On the (extra)Galactic origin(s) of the IceCube neutrino signal
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It has been 3 years since a first evidence for the existence of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos was announced by the IceCube Collaboration (Aartsen et al, Science, Nov 2013). Since then, there has been significant progress concerning both the experimental advancement and the theoretical interpretation of these data. In this talk, Galactic and extragalactic scenarios are reviewed taking into account most recent data and multimessenger modeling of the sources. In particular, it will be motivated in this paper that (a) both Galactic sources and gamma-ray bursts (at least in their prompt emission phase) are too weak to make up the total signal; (b) Starburst galaxies and active galactic nuclei are candidates that meet the criteria; (c) tensions between neutrino and gamma-ray data indicate that a larger fraction of the detected high-energy neutrinos actually might come from high-density regions in which both cosmic rays and high-energy photons are absorbed.
1. Introduction

The identification of sources of high-energy neutrinos promises the possibility of a direct detection channel of the sources of cosmic rays: high-energy neutrinos are produced in cosmic ray interactions with matter or photon fields, \( CR + N/CR + \gamma \rightarrow \pi/K/\ldots \rightarrow (\ldots)\nu \). High-energy neutrinos are detected by IceCube in the energy range of \( \sim \) TeV to \( \sim \) PeV, which corresponds to a cosmic ray energy range of 20 TeV to 20 PeV, assuming that about 1/20th of the entire cosmic ray energy is transferred to the neutrino, see e.g. [1] and references therein.

The detected neutrino spectrum can be approximated as [2]

\[
E_\nu^2 \frac{dN}{dE_\nu} \approx 10^{-8} \text{GeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}.
\]  
(1.1)

This number is consistent with the general picture that cosmic ray sources typically have optical depths (\( \tau \)) for photohadronic or hadron-hadron interactions that are much less than one, \( \tau \ll 1 \).

Recent data from the IceCube experiment allow for even more detailed conclusions, as discussed in the paragraphs below.

Signal strength While an evaluation of the combination of all analyses with an astrophysical signal within IceCube indicates a steep spectrum of \( E^{-2.5} \) above 20 TeV [3], a dedicated analysis of track-like muon-neutrino events results in a best-fit of \( E^{-2.13} \) above 200 TeV [4]. A spectral hardening of the flux between 20 and 200 TeV neutrino energy could therefore be possible. This energy range corresponds to PeV cosmic ray energies. While these first indications need to be substantiated by further data. Such a spectral hardening would indicate the presence of two population.

Isotropy The current data set of four years IceCube data contains 54 events. These show no significant clustering. In particular, there is no evident clustering in the Galactic plane. The large fraction of events outside of the Galactic Plane indicates that there needs to be a component that is not focussed in the plane, so either sources in the Galactic halo or extragalactic sources. As an example, 7 out of the 13 detected muon-neutrinos, which are track-like and so have a pointing better than 1°, are at galactic latitudes larger than 30°, i.e. \( |b| > 30° \).

Flavor Composition Current data still allow for a variety of flavor mixing scenarios. Only a scenario of only-electron-neutrino production (present in the case of neutron decay dominance is outside of the 95%-confidence level range of the measurement [3].

Temporal features No temporal features have been detected in the IceCube signal so far. This means that both source scenarios with steady-state emission and flaring states are possible at this point.

2. Galactic

Both point sources and truely diffuse fluxes contribute to the measured neutrino signal. Concerning a truely diffuse component, neutrinos from interactions of diffuse cosmic rays with the interstellar medium are expected to be the dominant source, as recently calculated in [5]. These calculations use updated hadronic cross-sections and primary composition models. The authors
show that the flux is less than 0.1% of the detected signal. More optimistic models, using GAL-
PROP for a more detailed gas map model in the Galaxy still predict on the order of a percent
contribution from such a diffuse Galactic component [6]. All calculations agree in the sense that
it is clear that this signal cannot make up the entire detected diffuse neutrino flux. Considering
all supernova remnants as individual sources to contribute as a quasi-diffusive flux, the individ-
ual spectral energy distributions can be fitted, considering synchrotron emission at radio to X-ray
energies and a combination of bremsstrahlung, Inverse Compton scattering and $\pi^0$-decays. 24
Supernova Remnants (SNRs) could be identified with a potential dominant hadronic component.
Extrapolating the results to a population of around 200 SNRs that should contribute to a diffuse
neutrino flux from cosmic ray interactions directly at the SNR shock fronts results in a flux that can
be slightly higher than the fully diffuse component in the Milky Way, in particular between 100 and
1000 GeV, but the contribution is still at a percent level with respect to the measured diffuse flux,
at maximum. These examples are in line with other calculations, which all show that the Galactic
component on total should be subdominant in the total neutrino spectrum.

3. Extragalactic Sources

There are two central and solid conclusions from the non-detection of point sources with Ice-
Cube concerning potential extragalactic sources of cosmic rays: (1) Neutrinos from the prompt
emission phase of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) can only contribute to the diffuse flux at the percent-
level or below [7]; (2) the contribution of Fermi-detected blazars to the diffuse flux can be con-
strained to less than 27% [8]. This does not mean that blazars are excluded in general, as the sample
which is selected at GeV energies is likely to be dominated by leptonic emission (IC/bremsstrahlung).
Active Galaxies are among the most interesting candidates for high-energy neutrino emission, in
particular as they are one of the central candidate sources for the emission of ultra-high energy
cosmic rays. Radio loud galaxies provide jets in which cosmic rays can be accelerated and dif-
frent photon fields (disk, torus, broad/narrow line emission regions) as well as denser hydrogen
targets (disk, torus, knots) provide targets for neutrinos to interact. Considering that photo-
hadronic interactions result in a flat spectrum, i.e. much flatter than $E^{-2}$, below the break energy
$E_{\nu, \text{break}} \approx 0.8 \text{ PeV} \cdot (\Gamma / 10)^2 \cdot \langle E_\gamma / \text{keV} \rangle^{-1} \cdot (1+z)^{-2}$, only photon fields with an average photon en-
ergy of $< E_\gamma > \sim \text{MeV}$ (or non-relativistic parts of the jet, $\Gamma \sim 1$ with $< E_\gamma > \sim 10$ keV) can explain
the steep spectrum that is detected in IceCube up to several PeV energies. Hadronic interactions,
on the other hand, have quite low optical depths in general. However, considering that charged
cosmic rays diffuse in the region of interest, their path through the target can be enhanced signifi-
cantly, providing effective column depths of $10^{25} \text{cm}^{-2}$. This way, Fanaroff-Riley I (FR-I) galaxies
become a good candidate to produce the detected signal [9, 10]. An indication that a significant
part of the detected neutrino flux must come from so-called hidden sources comes from the fact
that the neutrino flux potentially exceeds the detected diffuse gamma-flux [11]. These arguments
still rely on extrapolations as the detected energy ranges are different. The interesting alternative to
active Galaxies is the source class of starburst galaxies, suggested in [12]. While starbursts should
be subdominant as cosmic ray sources, they provide a high-density target and might produce a
large neutrino flux. In that case, neutrino sources and those cosmic ray sources as detected at Earth
would not be identical. Future measurements will show which scenario is true - a mixture of the different models presented is certainly an option as well.

4. Prospects

Future neutrino telescopes, in particular KM3NeT and IceCube-Gen2, will be able to solve those questions still open at this moment. The most important goal is to identify individual neutrino sources, as this will provide us with sources that can be identified as cosmic ray accelerators in the TeV to PeV range. In the Galaxy, the search for extended source regions seems most crucial, in particular concerning star-forming regions like Cygnus [13] and the Galactic Center. For extragalactic sources, the identification of active Galaxies as neutrino emitters relies on a proper selection of the sources using multimessenger information of the energy dependence and temporal evolution of the sources, in combination with the proper theoretical modeling of the latter. Combining these pieces of evidence will lead to the identification of the first neutrino point (and/or extended) sources.
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