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The original cockroach swarm optimization (CSO) algorithm has long been criticized for the problems of "early mature". This paper describes a new cockroach-inspired algorithm, which is called CSO with global and local neighborhoods (CSOGL). In CSOGL, two kinds of neighborhood models are designed in order to increase the diversity of promising solutions. Based on above two neighborhood models, two kinds of novel chase-swarming behaviors are proposed and applied to CSOGL. The comparison results show that the CSOGL algorithm outperforms the original CSO algorithms.
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1. Introduction

In the past two decades, many nature-inspired algorithms have been proposed and applied to solve optimization problems, e.g., Genetic Algorithm (GA) [1], Differential Evolution (DE) [52, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [2-3], ant Colony Optimization (ACO) [4], etc. One of the recent developments in biologically-inspired algorithms is a series of cockroach-inspired algorithms. Chen first proposed a cockroach swarm optimization (CSO) [5]. The CSO algorithm simulated the general behavior of cockroach, e.g., chase-swarming, dispersion and ruthless, etc. Furthermore, the modified CSO (MCSO) is presented by introducing the inertial weight in chase-swarming operation of CSO [6]. By studying the recent discoveries in the behavior of cockroaches, Havens et al. proposed a new cockroach-inspired algorithm, called roach infestation optimization (RIO) [7]. In essence, RIO has the different searching strategy with CSO. That is, RIO was not the improved version of CSO, but can be regarded as an improved version of PSO. Based on RIO, Havens proposed the hungry RIO (HRIO). It has proved that HRIO has better performance than that RIO and PSO[7].

We have proposed a series of cockroach-inspired algorithms for the robot path planning (RPP) and rod-like robot path planning (RLRPP) problems[8]. However, practical experience shows that the existing cockroach-inspired algorithms for numerical optimization problem generally bear the problem of of "early mature", which leads to the loss of diversity in the population. Therefore, the strategy of neighborhood can be introduced in the original CSO algorithm. In this paper, we propose a new variant of CSO, which is called CSO with global and local neighborhoods (CSOGL). The CSOGL algorithm extends the original CSO, and a novel neighborhood scheme is proposed and applied in CSOGL. The neighborhood scheme can generate a local optimal global optimum $P_i$, which is significantly different from the CSO. Furthermore, a new Chase-Swarming behavior is designed for CSOGL, which can implement the better tradeoff between the local and global search during the computing process.

The organization of this paper is as follows: Section 2 describes the original version of CSO; Section 3 gives the swarm scheme and the chase-swarming strategy of CSOGL; The experimental results are illustrated in section 4; Section 5 concludes this paper.

2. Cockroach Swarm Optimization

The original version of CSO simulates some basic biological behaviors of the cockroach, which include chase-swarming, dispersing, ruthless behavior. The CSO model is described as follows:
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\[ X_{i,G+1} = \begin{cases} 
X_{i,G} + \text{step} \cdot r_1 \cdot (P_{i,G} - X_{i,G}), & X_{i,G} \neq P_{i,G} \\
X_{i,G} + \text{step} \cdot r_2 \cdot (P_{g,G} - X_{i,G}), & X_{i,G} = P_{i,G} 
\end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

Where, \( X_{i,G} \) is the cockroach current position at the \( G \)-th generation, \( \text{step} \) is a constant value, and the random numbers \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \in [0,1] \). \( P_i \) is the best position of \( X_{i,G} \) which can be computed by following equation:

\[ P_i = \text{Opt}_i \{ X_j \mid X_j - X_i \leq \text{visual} \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

here, \( \text{visual} \) is the perception constant. \( P_{g,G} \) is the global best position at the \( G \)-th iteration.

\[ P_g = \text{Opt} \{ X_i \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

(2) Dispersion behavior

\[ X_i = X_i + \text{rand}(1,D) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

where, \( \text{rand}(1,D) \) is a \( D \)-dimensional random position that can be set within a certain range.

(3) Ruthless behavior

\[ X_r = P_g \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

where, \( r \) is a random integer within \([1, N]\), \( P_g \) is the global best position.

3. Cso with Global and Local Neighborhoods

3.1 The Neighborhood Model of CSO

By many experimental researches, we found that CSO featured the problems of "early mature". Furthermore, we found that the swarm strategy of CSO is unreasonable.

**Figure 1:** Swarm Strategy of CSO

In Equation (2), the swarm strategy \(|X_i - X_j| \leq \text{visual}\) does not guarantee that each cockroach
is in a sub-population or a sub-population with a certain size at the initial stage of CSO (See Fig.1(a)). However, after some iteration, all cockroaches are near around $P_g$ and there exists only one sub-population that is the entire population (See Fig. 1 (b)).

In Fig. 1(a), the cockroach $X_i$ has no neighborhood in the range that is controlled by Equation 2 and $X_i$ hence does not belong to any sub-population. It means that many cockroach individuals are in the sub-population that only includes itself, and other cockroach individuals may be in a sub-population with a big size. This problem can seriously deteriorate the diversity of promising solution.

3.2 Neighborhood Structures and Search Strategy of CSOGL

In CSOGL, two kinds of neighborhood structures are used, which are similar to the idea of literature [9]. One is called the local structure, where each $X_{i,G+1}$ is computed by the best position $P_{i,G}$. On the other hand, the second one is the entire population at current generation $G$.

$K$ is defined as the scale of the neighborhood. Thus, the neighborhood structure can be illustrated as Fig. (2), which is a ring topology struct. In Fig. (2), $N$ is the number of all cockroaches in CSOGL. We assume $K=5$, then cockroach $X_i$ has five neighborhoods $X_{i,0}$, $X_{i,1}$, $X_{i,2}$, $X_{i,3}$ and $X_{i,4}$. There exist some overlapping neighborhoods (See Fig. 3).

Figure 2: Neighborhood Model

Figure 3: Overlapping Neighborhoods

According to above two neighborhood models, there are two kinds of chase-swarming behaviors.

The chase-swarming behavior for local neighborhood is as Equation (3.1):

$$F_{i,G} = X_{i,G} + (P_{i,G} - X_{i,G}) + (X_{i,1} - X_{i,2})$$

(3.1)

Tere, $L_{i,G}$ denotes the new location found by the $i$-th cockroach. Similarly, the chase-swarming behavior for global neighborhood is as Equation (3.2):

$$F_{i,G} = X_{i,G} + (P_{g,G} - X_{i,G}) + (X_{r_3} - X_{r_4})$$

(3.2)

In Equation (3.1) and Equation (3.2), the indices $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 \in [1, N]$.  


Above two chase-swarming operations are chosen with a random method. Thus, the whole chase-swarming behavior of CSOGL can be described as Equation (3.3)

\[
F_{i,G} = \begin{cases} 
X_{i,G} + (P_{i,G} - X_{i,G}) + (X_{r1} - X_{r2}), & \text{rand (0, 1) < 0.5} \\
X_{i,G} + (P_{g,G} - X_{i,G}) + (X_{r3} - X_{r4}), & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\] (3.3)

where, \( \text{rand (0, 1)} \) is a uniformly distributed random number. In essence, the chase-swarming behaviors of local and global neighborhood correspond to the local and global searching on CSOGL.

Notice that \( F_{i,G} \) is the new location found by the \( i \)-th cockroach, which doesn’t mean that \( F_{i,G} \) must be as position of \( i \)-th cockroach in \( G+1 \) generation. Any one of \( F_{i,G} \) and \( X_{i,G} \) is chosen to be \( X_{i,G+1} \) by the greedy selection scheme (See Equation (3.4)).

\[
X_{i,G+1} = \begin{cases} 
F_{i,G}, & \text{if } (f(F_{i,G}) < f(X_{i,G})), \text{ minimization problems} \\
X_{i,G}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\] (3.4)

The complete pseudo-code of CSOGL is given in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1: CSOGL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INPUT: Fitness function: ( f(X), X \in )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Set parameters and generate an</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Choose the ( p_g ) from whole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Choose the ( p_i ) for each cockroach;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FOR ( t = 1 ) to ( G_{\text{max}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>FOR ( i = 1 ) to ( N )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>chase-swarming operations (E.q(8));</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>greedy selection scheme (See E.q(9))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>IF ( f(X_i) &lt; f(P_i) ) THEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( P_i = X_i );</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>END IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IF ( f(X_i) &lt; f(P_g) ) THEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>( P_g = X_i );</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>END IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>END FOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>END FOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Check termination condition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Benchmark Test Functions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fn</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Search Range</th>
<th>Optimum Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rastrigin</td>
<td>( f(x) = 10D + \sum_{i=1}^{D} (x_i^2 - 10 \cos(2 \pi x_i)) )</td>
<td>(-5.12 \leq x_i \leq 5.12 )</td>
<td>( f(X) = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenbrock</td>
<td>( f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} (100(x_{i+1} - x_i^2)^2 + (1 - x_i)^2) )</td>
<td>(-30 \leq x_i \leq 30 )</td>
<td>( f(X) = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwefel2.22</td>
<td>( f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{D}</td>
<td>x_i</td>
<td>+ \prod_{i}^{D} x_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griewangk</td>
<td>( f(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{D} \left( \frac{x_i^2}{4000} - \cos \left( \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{i}} \right) \right) )</td>
<td>(-600 \leq x_i \leq 600 )</td>
<td>( f(X) = 0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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We perform large number of simulation experiments, and compare the CSOGL with original CSO, MCSO, RIO and HRIO. All the benchmark functions are tested with 30 dimensions, and each test is run 20 times with maximum iteration 1000. Cockroach population size $N = 50$ is used in this paper for all the algorithms. Other control parameters of original CSO and CSO variants are set according to literature [5-7]. The test results are demonstrated in Table 2.

In Table 2, Mean denotes the mean function value, STD is the standard deviation of the function value during the 20 runs, and Best means the best function values. For most of test functions, MCSO demonstrates better performance than that of CSO, RIO and HRIO. Compared with MCSO, CSOGL can give smaller function values by using the same numbers of function evaluations. That is, the performance of CSOGL is significantly superior to the existing cockroach-inspired algorithm. The standard deviation of the function value shows that CSOGL is stable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>CSO</th>
<th>MCSO</th>
<th>RIO</th>
<th>HRIO</th>
<th>CSOGL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rastrigin</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.602E+03</td>
<td>9.199E-11</td>
<td>3.814E-05</td>
<td>3.215E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STD</td>
<td>5.573E+03</td>
<td>3.946E-10</td>
<td>3.444E-05</td>
<td>3.000E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>3.134E-04</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>2.710E-07</td>
<td>2.145E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenbrock</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>9.507E+11</td>
<td>2.900E+01</td>
<td>2.528E+06</td>
<td>3.357E+06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STD</td>
<td>2.271E+12</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>4.053E+06</td>
<td>7.115E+06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>4.407E+01</td>
<td>2.900E+01</td>
<td>1.677E+04</td>
<td>3.756E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwefel2.22</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.901E+54</td>
<td>6.359E-06</td>
<td>2.313E+02</td>
<td>2.440E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STD</td>
<td>1.297E+55</td>
<td>1.194E-05</td>
<td>1.319E+02</td>
<td>1.234E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>3.685E-01</td>
<td>5.941E-08</td>
<td>6.740E+01</td>
<td>1.735E+01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griewangk</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.615E+01</td>
<td>3.315E-11</td>
<td>7.951E-01</td>
<td>7.775E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STD</td>
<td>3.663E+01</td>
<td>1.467E-10</td>
<td>3.758E-01</td>
<td>2.545E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Best</td>
<td>6.391E-05</td>
<td>0.000E+00</td>
<td>2.932E-01</td>
<td>3.203E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Summary and Conclusion

In this paper, we present the CSOGL algorithm for global numerical optimization with continuous variables. CSOGL is an improved version of CSO. However, CSOGL has a novel swarm strategy and all-new chase-Swarming scheme, which are significantly different from existing cockroach-inspired algorithms. We have compared the performance of CSOGL with those of CSO, MCSO, RIO, and HRIO over a suite of 11 numerical optimization problems and concluded that CSOGL is more effective in obtaining better quality solutions. In most cases, CSOGL is more stable with the relatively smaller standard deviation.
A Study on Improved Cockroach Swarm Optimization Algorithm

Le Cheng

References


