Gamma Emission from Large Galactic Structures
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Gamma-ray emission from large structures is useful for tracing the propagation and distribution of cosmic rays throughout our Galaxy. For example, the search for gamma-ray emission from Giant Molecular Clouds may allow us to probe the flux of cosmic rays in distant galactic regions and to compare it with the flux measured at Earth. Also, the composition of the cosmic rays can be measured by separating the gamma-ray emission from hadronic or leptonic processes. In the case of emission from the Fermi Bubbles specifically, constraining the mechanism of gamma-ray production can point to their origin. HAWC possesses a large field of view and good sensitivity to spatially extended sources, which currently makes it the best suited ground-based observatory to detect extended regions. We will present preliminary results on the search of gamma-ray emission from Molecular Clouds, as well as upper limits on the differential flux from the Fermi Bubbles.
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1. Introduction

One of the topics in the modern field of high-energy astrophysics is the origin and propagation of cosmic rays. However, the directional information of the cosmic rays is lost due to their interaction with the interstellar magnetic field. The observation of the gamma-ray emission by the interaction of cosmic rays with interstellar media (i.e. radiation fields, matter, etc) is one of the tools that helps trace the origin, acceleration, propagation and distribution of cosmic rays through the galaxy.

Probing the flux of cosmic rays in distant galactic regions can be achieved by measuring the gamma-ray emission from Giant Molecular Clouds (GMCs) that are located far from cosmic-ray sources, i.e. passive clouds. This is because the gamma-ray emission from GMCs is proportional to the cosmic-ray flux, hence this is an indirect way to measure the galactic cosmic-ray flux and can be compared to the measured cosmic ray flux at Earth\[1\].

The gamma-ray signal can also help distinguish between its possible hadronic or leptonic origin, leading to a study of the composition and origin of the cosmic rays. In the case of emission from the Fermi Bubbles specifically, constraining the mechanism of gamma-ray production can point to their origin\[2, 3, 4, 5\] and give an understanding of the evolution of our galaxy.

The HAWC gamma-ray observatory can search for large-scale structures thanks to its large field of view of 2 sr and high-duty cycle of > 95%. HAWC is sensitive to gamma rays with energies between 100 GeV and 100 TeV. It is located on the volcano Sierra Negra in the state of Puebla, Mexico, at an altitude of 4100 m a.s.l. HAWC uses the water Cherenkov technique to detect the electromagnetic component of the shower fronts of extensive air showers that reach the ground. From the footprint of the shower, the direction of the primary gamma ray or cosmic ray that interacts with the atmosphere is reconstructed. In this presentation, data recorded by the HAWC observatory is used to search for gamma-ray signal from large galactic structures.

2. Giant Molecular Clouds

GMCs are dense concentrations of interstellar gas containing masses around $10^4 - 10^6 M_\odot$ and with sizes of 50 – 200 pc. They are composed mainly of cold, dark dust and molecular gas — mostly molecular hydrogen and helium. GMCs are the main factories of stars in the galaxy.

The gamma-ray flux, produced by the interaction of cosmic rays with the GMC is proportional to

$$F_\gamma \propto \Phi_{CR} \frac{M_5}{d_{kpc}^2}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Phi_{CR}$ is the cosmic-ray flux, $M_5 = M/10^5 M_\odot$ is the mass of the molecular cloud, $d_{kpc} = d/1 \text{kpc}$ is the distance to the molecular cloud\[1, 6\]. Assuming that $\Phi_{CR}$ is equal to the locally measured cosmic-ray flux, the gamma-ray flux from equation 2.1 can be estimated as

$$F_\gamma = \begin{cases} 1.45 \times 10^{-13} E_{\text{TeV}}^{-1.75} (M_5/d_{kpc}^2) \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} & 100 \text{MeV} < E_\gamma < 1 \text{TeV} \\ 2.85 \times 10^{-13} E_{\text{TeV}}^{-1.6} (M_5/d_{kpc}^2) \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} & E_\gamma > 1 \text{TeV} \end{cases}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $E_{\text{TeV}} = E/1 \text{TeV}$ and $F_\gamma$ is the energy-integrated flux \[6\].
GMCs have been observed mostly in the radio and infrared part of the electromagnetic spectrum since optical photons are not able to penetrate these dense regions. The most recent survey of GMCs has be done by the CfA-Chile survey. The survey is based on the observation of the CO-115 GHz frequency [7] and it is shown in Figure 1.

An overlap of 760 days of HAWC data, in the galactic region $0^\circ < l < 90^\circ$, with a contour from the Cfa-Chile survey is shown in Figure 2.

2.1 Sensitivity to Molecular clouds

Using equation 2.2, we compare the value of the expected flux to the sensitivity of the HAWC detector to extended sources. For the calculation of the sensitivity we assume disc regions of $3^\circ$ and $5^\circ$ due to the variety of the morphology of the GMCs. For the sensitivity we apply the procedure described in [8]. The probability for false positives is set to $\alpha = 0.003 (3\sigma)$ and $\alpha = 0.000006 (5\sigma)$, and the probability of detection is set to $\beta = 0.5$. Figure 3 shows the sensitivity plot

1Named upper limit instead of sensitivity in the publication
compared to the predictions from the GMCs Aquila Rift, Taurus and Hercules. Table 1 describes the properties of the GMCs. HAWC does not expect to detect either of these objects with the current dataset (760 days). However, HAWC may be sensitive to the GMCs at the $3\sigma$ level with its 5 year dataset.

**Table 1:** Description of GMCs. The mass of Hercules is assumed since no value was found in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GMC</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Distance</th>
<th>Decl. Center</th>
<th>Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquila Rift</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ [9]</td>
<td>$225 \pm 55$ pc [10]</td>
<td>$-7.6$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.068$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taurus</td>
<td>$0.2 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ [11]</td>
<td>$135 \pm 20$ pc [12]</td>
<td>$25.8$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.203$ sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hercules</td>
<td>$0.5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$</td>
<td>$200 \pm 30$ pc [12]</td>
<td>$14.7$</td>
<td>$&lt;0.013$ sr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** HAWC sensitivity to extended sources and predicted integral fluxes of the GMCs in their respective declination. The error bars are calculated from the respective mass and distance errors given by the references.

A zoom-in of the GMCs in the HAWC map are shown in Figures 4, 5 and 6.
3. Fermi Bubbles

The Fermi-LAT Bubbles are two bubble-like structures extending 55° above and below the Galactic plane. They were discovered after looking for a counterpart of the microwave haze in data from the Fermi Telescope \cite{13}. In the literature exists several models that try to explain the origin of the Fermi Bubbles. Some of these ideas include: outflow can be generated by activity of the...
nucleus in our galaxy producing a jet [4], wind from long-timescale star formation[2], periodic star capture processes by the supermassive black hole in the Galactic center [3] or by winds produced by the hot accretion flow in Sgr A* [5]. These models can be constrained by measuring the energy spectrum of the gamma-ray emission. For example, if the gamma-ray emission cannot be explained by hadronic processes, the wind from long-timescale star formation model could be ruled out.

3.1 Method

We use HAWC data, corresponding to the dates of 2014 November 27 to 2016 February 11, to search for gamma-ray emission from the Northern Fermi Bubble region. The main challenge of the analysis is to estimate the background. First, we need to distinguish between the shower signatures of cosmic rays and gamma rays that deposit their energy in the HAWC observatory. Then we need to estimate the isotropic flux by the direct integration method [14]. Due to the fact that the direct integration needs stable performance from the detector, the lifetime of the the analysis is reduced to 290 days. Finally, since the integration time used is 24 hours due to the size of the Fermi Bubbles, effects from the large-scale anisotropy needs to be removed [15]. The analysis is done in 7 analysis bins defined in the similar way as in [16].

After taking into account these effects, the excess calculation is given by

$$G'_i = \varepsilon_{G,i} \frac{E'_i - \varepsilon_{C,i} E_i}{\varepsilon_{G,i} - \varepsilon_{C,i}}$$

(3.1)

where, $G'_i$ is the final excess after corrections in pixel $i$; $E'_i$ is the excess in pixel $i$ without corrections after applying gamma-hadron cuts; $E_i$ is the excess in pixel $i$ without corrections before applying...
gamma-hadron cuts; \( \varepsilon_{G,i} \) and \( \varepsilon_{C,i} \) are the gamma and hadron efficiencies after applying gamma-hadron cuts. For more details on the analysis see [17].

### 3.2 Upper Limits

No significant excess was found, we proceeded to calculate upper limits on the flux. Figure 7, shows the data points from the Fermi measurements, together with the HAWC upper limits. The energy bins are obtained by combining the analysis bins with a weighted average (see [17] for the description). The plot also features predictions from two hadronic and two leptonic models, all obtained from [18]. The leptonic models are obtained from an electron spectrum with the shape of a power-law with exponential cutoff interacting with the interstellar radiation field at 5 kpc from the Galactic plane and the cosmic microwave background. The hadronic models assume a power-law and power-law with a cutoff for the proton spectrum. The protons interact with the interstellar medium and produce photons through pion decay. The IceCube model is obtained from [19] and it is the counterpart of a neutrino flux model that best fits the IceCube data. Our result is not able to constrain the models at energies below 1 TeV. However at high energies, it implies, for a hadronic model, that there is a cutoff in the proton spectrum.

![Figure 7: Measured flux of the Fermi Bubbles with HAWC upper limits. Hadronic and leptonic models that explain the emission of the Bubbles are overlaid too.](image)

### 4. Conclusion

Observations of large gamma-ray structures can give us an insight in how cosmic rays propagate and distribute in the galaxy, as well as the mechanisms that produce them. This information is useful to understand the evolution of our galaxy.

Using data from the HAWC observatory, we searched for gamma-ray signal in three GMCs and the Fermi Bubbles. In the case of the Fermi Bubbles we calculated upper limits at 95% C.L. The upper limits constrain some hadronic models, including a neutrino model that describes the IceCube data.
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