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We present a new version of SecDec, a program for the numerical computation of parametric integrals in the context of dimensional regularization. By its modular structure, the python rewrite pySecDec is much more customizable than earlier versions of SecDec. The numerical integration is accelerated using code optimization available in FORM. With the new C++ interface, pySecDec can provide numerical solutions of analytically unknown integrals in user-defined code.
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1. Introduction

Future runs of the LHC and the subsequent high-luminosity upgrade will allow for New Physics searches mostly via precision tests of the standard model throughout the next few decades. Higher order calculations are crucial for the upcoming data-taking to be meaningful. A well established procedure for multi-loop calculations is: (i) draw all contributing Feynman diagrams, (ii) insert the Feynman rules to produce algebraic expressions, (iii) identify the loop integrals to be computed, (iv) reduce these integrals to a set of master integrals, and (v) solve the master integrals.

Analytical solutions for the master integrals are desirable and recent developments made a huge class of integrals analytically tractable. However, phenomenologically relevant topologies, for example some nonplanar double-boxes with massive propagators, remain inaccessible with the currently available analytical methods.

Sector decomposition [1, 2] provides a numerical alternative when analytic solutions are out of reach with current techniques. A prominent example for the successful application of this method is the recent calculations of the full top-mass dependence in Higgs boson pair production [3, 4]. Further studies [5] indicate that picking a finite basis [6, 7, 8] makes the numerical approach competitive with analytical solutions.

In this article, we present pySecDec [9], the successor of the program SecDec [10, 11, 12]. Other public implementations of sector decomposition are sector_decomposition [13] supplemented with CSeectors [14] and FIESTA [15, 16, 17, 18].

The structure of these proceedings is as follows: In Section 2 we list the key new features of pySecDec compared to SecDec 3. In Section 3 we illustrate the workflow from defining an integral to a sufficiently precise numerical result, including instructions how to tune numerical integration on failure. Finally, we close with concluding remarks in Section 4.

2. New features

The major rewrite in python, FORM, and C++ is completely independent of expensive commercial programs. Rather than a text file, pySecDec creates a C++ library that can be used to dynamically evaluate loop integrals occurring in amplitude calculations.

The time needed for the numerical integration is significantly reduced by optimizing the integrand functions with FORM [19, 20].

Another significant speedup in the numerics could be achieved by identifying sectors that are equal up to permutations of the integration variables (Feynman parameters). Instead of integrating all equivalent sectors, only one of them is numerically integrated while the others are accounted for by multiplying the number of equivalent sectors. We implement two independent algorithms to identify equivalent sectors: The algorithm described in [21] and an algorithm based on finding graph isomorphisms with dreadnaut [22], see [23] for details.

The sign of the imaginary part of the 2nd Symanzik polynomial $\mathcal{F}$ is now checked at every point in Feynman parameter space to make sure that the Feynman prescription is always fulfilled. Furthermore, we also check that the real part of the 1st Symanzik polynomial $\mathcal{U}$ stays positive on the entire contour, which is important if $\mathcal{U}$ has a negative exponent and in higher orders of the $\varepsilon$-expansion where $\log \mathcal{U}$ appears.
An improved treatment of singularities originating from $x_i = 1$ allows for the computation of integrals SecDec 3 could not handle, e.g. the three-point function depicted in Figure 1, which occurs in single-Z-boson production [24].

For computations beyond the traditional use cases of SecDec, all individual parts of the algebraic manipulation are separately available to the user. For example, it is possible to have pySecDec only perform the Feynman parametrization and further process it independently.

As part of pySecDec, we provide the C++ library secdecutil. Class templates that are useful in a more general context than sector decomposition are implemented therein, for instance a class template that defines addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division of series expansions. Users are encouraged to use the low-level functionalities of pySecDec to write their own high-level routines suitable for the problem at hand.

3. Usage

The typical usage of pySecDec is to compute integrals of the form

$$I = p_0(\{a\}, \{\epsilon\}) \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 dx_1 \cdots dx_N \prod_{j=1}^M f_i(\{x\}, \{a\})^{b_j + \sum c_j \epsilon_j},$$

(3.1)

where $p_0$ is an arbitrary prefactor, the $f_i$ are polynomials in $\{x\} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ with coefficients $\{a\}$, the $b_i$ and $c_i$ are numeric constants, and the $\epsilon_k$ are analytic regulators. Typically, $I$ has regulated divergences such that $I|_{\epsilon_k=0} = \infty$. A generic (multi-)loop integral of the form

$$G = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^l P_j^i(\{k\}, \{p\}, m_j^2)} \int \prod_{l=1}^L d^{D-k_l} \kappa_l \int_0^{4\pi^2} d^{D-k_l} k_l \, P_j(\{k\}, \{p\}, m_j^2) = (q_j^2 - m_j^2 + i\delta),$$

(3.2)
where the \( q_j \) are linear combinations of external momenta \( p_i \) and loop momenta \( k_l \), can be brought to a parametric form as in equation (3.1),

\[
G = (-1)^{N_\nu} \frac{\Gamma(N_\nu - LD/2)}{\prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma (v_j)} \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^N dx_j x_j^{v_j-1} \delta (1 - \sum_{j=1}^N x_j) \frac{\partial^{N_\nu-(L+1)D/2} G_{N_\nu-LD/2}}{\partial \hat{G}_{N_\nu-LD/2}},
\]

where \( N_\nu = \sum_j v_j \), by Feynman parametrization. This step is implemented in \texttt{pySecDec}; i.e. integrals like in equation (3.2) can directly be passed to \texttt{pySecDec}. \texttt{pySecDec} also implements Feynman parametrization of tensor integrals, which is omitted in the equations above for brevity.

The result is presented as series expansion in the analytic regulators with numerical coefficients. Obtaining a result with \texttt{pySecDec} generally takes three steps: (i) Define the integral, (ii) generate and build the C++ library for numerical integration, (iii) insert numerical values for the parameters \( \{a\} \) (Mandelstam invariants for loop integrals) and perform the numerical integration. In the following, we guide through the most important matters on the way to a numerical result.

### 3.1 Definition of an integral

Integrals are communicated to \texttt{pySecDec} by running a python script, often referred to as "runcard", that calls \texttt{make_package} (\texttt{loop_package} for loop integrals). A minimal input to \texttt{make_package} consists of an integral name, the integration variables, the regulators, the maximum orders to expand in the regulators, and the integrand. Figure 2b shows a minimal runcard to compute

\[
\int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \ (x+y)^{-2+\varepsilon}
\]

up to finite order in \( \varepsilon \). The integrand can be given as a list of the polynomials \( f_i \) in the argument “polynomials_to_decompose”. If some of the input polynomials don’t give rise to divergences, e.g. numerators of loop integrals, they can be passed via the argument “other_polynomials” instead. \texttt{pySecDec} fully decomposes the “polynomials_to_decompose” but does not force full decomposition of the “other_polynomials”. As a consequence, less sectors and therefore less integrand functions are generated. It may, however, be beneficial to decompose such polynomials anyways. The reason is that decomposed polynomials can have a lower intrinsic variance which is proportional to the error of any Monte Carlo integrator. It strongly depends on the integral at hand if decomposing these polynomials yields better results. If your integral has poles originating from the endpoint 1, for example from polynomials like \((1-x)\) or \((x-y)\), the “split” option should be set to “True”.

A runcard to compute a loop integral consists of two steps. First, the loop integral is defined by either instantiating \texttt{LoopIntegralFromPropagators} or \texttt{LoopIntegralFromGraph}. These classes perform the Feynman parametrization given a list of propagators or a graph, respectively. Advanced python programmers can use these classes in their own code, for example to analytically process the Symanzik polynomials \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( \mathcal{F} \). We refer the reader to the package documentation for a full description of Feynman parametrization in \texttt{pySecDec}. Second, the library for numerical integration is generated by a call to “loop_package”. An example runcard how to print the Feynman prefactor \((-1)^{N_\nu} \Gamma(N_\nu - LD/2) / \prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma (v_j)\) and generate the integration library of the three-point function depicted in Figure 1 is shown in Figure 2b. Note that we set
from pySecDec import make_package

make_package(
    name = 'easy',
    integration_variables = ['x','y'],
    regulators = ['eps'],
    requested_orders = [0],
    polynomials_to_decompose = ['(x+y)^(-2+eps)'],
)

from pySecDec import loop_package
from pySecDec.loop_integral import LoopIntegralFromPropagators

# define the loop integral
three_point = LoopIntegralFromPropagators(
    loop_momenta = ['k1','k2'],
    external_momenta = ['p1','p2','p3','p4'],
    propagators = ['k1^2', '(k1+p1+p2)^2', '(k1-k2)^2',
                    '(k1-k2+p1)^2-mZ^2', '(k2)^2', '(k2+p2)^2'],
    replacement_rules = [
        ('p1*p1', 0),
        ('p2*p2', 0),
        ('p3*p3', 's'),
        ('p1*p2', 's/2'),
        ('p2*p3', '-s/2'),
        ('p1*p3', '-s/2'),
        ('s', 'mZ^2')
    ],
)

# print the prefactor from Feynman parametrization
print('prefactor from Feynman parametrization:

print(three_point.Gamma_factor)

# generate the library
loop_package(
    name = 'three_point',
    additional_prefactor = '-exp(2*EulerGamma*eps)',
    loop_integral = three_point,
    complex_parameters = ['mZ'],
    requested_order = 0,
    split = True,
    )

# print the prefactor from Feynman parametrization
print('prefactor from Feynman parametrization:

print(three_point.Gamma_factor)

# generate the library
loop_package(
    name = 'three_point',
    additional_prefactor = '-exp(2*EulerGamma*eps)',
    loop_integral = three_point,
    complex_parameters = ['mZ'],
    requested_order = 0,
    split = True,
    )

Figure 2: Example runcards for pySecDec. (a) Runcard to compute the integral defined in equation (3.4). (b) Runcard to compute the three-point function depicted in Figure 1.

"split" to "True" in our example which is required due to the special kinematics $s = m_Z^2$. Further note that $m_Z$ is declared as complex parameter allowing for a nonzero width. We also define an "additional_prefactor" which in our example means we compute $-\exp(2\gamma E)G$ where $G$ is the three-point function. This is a change of convention compared to SecDec 3 where the additional prefactor was divided out rather than multiplied. The resulting "prefactor" visible in the numerics (see next section) is the product of the Feynman prefactor and the "additional_prefactor" provided by the user. By default, the "additional_prefactor" is one and therefore the prefactor in the numerics defaults to the Feynman prefactor.

3.2 Numerical integration

The following description applies for both, libraries generated with make_package and with loop_package. In fact, loop_package internally calls make_package after Feynman parametrization. Therefore, the distinction between the loop and the general branch present in SecDec 3 no longer exists and consequently all features that make sense in both cases are available in both.

To generate the library for numerical integration, run python on the runcard first, then run make in the generated directory. For example, if you save the code of Figure 2 to a file called generate.py, run python generate.py. That generates a directory specified by the "name" argument ("easy" in our example). To build the library run make -C easy. The level of parallelisation for building the library can be controlled from the command line via the environment variable FORMTHREADS (default: 2) and the -j option of make. The variable FORMTHREADS is
forwarded as \(-w\) option in every invocation of FORM; i.e. it controls how many threads FORM uses to process each single sector. The \(-j\) option of bash controls how many instances of FORM and the compiler are launched in parallel; i.e. how many sectors are processed at the same time. For example, to build the library using up to 4 processes, and 3 FORM threads per process run make \(-j4\) FORMTHREADS=3 \(-C\) easy.

We wrap the CUBA [25, 26] library and cquad as implemented in the GNU scientific library (GSL) [27] for easy use with pySecDec. Both packages are redistributed with pySecDec and automatically available on systems where pySecDec is properly installed.

```python
from pySecDec.integral_interface import IntegralLibrary

# load c++ library
integral = IntegralLibrary('three_point/three_point_pylink.so')

# choose and configure integrators
integral.use_Divonne(epsrel=1e-5, epsabs=1e-5, maxeval=10**7, border=1e-8)
integral.use_CQuad(epsrel=1e-5, epsabs=1e-5)  # 1D only

# integrate
result_without_prefactor, prefactor, full_result = integral(

# set parameter "m2" (complex mass scheme)
complex_parameters=[91.19-1.25j],

# configure contour optimization (optional)
number_of_presamples=10**5,
deformation_parameters_maximum=1.0,
deformation_parameters_minimum=1e-5,
)

# print result
print("Numerical Result:")
print(full_result)
```

Figure 3: Example script to issue the numerical integration using the python interface.

The easiest way to issue the numerical integration is the python interface. The integration script shown in Figure 3 loads the necessary libraries, configures the integrators, runs the contour optimization and numerical integration, and prints the result. To run the numerical integration of your integral, write an integration script analogous to the one depicted in Figure 3. Assuming the integration script is called integrate.py, running python integrate.py will perform the integration and print the result to the screen.

The numerical accuracy goals of all integrators are controlled by the options “epsrel” and “epsabs”. In addition, CUBA integrators also implement “maxeval”. The integration terminates when either goal is reached. If your integral evaluates to a very small value, make sure that you decrease “epsabs” accordingly. The integrator CQuad is restricted to one dimensional integrands. A call to integral.use_CQuad makes pySecDec call CQuad for every one dimensional integral while the integrator that was assigned before is used for higher dimensional integrals. Without call to integral.use_CQuad, the CUBA integrator is also used for one dimensional integrals.
When using a CUBA integrator, the number of threads used for the integration is controlled by
the environment variable \texttt{CUBACORES}. For example, to run with 6 threads invoke the script as
\texttt{CUBACORES=6 python integrate_triangle2L.py}.

The parameters left symbolic in the generation step must be set to numerical values when
invoking the numerical integration. The call to \texttt{integral} takes a list of “real\_parameters” and
“complex\_parameters”. If there are no real or complex parameters, the argument may be omitted (“real\_parameters” in our example). Complex numbers (the Z-boson mass \(91.19-1.25j\) in
our example) are entered as \#±\#j in the python interface. The call that invokes the main integra-
tion also takes parameters that guide the optimization of the deformed contour. These parameters
should usually be omitted; i.e. left at their default values unless the integration terminates due to a
“sign\_check\_error”.

A “sign\_check\_error” means that the chosen contour in the analytic continuation to the com-
plex plane is invalid. Since the contour deformation is by construction \[28, 29, 30, 11, 31\] guaran-
teed to be valid with sufficiently small deformation parameters, you should try to decrease “deforma-
tion\_parameters\_maximum”. We suggest to repeatedly lower “deformation\_parameters\_maxi-
mum” by an factor of ten until the integration succeeds. If your integral evaluates to a very small
number, you may have to decrease the “deformation\_parameters\_maximum” below \(1e-5\) which is
the default value of “deformation\_parameters\_minimum”. If that happens, make sure that you also
decrease “deformation\_parameters\_minimum” to keep it smaller than the maximum.

Note that often large cancellations giving rise to numerical instabilities occur in the integrand
functions when the integration variables are close to zero. This can be problematic for adaptive
integrators, in particular Divonne. It is usually necessary to set a nonzero border when using
Divonne to avoid samples in unstable boundary regions. If you keep getting NaN, you should
switch to Vegas and increase the default values for “nincrease” (default: 500) and “nstart” (default:
1000) by a factor of ten or more. Starting from \texttt{pySecDec} version 1.3, you can also use the new
zero\_border option to avoid evaluation of the integrand close to the zero boundary.

### 4. Conclusion

We have presented \texttt{pySecDec}, the successor of the \texttt{SecDec3}, a program that numeri-
cally computes parameter integrals, e.g. (multi-)loop integrals after Feynman parametrization,
in the context of dimensional regularization. The open-source package can be downloaded from
\texttt{http://secdec.hepforge.org/}.

A key new feature is the output of a C++ library, which is also accessible from python. Exis-
ting sector decomposition programs are mainly designed to provide cross-checks against analytic
calculations. The different design goal makes dynamically embedding them into user-defined cal-
culations a difficult task. However, there is foreseeable need for numerical solutions of integrals
for which analytical solutions will not become available in the near future. The situation motivated
the development of a program that makes both use cases easy, usage as static cross-check and as
dynamic library.

\texttt{pySecDec} is a tool that satisfies the aforementioned demands. It can dynamically provide
numerical solutions of loop-integrals in user-defined codes, for example amplitude calculations.
Static cross-checks can be performed with a program that evaluates the integral at a single point. It
turns out that writing such a program is as easy as writing a runcard with a suitable library interface. Nevertheless, a library opens the door to a much wider field of use cases compared to runcards.

We have demonstrated how integrals are communicated to pySecDec and explained how to write python scripts that evaluate the integral using the python interface. Note that the scripts shown in this article can be embedded into arbitrary user-defined python code. However, the python interface provides only a fraction of the library’s functionality. We therefore recommend to switch to the more complex but much more powerful C++ interface for dynamical library use.

Acknowledgments

I thank my fellow SecDec developer team, Sophia Borowka, Gudrun Heinrich, Stephen Jones, Matthias Kerner, Johannes Schlenk, and Tom Zirke, for helpful discussions and the successful collaboration.

References


SecDec: a toolbox for the numerical evaluation of multi-scale integrals

Stephan Jahn


[26] T. Hahn, Concurrent Cuba, [1408.6373].


