The proton spin-dependent structure function $g_2$ at low $Q^2$
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While the neutron spin structure functions, $g_1^n$ and $g_2^n$, and the longitudinal proton spin structure function, $g_1^p$, have been measured over a wide kinematic range, the second proton spin structure function, $g_2^p$, has not. This document will present the E08-027 ($g_2^p$) experiment, which was an inclusive measurement of $g_2^p$ in the resonance region at Jefferson Lab’s Hall A. This is the first measurement of $g_2^p$ covering $0.02 \, \text{GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 0.2 \, \text{GeV}^2$. The experiment will allow us to test the Burkhardt-Cottingham sum rule at low $Q^2$ as well as extract the longitudinal-transverse generalized spin polarizability and compare it to predictions made by Chiral Perturbation Theory. In addition, the data will reduce the systematic uncertainty of calculations of the hyperfine splitting of hydrogen. An update on the status of the analysis, along with preliminary results, will be discussed.

*Speaker.
1. Introduction and Motivation

The nucleon (N) is a composite system consisting of quarks and gluons that exhibit complex many-body interactions. The inclusive electron scattering process, \(N(e,e^-)\), characterizes this deviation from point-like behavior with four structure functions, each describing a particular aspect of the nucleon’s compositeness. These four can be subdivided into smaller groups of two: the unpolarized structure functions (\(F_1\) and \(F_2\)) and the spin-polarized structure functions (\(g_1\) and \(g_2\)). The structure functions can be isolated by taking different combinations of beam and target polarizations, i.e. longitudinally polarized electrons with a longitudinally or transversely polarized nucleon,

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta \sigma_\parallel &= \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'}(\downarrow\uparrow - \uparrow\uparrow) = \frac{4\alpha^2}{MQ^2} \frac{E'}{vE} \left[ (E + E'\cos\theta)g_1(x,Q^2) - \frac{Q^2}{v}g_2(x,Q^2) \right], \\
\Delta \sigma_\perp &= \frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE'}(\downarrow\Rightarrow - \uparrow\Rightarrow) = \frac{4\alpha^2\sin\theta}{MQ^2} \frac{E'^2}{v^2E} \left[ vg_1(x,Q^2) - 2Eg_2(x,Q^2) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(v\) is the energy transfer, \(\theta\) is the scattering angle, \(Q^2\) is the four-momentum transfer squared, \(\alpha\) is the fine structure constant, \(M\) is the nucleon mass, \(x\) is the Bjorken scaling variable, and \(E\) and \(E'\) are the incident and outgoing electron energy, respectively. The electron spin is denoted by \(\uparrow\) and \(\downarrow\) and the nucleon spin by \(\Rightarrow\) and \(\Rightarrow\).

While straightforward to measure experimentally, the current theories are unable to provide calculations of the structure functions from first principles. Instead, theoretical predictions of the moments of the structure functions allow for the comparison between experiment and theory. The moments are \(x\)-weighted integrals of the spin structure functions. The \(0^{th}\) (no \(x\)-weighting) moment of the \(g_2\) structure function is the Burkhardt-Cottingham (BC) sum rule \([1]\),

\[
\Gamma_2 = \int_0^1 g_2(x,Q^2) dx = 0.
\]

Higher moments of the spin structure functions are related to electromagnetic polarizabilities by dispersive sum rules. There are several higher moments, but this contribution will focus on the longitudinal-transverse spin polarizability (\(\delta_{LT}\)).

\[
\delta_{LT}(Q^2) = \frac{16\alpha M^2}{Q^6} \int_0^x x^2 [g_1(x,Q^2) + g_2(x,Q^2)].
\]

A detailed experimental and theoretical background to the moments and sum rules of the spin structure functions is provided in Refs. \([2, 3, 4]\).

Physical meaning can be attached to the structure functions by studying them in the Bjorken scaling limit; in Feynman’s parton model, the structure functions \(F_1\), \(F_2\) and \(g_1\) are described as incoherent sums over the (non-interacting) parton momentum distribution functions. There is no simple interpretation of \(g_2\) in the parton model, as its description necessarily includes contributions from quark-gluon interactions. Instead, turning to the operator product expansion, a non-zero \(g_2\) is obtained using a twist expansion,

\[
g_2(x,Q^2) = g_2^{WW}(x,Q^2) + \tilde{g}_2(x,Q^2).
\]
The leading twist term, $g_{2}^{WW}$, is defined completely by $g_{1}$ and is given by the Wandzura-Wilczek relation. The higher twist term, $\bar{g}_{2}(x, Q^{2})$ is related to both the transverse polarization distribution of the quark and quark-gluon interactions. At small $Q^{2}$, the higher twist term contributes more to $g_{2}$, and low momentum transfer squared measurements offer insight into the collective behavior of interacting partons within the nucleon.

At low momentum transfer squared the behavior of the structure functions is closely related to the global properties of the proton. Poor knowledge of the spin structure functions in the low $Q^{2}$ region has become a limiting factor in the precision of bound-state QED calculations. The energy levels in such systems can be measured to extremely high precision, so corresponding QED calculations have reached a level where the finite size of the nucleon, as characterized by the structure functions, is now the leading uncertainty. For example, hyperfine splitting in ground state hydrogen is measured at the $10^{-13}$ MHz level but only calculable to $\sim 10^{-6}$ MHz. The largest source of theoretical uncertainty is directly related to the structure functions. These proton structure corrections cannot be calculated directly from the fundamental theory, but are instead related to integrals of the measured structure functions. The current lack of $g_{2}$ measurements leads to a reliance on models to estimate its contribution [5, 6]. Currently the $g_{2}$ portion of the correction dominates the uncertainty, especially in the low momentum transfer squared region (see Figure 1). The $g_{2}$ contribution is given by

$$\Delta_{2} = -24m_{p}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dQ^{2}}{Q^{4}} B_{2}(Q^{2}), \quad (1.6)$$

$$B_{2} = \int_{0}^{x_{th}} dx [1 + 2 \tau - 2 \sqrt{\tau(\tau + 1)}] g_{2}(x, Q^{2}), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\tau = v^{2}/Q^{2}$ and $x_{th}$ is the pion production threshold. The full hyperfine splitting calculation is detailed in Refs. [5, 6, 7, 8].

**Figure 1:** Low $Q^{2}$ dependence on the $g_{2}$ contribution to the structure corrections to the hydrogen hyperfine splitting. The blue shaded region represents the kinematic coverage of the $g_{2}^{p}$ experiment.
2. Measurements of the Structure Functions

With the exception of $g_2$ of the proton, the four structure functions have been measured over a wide kinematic range for both the neutron and proton. The relative lack of $g_2$ structure function measurements is due to the technical difficulty in operating the required transversely polarized target. It is especially difficult for protons because a large (transverse) magnetic field is needed. The first dedicated measurement of proton $g_2$ was conducted at SLAC in E155x \[9\]. Their results are largely consistent with leading twist behavior, except for a $2.75\sigma$ discrepancy in their result for the BC sum rule. The large uncertainty of that result is associated with the extrapolation to the low-$x$ portion of the integral.

![Figure 2: Current status of the BC sum rule \[9, 10, 11, 12\]. The top (bottom) plot are results on the proton (neutron). The open symbols represent the measured values and the solid symbols are the total sum rule, after including unmeasured contributions from the elastic and high-energy (low-$x$) region. Plot courtesy of K. Slifer \[13\].](image)

Three Jefferson Lab (JLab) experiments followed E155x, covering a wide range of momentum transfer squared. The Resonance Spin Structure (RSS) experiment in Hall C measured $g_2$ of both the proton and deuteron in the resonance region at intermediate $Q^2$ \[10\]. They report results consistent with the BC sum rule, but the sum rule remains largely unmeasured for the proton. The current status of BC sum rule measurements is shown in Figure 2. The Spin Asymmetries of the Nucleon (SANE), also performed in Hall C, provided a measurement of $g_2^p$ in the high $Q^2$ region \[14\]. The most recent experiment, $g_2^p$, ran in Hall A and covered the low $Q^2$ region. The $g_2^p$ structure function has also been extensively measured in Hall A \[4\].

The low momentum transfer squared $g_2^p$ data is of particular interest because it covers a region not previously measured by E155x or other experiments. The data is useful in testing Chiral Perturbation Theory ($\chi$PT) calculations, which have shown inconsistency with the existing neutron data in terms of the longitudinal-transverse spin polarizability. The discrepancy is highlighted Figure 3, where the dotted blue and red lines are the relativistic baryon and heavy baryon $\chi$PT predictions, respectively. The quantity $\delta_{LT}$ is thought to be a good testing ground for $\chi$PT due to its insensi-
tivity to the $\Delta$-resonance. In addition, integrals involving the second moments, such as $\delta_{LT}$, have a smaller contribution from the high-$Q$ region and converge much faster due to an extra $1/\nu^2$ weighting. This minimizes experimental uncertainty caused by the unmeasured region at large $\nu$. More recent calculations suggest better agreement [15], but there is still a lack of proton data.

![Figure 3](image_url) Neutron results for the longitudinal-transverse spin polarizability. The blue squares are published data from E94010 [16] and the red circles are preliminary data from E97110, both from JLab’s Hall A. The black line is the MAID 2003 prediction. The blue curve and band is a calculation from Ref [17] and the red curve is a calculation from Ref [18]. Plot courtesy of V. Sulkosky [19].

3. The $g_2^p$ Experiment

The $g_2^p$ experiment successfully ran March to May 2012 in Hall A. We performed an inclusive measurement at forward angles of the proton spin-dependent cross sections in order to determine the $g_2^p$ structure function and the longitudinal-transverse spin polarizability $\delta_{LT}$ in the resonance region for $0.02 < Q^2 < 0.20$ GeV$^2$. A measurement of $g_2$ requires knowledge of both the parallel and perpendicular polarized cross sections. The experiment primarily measured the perpendicular contribution and will rely on the EG4 experiment [20] from JLab’s Hall B for the parallel component. Parallel data was taken at one setting to cross-check the EG4 results. The kinematic coverage of the experiment is shown in Figure 4.

The experiment required a large scale installation in Hall A (see Figure 5). To reach the lowest possible $Q^2$, a pair of room temperature septa magnets were installed at the entrance to the high resolution spectrometers (HRS) to allow detection of scattered electrons at 5.69°. Dynamical Nuclear Polarization (DNP) was used to polarize the solid ammonia target. The DNP target’s strong magnetic field (5/2.5 T) required the installation of two large dipole magnets upstream of the target to provide chicaning of the beam. In order to limit depolarization of the polarized ammonia target, the experiment ran low currents (under 100 nA), which required the installation of new beam position monitors (BPMs) to fully characterize the beam profile. To further minimize depolarization, a slow raster was installed to raster the beam over the entire $\sim$2 cm diameter target...
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**Figure 4:** Kinematics covered during experimental run period. As $W$ increases, $Q^2$ increases due to the target field creating a larger scattering angle.

**Figure 5:** Hall A beamline for the $g_2^p$ experiment.

cup. The lower beam currents also led to the installation of a low current tungsten calorimeter to calibrate the beam current monitors (BCMs). At certain kinematics, a local beam dump collected the un-scattered beam.

The standard Hall A detector package was used in each HRS [21]. Scattered electrons first passed through a pair of vertical drift wire-chambers (VDCs). The electrons ionized the gas inside the wire chambers and timing information from the ionization trail determined the position and angle of the trajectory. Next the electrons passed through a pair of segmented plastic scintillators approximately 2m apart. This formed the data acquisition trigger. Particle identification (PID) was provided by a gas Čerenkov detector and a two-layer electromagnetic calorimeter. The gas Čerenkov used the production of Čerenkov light in CO$_2$ to distinguish electrons from other negatively charged particles. The calorimeters used a collection of lead glass blocks to induce a cascade of pair production and bremsstrahlung radiation from energetic particles.
4. Status of the Analysis

Analysis of the $g_2^p$ dataset is currently underway. The target polarization analysis is complete, and polarizations averaged $\sim$70% and $\sim$15% for the 5 T and 2.5 T settings, respectively. The results are published in Ref. [22]. Detector calibration and efficiency studies have been completed for the PID and scintillator detectors. Multi-track and efficiency analysis of the VDC detectors is also complete. Scaler analysis to determine the BCM calibrations, helicity decoding and data-acquisition deadtime are finished. The optics analysis, which reconstructs the scattered electrons from the detector plane to the target, was made more difficult as compared to the standard HRS configuration by the large target field, septum field and chicane magnets, though the optics calibrations are almost complete for the left HRS. Beam position and raster size calibrations have been completed and the results can be found in Ref. [23].

Figure 6: Experimental asymmetries for the 2254 MeV setting. The top (bottom) plot is the 5 T longitudinal (transverse) asymmetry. The red curve is a radiated model prediction, which is explained in the text. Plots courtesy of T. Badman.

Extraction of the relevant physics quantities requires removing the unpolarized and non-proton events from the measured cross sections and asymmetries. The fraction of protons within the target
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Figure 7: 2254 MeV normalized NH$_3$ yield (arb. units) for the 5 T longitudinal setting. Plot courtesy of T. Badman.

cup volume is determined in a packing fraction analysis, and the contribution from unpolarized background events, i.e. scattering from nitrogen, helium and the physical target cup, is determined in a dilution analysis, both of which are underway. In addition, a procedure to determine the spectrometer acceptance is being developed, as well as a method to perform the radiative corrections to the cross sections (both polarized and unpolarized). Preliminary asymmetries and yields are shown in Figures 6 and 7. The asymmetries have been scaled by the beam and target polarization, and dilution factor. The dilution factor used is a preliminary result based on data taken during the experiment on carbon, empty target cells and helium. The uncertainty in the asymmetries is purely statistical and they have not been radiatively corrected. The red line is a model prediction, where the radiated asymmetry is formed from the ratio of polarized cross sections from the MAID 2007 model [24] and the unpolarized cross sections from the empirical fit in Ref. [25]. The polarized model is radiated according to the formalism of Ref. [26] and the unpolarized to that of Ref. [27]. The yield shows good separation between the nitrogen and hydrogen elastic peaks. A delta-resonance ($\Delta_{1232}$) peak is also visible between $\nu = 200$ MeV and 400 MeV.

5. Summary

In this contribution, we have discussed the measurements of the proton $g_2$ structure function. Jefferson Lab has been at the forefront of recent experimental developments with the RSS and SANE experiments providing measurements at intermediate and high momentum transfer squared. The gap in the low $Q^2$ coverage of $g_2^p$ will be filled in by the recent $g_2^p$ experiment. Existing data has revealed a striking discrepancy of $\chi$PT calculations with the longitudinal-transverse spin polarizability $\delta_{LT}$. These data will provide a benchmark test of $\chi$PT and also allow a test of the BC sum rule at low $Q^2$. Current results show that the sum rule is satisfied for the neutron but it is still largely unmeasured for the proton. In addition the data will also help improve the accuracy of calculations of the hydrogen hyperfine splitting, where terms related to the structure functions now dominate the uncertainty.
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