . They are denoted by small letters, to distinguish them from the physical mass and width parameters M_n and Γ_n , which will later be determined from the pole positions in the complex plane and are denoted by capital letters. The scalar one-loop integral function $\widetilde{H}_{K\pi}(s)$ is defined below eq. (3) of ref. [5], however removing the factor $1/f_{\pi}^2$ which cancels if κ_n is expressed in terms of the unphysical width γ_n . Finally, in eq. (2.3), the phase space function $\sigma_{K\pi}(s)$ is given by $\sigma_{K\pi}(s) = 2q_{K\pi}(s)/\sqrt{s}$. Since the K^* resonances that are produced through the τ decay are charged, and can decay or rescatter into both $K^0\pi^-$ as well as $K^-\pi^0$ channels, in the resonance propagators described by eqs. (2.1) to (2.3) we have chosen to employ the corresponding isospin average, that is

$$\widetilde{H}_{K\pi}(s) = \frac{2}{3} \widetilde{H}_{K^0\pi^-}(s) + \frac{1}{3} \widetilde{H}_{K^-\pi^0}(s), \qquad (2.4)$$

and analogously for $\sigma_{K\pi}(s)$, such that the resonance width contains both contributions. Little is known about a proper description of the width of the second vector resonance $K^{*'}$. The complicated $K^*\pi \sim K\pi\pi$ cuts may yield relevant effects which however necessitates a coupled-channel analysis like in refs. [6, 10]. This is beyond the scope of the present paper, in which for simplicity also for the second resonance only the two-meson cut is included. Similar remarks apply to a proper inclusion of the $K\eta$ and $K\eta'$ channels into eq. (2.4) which would also require a coupled-channel analysis as was done for the corresponding scalar form factors in refs. [26, 27].

Next, we further follow ref. [8] in writing a three-times subtracted dispersive representation for the vector form factor,

$$\widetilde{f}_{+}^{K\pi}(s) = \exp\left[\alpha_{1}\frac{s}{M_{\pi^{-}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{2}\frac{s^{2}}{M_{\pi^{-}}^{4}} + \frac{s^{3}}{\pi}\int_{s_{K\pi}}^{s_{\text{cut}}} \mathrm{d}s'\frac{\delta_{1}^{K\pi}(s')}{(s')^{3}(s'-s-i0)}\right],\tag{2.5}$$

where $s_{K\pi} = (M_K + M_{\pi})^2$ is the $K\pi$ threshold and the two subtraction constants α_1 and α_2 are related to the slope parameters appearing in the low-energy expansion of the form factor:

$$\widetilde{f}_{+}^{K\pi}(s) = 1 + \lambda_{+}' \frac{s}{M_{\pi^{-}}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{+}'' \frac{s^{2}}{M_{\pi^{-}}^{4}} + \frac{1}{6} \lambda_{+}''' \frac{s^{3}}{M_{\pi^{-}}^{6}} + \dots$$
(2.6)

Explicitly, the relations for the linear and quadratic slope parameters λ'_+ and λ''_+ take the form:

$$\lambda'_{+} = \alpha_{1}, \qquad \lambda''_{+} = \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{1}^{2}.$$
 (2.7)

The incentive for employing a dispersive representation for the form factor is that in this way the influence of the less-well known higher energy region is suppressed. The associated error can be estimated by varying the cut-off s_{cut} in the dispersive integral. In order to obtain the required input phase $\delta_1^{K\pi}(s)$, like in [8] we use the resonance propagator representation eq. (2.1) of the vector form factor. The phase can then be calculated from the relation

$$\tan \delta_1^{K\pi}(s) = \frac{\mathrm{Im}\widetilde{f}_+^{K\pi}(s)}{\mathrm{Re}\widetilde{f}_+^{K\pi}(s)},$$
(2.8)

which completes our representation of the vector form factor $\tilde{f}_{+}^{K\pi}(s)$.

The scalar form factors that are required for a complete description of the decay spectra will be taken from the coupled-channel dispersive representation of refs. [26, 27]. In particular, for the scalar $K\pi$ form factor, we employ the update presented in ref. [28]. For the scalar $K\eta$ form factor, the result of the three-channel analysis described in section 4.3 of [27] is used, choosing specifically the solution corresponding to fit (6.10) of ref. [26]. As a matter of principle, this is not fully consistent, since the employed $K\pi$ form factor was extracted from a two-channel analysis, only including the dominant $K\pi$ and $K\eta'$ channels. But as our numerical analysis shows, anyway the influence of the scalar $K\eta$ form factor is insignificant so that this inconsistency can be tolerated.

3. Joint fits to $\tau^- \to K_S \pi^- \nu_\tau$ and $\tau^- \to K^- \eta \nu_\tau$ Belle data

For the $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S \pi^- v_\tau$ decays, an unfolded distribution measured by Belle is available [4]. The corresponding number of events is 53113.21 (54157.59 before unfolding) and the bin width 11.5 MeV. As discussed in the earlier analyses, the data points corresponding to bins 5, 6 and 7 are difficult to bring into accord with the theoretical descriptions and have thus been excluded from the minimisation. The first point has not been included either, since the centre of the bin lies below the $K_S\pi^-$ production threshold. Following a suggestion from the experimentalists, as in the previous analyses we have furthermore excluded data corresponding to bin numbers larger than 90.

On the other hand, the published $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta v_{\tau}$ Belle data [13] are only available still folded with detector effects. Lacking for a better alternative, we have assumed that the $K^-\eta$ unfolding function is reasonably estimated by the $K_S\pi^-$ one and we have extracted in this way pseudounfolded data that we employed in our analysis. The corresponding number of events turns out 1271.51 for a bin width of 25 MeV. In this case, we excluded the first three data points, which lie below the $K^-\eta$ production threshold, and discarded data above the τ mass.

Rafel Escribano

Therefore, the number of fitted data points is 86 (28) for the $K_S\pi^-$ ($K^-\eta$) spectrum, together with the respective branching fractions: hence 116 data points in total. While it is possible to obtain stable fits without using the $K_S\pi^-$ branching fraction as a data point, this is not the case for the $K^-\eta$ channel. This is due to the fact that there are strong correlations between the branching ratio and the slope parameters of the vector form factor. While in the $K_S\pi^-$ case sufficiently many data points with small enough errors are available to determine all fit quantities from the spectrum, for the $K^-\eta$ decay mode this was not possible. As a consistency check, we will be comparing the fitted values of the respective branching ratios to the corresponding results obtained by directly integrating the spectrum in all our fits.

The fitted parameters within the dispersive representation of the form factors of eq. (2.5) then include:

- the respective branching fractions $\bar{B}_{K\pi}$ and $\bar{B}_{K\eta}$. For consistency, we employ the results obtained by Belle in correspondence with the employed decay distribution data: $(0.404 \pm 0.013)\%$ [4] as well as $(1.58 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-4}$ [13], respectively. This may be compared to the averages by the Particle Data Group, $(0.420 \pm 0.020)\%$ and $(1.52 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$ [29] and Heavy Flavour Averaging Group values [30], $(0.410 \pm 0.009)\%$ and $(1.53 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$.
- The slope parameters: λ^{'(l)}_{Kπ} and λ^{'(l)}_{Kη}. As was noted in ref. [11], while the former ones correspond to the K_Sπ⁻ channel, the latter ones are related to the K⁻π⁰ system. Therefore, small differences in these parameters due to isospin violations are expected, and in the most general fit we allow for independent parameters in the two channels. As consistency checks of our procedure, we have also considered some fits assuming λ'_{Kη} = λ'_{Kπ}. The findings of ref. [8], λ'_{Kπ} = (24.66 ± 0.77) × 10⁻³ and λ''_{Kπ} = (11.99 ± 0.20) × 10⁻⁴, should serve as a reference point for our present study, where however B̄_{Kπ} was fixed to the average (0.418 ± 0.011)% at that time.
- The pole parameters of the $K^*(892)$ and $K^*(1410)$ resonances. The masses and widths of these resonances are extracted from the complex pole position s_R according to $\sqrt{s_R} = M_R - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_R$ [31]. For the lowest-lying resonance our results for the pole mass and width should be compatible with (892.0±0.2) MeV and (46.2±0.4) MeV [9], respectively, where the quoted uncertainties are only statistical. We expect that the extraction of the $K^*(1410)$ pole position should benefit from our present combined fit for which (1273±75) MeV and (185±74) MeV were obtained in ref. [8] when the uncertainties are symmetrised.
- The relative weight γ of the two resonances. In our isospin-symmetric way (2.1) of parametrising the resonance propagators in the form factor description, γ should be the same for the $K_S\pi^-$ and $K^-\eta$ channels, which we shall assume for our central fit. Still, we have also tried to fit them independently, as differences might indicate inelastic or coupled-channel effects. As is seen below, our various fit results do not show a sizeable preference for this possibility which supports our choice $\gamma_{K\eta} = \gamma_{K\pi}$. Our findings may be compared to the value $\gamma = -0.039 \pm 0.020$ of [8] indicating the influence of including the $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta v_{\tau}$ mode into our analysis.

In Table 1, we display our results using slightly different settings, though in all of them eq. (2.8) is employed to obtain the input phaseshift for the dispersion relation (2.5) and s_{cut} is fixed to 4 GeV^2

Fitted value	Reference Fit	Fit A	Fit B	Fit C
$\bar{B}_{K\pi}(\%)$	0.404 ± 0.012	0.400 ± 0.012	0.404 ± 0.012	0.397 ± 0.012
$(B^{th}_{K\pi})(\%)$	(0.402)	(0.394)	(0.400)	(0.394)
M_{K^*}	892.03 ± 0.19	892.04 ± 0.19	892.03 ± 0.19	892.07 ± 0.19
Γ_{K^*}	46.18 ± 0.42	46.11 ± 0.42	46.15 ± 0.42	46.13 ± 0.42
$M_{K^{*\prime}}$	1305^{+15}_{-18}	1308^{+16}_{-19}	1305^{+15}_{-18}	1310^{+14}_{-17}
$\Gamma_{K^{*\prime}}$	168^{+52}_{-44}	212^{+66}_{-54}	174^{+58}_{-47}	184_{-46}^{+56}
$\gamma_{K\pi} imes 10^2$	$=\gamma_{K\eta}$	$-3.6^{+1.1}_{-1.5}$	$-3.3^{+1.0}_{-1.3}$	$=\gamma_{K\eta}$
$\lambda'_{K\pi} imes 10^3$	23.9 ± 0.7	23.6 ± 0.7	23.8 ± 0.7	23.6 ± 0.7
$\lambda_{K\pi}^{\prime\prime} imes 10^4$	11.8 ± 0.2	11.7 ± 0.2	11.7 ± 0.2	11.6 ± 0.2
$\bar{B}_{K\eta} imes 10^4$	1.58 ± 0.10	1.62 ± 0.10	1.57 ± 0.10	1.66 ± 0.09
$(B_{K\eta}^{th}) \times 10^4$	(1.45)	(1.51)	(1.44)	(1.58)
$\gamma_{K\eta} \times 10^2$	$-3.4^{+1.0}_{-1.3}$	$-5.4^{+1.8}_{-2.6}$	$-3.9^{+1.4}_{-2.1}$	$-3.7^{+1.0}_{-1.4}$
$\lambda'_{K\eta} imes 10^3$	20.9 ± 1.5	$=\lambda'_{K\pi}$	21.2 ± 1.7	$=\lambda'_{K\pi}$
$\lambda_{K\eta}^{\prime\prime} \times 10^4$	11.1 ± 0.4	11.7 ± 0.2	11.1 ± 0.4	11.8 ± 0.2
$\chi^2/n.d.f.$	$108.1/105 \sim 1.03$	$109.9/105 \sim 1.05$	$107.8/104 \sim 1.04$	$111.9/106 \sim 1.06$

Table 1: Fit results for different choices regarding linear slopes and resonance mixing parameters at $s_{cut} = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$. See the main text for further details. Dimensionful parameters are given in MeV. As a consistency check, for each of the fits we provide (in brackets) the value of the respective integrated branching fractions.

(the uncertainty associated to its variation is discussed later on): our reference fit (second column) corresponds to fixing $\gamma_{K\pi} = \gamma_{K\eta}$, fit A (third column) assumes $\lambda'_{K\pi} = \lambda'_{K\eta}$, fit B (fourth column) is the result of letting all parameters float independently and finally, fit C (fifth column) enforces both restrictions $\gamma_{K\pi} = \gamma_{K\eta}$ and $\lambda'_{K\pi} = \lambda'_{K\eta}$. It is seen that our approach is rather stable against these variations, as the $\chi^2/n.d.f.$ remains basically the same for the different scenarios. Also the values of the fitted parameters are always compatible across all fits. The largest modification is observed in fit A, where we fix $\lambda'_{K\pi} = \lambda'_{K\eta}$, but allow for independent resonance mixing parameters γ . This is partly expected since in the reference fit the former equality on the slope parameters is only fulfilled at the 2σ level. Letting all parameters float in fit B yields results which are nicely compatible with the reference fit, though for some parameters resulting in slightly larger uncertainties. Finally, enforcing both, the linear slopes as well as the mixing parameters to be equal also results in a compatible fit where now the largest shift by about 2σ is found in λ''_{Kn} .

For presenting our final results, we have added to the statistical fit error a systematic uncertainty due to the variation of s_{cut} . To this end, we have taken the largest variation of central values while varying s_{cut} (which is always found at $s_{\text{cut}} = 3.24 \,\text{GeV}^2$) and have added this variation in quadrature to the statistical uncertainty. We then obtain

$$\begin{split} \bar{B}_{K\pi} &= (0.404 \pm 0.012) \,\%, \quad M_{K^*} = 892.03 \pm 0.19, \quad \Gamma_{K^*} = 46.18 \pm 0.44, \\ M_{K^{*\prime}} &= 1305^{+16}_{-18}, \quad \Gamma_{K^{*\prime}} = 168^{+65}_{-59}, \quad \gamma_{K\pi} = \gamma_{K\eta} = \left(-3.4^{+1.2}_{-1.4}\right) \cdot 10^{-2}, \\ \lambda'_{K\pi} &= (23.9 \pm 0.9) \cdot 10^{-3}, \quad \lambda''_{K\pi} = (11.8 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-4}, \quad \bar{B}_{K\eta} = (1.58 \pm 0.10) \cdot 10^{-4} \end{split}$$



Figure 1: Belle $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S \pi^- v_\tau$ (red solid circles) [4] and $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta v_\tau$ (green solid squares) [13] measurements as compared to our best fit results (solid black and blue lines, respectively) obtained in combined fits to both data sets, as presented in eq. (3.1). Empty circles (squares) correspond to data points which have not been included in the analysis. The small scalar contributions have been represented by black and blue dashed lines showing that while the former plays a role for the $K\pi$ spectrum close to threshold, the latter is irrelevant for the $K\eta$ distribution.

$$\lambda'_{Kn} = (20.9 \pm 2.7) \cdot 10^{-3}, \quad \lambda''_{Kn} = (11.1 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-4},$$
(3.1)

were like before all dimensionful quantities are given in MeV. Our final fit results are compared to the measured Belle $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S \pi^- v_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta v_\tau$ distributions [4, 13] in Figure 1. Satisfactory agreement with the experimental data, in accord with the observed χ^2 /n.d.f. of order one, is seen for all data points. The $K\pi$ spectrum is dominated by the contribution of the $K^*(892)$ resonance, whose peak is neatly visible. The scalar form factor contribution, although small in most of the phase space, is important to describe the data immediately above threshold. There is no such clear peak structure for the $K\eta$ channel as a consequence of the interplay between both K^* resonances. The corresponding scalar form factor in this case is numerically insignificant.

Several comments regarding our final results of eq. (3.1) and the reference fit of Table 1 are in order:

• Concerning the branching fractions, we observe that in the $K_S \pi^-$ channel our fit value $\bar{B}_{K\pi}$, which is mainly driven by the explicit input, and the result when integrating the fitted spec-

trum $B_{K\pi}^{th}$, are in very good agreement, pointing to a satisfactory description of the experimental data. On the other hand, for the $K\eta$ case, one notes a trend that the integrated branching fraction $B_{K\eta}^{th}$ turns out about 10% smaller than the fit result $\bar{B}_{K\eta}$, which points to slight deficiencies in the theoretical representation of this spectrum. This issue should be investigated further in the future with more precise data.

- The $K_S\pi^-$ slope parameters are well compatible with previous analogous analysis [8, 9]. For the corresponding $K^-\eta$ slopes, we obtain somewhat smaller values, which are, however, compatible with the crude estimates in Ref. [11]. The fact that the $K^-\eta$ slopes are about 2σ lower than the $K_S\pi^-$ slopes could be an indication of isospin violations, or could be a purely statistical effect. (Or a mixture of both.) To tackle this question and make further progress to disentangle isospin violations in the $K\pi$ form factor slopes, it is indispensable to study the related distribution for the $\tau^- \rightarrow K^-\pi^0 v_{\tau}$ decay, and the experimental groups should make every effort to also publish the corresponding spectrum for this process.
- The pole parameters of the K*(892) resonance are in nice accord with previous values [8, 9] and have similar statistical fit uncertainties which is to be expected as these parameters are driven by the data of the τ⁻ → K_Sπ⁻ν_τ decay, which was the process analysed previously. Regarding the parameters of the K*(1410) resonance, adding the τ⁻ → K⁻ην_τ spectral data into the fit results in a substantial improvement in the determination of the mass, while only a slight improvement in the width is observed. Part of the large uncertainty in the width of the second K* resonance can be traced back to the strong fit correlation with the mixing parameter γ, which is also not very well determined. Future data of either τ⁻ → (Kπ)⁻ν_τ or τ⁻ → K⁻ην_τ hadronic invariant mass distributions should enable a more precise evaluation.

4. Conclusions

Hadronic decays of the τ lepton remain to be an advantageous tool for the investigation of the hadronization of QCD currents in the non-perturbative regime of the strong interaction. In this contribution to the proceedings, based on the original work published in Ref. [32], we have explored the benefits of a combined analysis of the $\tau^- \to K_S \pi^- v_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \to K^- \eta v_{\tau}$ decays. This study was motivated by (our) separate earlier works on the two decay modes considering them as independent data sets. In particular, it was noticed in [11] that the $K\eta$ decay channel was rather sensitive to the properties of the $K^*(1410)$ resonance as the higher-energy region is less suppressed by phase space.

Our description of the dominant vector form factor follows the work of ref. [8], and proceeds in two stages. First, we write a Breit-Wigner type representation (2.1) which also fulfils constraints from χ PT at low-energies. In eq. (2.1), we have resummed the real part of the loop function in the resonance denominators, but as was discussed above, employing the following dispersive treatment, this is not really essential. It mainly entails a shift in the unphysical mass and width parameters m_n and γ_n . Second, we extract the phase of the vector form factor according to eq. (2.8) and plug it into the three-times subtracted dispersive representation of eq. (2.5). This way, the higher-energy region of the form factor, which is less well know, is suppressed, and the form factor slopes emerge as subtraction constants of the dispersion relation. A drawback of this description is that the form factor does not automatically satisfy the expected 1/s fall-off at very large energies. Still, in the region of the τ mass (and beyond), our form-factor representation is a decreasing function such that the deficit should be admissible without explicitly enforcing the short-distance constraint, thereby leaving more freedom for the slope parameters to assume their physical values.

In our combined dispersive analysis of the $(K\pi)^-$ and $K^-\eta$ decays we are currently limited by three facts: there are only published measurements of the $K_S\pi^-$ spectrum (and not of the corresponding $K^-\pi^0$ channel), the available $K^-\eta$ spectrum is not very precise and the corresponding data are still convoluted with detector effects. The first restriction prevents us from cleanly accessing isospin violations in the slope parameters of the vector form factor. From our joint fits, we have however managed to get an indication of this effect. The second one constitutes the present limitation in determining the $K^*(1410)$ resonance parameters but one should be aware that our approach to avoid the last one (assuming that the $K_S\pi^-$ unfolding function gives a good approximation to the one for the $K^-\eta$ case) adds a small (uncontrolled) uncertainty to our results that can only be fixed by a dedicated study of detector resolution and efficiency. In this respect it would be most beneficial, if unfolded measured spectra would be made available by the experimental groups, together with the corresponding bin-to-bin correlation matrices.

In Table 1, we have compared slightly different options to implement constraints from isospin into the fits. Our reference fit is given by the second column of Table 1 and adding together the statistical fit uncertainties with systematic errors from the variation of s_{cut} , our final results are summarised in eq. (3.1). The pole position we find for the $K^*(892)$ resonance is in perfect agreement with previous studies. The main motivation of this work was, however, to exploit the synergy of the $K\pi$ and $K\eta$ decay modes in characterising the $K^*(1410)$ meson. According to our results, the relative weight γ of both vector resonances is compatible in the $K\pi$ and $K\eta$ vector form factors, which supports our assumption of their universality. With current data we succeed in improving the determination of the $K^*(1410)$ pole mass, but regarding the width, substantial uncertainties remain. Our central result for these two quantities is

$$M_{K^{*'}} = (1304 \pm 17) \text{ MeV}, \quad \Gamma_{K^{*'}} = (171 \pm 62) \text{ MeV}, \quad (4.1)$$

where we have symmetrised the uncertainties listed in eq. (3.1).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación under grant FPA2011-25948, the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad under grants CICYT-FEDER-FPA 2014-55613-P and SEV-2012-0234, the Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del Departament d'Economia i Coneixement de la Generalitat de Catalunya under grant 2014 SGR 1450, and the Spanish Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme CPAN (CSD2007-00042).

References

[1] R. Barate *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], *Study of* τ *decays involving kaons, spectral functions and determination of the strange quark mass, Eur. Phys. J. C* **11** (1999) 599, hep-ex/9903015.

- Rafel Escribano
- [2] G. Abbiendi et al. [OPAL Collaboration], Measurement of the strange spectral function in hadronic τ decays, Eur. Phys. J. C 35 (2004) 437, [hep-ex/0406007].
- [3] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], *Measurement of the* $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 v_{\tau}$ *branching fraction, Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 051104, arXiv:0707.2922 [hep-ex].
- [4] D. Epifanov *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], *Study of* $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S \pi^- v_\tau$ *decay at Belle, Phys. Lett. B* **654** (2007) 65, arXiv:0706.2231 [hep-ex].
- [5] M. Jamin, A. Pich and J. Portolés, *Spectral distribution for the decay* $\tau \rightarrow v_{\tau}K\pi$, *Phys. Lett. B* **640** (2006) 176, hep-ph/0605096.
- [6] B. Moussallam, Analyticity constraints on the strangeness changing vector current and applications to $\tau \to K \pi v_{\tau}$, $\tau \to K \pi \pi v_{\tau}$, Eur. Phys. J. C 53 (2008) 401, arXiv:0710.0548 [hep-ph].
- [7] M. Jamin, A. Pich and J. Portolés, *What can be learned from the Belle spectrum for the decay* $\tau^- \rightarrow v_\tau K_S \pi^-$, *Phys. Lett. B* **664** (2008) 78, arXiv:0803.1786 [hep-ph].
- [8] D. R. Boito, R. Escribano and M. Jamin, $K\pi$ vector form-factor, dispersive constraints and $\tau \rightarrow v_{\tau}K\pi$ decays, Eur. Phys. J. C **59** (2009) 821, arXiv:0807.4883 [hep-ph].
- [9] D. R. Boito, R. Escribano and M. Jamin, $K\pi$ vector form factor constrained by $\tau \rightarrow K\pi v_{\tau}$ and K_{l3} decays, *JHEP* **1009** (2010) 031, arXiv:1007.1858 [hep-ph].
- [10] V. Bernard, First determination of $f_+(0)|V_{us}|$ from a combined analysis of $\tau \to K\pi\nu_{\tau}$ decay and πK scattering with constraints from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays, JHEP **1406** (2014) 082, arXiv:1311.2569 [hep-ph].
- [11] R. Escribano, S. González-Solís and P. Roig, $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta^{(\prime)} v_{\tau}$ decays in chiral perturbation theory with resonances, *JHEP* **1310** (2013) 039, arXiv:1307.7908 [hep-ph].
- [12] P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], *Studies of tau*⁻ $\rightarrow \eta K^- \nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^- \nu_{\tau}$ at *BaBar and a search for a second-class current*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 032002, arXiv:1011.3917 [hep-ex].
- [13] K. Inami *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], *Precise measurement of hadronic τ-decays with an η meson*, *Phys. Lett. B* 672 (2009) 209, arXiv:0811.0088 [hep-ex].
- [14] J. E. Bartelt *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], *First observation of the decay* $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta v_{\tau}$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** (1996) 4119.
- [15] D. Buskulic *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], A study of τ decays involving η and ω mesons, Z. Phys. C 74 (1997) 263.
- [16] A. Pich, 'Anomalous' η production in τ decay, Phys. Lett. B 196 (1987) 561.
- [17] E. Braaten, R. J. Oakes and S. -M. Tse, An effective Lagrangian calculation of the semileptonic decay modes of the τ lepton, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 5 (1990) 2737.
- [18] B. A. Li, Theory of τ mesonic decays, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 1436, [hep-ph/9606402].
- [19] D. Kimura, K. Y. Lee, T. Morozumi, *The form factors of* $\tau \rightarrow K\pi(\eta)\nu$ *and the predictions for CP violation beyond the standard model, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2013** (2013) 053803, arXiv:1201.1794 [hep-ph].
- [20] S. Actis et al., Quest for precision in hadronic cross sections at low energy: Monte Carlo tools vs. experimental data, Eur. Phys. J. C 66 (2010) 585, arXiv:0912.0749 [hep-ph].
- [21] S. Weinberg, Phenomenological Lagrangians, Physica A 96 (1979) 327.
- [22] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Chiral perturbation theory to one loop, Annals Phys. 158 (1984) 142;

- Rafel Escribano
- [23] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Chiral perturbation theory: expansions in the mass of the strange quark, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465.
- [24] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, *The role of resonances in chiral perturbation theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* 321 (1989) 311.
- [25] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, *Chiral lagrangians for massive spin 1 fields*, *Phys. Lett. B* 223 (1989) 425.
- [26] M. Jamin, J. A. Oller and A. Pich, S wave Kπ scattering in chiral perturbation theory with resonances, Nucl. Phys. B 587 (2000) 331, hep-ph/0006045.
- [27] M. Jamin, J. A. Oller and A. Pich, Strangeness changing scalar form-factors, Nucl. Phys. B 622 (2002) 279, hep-ph/0110193.
- [28] M. Jamin, J. A. Oller and A. Pich, Scalar Kπ form factor and light quark masses, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 074009, hep-ph/0605095.
- [29] J. Beringer *et al.* [Particle Data Group], *Review of Particle Physics (RPP), Phys. Rev. D* 86 (2012) 010001.
- [30] Y. Amhis *et al.* [Heavy Flavor Averaging Group], *Averages of B-Hadron, C-Hadron, and tau-lepton properties as of early 2012*, arXiv:1207.1158 [hep-ex].
- [31] R. Escribano, A. Gallegos, J. L. Lucio M, G. Moreno and J. Pestieau, On the mass, width and coupling constants of the f₀(980), Eur. Phys. J. C 28 (2003) 107, hep-ph/0204338.
- [32] R. Escribano, S. González-Solís, M. Jamin and P. Roig, *Combined analysis of the decays* $\tau^- \rightarrow K_S \pi^- v_\tau$ and $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \eta v_\tau$, *JHEP* **1409** (2014) 042, arXiv:1407.6590 [hep-ph].