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In this talk, we review recent developments towards the calculation of multi-loop scattering amplitudes. In particular, we discuss how the colour-kinematics duality can provide new integral relations at one-loop level via the Loop-Tree duality formalism. On the other hand, in order to compute scattering amplitudes at one- and two-loop level, numerically and analytically, we describe the preliminary automation of the adaptive integrand decomposition algorithm. We show preliminary results on the analytic reduction of the $\mu e$-elastic scattering at one- and two-loop level.
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## 1. Introduction

The calculation of scattering amplitudes has been playing a very important role in the physics of the Large Hadron Collider. Since tree-level calculations are qualitative, higher precisions are needed in order to check the theoretical predictions with the experiments. Nevertheless, their calculation becomes cumbersome when increasing the higher multiplicity and the order in the perturbation theory. Therefore, we should take advantage of the mathematical properties of these scattering amplitudes.

In this talk, we discuss the new one-loop integral relations [1] that emerge as a consequence of the Colour-Kinematics duality (CKD) [2] and Loop-Tree duality formalism (LTD) [3, 4]. Relations at integral level have been considered from a string theory perspective [5,6] and also from the modern techniques based on unitarity based methods $[7,8]$.

We also discuss the calculation of scattering amplitudes from the perspective of integrand reduction methods [ $9-12]$. We focus our study on the automation of the recent algorithm proposed by Mastrolia, Peraro and Primo [13], that decomposes the space-time dimension into parallel and perpendicular components, $d=d_{\|}+d_{\perp}$. This decomposition allows for a straightforward classification of spurious terms and the usual polynomial division is replaced by algebraic substitutions.

## 2. Colour-Kinematics duality

In this section, we report the results of [1]. For the sake of simplicity, although analogous to gauge theories coupled to matter, we focus on the study of the integral relations generated from the Jacobi off-shell current $g g \rightarrow g g$.

Let us first set up the notation and recap some features of the Colour-Kinematics duality. The $m$-point tree-level amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{m}^{\text {tree }}(1,2, \ldots, m)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{c_{i} n_{i}}{D_{i}} \quad D_{i}=\prod_{\alpha_{i}} s_{\alpha_{i}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over all diagrams $i$ with only cubic vertices, $c_{i}$ are the colour factors, $n_{i}$ the kinematic numerators, and $D_{i}$ collect the denominators of all internal propagators.

The colour factors $c_{i}$ obey the Jacobi identity, which in the adjoint representation, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\tilde{f}^{a_{1} a_{2} x} \tilde{f}^{a_{3} a_{4} x}-\tilde{f}^{a_{1} a_{4} x} \tilde{f}^{a_{2} a_{3} x}+\tilde{f}^{a_{1} a_{3} x} \tilde{f} \tilde{f}^{a_{2} a_{4} x}=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can find three colour factors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}=\ldots \tilde{f}^{a_{1} a_{2} x} \tilde{f}^{a_{3} a_{4} x} \ldots, \quad c_{j}=\ldots \tilde{f}^{a_{1} a_{4} x} \tilde{f}^{a_{2} a_{3} x} \ldots, \quad c_{k}=\ldots \tilde{f}^{a_{1} a_{3} x} \tilde{f}^{a_{2} a_{4} x} \ldots, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the '...' state for common terms in the three colour factors. In such a way that the Jacobi identity is satisfied as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c_{i}-c_{j}+c_{k}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the anti-symmetry relations that colour factors and kinematic numerators satisfy under a swapping of legs, $c_{j} \rightarrow-c_{j} \Rightarrow n_{j} \rightarrow-n_{j}$, Eq. (2.4) can be analogously promoted to be dual in the kinematic sector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-n_{i}-n_{j}+n_{k} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation between colour factors and kinematic numerators is referred to as Colour-Kinematics duality (CKD).

### 2.1 Jacobi off-shell current



Figure 1: Jacobi combination for $g g \rightarrow g g$.

We generate, by following the diagrammatic approach of [14], the off-shell current from the Jacobi identity of the kinematic numerators of $g g \rightarrow g g$. This current vanishes when the four particles attached to it are set on-shell. Nevertheless, its off-shell description allows for a systematic study of CKD for tree higher-multiplicity or multi-loop numerators.

We show that this off-shell currents can be schematically represented in terms of three-point interactions. The expressions for the Jacobi off-shell current of Fig. 1 amount to
where $p_{i j}^{\alpha} \equiv\left(p_{i}+p_{j}\right)^{\alpha}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{i}^{\mu_{i} \mu_{j}} \equiv p_{i}^{\mu_{i}} p_{i}^{\mu_{j}}-p_{i}^{2} g^{\mu_{i} \mu_{j}}$. As well, the subscripts g-Fey and g-Ax stand for the Feynman and covariant part of the polarisation tensor of the gluon propagator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{\alpha \beta}\left(p_{i}, q\right)=-g^{\alpha \beta}+\frac{p_{i}^{\alpha} q^{\beta}+p_{i}^{\beta} q^{\alpha}}{p_{i} \cdot q} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that $J_{\mathrm{g} \text {-Fey }}$ is obtained from the Jacobi identity of three kinematic numerators of Eq. (2.5) and their structure, after algebraic manipulations, is always written as Feynman rules where momentum conservation is not preserved. Likewise, CKD is straightforwardly recovered when the four particles in the off-shell currents are set on-shell. On the other hand, the Feynman rules appearing in $J_{\mathrm{g} \text {-Ax }}$ do obey momentum conservation and the way how CKD is satisfied is individually at the level of diagrams.

### 2.2 Numerators from Jacobi off-shell currents

Since we are interested in objects constructed from the Jacobi off-shell current (2.6). We embed $J_{\mathrm{g}}$ in a richer topology that can be either tree higher-multiplicity or multi-loop level,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{g}}=N_{\mathrm{g} \mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{4}} X^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{4}}, \quad N_{\mathrm{g} \mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{4}}=J_{\mathrm{g}}^{v_{1} \ldots v_{4}} \Pi_{\mu_{1} v_{1}}\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right) \ldots \Pi_{\mu_{4} v_{4}}\left(p_{4}, q_{4}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensors $X$ carry the information related to the kinematic part where the off-shell currents $J_{\mathrm{g}}$ are embedded in.

It was noticed in [1] that in order to eliminate redundant terms in (2.8), the reference momenta $q_{i}$ have to be chosen to be equal for internal and external gluons. This request, together with the decomposition of off-shell momenta $p_{i}$ into massless ones,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}^{\alpha}=r_{i}^{\alpha}+\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{2 q \cdot r_{i}} q^{\alpha} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

rewrites the completeness relations for polarisation vectors in axial gauge as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{d_{s}-2} \varepsilon_{\lambda\left(d_{s}\right)}^{\alpha}\left(p_{i}\right) \varepsilon_{\lambda\left(d_{s}\right)}^{* \beta}\left(p_{i}\right)=\sum_{\lambda_{i}=1}^{d_{s}-2} \varepsilon_{i}^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{i}^{* \beta}+\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{\left(r_{i} \cdot q\right)^{2}} q^{\alpha} q^{\beta}=-g^{\alpha \beta}+\frac{r_{i}^{\alpha} q^{\beta}+r_{i}^{\beta} q^{\alpha}}{r_{i} \cdot q}+\frac{p_{i}^{2}}{\left(r_{i} \cdot q\right)^{2}} q^{\alpha} q^{\beta} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

allowing to distinguish between on- and off-shell quantities. The latter takes care of contributions coming from $p_{i}^{2}$ only.

Therefore, Eq. (2.8) becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{g}}^{v_{1} \ldots v_{4}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{4} \varepsilon_{i j k l} p_{i}^{2}\left(A_{i j k l} \mathscr{E}_{i j}^{v_{i} v_{j}} \mathscr{E}_{k l}^{v_{k} v_{l}}+B_{i j k l} \mathscr{E}_{j k}^{v_{j} v_{k}} \mathscr{Q}_{l}^{v_{i} v_{l}}+C_{i j k l} p_{j}^{2} \mathfrak{q}^{v_{i} v_{j}} \mathscr{E}_{k l}^{v_{k} v_{l}}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $A, B$ and $C$, because of the way the off-shell wave-functions are parametrised, do not contain a dependence on $p_{i}^{2}$. Also, in the parametric form of $N_{\mathrm{g}}$ no terms proportional to $p_{i}^{2} p_{j}^{2} p_{k}^{2} p_{l}^{2}$ or $p_{i}^{2} p_{j}^{2} p_{k}^{2}$ are present. This is indeed due to the choice of a unique reference momentum $q$ in the definition of internal propagators and wave-functions. Hence, any numerator built from the off-shell current $J_{\mathrm{g}}$ is written in terms of (at most) the product of two squared momenta, $p_{i}^{2} p_{j}^{2}$.

### 2.3 One-loop integral relations

We provide one-loop integral relations that are obtained by combining CKD with the LoopTree duality (LTD) formalism. It turns out that with the numerator


we have, from the decomposition (2.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{3} & =\int_{\ell} \frac{N_{3}}{\ell^{2}\left(\ell+p_{3}\right)^{2}\left(\ell+p_{34}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\int_{\ell}\left\{\tilde{A}_{11} \mathscr{I}\left[p_{1} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}
p_{2} \\
p_{3} \\
p_{4}
\end{array}\right]+\tilde{A}_{12} \mathscr{I}\left[p_{2} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c}
p_{3} \\
p_{4} \\
p_{1}
\end{array}\right]+\tilde{C}_{11} \mathscr{I}\left[\begin{array}{l}
p_{2} \\
p_{3} \\
p_{4} \\
p_{1}
\end{array}\right]\right\}, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathscr{I}[\cdots]$ states for the integrand of the topology, $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{C}$ are polynomials in the loop momentum $\ell$.

In the case of a massless theory, we find relations between integrals with the same number of propagators. For the $2 \rightarrow 2$ case, we write relations for Feynman integrals with three loop propagators. In [1], we study particular example of $g g \rightarrow s s$ showing that higher rank numerators can be replaced by lower ones. This outcome indeed allows for an optimisation in the evaluation of Feynman integrals.

## 3. Adaptive Integrand Decomposition

In this section, we explain the main features of the Adaptive Integrand Decomposition Algorithm (AIDA), the automation of the recent method proposed by Mastrolia, Primo and Peraro [13]. We remark that this method decomposes the space-time dimension, $d=4-2 \varepsilon$, into parallel (or longitudinal) and orthogonal (or transverse) dimensions, $d=d_{\|}+d_{\perp}$. Parallel and orthogonal directions show particular properties for topologies with less than five external legs.

In the structure of the Feynman integrals,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}^{(\ell)}[\mathscr{N}]=\int\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{d^{d} \bar{l}_{i}}{\pi^{d / 2}}\right) \frac{\mathscr{N}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n}}\left(\bar{l}_{i}\right)}{\prod_{j} D_{j}\left(\bar{l}_{j}\right)}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

loop momenta become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{l}_{i}^{\alpha}=l_{\| i}^{\alpha}+\lambda_{i}^{\alpha}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{l}_{\| i}^{\alpha}=\sum_{j=1}^{d_{\|}} x_{j i} e_{j}^{\alpha}, \quad \lambda_{i}^{\alpha}=\sum_{j=d_{\|}+1}^{4} x_{j i} e_{j}^{\alpha}+\mu_{i}^{\alpha}, \quad \quad \lambda_{i j}=\sum_{l=d_{\|}+1}^{4} x_{l i} x_{l j}+\mu_{i j} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (3.2), $l_{\| i}$ is a vector of the $d_{\|}$-dimensional space spanned by the external momenta, and $\lambda_{i}$ belongs the $d_{\perp}$-dimensional orthogonal subspace. In this parametrisation, all denominators become independent of the transverse components of the loop momenta.

Let us indicate with $\mathbf{z}$ the full set of $\ell(\ell+9) / 2$ variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{z}=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\| i}, \mathbf{x}_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i j}\right\}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots \ell, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{\| i}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\perp i}\right)$ are the components of the loop momenta parallel (orthogonal) to the external kinematics, the denominators are reduced to polynomials in the subset of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\left\{\mathbf{x}_{\|}, \lambda_{i j}\right\}, \quad \tau \subset \mathbf{z}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the general $r$-point integrand has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}\left(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}\right) \equiv \frac{\mathscr{N}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}\left(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}\right)}{D_{i_{1}}(\tau) \cdots D_{i_{r}}(\tau)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since numerator and denominators depend on different variables, the adaptive integrand decomposition suggests the following algorithm:

1. Divide: we divide the numerator $\mathscr{N}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}\left(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}\right)$ modulo the Gröbner basis $\mathscr{G}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(\tau)$ of the ideal $\mathscr{J}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}(\tau)$ generated by the set of denominators. The polynomial division is performed be adopting the lexicographic ordering $\lambda_{i j} \ll \mathbf{x}_{\|}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{N}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}\left(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \mathscr{N}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \ldots i_{r}}\left(\tau, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}\right) D_{i_{k}}(\tau)+\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\|}, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Gröbner basis does not need to be explicitly computed, since, with the choice of variables and the ordering described here, the division is equivalent to applying the set of linear relations described above.
2. Integrate: Since denominators do not depend on transverse variables, $\mathbf{x}_{\perp}$, we can integrate the residue $\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}$ over transverse directions. This integration is carried out by expressing $\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}$ in terms of Gegenbauer polynomials, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{\mathrm{int}}(\tau)=\int d^{\left(4-d_{\|}\right) \ell} \Theta_{\perp} \Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}\left(\tau, \Theta_{\perp}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{\mathrm{int}}$ is a polynomial in $\tau$ whose coefficients depend on the space-time dimension $d$.
3. Divide: the structure of the integrated residue suggests a second division. This can be seen from the dependence $\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{\mathrm{int}}$, has on the variables $\tau$. In fact, after applying the division, similarly as in the first step of this algorithm, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{\mathrm{int}}(\tau)=\sum_{k=1}^{r} \mathscr{N}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k-1} i_{k+1} \ldots i_{r}}^{\mathrm{int}}(\tau) D_{i_{k}}(\tau)+\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\|}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the new residue $\Delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\|}\right)$can only depend on $\mathbf{x}_{\|}$.
Apart from the examples provided in [13], this algorithm has also been applied to the leading color contribution to the two-loop all-plus five- gluon amplitude [15, 16].

## 3.1 $\mu e$ elastic scattering

Motivated by the new experiment MUonE proposed at CERN [17] that provides a new and independent determination of the leading hadronic contribution to the muon $g-2[17,18]$, we consider as an application of AIDA, the one- and two-loop reductions of the $\mu e$ elastic scattering,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-}\left(-p_{1}\right) \mu^{-}\left(-p_{4}\right) \rightarrow e^{-}\left(p_{2}\right) \mu^{-}\left(p_{3}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electron is treated as massless, $m_{e}^{2}=0$, while we retain full dependence on the muon mass, $m_{\mu}^{2} \neq 0$. We focus on the spin summed/averaged squared matrix elements,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{(1)}=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle A_{e \mu}^{(0)} \mid A_{e \mu}^{(1)}\right\rangle, \quad M^{(2)}=2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle A_{e \mu}^{(0)} \mid A_{e \mu}^{(2)}\right\rangle \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the results of the corresponding one- and two-loop amplitudes.
Hence, we define the kinematical variables this amplitude depends on to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, \quad t=\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)^{2}, \quad u=\left(p_{1}+p_{3}\right)^{2}=-s-t+2 m_{\mu}^{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.1 One-loop



Figure 2: Feynman diagrams contributing to the one-loop $\mu e$-scattering amplitude.
In order to compute the one-loop amplitude, we have to evaluate the Feynman diagrams of Fig. 2. The algorithm we use to perform the integrand reduction by means of AIDA is described as follows ${ }^{1}$

[^1]1. Identify parent topologies and group diagrams: according to the numbering of diagrams of Fig. 2, we end up with three groups,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\{3,2,5\},\{4\},\{1,6\}\}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

being the first element of each sublist the parent topology.
2. Generate cuts: the parent topology of the group 1

generates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{(1234),(234),(134),(124),(123),(34),(24),(23),(14),(13),(12),(4),(3),(2),(1)\} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Define adaptive variables and prepare substitution rules for all cuts: for instance, the parametrisation of the diagram 5 of Fig. 2 becomes $^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{(13)} \rightarrow \frac{d_{1}}{2}-\frac{d_{3}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_{11}^{(13)} \rightarrow-\frac{d_{1}^{2}}{4}+\left(\frac{d_{3}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) d_{1}+\frac{d_{3}}{2}-\frac{d_{3}^{2}}{4}-\frac{1}{4} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Organise cuts in jobs: let us illustrate this organisation by considering the tadpole contribution to the amplitude coming from the first group. For instance, assigning the number (2) to the massive propagator of the parent topology, we end up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathrm{N}_{(2)}^{(1234)}, \mathrm{N}_{(2)}^{(234)}, \mathrm{N}_{(2)}^{(24)}\right\} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first term corresponds to the tadpole contribution coming from the reduction of the Feynman diagram 3 and the other contributions represent triangle and bubble reductions, diagrams 2 and 5 respectively.
5. Divide: apply substitution rules of 3. to the numerator.
6. Collect powers of denominators to read off residue and numerators of lower cuts.
7. Integrate (substitute) transverse variables appearing in the residues.
8. Divide again, using as input numerators the residues.

After performing these steps, we obtain a decomposition in terms of one-loop scalar integrals. For sake of simplicity we do not write the complete expression, moreover, it is available in an ancillary included in the arXiv submission, containing the coefficients which follows the notation of the FeynCalc package [19, 20].


Figure 3: Feynman diagrams contributing to the two-loop $\mu e$-scattering amplitude.

### 3.1.2 Two-loop

For the calculation of the two-loop amplitude of the $\mu e$ elastic scattering, we have to evaluate the Feynman diagrams of Fig. 3. We follow the very same procedure as the one described in the previous section. Nevertheless, we describe the steps that could give a different interpretation

1. Identify parent topologies and group diagrams:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\{\{1,46\},\{3,5\},\{6,35,41,67\},\{7\},\{16\},\{17,8,36,38,50,52,54,58\},\{18,60,65\},\{19\}, \\
&\{20\},\{21,14,51,56\},\{22\},\{23,57\},\{24,55,59\},\{25,2,4,9,11,13,34,47,66\}, \\
&\{26,10,12,15,37,39,40,43,44,48,68,69\},\{27\},\{28\},\{29,61,62\},\{30,64\},\{31\}, \\
&\{32,63\},\{33\},\{42\},\{45\},\{49\},\{53\}\} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]2. Generate cuts: let us focus on the sixth group, whose parent topology

gives contribution to the following cuts
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
&\{\{\{1,6\},\{2,4,5,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{6\},\{2,4,5,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{1,6\},\{4,5,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{1,6\},\{2,4,5,7\}\}, \\
&\{\{1,6\},\{2,5,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{1,6\},\{2,4,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{1\},\{2,4,5,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{1,6\},\{2,4,5\},\{3\}\}, \\
&\{\{6\},\{4,5,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{6\},\{2,4,5,7\}\},\{\{6\},\{2,5,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{6\},\{2,4,7\},\{3\}\},\{\{2,4,5,7\}, \\
&\{3\}\},\{\{6\},\{2,4,5\},\{3\}\},\{\{1,6\},\{4,5,7\}\}, \ldots,\{\{1\},\{3\}\},\{\{1\},\{2\}\}\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

4. Organise cuts in jobs: in order to consider the full contribution of all possible diagrams, there is a subtlety w.r.t. the one-loop case, which relies on the treatment of the diagrams that contain squared propagators, e.g. diagrams $51,52,53$ in Fig. 3. For instance, the contribution to the cut $\{\{1,6\},\{2,4,5\},\{3\}\}$ with linear propagators gets contributions from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathbf{N}_{\{\{1,6\},\{2,5,7\},\{3\}\}}^{\{\{1,6\},\{2,4,5,,\{3\}\}}, \mathbf{N}_{\{\{1,6\},\{2,5,7\},\{3\}\}}^{\{\{1,6\},\{\dot{2}, 5,7\},\{3\}\}}, \mathbf{N}_{\{\{1,6\},\{2,5,7\},\{3\}\}}^{\{\{1,6\},\{2,5, \dot{7}\},\{3\}\}}\right\}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where dots represent squared propagators.
Unless the one-loop case, the two-loop result AIDA provides yet needs the evaluation of the Feynman integrals or reduce them to master integrals by means of Integration-by-parts (IBPs) identities [21-23]. Nevertheless, the calculation of these master integrals has been carried out for the planar families [24] (see references therein).

In order to illustrate AIDA's output, we include in the arXiv submission, the list of irreducible polynomials.

## 4. Conclusions

We have studied the colour-kinematics duality (CKD) at tree and one-loop level. For the former, we have studied the features of the Jacobi off-shell currents when they generate tree highermultiplicity or multi-loop objects. Whereas, for the latter, together with the Loop-Tree duality formalism, integral relations among numerators constructed from CKD have been considered.

For the evaluation of one- and two-loop scattering amplitudes, we have described the preliminary implementation of the Adaptive Integrand Decomposition Algorithm (AIDA). This implementation automates, numerically and analytically, the method of [13]. We have also shown results for the analytic reduction of the one- and two-loop amplitudes of the $\mu e$-elastic scattering.
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[^0]:    *Speaker.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Talk based on a collaboration with J. Llanes, P. Mastrolia, G. Ossola, M. Passera, T. Peraro, A. Primo, G. Rodrigo and U. Schubert.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Further details will be provided in: P. Mastrolia, T. Peraro, A. Primo and W. J. Torres Bobadilla (in preparation).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ We have, for simplicity, set $s=1$. Nevertheless, its dependence can be recovered from dimensional analysis.

