

Cold and Ultra-cold Neutrons as Probes of New Physics

Gertrud Konrad^{*ab} and Hartmut Abele^b

 ^aStefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Austrian Academy of Sciences Boltzmanngasse 3, 1090 Vienna, Austria
 ^bAtominstitut, TU Wien Stadionallee 2, 1020 Wien, Austria
 E-mail: gertrud.konrad@oeaw.ac.at

Despite the great success of the Standard Model, many key questions in particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology are unanswered. In particular, more than 95% of the universe consists of unknown dark matter and dark energy. Today, a major goal of particle physics is to look for evidence of new physics beyond the Standard Model. Collider searches for new physics are well suited to the direct production of new high-mass particles, whereas low-energy precision experiments search for traces that new particles leave in known processes. Direct and indirect evidence of new physics are highly complementary.

High-precision experiments with extremely slow, cold and ultra-cold neutrons address some of the unanswered questions, for instance, on the nature of the fundamental forces and underlying symmetries, the origin, evolution, and fate of the universe, or on the nature of the gravitational force at very small distances. For example, the limit on the electric dipole moment of the neutron constrains the CP violating phases, the lifetime of the neutron determines the relative helium abundance in the universe, and neutrons bouncing over a mirror probe dark matter and dark energy.

New facilities and technological developments now give window for significant improvement in precision by one to two orders of magnitude. In this paper, we will give an overview of current and planned facilities and experiments, as well as an overview of some of the applications of neutrons to astrophysics, cosmology, and physics beyond the Standard Model.

The 26th International Nuclear Physics Conference 11-16 September, 2016 Adelaide, Australia

*Speaker.

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

In recent years, cosmic surveys have revealed the composition of the universe [1, 2]: The today's universe consists of 69.4(8) % dark energy, 25.8(8) % dark matter, and of 4.8(1) % ordinary matter [2, 3]. However, 'the missing link in cosmology is the nature of dark matter and dark energy', as Stephen Hawking said at Caltech in 2013, where he lectured on the origin of the universe.

At present, the Standard Model is the best description of all known particles and their interactions. But it does not explain gravity, dark matter and dark energy, neutrino masses, or the baryon asymmetry of the universe. New physics beyond the Standard Model is needed to explain these deficiencies. Theories beyond the Standard Model include extensions of the Standard Model through, e.g., supersymmetry or entirely novel explanations such as, e.g., extra dimensions.

Physicists worldwide wonder what dark matter is. Potential dark matter candidates include

- weakly interacting massive particles (*WIMP*). The supersymmetric particle neutralino is the most favored candidate for dark matter.
- axions. Only axions of a specific, low mass could explain the invisible nature of dark matter.
- massive astrophysical compact halo objects (*MACHO*). MACHOs like black holes, neutron stars, and brown and white dwarfs are composed of ordinary matter.
- *extra dimensional dark matter*. The lightest Kaluza-Klein particle in universal extra dimensions is an excellent candidate for dark matter.
- *gravitinos*. The gravitino is the superpartner of the hypothetical graviton, which in turn mediates the gravitational force.
- sterile neutrinos. Sterile neutrinos may be neutral heavy leptons.
- *mirror world dark matter*. Mirror matter is the oldest but still viable candidate for dark matter.

We use cold and ultra-cold neutrons (see Sect. 2 for definition and Sect. 3 for neutron sources) to unravel this and other open questions in particle physics, astrophysics, and cosmology [4, 5, 6]. For instance, the about eight seconds discrepancy between the 'bottle' and 'beam' averages of the neutron lifetime [7] could be explained by new physics. Further applications are found in Sect. 4.

'Bottle' experiments store ultra-cold neutrons in a material or magnetic trap and count how many are left after a given time. 'Beam' experiments direct a stream of cold neutrons through an electromagnetic trap and count the trapped decay protons within a given time. Assume that neutrons would disappear via beta decay and a second, previously unknown process. Then 'bottle' experiments would record both processes, whereas 'beam' experiments would record only beta decay. 'Bottle' experiments would therefore result in a shorter neutron lifetime, as observed in the experiments.

Although experimentalists think that one or even both neutron lifetime experiments have underestimated or overlooked a systematic effect, a few theorists have suggested a second process which may explain an anomalous loss of stored neutrons. Berezhiani and Nesti hypothesized the existence of a parallel world consisting of mirror particles. According to their hypothesis, each neutron could oscillate into its invisible twin from the parallel world, the so-called mirror neutron [8, 9]. The discovery of neutron–mirror neutron oscillations may also shed light on the nature of dark matter, and would be a discovery of the baryon number violation ($\Delta B = 1$).

Gertrud Konrad

2. Properties of the neutron

The neutron, n, is a subatomic particle with, according to its name, no net electric charge. It is made up of two down quarks and one up quark, and reacts to all known forces. The key properties of the neutron are summarized in Table 1. Because of these unique properties, neutrons are a

Quantity	Symbol	Value
Charge	$q_{ m n}$	$< 1 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{e}$
Radius	r _n	$\sim 1{ m fm}$
Mass	m _n	$939.5654133(58)\mathrm{MeV/c^2}$
Mass difference	$m_{\rm n}-m_{\rm p}$	$1.29333205(51)\mathrm{MeV/c^2}$
Lifetime	$ au_{ m n}$	880.2(1.0) s
Spin	Ι	1/2
Magnetic dipole moment	$\mu_{ m n}$	$-1.91304273(45)\mu_{ m N}$
Electric dipole moment	d_{n}	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{e} \cdot \mathrm{cm}$
Electric polarizibility	α_{n}	$118(11) imes 10^{-5} \mathrm{fm}^3$
Magnetic polarizibility	$eta_{ m n}$	$37(12) imes 10^{-5} \mathrm{fm}^3$

Table 1: Key properties of the neutron according to the 2016 PDG review [3].

powerful tool for investigating almost all kinds of matter. For example, neutrons can penetrate nondestructive and deep into matter, as they are electrically neutral. Hence, neutrons are applied not only in particle physics but also in physics, nuclear physics, chemistry, crystallography, materials sciences, biology, medicine, geosciences, archaeology, and art history. As a result, the number of neutron research facilities worldwide (see Sect. 3) is abundant.

Neutrons as such cannot be distinguished. But their energy and wavelength or magnetic properties must be adapted to the specific application. Thus, it is common to distinguish neutrons according to their energy. Table 2 shows their energy classification. In particular, neutrons which are reflected under all angles of incident are called ultra-cold neutrons (UCNs).

Energy [meV]	Wavelength [Å]	Velocity [m/s]	Temperature [K]	Classification
$< 3 \times 10^{-4}$	> 520	5	2×10^{-3}	Ultra-cold neutrons
0.1 - 20	5	800	40	Cold neutrons
25	1.8	2200	300	Thermal neutrons
$40 - 10^3$	0.7	5700	2000	Hot neutrons
2×10^9	$2 imes 10^{-4}$	2×10^7	$2 imes 10^{10}$	Fission neutrons

Table 2: Energy classification of the neutron. The limits are slightly different from source to source.

3. Neutron research facilities

Around the world, there are 41 neutron research facilities in operation: nine in North America, three in South America, eight in Asia, 19 in Europe, and one each in Africa and Australia. Each of these facilities operates a large-scale neutron source. In addition, two more facilities are currently under construction: the China Spallation Neutron Source (CSNS), with operation planned for 2018, and the European Spallation Source (ESS) in Lund/Sweden, with operation planned for 2023.

Neutrons are produced by nuclear fission in research reactors or by spallation in acceleratordriven spallation sources. In research reactors, a fissile nucleus (²³⁵U or ²³⁹Pu) captures a neutron, splits into two lighter nuclei, and releases two to three free neutrons. This corresponds to one to two useable neutrons per fission. In spallation sources, high-energy protons from the accelerator are focused onto a heavy metal target (Hg, W, Ta, or Pb), collide with the target nuclei, and leave highly excited nuclei. The excited nuclei, in turn, lose their energy by emitting protons and predominately neutrons, 30 to 40 per proton. However, the time-averaged neutron flux of existing spallation sources is inferior to that of research reactors, because spallation sources run in connection to a pulsed particle accelerator. At present, the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL) in Grenoble/France operates the most intense neutron source in the world. But once operational, the ESS will be the first pulsed neutron source with a higher time-averaged flux than the ILL (cf. Table 3).

Fission and spallation neutrons have average energies of about 2 MeV (see Table 2), which are far too high for our applications in particle physics. These neutrons are therefore slowed down in moderators and in so-called secondary sources arranged around the primary neutron source. Moderators like graphite or heavy water, D₂O, are used to provide hot respectively thermal neutrons. Secondary sources filled with liquid hydrogen, H₂ or D₂, at a temperature of 20 - 30 K, imbedded in the heavy water moderator, produce cold neutrons. Table 3 lists the cold neutron beam lines for particle physics. We note that the NIST beam line has been improved by more than a factor of 4,

Name	Facility	Pulsed	Time-averaged capture flux	Comment
			density $[\times 10^9 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{s}]$	
ANNI	ESS	Yes	50	proposed
PF1B	ILL	No	20	
MEPHISTO	FRM II	No	18	under construction
NG-C	NIST	No	8.3	
FnPB	SNS	Yes	3.8	
FP12	LANSCE	Yes	0.1	
NOP	J-PARC	Yes	1.2/MW	

Table 3: Flux of existing and proposed cold neutron beam lines for particle physics [5, 10, 11, 12, 13].

and that ANNI, the beam facility proposed for ESS [14], would exceed the time-averaged flux of the most intense continuous beam lines and provide, at full flux, all advantages of a pulsed facility.

The most well-known UCN source is the so-called Steyerl neutron turbine at the ILL, which was installed in 1986: A beam of very cold neutrons (VCNs) with velocities of 50 m/s is extracted from the ILL's vertical cold source by a slightly curved vertical neutron guide. UCNs are then produced by a Doppler shifter. The Steyerl turbine with 690 cylindrically curved blades rotates at a speed of 25 m/s and decelerates the VCNs into the UCN energy range (0 - 15 m/s) [15].

In the 1990s, worldwide efforts to increase UCN intensities and densities started. The trend today is towards so-called superthermal UCN sources: Thermal or cold neutrons are inelastically scattered on a medium and transfer their energy to an excitation of the scattering medium, for instance, to a phonon. In this way, neutrons are 'down-scattered' to the UCN energy range. Superthermal UCN sources based on superfluid helium, LHe, provide long storage times, while sources based on solid deuterium, SD₂, provide high production rates.

Besides the Steyerl turbine, two LHe sources and three SD₂ sources are currently operating at the ILL [16], RCNP/KEK [17], LANSCE [18, 19], PSI [20], and at the TRIGA Mainz [21]. For a recent comparison see Ref. [22]: UCN densities range from a few UCN/cm³ at the TRIGA Mainz to 22 UCN/cm³ at the PSI. In addition, three more LHe sources and two more SD₂ sources are under construction at the ILL, TRIUMF/KEK, PNPI [23], FRM II, and at the PULSTAR reactor [24]. According to calculations, the new sources will exceed the UCN densities of the 'old' sources by up to three orders of magnitude (see also Ref. [19]). Future experiments could thus significantly gain in precision, by one to two orders of magnitude, and would no longer be limited in statistics.

4. Fundamental neutron physics

As we have just seen, the number of neutron research facilities and UCN sources worldwide has increased considerably. Hence, there are more and more opportunities to study the fundamental properties and interactions of the neutron. In neutron particle physics, we are concerned with

- *neutron oscillations*. As addressed in Sect. 1, the discovery of neutron–anti-neutron, nn̄, or neutron–mirror neutron, nn', oscillations would be a discovery of baryon number violation (ΔB = 2 resp. 1). The best limits for nn̄ oscillations stem from bound neutrons inside nuclei [25, 26]. Present limits for nn̄ and nn' oscillations from free neutrons are from 1994 [27] respectively the late 2000s [28, 29, 30]. New experiments are proposed at the SNS [31], PNPI [32], and at the ESS [14, 33]. See Ref. [34] for a recent review.
- neutron beta decay (see Sect. 4.1 and Refs. [35, 36] in this proceedings),
- *the neutron electric dipole moment* (nEDM). The discovery of a permanent nEDM could shed light on the baryon asymmetry of the universe, and would be a clear sign of a new CP violating interaction beyond the Standard Model, at the TeV or even PeV scale [37]. Recently, a revised upper limit on the value of the nEDM [38] and a new search for the nEDM at ILL [39] have been presented. A new limit from the nEDM experiment at PSI is expected in the near future [20]. In addition, six more experiments are under construction at the RCNP/TRIUMF, FRM II, SNS, PNPI, LANSCE, and at the PSI, and three new ones are proposed for the PIK reactor, J-PARC, and for the ESS [40]. Essentially all of them aim at one to two orders of magnitude improvement. For recent reviews see Refs. [37, 41, 42].
- the gravitational force at very small distances (see Sect. 4.2),
- *neutron interferometry* (cf. Sect. 4.2.1). Groups at NIST [43] and Atominstitut/ILL [44, 45] employ neutron interferometry primarily for fundamental tests of quantum mechanics [46].
- *the neutron charge*. There is no reason to assume that the neutron is electrically neutral. A non-zero charge could be explained by extra dimensions, superstrings, or other grand unified theories. Groups from Mainz [47] and Vienna [48] aim to improve the limit from 1988 [49].
- *neutron scattering*. Neutron scattering data constrain extra-short-range forces [50], and neutron crystal-diffraction constrains a spin-dependent short-range interaction [51].
- *hadronic weak interactions*. Hadronic parity violation is a unique probe of the non-perturbative strong coupling limit of QCD. The NPDGamma Collaboration just presented preliminary results on the long range weak meson coupling h_{π}^{1} [52], which will finally test theoretical predictions [53, 54, 55]. The n+³He experiment at SNS continues this study, and a new n+⁴He apparatus is under construction for NIST [56]. See Refs. [57, 58, 56] for recent reviews.

reactor neutrinos. In 2011, an anomaly in reactor antineutrino spectra has been revealed [59, 60]. This and other anomalies found in neutrino oscillation experiments could be explained by a light sterile neutrino. Serebrov *et al.* just reported on the first ever measurements with *moving* NEUTRINO-4 *detector* at the SM-3 reactor [61]. First results of the STEREO experiment at the ILL are expected for 2017 [62]. See Ref. [63] for a more general review.

Here, we focus on *neutron decay*, the *gravitational force*, and *neutron interferometry*. For the other applications see Refs. [20, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70] in this proceedings and recent reviews [4, 5, 6].

4.1 Neutron beta decay

Free neutrons decay into a proton, an electron, and an anti-electronneutrino, with a mean lifetime $\tau_n \approx 15$ min. (cf. Table 1). The differential decay probability can be parametrized by angular correlation coefficients [71]. For example, the beta asymmetry parameter A describes the angular correlation between the neutron spin and the electron momentum. In this way, more than 20 observables can be defined. These correlation coefficients depend differently on the vector, axial vector, scalar, tensor, and pseudo-scalar weak coupling constants.

In the Standard Model, all correlation coefficients depend only on the ratio $\lambda = g_A/g_V$ of the axial vector to the vector coupling constant, whereas the neutron lifetime depends on λ and the CKM matrix element V_{ud} . Hence, measuring several correlation coefficients precisely allows testing the Standard Model and limiting or identifying non-Standard Model couplings.

Interactions beyond the Standard Model can be best constrained from tests of the unitarity of the CKM matrix. Extensions of the Standard Model like supersymmetry or entirely new physics concepts like leptoquarks predict new physics at the TeV scale. Testing new physics at mass scales of 1 to 100 TeV through neutron decay requires high-precision measurements with 10^{-4} accuracy.

Not to forget, the values of g_A and τ_n are important for predictions in cosmology (primordial abundances of light elements) and astrophysics (solar neutrino flux, neutron star formation), applications in particle physics (spin content of proton, Goldberger-Treiman relation, detection efficiency of neutrino detectors), and for computational physics (lattice benchmark, see Sect. 4.1.4).

See Refs. [4, 5, 72] for recent reviews and theoretical prospects.

4.1.1 The neutron lifetime puzzle

As introduced in Sect. 1, the 2016 PDG average of the neutron lifetime $\tau_n = 880.2(1.0)$ s consists of five 'material bottle' plus two 'beam' experiments [3]. Its 1 s uncertainty is far greater than the 0.1 s sensitivity required for new physics searches, and is dominated by the ~ 8 s discrepancy between the two methods. Although earlier experiments have been reanalyzed [73, 74, 75] since the present best resulted $\tau_n = 878.5(8)$ s [76], the discrepancy could not yet be resolved. We note that the most precise 'magnetic storage' experiment [77] is not included in the PDG average.

In order to finally resolve the discrepancy, not only existing 'bottle' and 'beam' projects are continued, but also alternative methods of measuring the neutron lifetime are being developed worldwide: A new 'beam' experiment at J-PARC will detect the decay electrons rather than the decay protons [35], and new 'bottle' experiments at ILL [78, 79], PNPI [23], LANSCE [80, 81, 82], FRM II [83, 84], and TRIGA Mainz will confine UCNs with magnetic fields rather than material walls. These experiments aim at accuracies of 1 down to 0.1 s.

For recent reviews see Refs. [85, 19, 7].

4.1.2 Angular correlation coefficients

The 2016 PDG average of the weak coupling constants ratio $\lambda = -1.2723(23)$ comprises seven measurements of correlation coefficients in neutron decay [3]. The most precise published measurements have reached accuracies of a few times 10^{-3} for beta A [86, 87, 88] and neutrino asymmetry parameters B [89, 90] and on the percent level for proton asymmetry parameter C [91] and electron-neutrino correlation coefficient a [92, 93]. The Fierz interference term b has not yet been resolved in neutron decay, but is promising for probing scalar and tensor interactions [94, 95].

In order to improve the precision of neutron decay correlations, several new instruments have been built or are presently under construction worldwide: aSPECT [96, 97, 98, 99], aCORN [100, 101], PERKEO III [102], UCNb [103], UCNB [104, 105], Nab [106, 107, 108], PERC [109, 110]. New results for *a* and *A* from aSPECT [111], aCORN [112], and PERKEO III are expected soon.

PERC is a particular new concept: charged decay products are collected from a neutron guide, magnetically filtered and transported to a secondary spectrometer. The R×B spectrometer NoMoS as first secondary spectrometer is already under development [113]. It uses a novel concept to measure the momentum dependent drift of charged particles in a curved magnetic field [114]. This unique combination will open the door to reach 10^{-4} sensitivity in neutron decay. An optimized and extended electron-proton/neutron separator has been proposed with ANNI (see also Ref. [115]).

Today's experiments are mainly limited by statistics and the knowledge of polarization (in the case of asymmetries), background, and spectrometer response. As regards polarization, it has been demonstrated that neutron polarimetry with opaque ³He cells can reach 10^{-4} accuracy [116, 117, 118], and a novel solid-state polarizer concept has been proposed recently [119]. As regards detectors, the trend is away from plastic scintillators and towards silicon detectors [120]. However, in order to effectively suppress background the two detectors principle is still favoured [121].

See Refs. [4, 5, 122, 19] for recent reviews.

4.1.3 Rare decay modes

A small fraction, of a few per mill, of the neutron decays is accompanied by the emission of a photon. Over the past 10 years, the branching ratio for this radiative decay mode has been substantially improved [123, 124]. The RDK II Collaboration recently reported on the first precision test of the shape of the photon energy spectrum [124]. Future precision measurements of the radiative decay mode can probe new physics. For example, the measurement of a T-odd momentum correlation between photon, electron, and anti-electronneutrino would constrain new spin-independent sources of CP violation, which are not constrained by the non-observation of permanent EDMs [125, 126].

A very small minority, of a few per million, of the neutrons is expected to decay into a hydrogen atom and an anti-electronneutrino. Although already predicted by Nemenov in 1980 [127], this bound state decay mode has not yet been observed. The planned BoB experiment aims to discover this rare decay mode, and to improve the limits on the scalar and tensor coupling constants as well on the mass and mixing angle of a boson mediating right-handed interactions [128, 129].

4.1.4 Theoretical considerations

Despite its importance, the value of g_A is theoretically known only to several percent, but considered as benchmark for lattice QCD [130]. New lattice groups have been formed to calculate challenging non-perturbative QCD observables such as the nucleon form factors g_A , g_S , and g_T

[131]. A new 1-2% accurate value of g_A is expected within the next years. In addition, EFT [132] and most recently Dyson-Schwinger equations [133] are used to calculate these quantities.

Not least, new physics searches with 10^{-4} sensitivity need adequate input from theory: the existing analysis of correlation coefficients *a*, *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* [134] must be extended to order 10^{-5} , and completed with non-standard correlation coefficients *G*, *N*, *Q*, *R* [135], and *L* to order 10^{-3} .

4.2 Test of gravitation with quantum interference

4.2.1 Gravitation and Neutron interferometry

The whole class of neutron interferometry experiments and the material on quantum interference phenomena is covered in the review on 'Neutron Interferometry' [46]. In 1975, Colella, Overhauser, and Werner demonstrated in their pioneering work [136] a gravitationally induced phase shift in a neutron interferometer experiment. This experiment was carried out at the Ford Nuclear Reactor in Ann Arbor at 2 MW and the signal is based on the interference between coherently split and separated neutron de Broglie waves in the gravity potential. The interferometer is turned around the incident beam direction by an angle ϕ maintaining the Bragg condition.

The history of gravity-induced interference experiments is described in Ref. [46]. The comparison between theory and experiment shows that the agreement was poor. In a recent paper, Heacock *et al.* show that the dynamical phase correction is attenuated by slight, intrinsic misalignments between diffracting crystals, potentially explaining the long-standing 1 % discrepancy between theory and experiment [137].

4.2.2 The quantum bouncing ball

Above a mirror, the gravity potential leads to discrete energy levels of a bouncing massive particle. The corresponding quantum mechanical motion of a massive particle in the gravitational field has been named the quantum bouncer [138, 139, 140, 141]. The discrete energy levels occur due to the combined confinement of the matter waves by the mirror and the gravitational field. For neutrons the lowest discrete states are in the range of several peV, opening the way to a new technique for gravity experiments and measurements of fundamental properties.

The first observation of quantum states in the gravitational potential of the earth with UCNs [142, 143, 144, 145] has been performed at the ILL. The qBOUNCE collaboration developed a resonance-spectroscopy technique to probe these energy eigenstates by coupling the quantum-system to an external resonator. Quantum mechanical transitions with a characteristic energy exchange between the coupling and the energy-levels are observed on resonance. A novelty of this work is the fact that the quantum mechanical transition is driven by an oscillating field that does not directly couple an electromagnetic charge or moment to an electromagnetic field. Instead, the energy transfer is provided by an oscillating mirror. The collaboration named this technique Gravity Resonance Spectroscopy [146], because the energy difference between these states has a one-to-one correspondence to the frequency of the modulator, in analogy to the nuclear magnetic resonance technique, where the energy splitting of a magnetic moment in an outer magnetic field is related to the frequency of a radio-frequency field. This is possible because of the feature of the quantum bouncing ball that the levels are not equidistant in energy. A plan is to apply Ramsey's method of separated oscillating fields to the spectroscopy of the quantum states in the gravity potential above a

horizontal mirror [147]. The GRANIT Collaboration aims for observing resonant transitions by using spatially oscillating magnetic fields [148, 149, 150, 151]. Evidence for states in a coherent superposition can be found in Refs. [138, 152, 153]. For a measurement of the probability to find a neutron on the mirror with spatial resolution detectors have been developed [154] based on 10 B converters used in the *q*BOUNCE experiments.

4.2.3 Limits on hypothetical gravity-like interactions

With the qBOUNCE experiment, gravity at short distances of microns is examined. Deviations can arise for example due to large extra dimensions or any yet unknown model. The additional force would be sourced by the bottom mirror in region II and leads to an additional potential seen by the neutron. As a result, the energy of the states would be shifted in a characteristic way. This would be detectable as an energy shift of the transitions. The axion would also provide a spin-mass coupling, which is examined with neutrons but covered by the review.

A recent review on neutrons as a probe for dark energy can be found in Ref. [155]. Dark energy candidates are so called chameleon fields [156, 157]. The chameleon is a candidate for dark energy owing its name to the screening effect. This screening effect ensures that the chameleon field is suppressed in the vicinity of mass. While dark energy is needed at cosmological scales, this table-top experiment is sensitive to this candidate. The neutron is not being screened and thus a perfect probe. The ideal suitability of UCNs for the chameleon detection has been proposed [158] and previous limits have been already improved with Gravity Resonance Spectroscopy [159, 160]. The mass of the chameleon field defines its range and the neutron mirror below the UCNs modifies the value of the field that is formed for a pressure of 10^{-4} mbar. The chameleon influences each neutron state individually thus shifting the transition frequencies in a specific way. An exact solution for chameleon fields, self-coupled through the Ratra-Peebles Potential with n = 1 and confined between two parallel plates was presented in Ref. [161].

Other limits on chameleon fields stem from interferometer measurements. Lemmel *et al.* [162] recently presented phase shift measurements for neutron matter waves in vacuum and in low pressure helium using a method originally developed for neutron scattering lengths measurements. Li *et al.* [163] reported an upper bound on the neutron-chameleon through the relative phase shift it would induce along one of the neutron paths inside a perfect crystal neutron interferometer. The amplitude of the chameleon field was actively modulated by varying the millibar pressures inside a dual-chamber aluminum cell. So far, best limits on chameleon fields are provided by atom interferometry [164, 165]. Jaffe *et al.* [165] measure the acceleration experienced by atoms near a miniature, in-vacuum source mass using light-pulse atom interferometry.

Acknowledgments

G. K. would like to thank A. Thomas and the organizers of INPC 2016 for the opportunity to present our fundamental research with cold and ultra-cold neutrons. This work is supported by the ÖAW within the New Frontiers Groups Programme NFP 2013/09, the Austrian Science Fund under contracts No. I 531-N20, I 534-N20, I 689-N20, I 862-N20, P 26636-N20, and P 26781-N20, the German DFG as part of the Priority Programme 1491 under contract No. AB128/5-2, the French ANR under contract No. ANR-2011-ISO4-007-02, the TU Wien, and the SMI Wien.

References

- G. Hinshaw et al., Nine-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Parameter Results, Astrophys. J. Supp. 208 (2013) 19 [astro-ph.CO/1212.5226].
- [2] Planck Collaboration, Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters, Astron. & Astrophys. 594 (2016) A13 [astro-ph.CO/1502.01589].
- [3] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *The Review of Particle Physics* (2016), *Chin. Phys. C* **40** (2016) 100001.
- [4] H. Abele, The neutron. Its properties and basic interactions, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 60 (2008) 1.
- [5] D. Dubbers and M.G. Schmidt, *The neutron and its role in cosmology and particle physics, Rev. Mod. Phys.* 83 (2011) 1111 [hep-ph/1105.3694].
- [6] B.R. Holstein *et al.*, Focus Section: The precision frontier in semileptonic weak interactions, J. Phys. G 41(11) (2014), articles 114001 114007.
- [7] G.L. Greene and P. Geltenbort, The neutron enigma, Sci. Am. 314 (2016) 36.
- [8] Z. Berezhiani and L. Bento, Neutron-Mirror-Neutron Oscillations: How Fast Might They Be?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 081801 [hep-ph/0507031].
- Z. Berezhiani and F. Nesti, Magnetic anomaly in UCN trapping: signal for neutron oscillations to parallel world?, Eur. Phys. J. C 72 (2012) 1974 [hep-ph/1203.1035].
- [10] C. Theroine et al., ANNI a cold neutron beam facility for Particle Physics, ESS Instrument Construction Proposal (2015), unpublished.
- [11] J. Klenke, *MEPHISTO: Facility for particle physics with cold neutrons*, J. of large-scale research facilities 1 (2015) A21.
- [12] N. Fomin et al., Fundamental Neutron Physics Beamline at the Spallation Neutron Source at ORNL, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 773 (2015) 45 [physics.ins-det/1408.0753].
- [13] Y. Arimoto et al., Present status of neutron fundamental physics at J-PARC, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. (2012) 02B007.
- [14] E. Klinkby and T. Soldner, Fundamental physics possibilities at the European Spallation Source, in proceedings of ECNS2015, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 746 (2016) 012051.
- [15] A. Steyerl et al., A new source of cold and ultracold neutrons, Phys. Lett. A 116 (1986) 347.
- [16] O. Zimmer, F.M. Piegsa, and S.N. Ivanov, *Superthermal source of ultracold neutrons for fundamental physics experiments, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107** (2011) 134801.
- [17] Y. Masuda et al., Spallation ultracold neutron source of superfluid helium, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 134801.
- [18] A. Saunders et al., Performance of the Los Alamos national laboratory spallation-driven solid-deuterium ultra-cold neutron source, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 84 (2013) 013304.
- [19] A.R. Young et al., Beta decay measurements with ultracold neutrons: a review of recent measurements and the research program at Los Alamos National Laboratory, J. Phys. G 41 (2014) 114007.
- [20] B. Lauss, The Ultracold Neutron Facility For Fundamental Physics And The High-sensitivity Search For An Electric Dipole Moment Of The Neutron At The Paul Scherrer Institute, in this proceedings, POS (INPC2016) 178 (2017).

- [21] J. Karch et al., Performance of the solid deuterium ultra-cold neutron source at the pulsed reactor TRIGA Mainz, Eur. Phys. J. A 50 (2014) 78.
- [22] G. Bison et al., Comparison of ultracold neutron sources for fundamental physics measurements, physics.ins-det/1610.08399 (2016).
- [23] A.P. Serebrov et al., High-density ultracold neutron sources for the WWR-M and PIK reactors, Crystallogr. Rep. 61 (2016) 144.
- [24] E. Korobkina et al., An ultracold neutron source at the NC state university PULSTAR reactor, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 579 (2007) 530.
- [25] J. Chung et al. (SOUDAN-2 Collaboration), Search for neutron-antineutron oscillations using multiprong events in Soudan 2, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 032004.
- [26] K. Abe et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Search for n-n oscillation in Super-Kamiokande, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 072006 [hep-ex/1109.4227].
- [27] M. Baldo-Ceolin et al., A new experimental limit on neutron-antineutron oscillations, Z. Phys. C 63 (1994) 409.
- [28] G. Ban et al., Direct Experimental Limit on Neutron–Mirror-Neutron Oscillations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 161603.
- [29] A.P. Serebrov et al., Experimental search for neutron-mirror neutron oscillations using storage of ultracold neutrons, Phys. Lett. B 663 (2008) 181 [nucl-ex/0706.3600].
- [30] I. Altarev et al., Neutron to mirror-neutron oscillations in the presence of mirror magnetic fields, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 032003 [nucl-ex/0905.4208].
- [31] Z. Berezhiani, M. Frost, Y. Kamyshkov, B. Rybolt, and L. Varriano, *Neutron–mirror neutron regeneration experiment*, in Intl. Workshop 'Probing Fundamental Symmetries and Interactions with UCN', Mainz, Germany, April 11–15, 2016 https://indico.mitp.uni-mainz.de/event/59/session/11/contribution/105/material/slides/0.pdf.
- [32] A. Fomin, Experiment on search for neutron-antineutron oscillations using a projected UCN source at the WWR-M reactor, in Intl. Workshop 'Probing Fundamental Symmetries and Interactions with UCN', Mainz, Germany, April 11–15, 2016 https://indico.mitp.uni-mainz.de/event/59/session/11/contribution/106/material/slides/0.pdf.
- [33] M.J. Frost et al. (NNbar Collaboration), The NNbar Experiment at the European Spallation Source, in proceedings of CPT'16 hep-ph/1607.07271 (2016).
- [34] D.G. Phillips II et al., Neutron-antineutron oscillations: Theoretical status and experimental prospects, Phys. Rep. 612 (2016) 1 [hep-ex/1410.1100].
- [35] N. Nagakura et al., Precise Neutron Lifetime Experiment Using Pulsed Neutron Beams At J-PARC, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 191 (2017).
- [36] G. Konrad, *Precision Measurements Of Neutron Decay With NoMoS At PERC*, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 181 (2017).
- [37] D. McKeen, M. Pospelov, and A. Ritz, *Electric dipole moment signatures of PeV-scale superpartners*, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 113002 [hep-ph/1303.1172].
- [38] J.M. Pendlebury et al., Revised experimental upper limit on the electric dipole moment of the neutron, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 092003 [hep-ex/1509.04411].

- [39] A.P. Serebrov *et al.*, *New search for the neutron electric dipole moment with ultracold neutrons at ILL*, *Phys. Rev. C* **92** (2015) 055501.
- [40] F.M. Piegsa, New concept for a neutron electric dipole moment search using a pulsed beam, Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013) 045502.
- [41] J. Engel, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, and U. van Kolck, *Electric dipole moments of nucleons, nuclei, and atoms: The Standard Model and beyond, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 71 (2013) 21 [nucl-th/1303.2371].
- [42] K. Jungmann, Searching for electric dipole moments, Ann. Phys. 525 (2013) 550.
- [43] D.A. Pushin et al., Neutron Interferometry at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2015** (2015) 687480.
- [44] J. Erhart et al., Experimental demonstration of a universally valid error-disturbance uncertainty relation in spin-measurements, Nature Physics 8 (2012) 185.
- [45] S. Sponar, T. Denkmayr, H. Geppert, and Y. Hasegawa, Fundamental Features of Quantum Dynamics Studied in Matter-Wave Interferometry-Spin Weak Values and the Quantum Cheshire-Cat, Atoms 4 (2016) 11.
- [46] H. Rauch and S.A. Werner, Neutron Interferometry: Lessons in Experimental Quantum Mechanics, Wave-Particle Duality, and Entanglement, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2015.
- [47] C. Siemensen, Untersuchung der Ladung des Neutrons, Ph.D. thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 2015.
- [48] K. Durstberger-Rennhofer, T. Jenke, and H. Abele, Probing the neutron's electric neutrality with Ramsey spectroscopy of gravitational quantum states of ultracold neutrons, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 036004.
- [49] J. Baumann et al., Experimental limit for the charge of the free neutron, Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988) 3107.
- [50] V.V. Nesvizhevsky, G. Pignol, and K.V. Protasov, Neutron scattering and extra-short-range interactions, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 034020 [hep-ph/0711.2298].
- [51] V.V. Voronin, V.V. Fedorov, and I.A. Kuznetsov, *Neutron diffraction test on spin-dependent short range interaction, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. Lett.* **90** (2009) 5 [nucl-ex/0811.0670].
- [52] J. Fry et al., Status of the NPDGamma experiment, in proceedings of SSP 2015, Hyperfine Interact.
 238 (2017) 11.
- [53] B. Desplanques *et al.*, *Unified treatment of the parity violating nuclear force*, *Annals Phys.* **124** (1980) 449.
- [54] S.-L. Zhu, C.M. Maekawa, B.R. Holstein, M.J. Ramsey-Musolf, and U. van Kolck et al., Nuclear parity violation in effective field theory, Nucl. Phys. A 748 (2005) 435.
- [55] J. Wasem, Lattice QCD calculation of nuclear parity violation, Phys. Rev. C 85 (2012) 022501(R).
- [56] W.M. Snow et al., Status of Theory and Experiment in Hadronic Parity Violation, in proceedings of SPIN2014, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. 40 (2016) 1660002.
- [57] W.C. Haxton and B.R. Holstein, Hadronic parity violation, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 71 (2013) 185.
- [58] M.R. Schindler and R.P. Springer, *The theory of parity violation in few-nucleon systems*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 72 (2013) 1.
- [59] G. Mention et al., Reactor antineutrino anomaly, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 073006.

- [60] Th.A. Mueller et al., Improved predictions of reactor antineutrino spectra, Phys. Rev. C 83 (2011) 054615 [hep-ex/1101.2663].
- [61] A. Serebrov *et al.*, *Experiment NEUTRINO-4 Search For Sterile Neutrino*, physics.ins-det/1702.00941 (2017).
- [62] S. Zsoldos, *Status of the STEREO experiment*, in proceedings of *ICHEP2016*, PoS(ICHEP2016)459.
- [63] A. Fava, Experimental investigation of the thriving mystery of sterile neutrinos, Rev. Phys. 1 (2016) 52.
- [64] A. Fomin, Experiment On Search For n-nbar Oscillations Using A Projected USC Source At The WWR-M Reactor, in proceedings of INPC2016, Pos (INPC2016) 189 (2017).
- [65] A. Serebrov, *Present status and future prospects of n-EDM experiment of PNPI-ILL-PTI collaboration*, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 179 (2017).
- [66] M. Kitaguchi, Y. Iwashita, and H. Shimizu, *Feasibility Study Of Neutron Wavelength Compressor For* EDM Measurement, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 180 (2017).
- [67] N. Oi et al., Measurement Of Neutron Scattering With Noble Gas To Search For A Short-range Unknown Force At J-PARC, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 190 (2017).
- [68] A. Serebrov, *Experiment Neutrino-4 Search For Sterile Neutrino With Multisection Detector Model*, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 255 (2017).
- [69] H. Shimizu, *Discrete Symmetry Tests In Neutron-induced Compound States*, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 187 (2017).
- [70] T. Okudaira *et al.*, *Measurement Of Angular Correlations In The* (N, γ) *Reaction For T Violation Search*, in this proceedings, PoS (INPC2016) 188 (2017).
- [71] J.D. Jackson, S.B. Treiman, and H.W. Wyld, Possible Tests of Time Reversal Invariance in Beta Decay, Phys. Rev. 106 (1957) 517.
- [72] V. Cirigliano, S. Gardner, and B.R. Holstein, *Beta decays and non-standard interactions in the LHC era*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 71 (2013) 93 [hep-ph/1303.6953].
- [73] A. Steyerl, J.M. Pendlebury, C. Kaufmann, S.S. Malik, and A.M. Desai, *Quasielastic scattering in the interaction of ultracold neutrons with a liquid wall and application in a reanalysis of the Mambo I neutron-lifetime experiment, Phys. Rev. C* 85 (2012) 065503.
- [74] A.T. Yue et al., Improved Determination of the Neutron Lifetime, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 222501 [nucl-ex/1309.2623].
- [75] S. Arzumanov et al., A measurement of the neutron lifetime using the method of storage of ultracold neutrons and detection of inelastically up-scattered neutrons, Phys. Lett. B 745 (2015) 79.
- [76] A. Serebrov et al., Measurement of the Neutron Lifetime Using a Gravitational Trap and a Low-Temperature Fomblin Coating, Phys. Lett. B 605 (2005) 72.
- [77] V.F. Ezhov et al., Measurement of the neutron lifetime with ultra-cold neutrons stored in a magneto-gravitational trap, nucl-ex/1412.7434 (2014).
- [78] K.K.H. Leung and O. Zimmer, *Proposed neutron lifetime measurement using a hybrid magnetic trap for ultra-cold neutrons, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A* **611** (2009) 181.

- [79] K.K.H. Leung, P. Geltenbort, S. Ivanov, F. Rosenau, and O. Zimmer, Neutron lifetime measurements and effective spectral cleaning with an ultracold neutron trap using a vertical Halbach octupole permanent magnet array, Phys. Rev. C 94 (2016) 045502 [nucl-ex/1606.00929].
- [80] J.D. Bowman and S.I. Penttila, On the Measurement the Neutron Lifetime Using Ultracold Neutrons in a Vacuum Quadrupole Trap, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 110 (2005) 361.
- [81] P.L.Walstrom, J.D. Bowman, S.I. Penttila, C Morris, and A. Saunders, A magneto-gravitational trap for absolute measurement of the ultra-cold neutron lifetimep, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 599 (2009) 82.
- [82] D.J. Salvat et al., Storage of ultracold neutrons in the magneto-gravitational trap of the UCNτ experiment, Phys. Rev. C. 89 (2014) 052501(R).
- [83] R. Picker et al., A Superconducting Magnet UCN Trap for Precise Neutron Lifetime Measurements, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 110 (2005) 357.
- [84] S. Materne et al., PENeLOPE-on the way towards a new neutron lifetime experiment with magnetic storage of ultra-cold neutrons and proton extraction, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 611 (2009) 176.
- [85] F.E. Wietfeldt and G.L. Greene, The neutron lifetime, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83 (2011) 1173.
- [86] H. Abele, The neutron alphabet: Exploring the properties of fundamental interactions, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 611 (2009) 193.
- [87] M.P. Mendenhall et al. (UCNA Collaboration), Precision measurement of the neutron β-decay asymmetry, Phys. Rev. C 87 (2013) 032501(R) [nucl-ex/1210.7048].
- [88] D. Mund *et al.*, Determination of the Weak Axial Vector Coupling $\lambda = g_A/g_V$ from a Measurement of the β -Asymmetry Parameter A in Neutron Beta Decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 172502 [hep-ex/1204.0013].
- [89] A.P. Serebrov et al., Measurement of the antineutrino escape asymmetry with respect to the spin of the decaying neutron, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 86 (1998) 1074.
- [90] M. Schumann et al., Measurement of the Neutrino Asymmetry Parameter B in Neutron Decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 191803 [hep-ph/0706.3788].
- [91] M. Schumann et al., Measurement of the Proton Asymmetry Parameter in Neutron Beta Decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 151801 [hep-ph/0712.2442].
- [92] Chr. Stratowa, R. Dobrozemsky, and P. Weinzierl, *Ratio* $|\frac{g_A}{g_V}|$ derived from the proton spectrum in *free-neutron decay*, *Phys. Rev. D* **18** (1978) 3970.
- [93] J. Byrne et al., Determination of the electron-antineutrino angular correlation coefficient a_0 and the parameter $|\lambda| = |G_A/G_V|$ in free neutron β -decay from measurements of the integrated energy spectrum of recoil protons stored in an ion trap, J. Phys. G 28 (2002) 1325.
- [94] O. Naviliat-Cuncic and M. González-Alonso, Prospects for precision measurements in nuclear β decay in the LHC era, Ann. Phys. 525 (2013) 600.
- [95] M. González-Alonso and O. Naviliat-Cuncic, *Kinematic sensitivity to the Fierz term of β-decay differential spectra*, *Phys. Rev. C* 94 (2016) 035503.
- [96] O. Zimmer, J. Byrne, M.G.D. van der Grinten, W. Heil, and F. Glück, "aspect" a new spectrometer for the measurement of the angular correlation coefficient a in neutron beta decay, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 440 (2000) 548.
- [97] F. Glück et al., The neutron decay retardation spectrometer aSPECT: Electromagnetic design and systematic effects, Eur. Phys. J. A 23 (2005) 135.

- [98] S. Baeßler et al., First measurements with the neutron decay spectrometer aSPECT, Eur. Phys. J. A **38** (2008) 17.
- [99] G.E. Konrad, Measurement of the Proton Recoil Spectrum in Neutron Beta Decay with the Spectrometer aSPECT: Study of Systematic Effects, Ph.D. thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, 2011.
- [100] F.E. Wietfeldt et al., A method for an improved measurement of the electron–antineutrino correlation in free neutron beta decay, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 545 (2005) 181.
- [101] F.E. Wietfeldt et al., aCORN: An experiment to measure the electron–antineutrino correlation in neutron decay, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 611 (2009) 207.
- [102] B. Märkisch et al., The new neutron decay spectrometer Perkeo III, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 611 (2009) 216.
- [103] K.P. Hickerson, *The physics of ultracold neutrons and Fierz interference in beta decay*, Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology, 2013.
- [104] W.S. Wilburn et al., Measurement of the neutrino-spin correlation parameter B in neutron decay using ultracold neutrons, Rev. Mex. Fís. 55 (2009) 119.
- [105] L. Broussard et al. (UCNB Collaboration), UCNB: the neutrino asymmetry in polarized ultracold neutron decay, AIP Conf. Proc. 1560 (2013) 149.
- [106] D. Počanić et al. (Nab Collaboration), Nab: Measurement principles, apparatus and uncertainties, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 611 (2009) 211 [nucl-ex/0810.0251].
- [107] R. Alacorn *et al.* (Nab Collaboration), *Precise Measurement of* $\lambda = G_A/G_V$ and Search for Non-(V–A) Weak Interaction Terms in Neutron Decay, Funding proposal (2012), unpublished.
- [108] S. Baeßler et al., Neutron beta decay studies with Nab, in proceedings of CIPANP12, AIP Conf. Proc. 1560 (2012) 93 [nucl-ex/1209.4663].
- [109] D. Dubbers et al., A clean, bright, and versatile source of neutron decay products, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 596 (2008) 238 [nucl-ex/0709.4440].
- [110] G. Konrad et al. (PERC Collaboration), Neutron Decay with PERC: a Progress Report, in proceedings of ECNS2012, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 340 (2012) 012048.
- [111] R. Maisonobe et al., Electron-antineutrino angular correlation coefficient a measurement in neutron beta-decay with the spectrometer aSPECT, in proceedings of EPS-HEP2015, PoS (EPS-HEP2015) 595 (2015).
- [112] B. Collett *et al.*, *aCORN: an experiment to measure the electron-antineutrino correlation coefficient in free neutron decay*, physics.ins-det/1701.05184 (2017).
- [113] G. Konrad, NoMoS: Beyond the Standard Model Physics in Neutron Decay, in proceedings of EPS-HEP2015, Pos (EPS-HEP2015) 592 (2015).
- [114] X. Wang, G. Konrad, and H. Abele, R×B drift momentum spectrometer with high resolution and large phase space acceptance, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 701 (2013) 254 [physics.ins-det/1209.6595].
- [115] C. Klauser, H. Abele, and T. Soldner, *Beam Line Parameters for PERC at the ESS, Phys. Proc.* 51 (2014) 46.
- [116] T. Soldner et al., ILL experimental report 3-07-235, 2011, and to be published.

- [117] C. Klauser, J. Chastagnier, D. Jullien, A. Petoukhov, and T. Soldner, *High precision depolarisation measurements with an opaque test bench*, in proceedings of *ECNS2012*, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 340 (2012) 012011.
- [118] C. Klauser, High precision neutron polarization for PERC, Ph.D. thesis, TU Wien, 2013.
- [119] A.K. Petukhov et al., A concept of advanced broad-band solid-state supermirror polarizers for cold neutrons, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 838 (2016) 33 [physics.ins-det/1606.01960].
- [120] L.J. Broussard et al., Detection system for neutron β decay correlations in the UCNB and Nab experiments, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A **849** (2016) 83.
- [121] H. Abele et al., On the origin of the 17 keV neutrino signals, and a loss-free measurement of the ³⁵S β -spectrum, Phys. Lett. B **316** (1993) 26.
- [122] S. Baeßler, J.D. Bowman, S. Penttilä, and D. Počanić, New precision measurements of free neutron beta decay with cold neutrons, J. Phys. G 41 (2014) 114003 [nucl-ex/1408.4737].
- [123] J.S. Nico et al., Observation of the radiative decay mode of the free neutron, Nature 444 (2006) 1059.
- [124] M.J. Bales et al. (RDK II Collaboration), Precision Measurement of the Radiative β Decay of the Free Neutron, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 242501 [nucl-ex/1603.00243].
- [125] S. Gardner and D. He, *T-odd momentum correlation in radiative* β decay, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 0160003 [hep-ph/1202.5939].
- [126] S. Gardner and D. He, Radiative β decay for studies of CP violation, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 116012 [hep-ph/1302.1862].
- [127] L.L. Nemenov, Decay of the neutron into a hydrogen atom and an antineutrino, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 31 (1980) 115.
- [128] W. Schott *et al.*, An experiment for the measurement of the bound- β -decay of the free neutron, Eur. *Phys. J. A* **30** (2006) 603.
- [129] J. McAndrew et al., Bound Beta-decay of the Free Neutron: BoB, in proceedings of NPPatLPS 2013, Phys. Proc. 51 (2014) 37.
- [130] G.R. Young et al., Scientific Grand Challenges: Forefront Questions in Nuclear Science and the Role of High Performance Computing, report from workshop held January 26-28, 2009, Washington, D.C. (2009).
- [131] T. Bhattacharya *et al.* (PNDME Collaboration), *Axial, scalar, and tensor charges of the nucleon from* 2+1+1-flavor Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 054508 [hep-lat/1606.07049].
- [132] M. González-Alonso and J. Martin Camalich, Isospin Breaking in the Nucleon Mass and the Sensitivity of β Decays to New Physics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 042501 [hep-ph/1309.4434].
- [133] M. Pitschmann, C.-Y. Seng, C.D. Roberts, and S.M. Schmidt, Nucleon tensor charges and electric dipole moments, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) 074004 [nucl-th/1411.2052].
- [134] A.N. Ivanov, M. Pitschmann, and N.I. Troitskaya, Neutron β-decay as a laboratory for testing the standard model, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 073002 [hep-ph/1212.0332].
- [135] A.N. Ivanov et al., Precision Analysis of Electron Energy Spectrum and Angular Distribution of Neutron β⁻-Decay with Polarized Neutron and Electron, submitted to Phys. Rev. C.

- [136] R. Collela, A.W. Overhauser, and S.A. Werner, Observation of Gravitationally Induced Quantum Interference, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 1472.
- [137] B. Heacock et al., Neutron interferometer crystallographic imperfections and gravitationally induced quantum interference measurements, Phys. Rev. A 95 (2017) 013840.
- [138] H. Abele, T. Jenke, D. Stadler, and P. Geltenbort, *QuBounce: the dynamics of ultra-cold neutrons falling in the gravity potential of the Earth, Nucl. Phys. A* **827** (2009) 593c.
- [139] R.L. Gibbs, The quantum bouncer, Am. J. Phys. 43 (1975) 25.
- [140] H.C. Rosu, Gravitational Bouncing of a quantum Ball: Room for Airy's function Bi, Phys. Scr. 65 (2002) 296 [quant-ph/0104003].
- [141] J. Gea-Banacloche, A quantum bouncing ball, Am. J. Phys. 67 (1999) 776.
- [142] V. Nesvizhevsky et al., Quantum states of neutrons in the Earth's gravitational field, Nature **415** (2002) 297.
- [143] A. Westphal et al., A quantum mechanical description of the experiment on the observation of gravitationally bound states, Eur. Phys. J. C 51 (2007) 367 [hep-ph/0602093].
- [144] V. Nesvizhevsky et al., Measurement of quantum states of neutrons in the Earth's gravitational field, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 102002 [hep-ph/0306198].
- [145] V.V. Nesvizhevsky et al., Study of the neutron quantum states in the gravity field, Eur. Phys. J. C 40 (2005) 479.
- [146] T. Jenke, P. Geltenbort, H. Lemmel, and H. Abele, *Realization of a gravity-resonance-spectroscopy technique*, *Nature Physics* 7 (2011) 468.
- [147] H. Abele, T. Jenke, H. Leeb, and J. Schiedmayer, *Ramsey's method of separated oscillating fields* and its application to gravitationally induced quantum phase shifts, *Phys. Rev. D* 81 (2010) 065019.
- [148] G. Pignol et al., GRANIT project: a trap for gravitational quantum states of UCN, quant-ph/0708.2541 (2007).
- [149] M. Kreuz et al., A method to measure the resonance transitions between the gravitationally bound quantum states of neutrons in the GRANIT spectrometer, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 611 (2009) 326 [physics.ins-det/0902.0156].
- [150] G. Pignol et al., Gravitational Resonance Spectroscopy with an Oscillating Magnetic Field Gradient in the GRANIT Flow through Arrangement, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2014 (2014) 628125 [quant-ph/1408.1009].
- [151] D. Roulier et al., Status of the GRANIT Facility, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2015 (2015) 730437 [quant-ph/1410.1376].
- [152] T. Jenke, D. Stadler, H. Abele, and P. Geltenbort, *Q-BOUNCE–Experiments with quantum bouncing ultracold neutrons, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A* 611 (2009) 318.
- [153] H. Abele and H. Leeb, Gravitation and quantum interference experiments with neutrons, New J. Phys. 14 (2012) 055010 [hep-ph/1207.2953].
- [154] T. Jenke et al., Ultracold neutron detectors based on ¹⁰B converters used in the qBounce experiments, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 732 (2013) 1.
- [155] G. Pignol, Probing Dark Energy models with neutrons, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 30 (2015) 1530048 [astro-ph.CO/1503.03317].

- [156] J. Khoury and A. Weltman, *Chameleon Fields: Awaiting Surprises for Tests of Gravity in Space*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 171104.
- [157] J. Khoury and A. Weltman, Chameleon cosmology, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 044026.
- [158] P. Brax and G. Pignol, Strongly Coupled Chameleons and the Neutronic Quantum Bouncer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 111301.
- [159] T. Jenke et al., Gravity Resonance Spectroscopy Constrains Dark Energy and Dark Matter Scenarios, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 151105.
- [160] G. Cronenberg et al., A Gravity of Earth measurement with a qBOUNCE experiment, in proceedings of EPS-HEP2015, PoS (EPS-HEP2015) 408 (2015) [hep-ex/1512.09134].
- [161] A. Ivanov et al., Exact solution for chameleon field, self-coupled through the Ratra-Peebles potential with n = 1 and confined between two parallel plates, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 085005.
- [162] H. Lemmel et al., Neutron Interferometry constrains dark energy chameleon fields, Phys. Lett. B 743 (2015) 310.
- [163] K. Li et al. (INDEX Collaboration), Neutron limit on the strongly-coupled chameleon field, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 062001.
- [164] P. Hamilton *et al.*, *Atom-interferometry constraints on dark energy*, *Science* **349** (2015) 849 [physics.atom-ph/1502.03888].
- [165] M. Jaffe et al., Testing sub-gravitational forces on atoms from a miniature, in-vacuum source mass, [physics.atom-ph/1612.05171]