

CERN-TH-2018-165

CP3-18-46

FR-PHENO-2018-007

Coaction for Feynman integrals and diagrams

Samuel Abreu

Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

E-mail: samuel.abreu@physik.uni-freiburg.de

Ruth Britto*

School of Mathematics and Hamilton Mathematics Institute, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland

School of Mathematics, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland ;

Institut de Physique Théorique, Université Paris Saclay, CEA, CNRS, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France

E-mail: britto@maths.tcd.ie

Claude Duhr

Theoretical Physics Department, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland ;

Center for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology (CP3), Université Catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium

E-mail: claude.duhr@cern.ch

Einan Gardi

Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics, School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, Scotland, UK

E-mail: einan.gardi@ed.ac.uk

James Matthew

Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics, School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, Scotland, UK

E-mail: james.matthew@ed.ac.uk

We propose a general coaction for families of integrals appearing in the evaluation of Feynman diagrams, such as multiple polylogarithms and generalized hypergeometric functions. We further conjecture a link between this coaction and graphical operations on Feynman diagrams. At one-loop order, there is a basis of integrals for which this correspondence is fully explicit. We discuss features and present examples of the diagrammatic coaction on two-loop integrals. We also present the coaction for the functions ${}_{p+1}F_p$ and Appell F_1 .

Loops and Legs in Quantum Field Theory (LL2018)

29 April 2018 - 04 May 2018

St. Goar, Germany

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

The class of iterated integrals known as multiple polylogarithms (MPLs) includes many of the functions obtained from integrating Feynman diagrams in dimensional regularization. Their remarkable mathematical properties, including a coaction [1, 2], have led to new perspectives on evaluating Feynman diagrams. In particular, the coaction on MPLs has natural links to discontinuities and differential operators, both of which have been important tools in computing scattering processes of interest.

It is conjectured that the coaction on MPLs corresponds to a similar combinatorial coaction on Feynman diagrams themselves, and that these two coactions agree when the diagrams are evaluated [3, 4]. At one-loop order, the conjecture is precise, and there is ample evidence for its validity.

In this article, we review the conjecture of the diagrammatic coaction and present some progress towards generalizing it beyond one loop on the diagrammatic side, and beyond the class of MPLs to generalized hypergeometric functions on the functional side, eliminating the need to expand in the parameter of dimensional regularization.

The article is structured as follows. We first review the definition of a coaction on a bialgebra. We present the combinatorial incidence coaction, which can be interpreted as the basis of the separate coactions on one-loop Feynman diagrams and MPLs. Then, we state the conjecture of the diagrammatic coaction for Feynman diagrams at one-loop order. We close with new results on the coactions of certain (generalized) hypergeometric and Appell functions, and two-loop diagrammatic coactions for a double triangle integral and the sunset integral with one massive propagator.

2. Bialgebras and the coaction

Definitions. An algebra H is a ring with a multiplicative unit (1), which is also a vector space over a field K . In our applications to Feynman integrals, we will always take the field to be $K = \mathbb{Q}$. A bialgebra is an algebra H with two maps, the coproduct $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$, and the counit $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, which are algebra homomorphisms satisfying the axioms $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ and $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta = \text{id}$, where id is the identity map.

The incidence algebra. As an exemplar of a bialgebra, let us take the *incidence algebra* of [6], which is a simple combinatorial construction. Let $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The elements of the incidence algebra are pairs of nested subsets $[S, T]$, where $S \subseteq T \subseteq [n]$. Multiplication is a free abelian operation, and the coproduct is defined by

$$\Delta_{\text{Inc}}([S, T]) = \sum_{S \subseteq X \subseteq T} [S, X] \otimes [X, T]. \quad (2.1)$$

For example:

$$\Delta_{\text{Inc}}([S, S]) = [S, S] \otimes [S, S] \quad \text{for any } S, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\Delta_{\text{Inc}}([\emptyset, \{2\}]) = [\emptyset, \{2\}] \otimes [\{2\}, \{2\}] + [\emptyset, \emptyset] \otimes [\emptyset, \{2\}], \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{Inc}}([\emptyset, \{1, 2\}]) &= [\emptyset, \{1, 2\}] \otimes [\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2\}] + [\emptyset, \{1\}] \otimes [\{1\}, \{1, 2\}] \\ &\quad + [\emptyset, \{2\}] \otimes [\{2\}, \{1, 2\}] + [\emptyset, \emptyset] \otimes [\emptyset, \{1, 2\}], \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\Delta_{\text{Inc}}([\{1\}, \{1, 2\}]) = [\{1\}, \{1, 2\}] \otimes [\{1, 2\}, \{1, 2\}] + [\{1\}, \{1\}] \otimes [\{1\}, \{1, 2\}], \quad (2.5)$$

The counit $\varepsilon_{\text{Inc}}([S, T])$ is 1 if $S = T$, and 0 otherwise.

These combinatorial operations can be applied to edge-sets of graphs. Consider graphs with the topologies of one-loop Feynman diagrams. The set of edges of a graph G is denoted by E_G . In order to include ‘‘cut’’ graphs, we let some subset C of E_G carry ‘‘cut’’ labels. The cut graph dressed with these labels is then denoted by (G, C) . A graph derived from G by contracting edges is denoted G_X , where X is the set of edges remaining uncontracted. The empty graph is set to zero identically. Then the incidence coproduct is

$$\Delta_{\text{Inc}}(G, C) = \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq X \subseteq E_G \\ X \neq \emptyset}} (G_X, C) \otimes (G, X). \quad (2.6)$$

Here are the graphical versions of some of the examples listed above, respectively in eqs. (2.4), (2.5), and (2.2) with $S = \{1, 2\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{Inc}} \left[\begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \right] &= \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft e_1 \\ | \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft e_2 \\ | \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_1 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array}, \\ \Delta_{\text{Inc}} \left[\begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \right] &= \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft e_1 \\ | \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array}, \\ \Delta_{\text{Inc}} \left[\begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \right] &= \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} e_1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ e_2 \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

A remark on coproduct and coaction. If H is a bialgebra over the field K with coproduct $\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ and counit $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow K$, then a vector space A is called an H (right-) comodule if there is a map $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ such that $(\rho \otimes \text{id})\rho = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\rho$ and $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\rho = \text{id}$. Then the map ρ is said to be a *coaction* on A .

The first and second entries in the coaction are thus elements of different mathematical spaces. In the coaction on MPLs, numbers such as π can appear only in the first entries. In the corresponding coaction on Feynman diagrams, uncut diagrams (generically) appear only in the first entries.

Coaction on MPLs. Multiple polylogarithms (MPLs) are the iterated integrals defined by the following construction.

$$G(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n; z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G(a_2, \dots, a_n; t). \quad (2.7)$$

There is a coaction on MPLs, graded by their transcendental weight n [1, 2].¹ It can be presented as a pairing of contours and integrands, in the same spirit as the incidence algebra.

$$\Delta_{\text{MPL}}(G(\vec{a}; z)) = \sum_{\vec{b} \subseteq \vec{a}} G(\vec{b}; z) \otimes G_{\vec{b}}(\vec{a}; z). \quad (2.8)$$

On the right-hand side, the subscript \vec{b} means that the integration contour has been deformed such that it encircles each of the points in \vec{b} .

¹With respect to the definition of coaction given above, H is the bialgebra of MPLs modulo $i\pi$, and A is $Q[i\pi] \otimes H$.

Discontinuity and differential operators interact with the coaction in a very simple way, as

$$\Delta_{\text{MPL}} \text{Disc} = (\text{Disc} \otimes \text{id}) \Delta_{\text{MPL}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\text{MPL}} \partial = (\text{id} \otimes \partial) \Delta_{\text{MPL}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Because of the grading by weight, it follows that the effects of discontinuities and differential operators on iterated integrals can be traced to their effects on functions of lower weight.

A remark on the Hopf algebra. It is well known that the bialgebra on MPLs can be extended to a Hopf algebra by identifying an antipode map that satisfies the usual axioms, and by working modulo $i\pi$. To extend the incidence algebra to a Hopf algebra, it is necessary to adjoin formal multiplicative inverses of the ‘‘grouplike’’ elements $[S, S]$. The existence of grouplike elements means that the incidence Hopf algebra is not connected. It remains to be determined whether the antipode map associated to our diagrammatic coaction might carry physical significance. We note that in our diagrammatic coaction, maximally cut one-loop integrals are naturally appearing grouplike elements. They evaluate to functions of the form x^ε , whose infinite Laurent series in MPLs is grouplike, even though there are no individually grouplike MPLs.

3. Diagrammatic coaction for Feynman integrals

We now outline the diagrammatic coaction, by describing how to interpret one-loop diagrams with Feynman rules, and by deforming the incidence coaction on graphs so that it matches the coaction on MPLs. Some early applications may be found in [4, 5]. We then recast the diagrammatic coaction as a special case of a general coaction on families of integrals.

Interpretation of diagrams. It is possible to reduce one-loop Feynman integrals to the following scalar basis corresponding to graphs G with edges E_G :

$$J_G = \frac{ie^{\gamma_E \varepsilon}}{\pi^{|E_G|/2}} \int d^{D_G} k \prod_{j \in E_G} \frac{1}{(k - q_j)^2 - m_j^2} \equiv \int_{\Gamma_\emptyset} \omega_G, \quad (3.1)$$

where k is the loop momentum, q_j are sums of external momenta, m_j are internal masses, and the integrals are evaluated in the dimensionality $D_G \equiv D_{|E_G|}$ given as follows.

$$D_n = \begin{cases} n - 2\varepsilon, & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ n + 1 - 2\varepsilon, & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Each of the J_G is of uniform transcendental weight when expanded in ε , if we assign a weight of -1 to ε itself.

We also need to define the cuts of Feynman integrals. This is done using residues, so that we deform the integration contour instead of inserting on-shell delta functions in the integrand. Our definition is written as

$$\mathcal{C}_C[J_G] = \int_{\Gamma_C} \omega_G \quad \text{mod } i\pi. \quad (3.3)$$

Here, C denotes the set of cut propagators, and the contour Γ_C is defined to encircle the poles associated to C , in contrast to the original contour Γ_\emptyset of eq. (3.1). This information is sufficient

to determine the cut integral up to terms proportional to $i\pi$, which are eliminated by a quotient construction, and up to an overall sign. The freedom in this definition is consistent with the fact that the coaction is blind to such terms in the second entry. Further details of the definition, along with discussion and examples, may be found in [7].

Deformation of the incidence coaction. An algebraic isomorphism of the incidence bialgebra leads to the following deformed version,

$$\Delta_a(G, C) = \sum_{\substack{C \subseteq X \subseteq E_G \\ X \neq \emptyset}} \left((G_X, C) + a_X \sum_{e \in X \setminus C} (G_{X \setminus e}, C) \right) \otimes (G, X). \quad (3.4)$$

where $a_X = a$ if $|X|$ is even and 0 otherwise, and a is a constant. The value $a = 0$ reproduces the undeformed coaction, eq. (2.6). In order to match the coactions on one-loop graphs and their expressions in MPLs, we will need the particular deformation with $a = 1/2$. This value of a and the dependence of a_X on the parity of $|X|$ have their origin in homology theory, as will be seen below.

Statement of diagrammatic conjecture. For one-loop graphs,

$$\Delta_{\text{MPL}} \mathcal{J}(G, C) = (\mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{J}) \Delta_{1/2}(G, C), \quad (3.5)$$

where by \mathcal{J} we denote the linear map that associates to (G, C) its cut integral $\mathcal{J}(G, C) \equiv \mathcal{C}_C J_G$ in D_G dimensions, as defined above, and performs a Laurent expansion in ε . For example,

$$\Delta \left[\text{Diagram: bubble with edges } e_1, e_2 \right] = \text{Diagram: bubble } \otimes \text{Diagram: bubble with cut } + \text{Diagram: tadpole } \otimes \left(\text{Diagram: bubble with cut } + \frac{1}{2} \text{Diagram: bubble with cut } \right) + \text{Diagram: tadpole } \otimes \left(\text{Diagram: bubble with cut } + \frac{1}{2} \text{Diagram: bubble with cut } \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Delta \left[\text{Diagram: triangle with edges } e_1, e_2, e_3 \right] = \text{Diagram: tadpole } \otimes \left(\text{Diagram: triangle with cut } + \frac{1}{2} \text{Diagram: triangle with cut } \right) + \text{Diagram: bubble } \otimes \text{Diagram: triangle with cut}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\Delta \left[\text{Diagram: triangle with edges } e_1, e_2, e_3 \right] = \text{Diagram: tadpole } \otimes \left(\text{Diagram: triangle with cut } + \frac{1}{2} \text{Diagram: triangle with cut } \right) + \text{Diagram: tadpole } \otimes \left(\text{Diagram: triangle with cut } + \frac{1}{2} \text{Diagram: triangle with cut } \right) + \text{Diagram: bubble } \otimes \text{Diagram: triangle with cut} + \text{Diagram: triangle } \otimes \text{Diagram: triangle with cut}. \quad (3.8)$$

where the coaction can either be read directly as $\Delta_{1/2}$ acting on the diagrams, or interpreted as Δ_{MPL} after applying the Feynman rules. We observe nontrivial cancellations among terms at different orders in ε on the right-hand side, resulting in a coaction that is valid order by order. In the following section, we will extend the coaction to hypergeometric functions including ${}_2F_1$ and Appell F_1 , eliminating the need to expand in ε .

Taking the first of these examples as an illustration, eq. (3.6) can be written in terms of integrals as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left(\int_{\Gamma_0}\omega_{12}\right) &= \int_{\Gamma_0}\omega_{12}\otimes\int_{\Gamma_{12}}\omega_{12}+\int_{\Gamma_0}\omega_1\otimes\left(\int_{\Gamma_1}\omega_{12}+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_{12}}\omega_{12}\right)+\int_{\Gamma_0}\omega_2\otimes\left(\int_{\Gamma_2}\omega_{12}+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Gamma_{12}}\omega_{12}\right) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_0}\omega_{12}\otimes\int_{\Gamma_{12}}\omega_{12}+\int_{\Gamma_0}\omega_1\otimes\int_{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{1\infty}}\omega_{12}+\int_{\Gamma_0}\omega_2\otimes\int_{-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{2\infty}}\omega_{12},\end{aligned}\quad (3.9)$$

where in the last line, we have introduced contours detecting the pole at infinite momentum. In fact, it is the pole at infinity that leads to the need for deforming the incidence coaction on graphs. At one loop, the Decomposition Theorem for the homology of Feynman integrals shows how to rewrite any contour involving infinity in terms of the ones describing cuts of propagators, leading to the equivalence of the two lines above [8].

The diagrammatic coaction can be written neatly, for all one-loop integrals, as

$$\Delta\left(\int_{\gamma}\omega_G\right)=\sum_{\emptyset\neq C\subseteq E_G}\int_{\gamma}\omega_{G_C}\otimes\int_{\gamma_C}\omega_G,\quad (3.10)$$

where $\gamma_C=\Gamma_C+a_C\sum_{e\in E_G\setminus C}\Gamma_{Ce}$, and $a_C=1/2$ for $|C|$ odd and 0 otherwise. We note that since γ_0 is excluded in the second entry, uncut integrals can appear only in the first entry.

Master formula for coaction on integrals. The diagrammatic coaction of eq. (3.10) is a special case of a general coaction on integrals defined by

$$\Delta\left(\int_{\gamma}\omega\right)=\sum_i\int_{\gamma}\omega_i\otimes\int_{\gamma_i}\omega,\quad (3.11)$$

whenever there is a suitable pairing of master integrands and master contours such that

$$P_{ss}\left(\int_{\gamma_i}\omega_j\right)=\delta_{ij}.\quad (3.12)$$

Here, P_{ss} denotes the projection onto semi-simple numbers, which are numbers x satisfying $\Delta(x)=x\otimes 1$. In particular, P_{ss} retains π , but drops polylogarithms unless they evaluate to powers of π .

4. Coaction on hypergeometric functions

In this section, we present bases of integrands and integration regions adapted for various (generalized) hypergeometric functions giving coactions of the form (3.11), which moreover correspond to the coaction on Feynman diagrams. Specifically, in each case, $\int_{\gamma_i}(\sum_j a_j \omega_j)$ evaluates to a hypergeometric function in a given family. We note that in each of the cases presented here, there is a simple change of variables such that each integral over $\gamma_i (i > 1)$ can be rewritten as an integral over γ_1 , and hence recognized as a hypergeometric integral of the same family.

We have checked that the coactions written here are consistent with the coaction on MPLs in the ε expansion.

Hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$. Consider the family of integrands $\omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3; z) = x^{\alpha_1} (1-x)^{\alpha_2} (1-zx)^{\alpha_3} dx$. They are related to the Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ by

$$\int_0^1 \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} {}_2F_1(-\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + 1; \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 + 2; z). \quad (4.1)$$

Through integration-by-parts relations, it is possible to shift the exponents by integer values and reduce to a set of two master integrands. For the ${}_2F_1$ family, this statement is a consequence of the well-known contiguous relations. If we expand around integer arguments, i.e. $\alpha_i = n_i + a_i\varepsilon$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we can take the master integrands to be

$$\omega_0 = a_2\varepsilon x^{a_1\varepsilon} (1-x)^{-1+a_2\varepsilon} (1-zx)^{a_3\varepsilon}, \quad \omega_1 = a_3\varepsilon z x^{a_1\varepsilon} (1-x)^{a_2\varepsilon} (1-zx)^{-1+a_3\varepsilon}. \quad (4.2)$$

Normalization factors have been chosen so that with the two contours $\gamma_0 = [0, 1]$ and $\gamma_1 = [0, 1/z]$, we have $P_{ss} \int_{\gamma_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}$. Since the diagrams in (3.6) and (3.7) evaluate to ${}_2F_1$ functions, we can verify that the coaction (3.11) accounts for those diagrammatic formulas, without having to expand in ε .²

Appell F_1 . For this family, the integrands take the form $\omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4; z_1, z_2) = x^{\alpha_1} (1-x)^{\alpha_2} (1-z_1x)^{\alpha_3} (1-z_2x)^{\alpha_4} dx$, since

$$\int_0^1 \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4; z_1, z_2) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} F_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_2; z_1, z_2). \quad (4.3)$$

If again we expand around integer arguments, $\alpha_i = n_i + a_i\varepsilon$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then the following choice of master integrands,

$$\omega_0 = a_2\varepsilon x^{a_1\varepsilon} (1-x)^{-1+a_2\varepsilon} (1-z_1x)^{a_3\varepsilon} (1-z_2x)^{a_4\varepsilon}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\omega_1 = a_3\varepsilon z_1 x^{a_1\varepsilon} (1-x)^{a_2\varepsilon} (1-z_1x)^{-1+a_3\varepsilon} (1-z_2x)^{a_4\varepsilon}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\omega_2 = a_4\varepsilon z_2 x^{a_1\varepsilon} (1-x)^{a_2\varepsilon} (1-z_1x)^{a_3\varepsilon} (1-z_2x)^{-1+a_4\varepsilon}, \quad (4.6)$$

with the corresponding master contours $\gamma_0 = [0, 1]$, $\gamma_1 = [0, z_1^{-1}]$, $\gamma_2 = [0, z_2^{-1}]$, satisfies $P_{ss} \int_{\gamma_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij}$. Since the diagrams in (3.8) evaluate to F_1 functions, we can verify that the coaction (3.11) accounts for the diagrammatic formula, without having to expand in ε .

Generalized hypergeometric ${}_{p+1}F_p$. We show the case $p = 2$, from which it is straightforward to generalize to arbitrary p . The integrands are $\omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5; z) = x^{\alpha_1} (1-x)^{\alpha_2} y^{\alpha_3} (1-y)^{\alpha_4} (1-zxy)^{\alpha_5} dx dy$, where $\alpha_i = n_i + a_i\varepsilon$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_i\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \omega(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + 1)\Gamma(\alpha_2 + 1)\Gamma(\alpha_3 + 1)\Gamma(\alpha_4 + 1)}{\Gamma(2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\Gamma(2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)} {}_3F_2(\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_3 + 1, -\alpha_5; 2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, 2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4; z). \quad (4.7)$$

²One might wish to write the coaction on a ${}_2F_1$ function unaccompanied by the gamma-function prefactors. This can be done with the help of the relation $\Delta(\Gamma(1 + a\varepsilon)) = \Gamma(1 + a\varepsilon) \otimes \Gamma(1 + a\varepsilon)$.

We find that the coaction on S_{111} , as defined for the ${}_2F_1$ family, can be written as a linear combination of terms with S_{111} and S_{211} in the first entries, and $\mathcal{C}_1 S_{111}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{123} S_{111}$ in the second entries [9]. From this relation, we can confirm that $\mathcal{C}_1 S_{111}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{123} S_{111}$ are precisely the discontinuities related to the weight-one first entries $\log(m^2)$ and $\log(p^2 - m^2)$, as expected. The coaction on S_{211} is similar. We emphasize the form of the result: the coaction on the integral can be expressed in terms of Feynman diagrams, and the second entries are generically cut integrals.

Similarly to the one-loop case, we observe that it is helpful to identify a basis of pure integrals. In this example, $\omega_1 \equiv \varepsilon(p^2 - m^2)\omega_{111}$ yields a pure integral when paired with any of the cut or uncut contours. For the second master integral, it is possible to subtract an algebraic multiple of ω_1 from $m^2(p^2 - m^2)\omega_{211}$ to leave a pure integrand ω_2 . We can now neatly present the full coaction for this topology. Construct the matrix

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\Gamma_0} \omega_1 & \int_{\Gamma_0} \omega_2 \\ \int_{-\frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{123}} \omega_1 & \int_{-\frac{1}{3}\Gamma_1 - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{123}} \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.3)$$

where the contours and normalizations have been chosen such that $P_{ss}\Omega$ is the identity matrix, and the first row contains the original master integrals. Then the coaction on any integral in this family can be obtained with the formula $\Delta(\Omega_{ij}) = \sum_k \Omega_{ik} \otimes \Omega_{kj}$.

In view of our results, it would be very interesting to seek a systematic diagrammatic coaction for multiloop Feynman integrals and amplitudes, supported by a coaction on an expanded set of functions including various generalizations of hypergeometric functions.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Broadhurst, D. Kreimer, and E. Panzer for helpful feedback on the conference presentation, and the organizers of the conference. This work is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation in the framework of the Sofja Kovalevskaja Award 2014, endowed by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (SA), the ERC Consolidator Grant 647356 ‘‘CutLoops’’ (RB), the ERC Starting Grant 637019 ‘‘MathAm’’ (CD), and the STFC Consolidated Grant ‘‘Particle Physics at the Higgs Centre’’ (EG, JM).

References

- [1] A. B. Goncharov, arXiv:math/0208144.
- [2] F. Brown, arXiv:1512.06410 [math.NT].
- [3] S. Abreu, R. Britto, C. Duhr and E. Gardi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) no.5, 051601, arXiv:1703.05064 [hep-th].
- [4] S. Abreu, R. Britto, C. Duhr and E. Gardi, JHEP **1712** (2017) 090, arXiv:1704.07931 [hep-th].
- [5] S. Abreu, R. Britto, C. Duhr and E. Gardi, PoS RADCOR **2017**, 002 (2018) doi:10.22323/1.290.0002 [arXiv:1803.05894 [hep-th]].
- [6] S. A. Joni and G. C. Rota, Stud. Appl. Math. **61** (1979) 93.
- [7] S. Abreu, R. Britto, C. Duhr and E. Gardi, JHEP **1706** (2017) 114, arXiv:1702.03163 [hep-th].

- [8] D. Fotiadi, M. Froissart, J. Lascoux, F. Pham, *Topology* **4**, 159-191, Pergamon Press, (1965);
R. C. Hwa and V. L. Teplitz, *Homology and Feynman integrals*, W. A. Benjamin Inc., (1966).
- [9] S. Abreu, R. Britto, C. Duhr, E. Gardi and J. Matthew, in preparation.
- [10] S. Caron-Huot and K. J. Larsen, *JHEP* **1210**, 026 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2012)026
[arXiv:1205.0801 [hep-ph]].